HB 33 2008

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to a presumption of correctness for ad valorem tax assessment; amending s. 194.301, F.S.; revising criteria for a presumption of correctness of a property appraiser's assessment of ad valorem tax value; requiring that such a presumption for an assessment for income-producing property is based on the income approach to value; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 194.301, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

194.301 Presumption of correctness.--

- $\underline{(1)}$ In any administrative or judicial action in which a taxpayer challenges an ad valorem tax assessment of value, the property appraiser's assessment shall be presumed correct. This presumption of correctness is lost if:
- (a) The taxpayer shows by a preponderance of the evidence that either the property appraiser has failed to consider properly the criteria in s. 193.011; or if
- (b) The property appraiser's assessment is arbitrarily based on appraisal practices which are different from the appraisal practices generally applied by the property appraiser to comparable property within the same class and within the same county; or
- (c) The property appraiser's assessment for all incomeproducing property, including commercial, industrial, and

Page 1 of 2

HB 33 2008

multifamily rentals, is not based on the income approach to value.

- (2) If the presumption of correctness is lost, the taxpayer shall have the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the appraiser's assessment is in excess of just value. If the presumption of correctness is retained, the taxpayer shall have the burden of proving by clear and convincing evidence that the appraiser's assessment is in excess of just value. In no case shall the taxpayer have the burden of proving that the property appraiser's assessment is not supported by any reasonable hypothesis of a legal assessment.
- (3) If the property appraiser's assessment is determined to be erroneous, the Value Adjustment Board or the court can establish the assessment if there exists competent, substantial evidence in the record, which cumulatively meets the requirements of s. 193.011. If the record lacks competent, substantial evidence meeting the just value criteria of s. 193.011, the matter shall be remanded to the property appraiser with appropriate directions from the Value Adjustment Board or the court.
 - Section 2. This act shall take effect January 1, 2009.