

By the Committee on Agriculture; and Senators Baker and Bennett

575-06677-08

20082246c1

1 A bill to be entitled

2 An act relating to land development regulation; amending
3 s. 163.3162, F.S.; providing for the use of certain lands
4 surrounding an agricultural enclave; creating a rebuttable
5 presumption for the imposition of certain development
6 conditions relating to agricultural enclaves; providing a
7 timeframe for submitting certain information relating to
8 proposed plan amendments; creating a rebuttable
9 presumption for denial of or failure to approve plan
10 amendments relating to agricultural enclaves; providing
11 concurrency standards for agricultural enclaves in
12 relation to previously approved development contiguous to
13 the enclave; amending s. 163.3245, F.S.; revising
14 provisions relating to optional sector plans; providing
15 applicability to certain pending applications; amending s.
16 163.3164, F.S.; revising the definition of "agricultural
17 enclave"; providing an effective date.

18
19 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

20
21 Section 1. Subsection (5) of section 163.3162, Florida
22 Statutes, is amended to read:

23 163.3162 Agricultural Lands and Practices Act.--

24 (5) AMENDMENT TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.--The
25 owner of a parcel of land defined as an agricultural enclave
26 under s. 163.3164(33) may apply for an amendment to the local
27 government comprehensive plan pursuant to s. 163.3187. Such
28 amendment is presumed to be consistent with rule 9J-5.006(5),
29 Florida Administrative Code, and may include land uses,

575-06677-08

20082246c1

30 densities, and intensities of use that are consistent with the
31 uses, densities, and intensities of use of the industrial,
32 commercial, or residential areas that surround the parcel. This
33 presumption may be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence.
34 Each application for a comprehensive plan amendment under this
35 subsection for a parcel larger than 640 acres must include
36 appropriate new urbanism concepts such as clustering, mixed-use
37 development, the creation of rural village and city centers, and
38 the transfer of development rights in order to discourage urban
39 sprawl while protecting landowner rights. Notwithstanding the
40 provisions of a comprehensive plan, the local government may not
41 prohibit land uses, densities, and intensities of use that are
42 consistent with the uses, densities, and intensities of use of
43 the industrial, commercial, or residential areas that surround
44 the parcel. Densities and intensities of uses for an agricultural
45 enclave shall, at minimum, be calculated as the average density
46 or intensity of uses within 3 miles of the perimeter of the
47 parcel. If a local government imposes development conditions that
48 prevent the owner from achieving consistent densities and
49 intensities of use pursuant to this subsection, the owner may
50 apply to the circuit court for appropriate relief pursuant to s.
51 70.001. The imposition of such conditions is presumed to impose
52 an inordinate burden. This presumption may be rebutted by clear
53 and convincing evidence.

54 (a) The local government and the owner of a parcel of land
55 that is the subject of an application for an amendment shall have
56 180 days following the date that the local government receives a
57 complete application to negotiate in good faith to reach
58 consensus on the land uses, densities, and intensities of use

575-06677-08

20082246c1

59 | that are consistent with the uses, densities, and intensities of
60 | use of the industrial, commercial, or residential areas that
61 | surround the parcel. Within 30 days after the local government's
62 | receipt of such an application, the local government and owner
63 | must agree in writing to a schedule for information submittal,
64 | public hearings, negotiations, and final action on the amendment,
65 | which schedule may thereafter be altered only with the written
66 | consent of the local government and the owner. Compliance with
67 | the schedule in the written agreement constitutes good faith
68 | negotiations for purposes of paragraph (d) ~~(e)~~.

69 | (b) Upon conclusion of good faith negotiations under
70 | paragraph (a), regardless of whether the local government and
71 | owner reach consensus on the land uses, densities, and
72 | intensities of use that are consistent with the uses, densities,
73 | and intensities of use of the industrial, commercial, or
74 | residential areas that surround the parcel, the amendment must be
75 | transmitted to the state land planning agency for review pursuant
76 | to s. 163.3184. If the local government fails to transmit the
77 | amendment within 180 days after receipt of a complete
78 | application, the amendment must be immediately transferred to the
79 | state land planning agency for such review at the first available
80 | transmittal cycle. A plan amendment transmitted to the state land
81 | planning agency submitted under this subsection is presumed to be
82 | consistent with rule 9J-5.006(5), Florida Administrative Code.
83 | This presumption may be rebutted by clear and convincing
84 | evidence.

