

The Florida Senate
BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Criminal Justice Committee

BILL: SB 1146

INTRODUCER: Senator Sachs

SUBJECT: Drug-related Overdoses

DATE: April 7, 2011

REVISED: _____

	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
1.	Cellon	Cannon	CJ	Fav/1 amendment
2.	Brown	Stovall	HR	Favorable
3.			JU	
4.			BC	
5.				
6.				

Please see Section VIII. for Additional Information:

- A. COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE..... Statement of Substantial Changes
 B. AMENDMENTS..... Technical amendments were recommended
 Amendments were recommended
 Significant amendments were recommended

I. Summary:

The bill creates s. 893.21, F.S., entitled the “911 Good Samaritan Act” and provides that:

- A person making a good faith effort to obtain or provide medical assistance for an individual experiencing a drug-related overdose may not be charged, prosecuted, or penalized for possession of a controlled substance if the evidence for possession was obtained as a result of the person’s seeking medical assistance.
- A person who experiences a drug-related overdose and is in need of medical assistance may not be charged, prosecuted, or penalized for possession of a controlled substance if the evidence for possession was obtained as a result of the overdose and the need for medical assistance.

The bill states that the above-described protection from prosecution for possession offenses may not be grounds for suppression of evidence in other criminal prosecutions. The bill also adds the following to the list of mitigating circumstances a judge may consider when departing from the lowest permissible sentence:

- The defendant was making a good faith effort to obtain or provide medical assistance for an individual experiencing a drug-related overdose.

This bill substantially amends section 921.0026, Florida Statutes.

The bill creates section 893.21, Florida Statutes.

II. Present Situation:

Florida law currently contains a number of provisions that provide immunity from civil liability to persons in specified instances. Florida law also contains various provisions that allow criminal defendants to have their sentences reduced or suspended in certain instances. A description of these provisions follows.

Florida “Good Samaritan” Laws

The Good Samaritan Act, found in s. 768.13, F.S., provides immunity from civil liability for those who render emergency care and treatment to individuals in need of assistance. The statute provides immunity for liability for civil damages to any person who:

- Gratuitously and in good faith renders emergency care or treatment either in direct response to emergency situations or at the scene of an emergency, without objection of the injured victim, if that person acts as an ordinary reasonable and prudent person would have acted under the same or similar circumstances.¹
- Participates in emergency response activities of a community emergency response team if that person acts prudently and within the scope of his or her training.²
- Gratuitously and in good faith renders emergency care or treatment to an injured animal at the scene of an emergency if that person acts as an ordinary reasonable and prudent person would have acted under the same or similar circumstances.³

Section 768.1325, F.S., provides that a person is immune from civil liability for any harm resulting from the use or attempted use of an automated external defibrillator device on a victim of a perceived medical emergency, without objection of the victim.

Section 768.1355, F.S., entitled the Florida Volunteer Protection Act, provides that any person who volunteers to perform any service for any nonprofit organization without compensation will incur no civil liability for any act or omission that results in personal injury or property damage if:

- The person was acting in good faith within the scope of any official duties performed under the volunteer service and the person was acting as an ordinary reasonable and prudent person would have acted under the same or similar circumstances; and
- The injury or damage was not caused by any wanton or willful misconduct on the part of the person in the performance of the duties.

¹ Section 768.13(2)(a), F.S.

² Section 768.13(2)(d), F.S.

³ Section 768.13(3), F.S.

Reduction or Suspension of Criminal Sentence

Section 921.186, F.S., allows the state attorney to move the sentencing court to reduce or suspend the sentence of persons convicted of a felony who provide substantial assistance in the identification, arrest, or conviction of any accomplice, accessory, coconspirator, or principal of the defendant; or any other person engaged in felonious criminal activity.

Mitigating Circumstances

The Criminal Punishment Code applies to sentencing for felony offenses committed on or after October 1, 1998. Criminal offenses are ranked in the “offense severity ranking chart”⁴ from level one (least severe) to level ten (most severe) and are assigned points based on the severity of the offense as determined by the Legislature. If an offense is not listed in the ranking chart, it defaults to a ranking based on the degree of the felony.⁵

The points are added in order to determine the “lowest permissible sentence” for the offense. A judge cannot impose a sentence below the lowest permissible sentence unless the judge makes written findings that there are “circumstances or factors that reasonably justify the downward departure.”⁶ Mitigating circumstances under which a departure from the lowest permissible sentence is reasonably justified include:

- The defendant was an accomplice to the offense and was a relatively minor participant in the criminal conduct.
- The defendant acted under extreme duress or under the domination of another person.
- The defendant cooperated with the state to resolve the current offense or any other offense.⁷

Currently, there are no mitigating circumstances related to defendants who make a good faith effort to obtain or provide medical assistance for an individual experiencing a drug-related overdose.

Possession of a Controlled Substance

Section 893.02, F.S., states possession of a controlled substance⁸ includes “temporary possession for the purpose of verification or testing, irrespective of dominion or control.”

Actual or constructive possession of certain controlled substances, unless such controlled substance was lawfully obtained from a practitioner or pursuant to a valid prescription or order of a practitioner while acting in the course of his or her professional practice, is a third degree felony punishable⁹ by up to 5 years in prison and a fine up to \$5,000.¹⁰

⁴ Section 921.0022, F.S.

