HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: CS/HM 1589 & HM 1365 Numeric Nutrient Criteria

SPONSOR(S): General Government Policy Council, Legg and Zapata

TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS:

| | REFERENCE | ACTION | ANALYST | STAFF DIRECTOR |
|----|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------|----------------|
| 1) | General Government Policy Council | 15 Y, 0 N, As CS | Kliner | Hamby |
| 2) | | | | |
| 3) | | | | |
| 4) | | | | |
| 5) | | | | |

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

The House Memorial urges the United States Congress to require the United States Environmental Protection Agency to subject its proposed numeric nutrient criteria rule to peer review by the Agency's Science Advisory Board and to review by a third party such as the Government Accountability Office or the Congressional Budget Office to assess the economic impact of the proposed rule on Florida.

The House Memorial does not amend, create, or repeal any provisions of the Florida Statutes.

The House Memorial has no fiscal impact on state or local government.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h1589a.GGPC.doc

DATE: 4/16/2010

HOUSE PRINCIPLES

Members are encouraged to evaluate proposed legislation in light of the following guiding principles of the House of Representatives

- Balance the state budget.
- Create a legal and regulatory environment that fosters economic growth and job creation.
- Lower the tax burden on families and businesses.
- Reverse or restrain the growth of government.
- Promote public safety.
- Promote educational accountability, excellence, and choice.
- Foster respect for the family and for innocent human life.
- Protect Florida's natural beauty.

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

4/16/2010

Current Situation

Pursuant to section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act (CWA), Florida's Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) must submit lists of surface waters that do not meet applicable water quality standards, and must establish total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) for these "impaired waters" on a prioritized schedule. A TMDL is the maximum amount of a given pollutant that a water body can absorb and still maintain its designated uses (e.g., drinking, fishing, swimming, shellfish harvesting). One water body may have several TMDLs, one for each pollutant that exceeds the water body's capacity to absorb it safely.

The state's impaired waters rule contains a table that catalogues over 100 substances, including subparts, with numerical thresholds for surface water classifications, including fresh and marine waters. For nutrients (phosphorus and nitrates), however, Florida currently uses a narrative standard rather than numerical threshold to guide the management and protection of its waters. This standard states that "in no case shall nutrient concentrations of a body of water be altered so as to cause an imbalance in natural populations of flora or fauna."

The DEP has relied on this narrative standard for many years because nutrients are unlike any other "pollutant" regulated by the CWA. Most water quality criteria are based on a toxicity threshold, evidenced by a dose-response relationship, where higher concentrations can be demonstrated to be harmful, and safe concentrations can be established at a level below which adverse responses are evident. In contrast, nutrients are present naturally in aquatic systems, and they are absolutely necessary for the proper functioning of biological communities. In addition, nutrients are sometimes moderated in their expression by many natural factors (e.g., water color, rate of flow, sunlight, shade, animal activity). The DEP's preferred approach is to develop cause/effect relationships between nutrients and valued ecological attributes, and to establish nutrient criteria that ensure that the designated uses of Florida's waters are maintained.

In 2001, the DEP began work developing numeric nutrient criteria. Since then, it has adopted 135 nutrient TMDLs with an additional 39 pending approval. The determination of a federal lawsuit may alter dramatically the ability of the DEP to regulate the state's surface waters and may undo all that the DEP has accomplished to date.

In August, 2008, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) was sued by five environmental groups (the Florida Wildlife Federation, Sierra Club, Conservancy of Southwest Florida, Environmental

STORAGE NAME: h1589a.GGPC.doc PAGE: 2

DATE:

Confederation of Southwest Florida, and St. Johns Riverkeeper), alleging failure on the part of the federal agency to comply with the CWA. These groups asserted that Florida was not meeting water quality standards for nutrients due to the DEP's narrative criteria. The DEP is not a party to the lawsuit, however, several groups representing utilities, local governments, and agriculture in the state intervened.

On January 14, 2009, the EPA placed the DEP on formal notice that numerical criteria for nutrients were necessary for compliance with the CWA. This notice triggered a deadline of one year for the EPA to develop numeric nutrient criteria for Florida's surface waters and 24 months to develop numeric criteria for coastal waters. In the ensuing eight months, DEP staff worked overtime to develop a numeric criteria that would appease the EPA. On August 19, 2009, the EPA entered into a consent decree to settle a lawsuit filed by the five environmental groups. The EPA committed to propose numeric nutrient standards for lakes and flowing waters in Florida by January 2010, and for Florida's estuarine and coastal waters by January 2011. EPA agreed to establish final standards by October 2010 for lakes and flowing waters and by October 2011 for estuarine and coastal waters.

On January 14, 2010, EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson signed a proposed rule called "Water Quality Standards for the State of Florida's Lakes and Flowing Waters." This rule was published in the Federal Register on January 26, 2010. The rule proposes "numeric water quality criteria" pertaining to nutrient concentrations to protect aquatic life in lakes and flowing waters, including canals, within the state of Florida. In addition, EPA is proposing regulations to help Florida develop "restoration standards" for impaired waters.

The rule is expected to affect everyone in the state, including industries discharging pollutants to lakes and flowing waters, publicly-owned water treatment facilities, entities responsible for managing stormwater runoff, and all non-point source contributors to nutrient pollution (e.g., agricultural production, managed landscapes, and urban areas).

