### Florida Senate - 2008

CS for CS for SB 2246

**By** the Committees on General Government Appropriations; Agriculture; and Senators Baker and Bennett

601-07687-08

20082246c2

1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to land development regulation; amending
3	s. 163.3162, F.S.; providing for the use of certain lands
4	surrounding an agricultural enclave; creating a rebuttable
5	presumption for the imposition of certain development
6	conditions relating to agricultural enclaves; providing a
7	timeframe for submitting certain information relating to
8	proposed plan amendments; creating a rebuttable
9	presumption for denial of or failure to approve plan
10	amendments relating to agricultural enclaves; providing
11	concurrency standards for agricultural enclaves in
12	relation to previously approved development contiguous to
13	the enclave; amending s. 163.3245, F.S.; revising
14	provisions relating to optional sector plans; providing
15	applicability to certain pending applications; amending s.
16	163.3164, F.S.; revising the definition of "agricultural
17	enclave"; providing an effective date.
18	
19	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
20	
21	Section 1. Subsection (5) of section 163.3162, Florida
22	Statutes, is amended to read:
23	163.3162 Agricultural Lands and Practices Act
24	(5) AMENDMENT TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMPREHENSIVE PLANThe
25	owner of a parcel of land defined as an agricultural enclave
26	under s. 163.3164(33) may apply for an amendment to the local
27	government comprehensive plan pursuant to s. 163.3187. Such
28	amendment is presumed to be consistent with rule $9J-5.006(5)$ ,
29	Florida Administrative Code, and may include land uses <u>,</u>

## Page 1 of 6

20082246c2

30 densities, and intensities of use that are consistent with the 31 uses, densities, and intensities of use of the industrial, 32 commercial, or residential areas that surround the parcel. This 33 presumption may be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence. 34 Each application for a comprehensive plan amendment under this 35 subsection for a parcel larger than 640 acres must include 36 appropriate new urbanism concepts such as clustering, mixed-use 37 development, the creation of rural village and city centers, and 38 the transfer of development rights in order to discourage urban 39 sprawl while protecting landowner rights. Notwithstanding the provisions of a comprehensive plan, the local government may not 40 41 prohibit land uses, densities, and intensities of use that are 42 consistent with the uses, densities, and intensities of use of 43 the industrial, commercial, or residential areas that surround 44 the parcel. Densities and intensities of uses for an agricultural 45 enclave shall, at minimum, be calculated as the average density 46 or intensity of uses within 3 miles of the perimeter of the 47 parcel. If a local government imposes development conditions that 48 prevent the owner from achieving consistent densities and 49 intensities of use pursuant to this subsection, the owner may 50 apply to the circuit court for appropriate relief pursuant to s. 51 70.001 after presenting a claim to the local government as set 52 forth in s. 70.001(4)(a). The imposition of such conditions is 53 presumed to impose an inordinate burden. This presumption may be 54 rebutted by clear and convincing evidence.

(a) The local government and the owner of a parcel of land that is the subject of an application for an amendment shall have land days following the date that the local government receives a complete application to negotiate in good faith to reach

#### Page 2 of 6

#### 20082246c2

consensus on the land uses, densities, and intensities of use 59 60 that are consistent with the uses, densities, and intensities of use of the industrial, commercial, or residential areas that 61 62 surround the parcel. Within 30 days after the local government's 63 receipt of such an application, the local government and owner 64 must agree in writing to a schedule for information submittal, public hearings, negotiations, and final action on the amendment, 65 66 which schedule may thereafter be altered only with the written 67 consent of the local government and the owner. Compliance with 68 the schedule in the written agreement constitutes good faith 69 negotiations for purposes of paragraph (d) (c).

