By Senator Aronberg

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

2021

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

29

27-03477-08 20082226

A bill to be entitled An act relating to water restrictions; amending s. 373.246, F.S.; authorizing the governing board of a water management district or the Department of Environmental Protection to issue an order establishing liability, requiring corrective action, or imposing an administrative penalty against a person who violates a declaration of water shortage; providing that a court may enter a judgment based on such order; requiring the governing board or the department to issue a notice of noncompliance before taking action against a violator, except if such violator has a history of noncompliance; requiring the governing board or the department to send a written notice of violation to the violator by certified mail; requiring that such notice contain certain information; providing that an order is not effective until the violator has been served notice and an administrative hearing is held; requiring the violator to file a petition in response to the order and request a hearing within a specified time or waive the right to such hearing; providing that such violator is the respondent for hearing purposes; requiring the governing board or the department to refer a timely filed petition to the Division of Administrative Hearings; requiring the hearing to be held in the same county in which the violation occurred; providing that the governing board or the department has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the respondent is responsible for the violation; requiring the administrative law judge to conduct the hearing using the

27-03477-08 20082226

summary hearing process; requiring the administrative law judge to issue a final order; providing for the prevailing party to recover all costs, including attorney's fees; providing that the governing board or the department may pursue injunctive relief in addition to any other administrative action; providing a schedule of administrative penalties; requiring the administrative law judge to add the direct economic benefit that the violator gained to the penalty; providing that evidence of mitigating circumstances may reduce a penalty; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Subsections (9) and (10) are added to section 373.246, Florida Statutes, to read:

373.246 Declaration of water shortage or emergency.--

(9) (a) The governing board or the department may issue an

order that establishes liability, requires corrective action, or imposes an administrative penalty against any person who violates the restrictions established by a declaration of water shortage.

Any court having jurisdiction may enter a judgment based on the governing board's or the department's order and such judgment has

the same force and effect as any other judgment.

(b) The governing board or the department shall issue a notice of noncompliance as set forth in s. 120.695 before taking administrative action against an alleged violator. However, if the person has a history of noncompliance as described in paragraph (10)(f), such notice is not required before taking

27-03477-08 20082226

administrative action.

(c) The governing board or the department shall send a written notice of violation to the alleged violator by certified mail. If the governing board or the department is unable to serve the notice of violation by certified mail, the notice may be hand delivered or personally served in accordance with chapter 48.

Such notice must specify the provision of the law, rule, or order which has allegedly been violated and the facts constituting the alleged violation. The notice may include any corrective action required to address the violation, including payment of an administrative penalty and any other corrective action ordered by the governing board or the department. An order is not effective until after the alleged violator has been served and an administrative hearing is held.

(d) The alleged violator must file a petition in response to the order and request an administrative hearing within 14 days after the notice is served. Failure to request an administrative hearing within 14 days after service constitutes a waiver of the right to such hearing. If an alleged violator timely files a petition, such violator shall subsequently be referred to as the respondent. The governing board or the department shall refer the petition to the Division of Administrative Hearings. The hearing must be held in the county in which the violation allegedly occurred. Such hearings should be addressed in an expeditious and effective manner and scheduled accordingly. The governing board or the department must prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the respondent is responsible for the violation. A hearing shall proceed in accordance with the summary hearing process as set forth in s. 120.574(2). The administrative law judge shall

27-03477-08 20082226

issue a final order on all matters and impose an administrative penalty.

- (e) The prevailing party shall recover all costs, including investigative costs and reasonable attorney's fees in an administrative proceeding brought under this section. The administrative law judge shall include such costs and fees in the final order. The respondent is the prevailing party if an administrative law judge enters an order finding that the notice of violation did not have a reasonable basis in law and fact at the time the proceeding was initiated.
- (f) The governing board or the department is not prohibited from taking any other legal or administrative action in accordance with law. This subsection does not limit the governing board's or the department's authority to judicially pursue injunctive relief. The governing board and the department may judicially pursue penalties in excess of the administrative penalty schedule set forth in subsection (10). The governing board or the department may enter into a settlement, before or after initiating a notice of violation, and the settlement may include a penalty amount different from the administrative penalty schedule set forth in subsection (10).
- (10) (a) For a violation involving the use of water on 10 acres or less or the use of less than 100,000 gallons of water per day, the penalty is \$500 per offense, per day, and \$2,000 per offense, per day, for a violator that has a history of noncompliance.
- (b) For a violation involving the use of water on 10 to 100 acres or the use of 100,000 to 500,000 gallons of water per day, the penalty is \$1,000 per offense, per day, and \$5,000 per

27-03477-08 20082226

offense, per day, for a violator that has a history of noncompliance.

- (c) For a violation involving the use of water on more than 100 acres or the use of more than 500,000 gallons of water per day, the penalty is \$3,000 per offense, per day, and \$10,000 per offense, per day, for a violator that has a history of noncompliance.
- (d) For a golf course that fails to submit timely reports, the penalty is \$300 per offense and \$1,000 per offense for a violator that has a history of noncompliance.
- (e) For a golf course that fails to meet monthly targeted reductions, the penalty is \$500 per offense for meeting 75 percent to 90 percent of the monthly targeted reduction; \$1,500 per offense for meeting 25 percent to 75 percent of monthly targeted reduction; and \$3,500 per offense for meeting 0 percent to 25 percent of monthly targeted reduction. The penalty is doubled for a violator that has a history of noncompliance.
- (f) A violator that has a history of noncompliance is any person who has been found by final order to have violated a declaration of water shortage within 2 years after the most recent violation.
- (g) An administrative law judge shall add the direct economic benefit gained by a violator from a violation to the scheduled administrative penalty.
- (h) An administrative law judge may receive evidence in mitigation and may reduce the penalties identified in this subsection up to 50 percent for mitigating circumstances, including good faith efforts to comply before or after discovery of the violation. The administrative law judge may reduce the

150

27-03477-08 20082226

penalty further upon an affirmative finding that the violation
was caused by circumstances beyond the control of the respondent
and could not have been prevented by the respondent's due
diligence.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2008.