Bill No. HB 7203

Amendment No.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

## CHAMBER ACTION

Senate House

Representative Cannon offered the following:

Amendment (with title amendment)

Remove lines 854-1078 and insert:

163.32465 State review of local comprehensive plans in urban areas.--

(1) LEGISLATIVE FINDINGS.--

(a) The Legislature finds that local governments in this state have a wide diversity of resources, conditions, abilities, and needs. The Legislature also finds that the needs and resources of urban areas are different from those of rural areas and that different planning and growth management approaches, strategies, and techniques are required in urban areas. The state role in overseeing growth management should reflect this diversity and should vary based on local government conditions, capabilities, needs, and extent of development. Thus, the

4/26/2007 5:08:07 PM

Page 1 of 11

Legislature recognizes and finds that reduced state oversight of
local comprehensive planning is justified for some local
governments in urban areas.

- (b) The Legislature finds and declares that this state's urban areas require a reduced level of state oversight because of their high degree of urbanization and the planning capabilities and resources of many of their local governments. An alternative state review process that is adequate to protect issues of regional or statewide importance should be created for appropriate local governments in these areas. Further, the Legislature finds that development, including urban infill and redevelopment, should be encouraged in these urban areas. The Legislature finds that an alternative process for amending local comprehensive plans in these areas should be established with an objective of streamlining the process and recognizing local responsibility and accountability.
- (c) The Legislature finds a pilot program will be beneficial in evaluating an alternative, expedited plan amendment adoption and review process. Pilot local governments shall represent highly developed counties and the municipalities within these counties and highly populated municipalities.
- PROGRAM.--Pinellas and Broward Counties, and the municipalities within these counties, and Jacksonville, Miami, Tampa, and Hialeah, shall follow an alternative state review process provided in this section. Municipalities within the pilot counties may elect, by supermajority vote of the governing body,

not to participate in the pilot program.

- (3) PROCESS FOR ADOPTION OF COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS
  UNDER THE PILOT PROGRAM.--
- (a) Plan amendments adopted by the pilot program jurisdictions shall follow the alternate, expedited process in subsections (4) and (5), except as set forth in paragraphs (b) through (e) of this subsection.
- (b) Amendments that qualify as small-scale development amendments may continue to be adopted by the pilot program jurisdictions pursuant to ss. 163.3187(1)(c) and (3).
- (c) Plan amendments that propose a rural land stewardship area pursuant to s. 163.3177(11)(d); propose an optional sector plan; update a comprehensive plan based on an evaluation and appraisal report; implement new statutory requirements; or new plans for newly incorporated municipalities are subject to state review as set forth in s. 163.3184.
- (d) Pilot program jurisdictions shall be subject to the frequency and timing requirements for plan amendments set forth in ss. 163.3187 and 163.3191, except where otherwise stated in this section.
- (e) The mediation and expedited hearing provisions in s.

  163.3189(3) apply to all plan amendments adopted by the pilot program jurisdictions.
- (4) INITIAL HEARING ON COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT FOR PILOT PROGRAM.--
- (a) The local government shall hold its first public hearing on a comprehensive plan amendment on a weekday at least seven days after the day the first advertisement is published pursuant to the requirements of chapters 125 or 166. Upon an 779999

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

```
affirmative vote of not less than a majority of the members of
the governing body present at the hearing, the local government
shall immediately transmit the amendment or amendments and
appropriate supporting data and analyses to the state land
planning agency; the appropriate regional planning council and
water management district; the Department of Environmental
Protection; the Department of State; the Department of
Transportation; in the case of municipal plans, to the
appropriate county; the Fish and Wildlife Conservations
Commission; the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services;
and in the case of amendments that include or impact the public
school facilities element, the Office of Educational Facilities
of the Commissioner of Education. The local governing body shall
also transmit a copy of the amendments and supporting data and
analyses to any other local government or governmental agency
that has filed a written request with the governing body.
```

(b) The agencies and local governments specified in paragraph (a) may provide comments regarding the amendment or amendments to the local government. The regional planning council review and comment shall be limited to effects on regional resources or facilities identified in the strategic regional policy plan and extrajurisdictional impacts that would be inconsistent with the comprehensive plan of the affected local government. A regional planning council shall not review and comment on a proposed comprehensive plan amendment prepared by such council unless the plan has been changed by the local government subsequent to the preparation of the plan by the regional planning agency. County comments on municipal 779999

comprehensive plan amendments shall be primarily in the context
of the relationship and effect of the proposed plan amendments
on the county plan. Municipal comments on county plan amendments
shall be primarily in the context of the relationship and effect
of the amendments on the municipal plan. State agency comments
may include technical guidance on issues of agency jurisdiction
as it relates to the requirements of this part. Such comments
shall clearly identify issues of regional or statewide
importance that, if not resolved, may result in an agency
challenge to the amendment. Agencies and local governments must
transmit their comments to the affected local government such
that they are received by the local government not later than
thirty days from the date on which the agency or government
received the amendment or amendments.

- (5) ADOPTION OF COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT FOR PILOT AREAS.--
- (a) The local government shall hold its second public hearing, which shall be a hearing on whether to adopt one or more comprehensive plan amendments, on a weekday at least five days after the day the second advertisement is published pursuant to the requirements of chapters 125 or 166. Adoption of comprehensive plan amendments must be by ordinance and requires an affirmative vote of a majority of the members of the governing body present at the second hearing.
- (b) All comprehensive plan amendments adopted by the governing body along with the supporting data and analysis shall be transmitted within ten days of the second public hearing to

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146147

148

149150

151

152

153

154155

the state land planning agency and any other agency or local government that provided timely comments under subsection 4(b).

- (6) ADMINISTRATIVE CHALLENGES TO PLAN AMENDMENTS FOR PILOT PROGRAM. --
- (a) Any "affected person" as defined in s. 163.3184(1)(a) may file a petition with the Division of Administrative Hearings pursuant to ss. 120.569 and 120.57, with a copy served on the affected local government, to request a formal hearing to challenge whether the amendments are "in compliance" as defined in s. 163.3184(1)(b). This petition must be filed with the Division within 30 days after the local government adopts the amendment. The state land planning may intervene in a proceeding instituted by an affected person.
- (b) The state land planning agency may file a petition with the Division of Administrative Hearings pursuant to ss. 120.569 and 120.57, with a copy served on the affected local government, to request a formal hearing. This petition must be filed with the Division within 30 days after the state land planning agency notifies the local government that the plan amendment package is complete. For purposes of this section, an amendment shall be deemed complete if it contains a full, executed copy of the adoption ordinance or ordinances; in the case of a text amendment, a full copy of the amended language in legislative format with new words inserted in the text underlined, and words to be deleted lined through with hyphens; in the case of a future land use map amendment, a copy of the future land use map clearly depicting the parcel, its existing future land use designation, and its adopted designation; and a 779999

copy of any data and analyses the local government deems
appropriate. The state land planning agency shall notify the
local government of any deficiencies within five working days of
receipt of amendment package.

- (c) The state land planning agency challenge shall be limited to issues of regional or statewide importance as they relate to consistency with the requirements of this part. The agency's challenge shall be limited to those issues raised in the comments provided by the reviewing agencies pursuant to subsection (4)(a). The agency may challenge a plan amendment that has substantially changed from the version on which the agencies provided comments, regardless of specific comments provided to the local government if such change will result in an impact to issues of regional or statewide importance that the proposed amendment did not impact.
- (d) An administrative law judge shall hold a hearing in the affected local jurisdiction. The local government's determination that the amendment is "in compliance" is presumed to be correct and shall be sustained unless it is shown by a preponderance of the evidence that the amendment is not "in compliance."
- (e) If the administrative law judge recommends that the amendment be found not in compliance, the judge shall submit the recommended order to the Administration Commission for final agency action. The Administration Commission shall enter a final order within 45 days after its receipt of the recommended order.

