

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 1459

State Construction Management Contracting

SPONSOR(S): Crisafulli

TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 2666

	REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1)	Governmental Affairs Policy Committee	12 Y, 0 N	McDonald	Williamson
2)	Economic Development & Community Affairs Policy Council	14 Y, 0 N	McDonald	Tinker
3)	Government Operations Appropriations Committee		Dykes	Topp
4)	Full Appropriations Council on General Government & Health Care			
5)				

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

This bill creates a new section in Chapter 255, Florida Statutes. It provides that the Department of Management Services (DMS) may procure the services of a construction management entity pursuant to the process provided in s. 287.055, F.S.

Specifically, the bill:

- Provides definitions applicable to the newly created section.
- Authorizes DMS to select and contract with construction management entities, to include continuing contracts, when the total cost of the project does not exceed \$1 million.
- Provides that DMS may require a guaranteed maximum price and a guaranteed completion date.
- Provides that DMS may require a separate maximum price and guaranteed completion date for each grouping of substantially similar activities included within a project without repeating the competitive selection process throughout the project.
- Requires DMS to promulgate rules applicable to state agencies utilizing construction management contracts established by DMS.

This bill is effective July 1, 2009.

This bill appears to have no fiscal impact on state or local governments. See "Fiscal Comments."

## HOUSE PRINCIPLES

Members are encouraged to evaluate proposed legislation in light of the following guiding principles of the House of Representatives

- Balance the state budget.
- Create a legal and regulatory environment that fosters economic growth and job creation.
- Lower the tax burden on families and businesses.
- Reverse or restrain the growth of government.
- Promote public safety.
- Promote educational accountability, excellence, and choice.
- Foster respect for the family and for innocent human life.
- Protect Florida's natural beauty.

## FULL ANALYSIS

### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

##### Current Situation

The Department of Management Services (DMS) is the state agency responsible for managing construction projects in accordance with s. 255.31, F.S. As provided in s. 255.29, F.S., DMS competitively contracts for construction management services. In addition, pursuant to ss. 287.055(2)(g) and 287.055(4)(d), F.S., DMS already enters into continuing contracts<sup>1</sup> for professional services.<sup>2</sup>

Section 255.103, F.S., provides that local governments may engage construction management and program management entities in accordance with the process specified in s. 287.055, F.S. After having been selected and after competitive negotiations, the construction management entity may be required to offer a guaranteed maximum price and a guaranteed completion date. The local government may require the construction management entity to provide for a separate guaranteed maximum price and a separate guaranteed completion date for each grouping of substantially similar construction, rehabilitation, or renovation activities included within the project without requiring a new competitive procurement for each activity within the project.<sup>3</sup>

Prior to 2007, the Florida Statutes had been interpreted as providing similar authority to DMS. Upon closer analysis in 2007, it was determined that the Florida Statutes did not clearly provide DMS the same flexibility now afforded local governments through s. 255.103, F.S. Thus, the process was discontinued and DMS reported a 40 percent workload increase for its building construction workgroup, and delays of 4-6 months in the completion of new construction projects.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> A "continuing contract" is a contract for professional services entered into by an agency and a firm whereby the firm provides professional services to the agency for projects in which construction costs do not exceed \$1 million, for study activity when the fee for such professional service does not exceed \$50,000, or for work of a specified nature as outlined in the contract required by the agency, with no time limitation except that the contract must provide a termination clause. Firms providing professional services under continuing contracts shall not be required to bid against one another.

<sup>2</sup> "Professional services" means those services within the scope of the practice of architecture, professional engineering, landscape architecture, or registered surveying and mapping, as defined by the laws of the state, or those performed by any architect, professional engineer, landscape architect, or registered surveyor and mapper in connection with his or her professional employment or practice.

<sup>3</sup> A project may include a grouping of minor construction, rehabilitation, or renovation activities, or a grouping of substantially similar construction, rehabilitation, or renovation activities.

<sup>4</sup> House Bill 7035 analysis, March 18, 2008, p. 1.

## Effect of Proposed Changes

This bill creates s. 255.32, F.S., which authorizes DMS to select and contract with a construction management entity pursuant to the process provided in s. 287.055, F.S. This new section applies to projects in which construction costs do not exceed \$1 million. Under the bill, DMS is authorized to engage construction management entities previously selected through competitive solicitation, without the requirement to repeat the competitive selection process throughout the project. DMS may require the construction management entity, after having been selected for a construction project and after competitive negotiations, to offer a guaranteed maximum price and a guaranteed completion date. If a project solicited by DMS includes a grouping of construction, rehabilitation, or renovation activities or substantially similar construction, rehabilitation, or renovation activities costing up to \$1 million, DMS may require the construction management entity to provide for a separate guaranteed maximum price and separate guaranteed completion date for each grouping included in the project.

### B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

**Section 1.** Creates s. 255.32, F.S., thereby providing that DMS may procure the services of a construction management entity, to include continuing contracts, under certain circumstances.

**Section 2.** Provides an effective date of July 1, 2009.

## II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

### A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

#### 1. Revenues:

None.

#### 2. Expenditures:

None.

### B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

#### 1. Revenues:

None.

#### 2. Expenditures:

None.

### C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

The construction industry would experience state agencies aligning with their current business trends and conditions. This alignment will result in a less repetitive and complex process for private contractors to compete for state projects. Through the rule promulgation process, private construction management providers will not have to go through a repetitive qualifications process with each individual state agency that is appropriate fixed capital outlay funds. Private contractors will not have to go through a pre-qualification process for each individual project.<sup>5</sup>

### D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

According to DMS, costs would be reduced due to the efficient delivery of building-related services; outcome of state construction projects would improve through the selection of the best qualified providers to perform construction management services; and more projects could be handled at one

---

<sup>5</sup> Department of Management Services Analysis of House Bill 1459, March 10, 2009, p.4.

time. Additionally, DMS stated the "speed to market impact allows for improved economic buying power and investment into local economies."<sup>6</sup>

### III. COMMENTS

#### A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

##### 1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not applicable. The bill does not appear to require a county or municipality to spend funds or take an action requiring expenditures; reduce the authority that counties and municipalities had as of February 1, 1989, to raise revenues in the aggregate; or reduce the percentage of a state tax shared in the aggregate with counties and municipalities as of February 1, 1989.

##### 2. Other:

None.

#### B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

This bill requires DMS to promulgate rules applicable to state agencies for utilizing construction management contracts established by DMS.

#### C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

### IV. AMENDMENTS/COUNCIL OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

None.

---

<sup>6</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 3.