Bill No. <u>SB 4-D</u>

Barcode 715776

CHAMBER ACTION

	CHAMBER ACTION
İ	<u>Senate</u> <u>House</u>
1	Comm: 6/WD .
2	10/16/2007 07:16 PM .
3	·
4	·
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	The Committee on Finance and Tax (Atwater) recommended the
12	following amendment:
13	
14	Senate Amendment (with title amendment)
15	On page 6, line 8, through
16	page 8, line 11, delete those lines
17	
18	and insert:
19	194.301 <u>Burden of proof in assessment challenges</u>
20	Presumption of correctness
21	(1) In any administrative or judicial action in which
22	a taxpayer challenges an ad valorem tax assessment of value,
23	the property appraiser shall have the burden of proving that
24	the assessment was arrived at in compliance with s. 193.011
25	using established standards of professional appraisal
26	practice. If proven, the appraiser's assessment shall be
27	presumed correct. <u>The taxpayer shall then have the burden of</u>
28	proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the assessment
29	of value is in excess of just value or that the assessment is
30	based on appraisal practices that are different from the
31	appraisal practices generally applied by the property 1
ı	8:31 DM 10/15/07

Bill No. SB 4-D

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28 29

30

Barcode 715776

appraiser to comparable property within the same class in the county. This presumption of correctness is lost if the taxpayer shows by a preponderance of the evidence that either the property appraiser has failed to consider properly the criteria in s. 193.011 or if the property appraiser's assessment is arbitrarily based on appraisal practices which are different from the appraisal practices generally applied by the property appraiser to comparable property within the same class and within the same county. If the presumption of correctness is lost, the taxpayer shall have the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the appraiser's assessment is in excess of just value. If the presumption of correctness is retained, the taxpayer shall have the burden of proving by clear and convincing evidence that the appraiser's assessment is in excess of just value. In no case shall the taxpayer have the burden of proving that the property appraiser's assessment is not supported by any reasonable hypothesis of a legal assessment.

- (2) If the property appraiser's assessment is <u>found</u> determined to be erroneous, the value adjustment board or the court can <u>determine</u> <u>establish</u> the assessment if there exists competent, substantial evidence in the record, which cumulatively meets the requirements of s. 193.011. If the record lacks <u>such</u> competent, substantial evidence <u>meeting the</u> <u>just value criteria of s. 193.011</u>, the matter shall be remanded to the property appraiser with appropriate directions from the value adjustment board or the court.
- (3) In any administrative or judicial action in which a grant or denial of an exemption or assessment classification is challenged, the property appraiser shall have the burden of proving that his or her grant or denial is in compliance with 2
 8:31 PM 10/15/07 s0004Dc-ft25-j06

Bill No. <u>SB 4-D</u>

Barcode 715776

1	laws governing such exemption or assessment classification.
2	
3	
4	======= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T =========
5	And the title is amended as follows:
6	On page 1, lines 3-12, delete those lines
7	
8	and insert:
9	amending s. 194.301, F.S.; revising criteria,
10	requirements, and assignment of the burden of
11	proof in assessment challenges; requiring a
12	property appraiser to prove that assessment
13	classifications comply with applicable law;
14	providing for the amendments to s.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	3
	8:31 PM 10/15/07 s0004Dc-ft25-j06