Since these rules have only been proposed at this point, it is difficult to say exactly how the future dayto-day activities of Florida's residents, land and water resource managers, businesses, and utilities will be affected. In the case of wastewater disposal systems like sewage treatment plants and septic tanks, there is technology that may further reduce nutrients from these sources. For other sources of pollution, the answers are not as clear. A study commissioned by the Florida Water Environment Association Utility Council estimates that wastewater utilities in the state will spend between \$24 billion and \$51 billion in capital costs for additional wastewater treatment facilities and incur increases in annual operating costs between \$4 million and \$1 billion to comply with the proposed federal numeric nutrient criteria.

Effect of Proposed Changes

The House Memorial urges the United States Congress to require the United States EPA to subject its proposed numeric nutrient criteria rule to peer review by the Agency's Science Advisory Board and to review by a third party such as the Government Accountability Office or the Congressional Budget Office to assess the economic impact of the proposed rule on Florida.

The memorial specifies that subjecting the proposed rule to the Science Advisory Board will ensure that the numeric nutrient criteria developed for the state are necessary to protect applicable designated uses, based on sound scientific rationale, reflective of the range of natural variability associated with the state's waters, responsive to input from Florida's water quality experts, responsive to available public and stakeholder input, and sufficient to be integrated with the water quality management tools available to the state. In addition, subjecting the proposed rule to a review by a third party such as the Government Accountability Office or the Congressional Budget Office is vital in order to assess the economic impact of the proposed rule on Florida and adjoining states, particularly the impacts to local governments, small businesses, and Floridians living below the poverty level or on fixed incomes. Such a review must compare the proposed rule to the current state of the law in Florida and not assume only indirect impacts and that widespread variances to the rule will be granted, as the EPA assumed in its own economic analysis.

STORAGE NAME: h1589a.GGPC.doc PAGE: 3 4/16/2010

Copies of the memorial are to be provided to the President of the United States, to the President of the United States Senate, to the Speaker of the United States House of Representatives, and to each member of the Florida delegation to the United States Congress.

In support of the memorial, HM 1589 provides the following whereas clauses:

- WHEREAS, nutrients are essential for the biological health and productivity of Florida waters:
- WHEREAS, a delicate relationship exists between the level of nutrients in a waterbody and its health and productivity; and
- WHEREAS, increasing the level of nutrients in combination with site specific conditions can cause impairment to a water body; and
- WHEREAS, the establishment of numeric nutrient criteria in a manner that fails to take into account site specific factors may result in criteria that lack adequate scientific support and cause unintended environmental and economic consequences; and
- WHEREAS, the United States Environmental Protection Agency determined that the State of Florida's standards on acceptable phosphorus and nitrogen levels in its waters need federal intervention, even though Florida has one of the most advanced water quality standards programs in the nation; and
- WHEREAS, the Agency proposed numeric nutrient water quality criteria for the state's streams, canals, and lakes in January 2010 and intends to propose criteria for the state's coastal waters and estuaries in January 2011; and
- WHEREAS, the Agency's schedule for proposing and adopting statewide numeric nutrient criteria has forced the Agency to utilize a methodology that fails to fully take into account the unique characteristics of Florida's many thousands of rivers, streams, canals, and lakes; and
- WHEREAS, for nearly ten years, the Florida Legislature has allocated millions of dollars to Florida's Total Maximum Daily Loads Program to scientifically evaluate the quality of Florida's surface waters and promote the environmentally beneficial projects necessary to clean up pollution; and
- WHEREAS, the proposed criteria ignore the good work of, and may undermine, Florida's science-based Total Maximum Daily Loads program; and
- WHEREAS, the proposed criteria will cause severe negative repercussions with respect to alternative water supply programs, including the beneficial reuse of reclaimed water; and
- WHEREAS, a study commissioned by the Florida Water Environment Association Utility Council estimates that wastewater utilities in the state will spend between \$24 billion and \$51 billion in capital costs for additional wastewater treatment facilities and incur increases in annual operating costs between \$4 million and \$1 billion to comply with the proposed federal numeric nutrient criteria and such costs do not consider the economic implications to industrial and stormwater facilities and to agriculture which are likely comparable and additive; and
- WHEREAS, the members of the Florida Legislature value the health of our waterways but also recognize that the proposed regulatory changes without adequate and flexible implementation mechanisms will have severe economic consequences on agriculture, local governments, economically vital industries, small businesses, and Floridians living below the poverty level or on fixed incomes; and
- WHEREAS, believing that regulatory changes should be based on reliable, sound scientific data and analysis, the Legislature is concerned that the Agency's failure to account for the full range of natural conditions in Florida in developing nutrient criteria does not adequately address the unique characteristics of Florida's many thousands of rivers, streams, canals, and lakes.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Not applicable as a memorial does not have sections.

PAGE: 4 STORAGE NAME: h1589a.GGPC.doc 4/16/2010

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

| A. | FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT: |
|----|---|
| | 1. Revenues: None. |
| | 2. Expenditures: None. |
| В. | FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS: |
| | 1. Revenues: None. |
| | 2. Expenditures: None. |
| C. | DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR: None. |
| D. | FISCAL COMMENTS: None. |
| | III. COMMENTS |
| A. | CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES: |
| | Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision: Not applicable as memorial does not amend, create, or repeal any provisions of the Florida Statutes. |
| | 2. Other: None. |
| B. | RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY: None. |
| C. | DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS: None. |
| | IV. AMENDMENTS/COUNCIL OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES |

h1589a.GGPC.doc 4/16/2010 STORAGE NAME: **PAGE**: 5

DATE: