The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepa	red By: The Professiona	al Staff of the Com	merce Committee	
BILL:	SB 1616				
INTRODUCER:	Criminal Justice Committee				
SUBJECT:	Revocation or Suspension of Concealed Firearm Licenses				
DATE:	March 18, 2	2008 REVISED:			
ANAL	Vet	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	٨	CTION
. Cellon	101	Cannon	CJ	Favorable	
2. Rogers		Cooper	СМ	Favorable	
			JU		
•					
•					
j.					

I. Summary:

This bill authorizes the Florida Department of Law Enforcement (FDLE) to share data with the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (department) on a routine basis. Currently, the mental health data in the MECOM (mental competency) database is shared, upon request, at the time the department is conducting a background check on the initial application for a concealed weapon and firearm license. Once the application is approved and the license is issued, the department is statutorily required to suspend or revoke the license when a license-holder is adjudicated an incapacitated person or is committed to a mental institution.¹ However, the department does not have routine access to the information necessary to form the basis of a suspension or revocation on mental health grounds. This bill would give the department that access.

This bill substantially amends s. 790.065, Florida Statutes.

II. Present Situation:

The Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (department) is statutorily authorized to issue licenses to carry concealed weapons and firearms.² The applicant must provide identifying information, including fingerprints, to the department for processing prior to the issuance of a concealed-carry license. Mental capacity and past commitment to mental health institutions are

¹ Section 790.06(10) (g) and (h), F.S.

² Section 790.06(1), F.S.

among the criteria DACS examines in determining whether an applicant meets the statutory requirements for possessing a concealed-carry license.³

DACS makes criminal history and mental health information inquiries of the FBI and FDLE, and sometimes local authorities, during the investigation of an applicant's initial request for a concealed-carry license.

Under circumstances involving an applicant's or license-holder's arrest, issuance of a domestic violence injunction, or sentencing for certain crimes, the department is authorized to suspend or revoke a current license or deny a pending application.⁴ In order to carry out that function, the department is provided with arrest, injunction, and sentencing information weekly, and in some situations, daily. This information is routinely provided by FDLE and sometimes supplemented by local law enforcement or court authorities.

Section 790.06(10), F.S., *requires* the department to suspend or revoke a concealed-carry license when a license-holder is adjudicated an incapacitated person or is committed to a mental institution.⁵ However, there is no routine flow of information to the department when adjudications of incapacity or commitments to mental institutions occur. In fact, in those cases where a license-holder is found to be incapacitated or committed, the department may or may not know about it at all. Sometimes a family member of the license-holder will notify the department or perhaps local law enforcement will provide notification of the event, but the information is piece-meal, and there are occasional obstacles to verification of the information because of privacy concerns.

Although FDLE now has access to the mental health information that could validate suspension or revocation of an active concealed-carry license, there are apparent statutory limitations that prevent sharing of that information with the department.⁶ FDLE is only authorized to provide the MECOM (mental competency) data to the department for "determination of *eligibility for issuance*" of a concealed-carry license, *not* for *retention of the license*.⁷

This lack of information, after a license has been issued, is problematic for the department because the information upon which a statutory requirement is based is not readily available to the state agency that is supposed to carry it out.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 amends s. 790.065(2)(a)4.d., F.S., to authorize the Florida Department of Law Enforcement to routinely disclose mental competency data to the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, so that the department is capable of determining concealed-carry licensees'

 $^{^{3}}$ Section 790.06(2) (i) and (j), F.S., require the department to issue a license if the applicant has not been adjudicated an incapacitated person - unless 5 years have passed since the applicant's restoration to capacity; or if the applicant has not been committed to a mental institution - unless he or she produces a certificate from a certified psychiatrist stating that 5 years have lapsed since he or she suffered from disability.

⁴ Section 790.06(3), F.S.

⁵ Section 790.06(10) (g) and (h), F.S.

⁶ Section 790.065(2)(a)4.d., F.S., as revised by Ch. 2006-176, L.O.F.

⁷ Section 790.065(2)(a)4.d., F.S.

eligibility for license retention, based upon the mental health criteria set forth in s. 790.06(10), F.S.

Section 2 provides an effective date of July 1, 2008.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The Florida Department of Law Enforcement and the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services report that the agencies will not experience a fiscal impact from the bill and they will be able to absorb the programming into their current workloads.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes: (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.