85 | (c) Notwithstanding the provisions of a comprehensive plan,
86 | after review by the state land planning agency, the owner shall
87 | respond to any objections, recommendations, or comments issued by

575-06677-08

20082246c1

88 the agency pursuant to s. 163.3184(6). If the department has not
89 issued any objections, recommendations, or comments, or if the
90 owner has responded to any objections, recommendations, or
91 comments and the local government denies or fails to approve the
92 amendment within the time period specified in s. 163.3184(7),
93 such denial or failure to approve the amendment is presumed to
94 impose an inordinate burden, and the owner may apply to the
95 circuit court for appropriate relief pursuant to s. 70.001. A
96 plan amendment reviewed by the land planning agency under this
97 subsection is presumed to be consistent with the provisions of
98 rule 9J-5.006(5), Florida Administrative Code. This presumption
99 may be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence.

100 (d) ~~(e)~~ If the owner fails to negotiate in good faith, a
101 plan amendment submitted under this subsection is not entitled to
102 the rebuttable presumption under this subsection in the
103 negotiation and amendment process.

104 (e) ~~(d)~~ Nothing within this subsection relating to
105 agricultural enclaves shall preempt or replace any protection
106 currently existing for any property located within the boundaries
107 of the following areas:

108 (f) An agricultural enclave shall not be subjected to
109 higher concurrency standards than the concurrency standards
110 applied to previously approved development within 3 miles of the
111 perimeter of the enclave.

112 1. The Wekiva Study Area, as described in s. 369.316; or
113 2. The Everglades Protection Area, as defined in s.
114 373.4592(2).

115 Section 2. Subsections (6) and (7) of section 163.3245,
116 Florida Statutes, are renumbered as subsections (7) and (8),

575-06677-08

20082246c1

117 | respectively, and a new subsection (6) is added to that section,
118 | to read:

119 | 163.3245 Optional sector plans.--

120 | (6) If an application for development approval or an
121 | application for a comprehensive plan amendment pursuant to this
122 | part has been filed and is pending prior to the effective date of
123 | a sector plan, the application shall only be required to comply
124 | with the provisions of a subsequently adopted sector plan upon
125 | written consent of the applicant. This subsection applies to all
126 | applications within a sector planning area pending before a local
127 | government on or before December 31, 2007.

128 | Section 3. Subsection (33) of section 163.3164, Florida
129 | Statutes, is amended to read:

130 | 163.3164 Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land
131 | Development Regulation Act; definitions.--As used in this act:

132 | (33) "Agricultural enclave" means an unincorporated,
133 | undeveloped parcel that:

134 | (a) Is owned by a single person or entity;

135 | (b) Has been in continuous use for bona fide agricultural
136 | purposes, as defined by s. 193.461, for a period of 5 years prior
137 | to the date of any comprehensive plan amendment application;

138 | (c) Is surrounded on at least 75 percent of its perimeter
139 | by:

140 | 1. Property that has existing industrial, commercial, or
141 | residential development; or

142 | 2. Property that the local government has designated, in
143 | the local government's comprehensive plan, zoning map, and future
144 | land use map, as land that is to be developed for industrial,
145 | commercial, or residential purposes, and at least 75 percent of

575-06677-08

20082246c1

146 such property is existing industrial, commercial, or residential
147 development;

148 (d) Has public services, including water, wastewater,
149 transportation, schools, and recreation facilities, available or
150 such public services are scheduled in the capital improvement
151 element to be provided by the local government or can be provided
152 by an alternative provider of local government infrastructure ~~in~~
153 ~~order to ensure consistency with applicable concurrency~~
154 ~~provisions of s. 163.3180;~~ and

155 (e) Does not exceed 1,280 acres; however, if the property
156 is surrounded by existing or authorized residential development
157 that will result in a density at buildout of at least 1,000
158 residents per square mile, then the area shall be determined to
159 be urban and the parcel may not exceed 4,480 acres.

160 Section 4. This act shall take effect July 1, 2008.