CS/HB 1283 2008

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to ad valorem tax assessment value challenges; amending s. 194.301, F.S.; revising criteria for burden of proof in ad valorem tax assessment value challenges; deleting certain provisions relating to presumption of correctness of property appraiser's assessments; specifying no presumption of correctness of a property appraiser's denial of an exemption or assessment classification in any action challenging the denial; providing legislative intent relating to taxpayer burden of proof; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 194.301, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

194.301 Presumption of correctness <u>and burden of proof in</u> ad valorem tax value assessment challenges.--

(1) In any administrative or judicial action in which a taxpayer challenges an ad valorem tax assessment of value, the property appraiser shall have the burden of going forward and proving that his or her assessment was arrived at by complying with s. 193.011 and professionally accepted appraisal practices, including mass appraisal standards when appropriate, in which case the assessment shall be presumed correct. The taxpayer shall have the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the assessment of value exceeds just value or that

Page 1 of 3

the assessment is based upon appraisal practices which are

CS/HB 1283 2008

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

different from the appraisal practices generally applied to comparable property within the same class. In any judicial action in which the property appraiser challenges the value adjustment board's determination of value, the property appraiser shall have the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the assessment established by the value adjustment board is less than just value appraiser's assessment shall be presumed correct. This presumption of correctness is lost if the taxpayer shows by a preponderance of the evidence that either the property appraiser has failed to consider properly the criteria in s. 193.011 or if the property appraiser's assessment is arbitrarily based on appraisal practices which are different from the appraisal practices generally applied by the property appraiser to comparable property within the same class and within the same county. If the presumption of correctness is lost, the taxpayer shall have the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the appraiser's assessment is in excess of just value. If the presumption of correctness is retained, the taxpayer shall have the burden of proving by clear and convincing evidence that the appraiser's assessment is in excess of just value. In no case shall the taxpayer have the burden of proving that the property appraiser's assessment is not supported by any reasonable hypothesis of a legal assessment. If the property appraiser's assessment is determined to be erroneous, the value adjustment board Value Adjustment Board or the court can establish the assessment if there exists competent, substantial evidence exists in the record, which cumulatively meets the requirements

Page 2 of 3

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/HB 1283 2008

of s. 193.011 by applying professionally accepted appraisal practices. If the record lacks <u>such</u> competent, substantial evidence meeting the just value criteria of s. 193.011, the matter shall be remanded to the property appraiser with appropriate directions from the <u>value adjustment board Value Adjustment Board</u> or the court.

(2) In any administrative or judicial action in which a denial of an exemption or assessment classification is challenged, the property appraiser's denial has no presumption of correctness.

Section 2. It is the express intent of the Legislature that a taxpayer shall never have the burden of proving that the property appraiser's assessment is not supported by any reasonable hypothesis of a legal assessment and all cases setting out such a standard were expressly rejected legislatively on the adoption of chapter 97-85, Laws of Florida. It is the further intent of the Legislature that any cases of law published since 1997 citing the every-reasonable-hypothesis standard are expressly rejected to the extent that they are interpretative of legislative intent.

Section 3. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.