70 Upon conclusion of good faith negotiations under (b) 71 paragraph (a), regardless of whether the local government and 72 owner reach consensus on the land uses, densities, and 73 intensities of use that are consistent with the uses, densities, 74 and intensities of use of the industrial, commercial, or 75 residential areas that surround the parcel, the amendment must be 76 transmitted to the state land planning agency for review pursuant 77 to s. 163.3184. If the local government fails to transmit the 78 amendment within 180 days after receipt of a complete 79 application, the amendment must be immediately transferred to the 80 state land planning agency for such review at the first available 81 transmittal cycle. A plan amendment transmitted to the state land 82 planning agency submitted under this subsection is presumed to be 83 consistent with rule 9J-5.006(5), Florida Administrative Code. 84 This presumption may be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence. 85

86 (c) Notwithstanding the provisions of a comprehensive plan, 87 after review by the state land planning agency, the owner shall

#### Page 3 of 6

20082246c2

88 respond to any objections, recommendations, or comments issued by 89 the agency pursuant to s. 163.3184(6). If the department has not 90 issued any objections, recommendations, or comments, or if the 91 owner has responded to any objections, recommendations, or 92 comments and the local government denies or fails to approve the 93 amendment within the time period specified in s. 163.3184(7), 94 such denial or failure to approve the amendment is presumed to impose an inordinate burden, and the owner may apply to the 95 96 circuit court for appropriate relief pursuant to s. 70.001 after 97 presenting a claim to the local government as set forth in s. 70.001(4)(a). A plan amendment reviewed by the land planning 98 99 agency under this subsection is presumed to be consistent with 100 the provisions of rule 9J-5.006(5), Florida Administrative Code. 101 This presumption may be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence. 102

103 <u>(d) (c)</u> If the owner fails to negotiate in good faith, a 104 plan amendment submitted under this subsection is not entitled to 105 the rebuttable presumption under this subsection in the 106 negotiation and amendment process.

107 <u>(e) (d)</u> Nothing within this subsection relating to 108 agricultural enclaves shall preempt or replace any protection 109 currently existing for any property located within the boundaries 110 of the following areas:

111 (f) An agricultural enclave shall not be subjected to 112 higher concurrency standards than the concurrency standards 113 applied to previously approved development within 3 miles of the 114 perimeter of the enclave.

- 115 116
- 1. The Wekiva Study Area, as described in s. 369.316; or

2. The Everglades Protection Area, as defined in s.

#### Page 4 of 6

	601-07687-08 20082246c2
117	373.4592(2).
118	Section 2. Subsections (6) and (7) of section 163.3245,
119	Florida Statutes, are renumbered as subsections (7) and (8),
120	respectively, and a new subsection (6) is added to that section,
121	to read:
122	163.3245 Optional sector plans
123	(6) If an application for development approval or an
124	application for a comprehensive plan amendment pursuant to this
125	part has been filed and is pending prior to the effective date of
126	a sector plan, the application shall only be required to comply
127	with the provisions of a subsequently adopted sector plan upon
128	written consent of the applicant. This subsection applies to all
129	applications within a sector planning area pending before a local
130	government on or before December 31, 2007.
131	Section 3. Subsection (33) of section 163.3164, Florida
132	Statutes, is amended to read:
133	163.3164 Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land
134	Development Regulation Act; definitionsAs used in this act:
135	(33) "Agricultural enclave" means an unincorporated,
136	undeveloped parcel that:
137	(a) Is owned by a single person or entity;
138	(b) Has been in continuous use for bona fide agricultural
139	purposes, as defined by s. 193.461, for a period of 5 years prior
140	to the date of any comprehensive plan amendment application;
141	(c) Is surrounded on at least 75 percent of its perimeter
142	by:
143	1. Property that has existing industrial, commercial, or
144	residential development; or
145	2. Property that the local government has designated, in

# Page 5 of 6

20082246c2

the local government's comprehensive plan, zoning map, and future land use map, as land that is to be developed for industrial, commercial, or residential purposes, and at least 75 percent of such property is existing industrial, commercial, or residential development;

(d) Has public services, including water, wastewater, transportation, schools, and recreation facilities, available or such public services are scheduled in the capital improvement element to be provided by the local government or can be provided by an alternative provider of local government infrastructure in order to ensure consistency with applicable concurrency provisions of s. 163.3180; and

(e) Does not exceed 1,280 acres; however, if the property
is surrounded by existing or authorized residential development
that will result in a density at buildout of at least 1,000
residents per square mile, then the area shall be determined to
be urban and the parcel may not exceed 4,480 acres.

163

Section 4. This act shall take effect July 1, 2008.

Page 6 of 6