⁵ Section 921.0024, F.S., provides that a defendant’s sentence is calculated based on points assigned for factors including: the offense for which the defendant is being sentenced; injury to the victim; additional offenses that the defendant committed at the time of the primary offense; and the defendant’s prior record and other aggravating factors.

⁶ Section 921.0026, F.S.

⁷ *Id.*

⁸ Section 893.02(4), F.S., defines controlled substance as “any substance named or described in Schedules I-V of s. 893.03, F.S.”

⁹ As provided in ss. 775.082, 775.083, or 775.084, F.S.

¹⁰ Section 893.13(6)(a), F.S.

Possession of less than 20 grams of cannabis¹¹ is a first degree misdemeanor punishable¹² by up to 1 year in prison and a fine up to \$1,000.¹³

Possession of more than 10 grams of any substance named or described in s. 893.03(1)(a) or (1)(b), F.S., or any combination thereof, or any mixture containing any such substance is a first degree felony punishable¹⁴ by up to 30 years in prison and a fine up to \$10,000.¹⁵

Paragraphs (1)(a)-(l) of s. 893.135, F.S., prohibit the actual or constructive possession of various quantities of controlled substances that appear in s. 893.03, F.S., and are commonly referred to as “scheduled” drugs. The scheduled drugs are listed in Schedules I-V according to the potential for abuse or addiction, currently accepted medical use in treatment in the United States, and relative degree of danger to the user. Possession violations of s. 893.135(1)(a)-(l), F.S., are drug trafficking offenses that carry minimum mandatory prison sentences that increase in severity as the amount or weight of the drug possessed increases, including capital crimes if deaths result from the manufacture or importation of the drug.¹⁶

911 Good Samaritan Laws in Other States

In New Mexico, the 911 Good Samaritan Act prevents the prosecution for drug possession based on evidence “gained as a result of the seeking of medical assistance” to treat a drug overdose.¹⁷ This law, which took effect in June 2007, was the first of its kind in the country.¹⁸

While many states have considered similar Good Samaritan immunity legislation, Washington is the only other state to have passed such a law.¹⁹

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 provides that this act may be cited as the “911 Good Samaritan Act.”

Section 2 creates s. 893.21, F.S., to provide that a person who in good faith seeks medical assistance for an individual experiencing a drug-related overdose may not be charged, prosecuted, or penalized for possession of a controlled substance if the evidence for possession was obtained as a result of the person’s seeking medical assistance.

The bill provides that a person who experiences a drug-related overdose and is in need of medical assistance may not be charged, prosecuted, or penalized for possession of a controlled

¹¹ For the purposes of s. 893.13(6)(b), F.S., cannabis is defined as all parts of any plant of the genus Cannabis, whether growing or not, and the seeds thereof.

¹² As provided in ss. 775.082 or 775.083 F.S.

¹³ Section 893.13(6)(b), F.S.

¹⁴ As provided in ss. 775.082, 775.083, or 775.084, F.S.

¹⁵ Section 893.13(6)(c), F.S.

¹⁶ Sections 893.03 and 893.135(1), F.S.

¹⁷ “Preventing Overdose, Saving Lives.” Drug Policy Alliance. March 2009.

<http://www.drugpolicy.org/library/overdose2009.cfm> (Last accessed March 12, 2011.)

¹⁸ *Id.*

¹⁹ SB 5516 entitled “Drug Overdose Prevention.” Effective June 2010.

substance if the evidence for possession was obtained as a result of the overdose and the need for medical assistance.

The bill states that the above-described protection from prosecution for possession offenses may not be grounds for suppression of evidence in other criminal prosecutions.

Section 3 amends s. 921.0026, F.S., to add the following to the list of mitigating circumstances a judge may consider when departing from the lowest permissible sentence: “The defendant was making a good faith effort to obtain or provide medical assistance for an individual experiencing a drug-related overdose.”

Section 4 provides an effective date for the bill of July 1, 2011.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

The provisions of this bill have no impact on municipalities and the counties under the requirements of Article VII, Section 18 of the Florida Constitution.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

The provisions of the bill have no impact on public records or open meetings issues under the requirements of Article I, Section 24(a) and (b) of the Florida Constitution.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

The provisions of this bill have no impact on the trust fund restrictions under the requirements of Article III, Subsection 19(f) of the Florida Constitution.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

On March 2, 2011, the Criminal Justice Impact Conference (CJIC) met and determined that this bill would have no impact on the Department of Corrections.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

It is generally preferable that bills relating to criminal laws have an October 1 effective date, which provides more time for judges, officials, and practitioners in the field to prepare for the effect of the new law. For example, upon enactment, the Criminal Code score sheets must be revised and redistributed, oftentimes jury instructions must be written, proposed and adopted by the Supreme Court, and the law enforcement community must become familiar with the change in the law.

VIII. Additional Information:

- A. **Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:**
(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

- B. **Amendments:**

Barcode 789392 by Criminal Justice on March 28, 2011:
Changes the effective date to October 1, 2011.