(f	) If	the	admi	nist	trative	e lav	7 jı	udge	re	commer	nds	that	the
amendme	nt be	e four	nd in	cor	nplian	ce, t	he	judo	ge	shall	suk	omit	the
recomme	nded	ordei	to	the	state	land	l pi	lanni	ing	agend	cy.		

- 1. If the state land planning agency determines that the plan amendment should be found not in compliance, the agency shall refer, within 30 days of receipt of the recommended order, the recommended order and its determination to the Administration Commission for final agency action. If the commission determines that the amendment is not in compliance, it may sanction the local government as set forth in s. 163.3184(11).
- 2. If the state land planning agency determines that the plan amendment should be found in compliance, the agency shall enter its final order not later than 30 days from receipt of the recommended order.
- (g) An amendment adopted under the expedited provisions of this section shall not become effective until 31 days after adoption. If timely challenged, an amendment shall not become effective until the state land planning agency or the Administration Commission enters a final order determining the adopted amendment to be in compliance.
- (h) Parties to a proceeding under this section may enter into compliance agreements using the process in s. 163.3184(16).

  Any remedial amendment adopted pursuant to a settlement agreement shall be provided to the agencies and governments listed in paragraph (4)(a).
- (7) APPLICABILITY OF PILOT PROGRAM IN CERTAIN LOCAL

  GOVERNEMNTS.--Local governments and specific areas that have
  779999

210	been designated for alternate review process pursuant to ss.
211	163.3246 and 163.3184(17) and (18) are not subject to this
212	section.
213	(8) RULEMAKING AUTHORITY FOR PILOT PROGRAM Agencies
214	shall not promulgate rules to implement this pilot program.
215	(9) REPORTThe Office of Program Policy Analysis and
216	Government Accountability shall submit to the Governor, the
217	President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of
218	Representatives by December 1, 2008, a report and
219	recommendations for implementing a statewide program that
220	addresses the legislative findings in subsection (1) in areas
221	that meet urban criteria. The Office of Program Policy Analysis
222	and Government Accountability in consultation with the state
223	land planning agency shall develop the report and
224	recommendations with input from other state and regional
225	agencies, local governments and interest groups. Additionally,
226	the office shall review local and state actions and
227	correspondence relating to the pilot program to identify issues
228	of process and substance in recommending changes to the pilot
229	program. At a minimum, the report and recommendations shall
230	include the following:
231	(a) Identification of local governments beyond those
232	participating in the pilot program that should be subject to the

779999 4/26/2007 5:08:07 PM

233

234

235

alternative expedited state review process. The report may

appropriate for such alternative review process.

recommend that pilot program local governments may no longer be

- (b) Changes to the alternative expedited state review process for local comprehensive plan amendments identified in the pilot program.
- (c) Criteria for determining issues of regional or statewide importance that are to be protected in the alternative state review process.
- (d) In preparing the report and recommendations, the Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability shall consult with the state land planning agency, the Department of Transportation, the Department of Environmental Protection, and the regional planning agencies in identifying highly developed local governments to participate in the alternative expedited state review process. The Office of Program Policy Analysis and Governmental Accountability shall also solicit citizen input in the potentially affected areas and consult with the affected local governments, and stakeholder groups.

Section 9. There is hereby established four full-time equivalent planning positions and appropriated rate in the amount of \$220,000 and salary budget authority in the amount of \$326,620 from the Grants and Donations Trust Fund in the Division of Community Planning for the purposes of providing technical assistance and advice to state and local governments in their ability to respond to growth-related issues, and to ensure compliance with chapter 163 comprehensive planning issues.

====== T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ======= 779999

## (LATE FILED) HOUSE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 7203

Amendment No.

Remove lines 51-56 and insert:
applicability of program provisions; requiring the Office of
Program Policy Analysis and Governmental Accountability to
evaluate the pilot program and prepare and submit a report to
the Governor and Legislature; providing report requirements;
establishing four full-time equivalent planning positions;
providing an appropriation; amending s. 380.06, F.S.; extending