

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: CS/HB 913 Public Records/Records Held by Public Airports

SPONSOR(S): Horner and others

TIED BILLS: **IDEN./SIM. BILLS:** SB 994

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Government Operations Subcommittee	10 Y, 0 N, As CS	Williamson	Williamson
2) Economic Affairs Committee	10 Y, 0 N	Rojas	Tinker
3) State Affairs Committee			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Current law provides several public record exemptions for proprietary confidential business information. However, it does not provide a public record exemption for proprietary confidential business information held by a public airport.

The bill creates a public record exemption for proprietary confidential business information held by a public airport. The exemption expires when the confidential and exempt information is otherwise publicly available or is no longer treated by the proprietor as proprietary confidential business information.

The bill also creates a public record exemption for trade secrets held by a public airport.

The bill creates a public record exemption for a proposal or counterproposal exchanged between a public airport and a nongovernmental entity relating to the sale, use, development, or lease of airport facilities. The public record exemption expires upon approval by the governing body of a public airport. If a proposal or counterproposal is not submitted to the governing body for approval, then the public record exemption for the proposal or counterproposal expires 90 days after the cessation of negotiations between the public airport and the nongovernmental entity.

The bill provides for repeal of the exemptions on October 2, 2016, unless reviewed and saved from repeal by the Legislature. It also provides a statement of public necessity as required by the State Constitution.

Finally, the bill provides definitions for the terms airport facilities, governing body, proprietor, proprietary confidential business information, public airport, and trade secrets.

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution, requires a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting for final passage of a newly created public record or public meeting exemption. The bill creates new public record exemptions; thus, it requires a two-thirds vote for final passage.

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Background

Public Records Law

Article I, s. 24(a) of the State Constitution sets forth the state's public policy regarding access to government records. The section guarantees every person a right to inspect or copy any public record of the legislative, executive, and judicial branches of government. The Legislature, however, may provide by general law for the exemption of records from the requirements of Article I, s. 24(a) of the State Constitution. The general law must state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption (public necessity statement) and must be no broader than necessary to accomplish its purpose.¹

Public policy regarding access to government records is addressed further in the Florida Statutes. Section 119.07(1), F.S., guarantees every person a right to inspect and copy any state, county, or municipal record. Furthermore, the Open Government Sunset Review Act² provides that a public record or public meeting exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose. In addition, it may be no broader than is necessary to meet one of the following purposes:

- Allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, which administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption.
- Protects sensitive personal information that, if released, would be defamatory or would jeopardize an individual's safety; however, only the identity of an individual may be exempted under this provision.
- Protects trade or business secrets.

Proprietary Confidential Business Information

Current law provides several public record exemptions for proprietary confidential business information.³ However, it does not provide a public record exemption for proprietary confidential business information held by a public airport.

Effect of Bill

The bill creates a public record exemption for proprietary confidential business information held by a public airport. The exemption expires when the confidential and exempt⁴ information is otherwise publicly available or is no longer treated by the proprietor as proprietary confidential business information.

¹ Section 24(c), Art. I of the State Constitution.

² Section 119.15, F.S.

³ Public record exemptions for proprietary confidential business information are provided as it relates to the following: electric utility interlocal agreements (s. 163.01, F.S.); communications services tax (s. 202.195, F.S.); alternative investments for state funds (s. 215.44, F.S.); economic development agencies (s. 288.075, F.S.); Institute for Commercialization of Public Research and the Opportunity Fund (s. 288.9626, F.S.); telephone companies (s. 364.183, F.S.); emergency communications number E911 system (s. 365.174, F.S.); public utilities (s. 366.093, F.S.); natural gas transmission companies (s. 368.108, F.S.); Sunshine State One-Call of Florida, Inc. (s. 556.113, F.S.); tobacco companies (s. 569.215, F.S.); prison work program corporation records (s. 946.517, F.S.); and H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center and Research Institute (s. 1004.43, F.S.).

⁴ There is a difference between records the Legislature designates as exempt from public record requirements and those the Legislature deems confidential and exempt. A record classified as exempt from public disclosure may be disclosed under certain circumstances. (*See WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole*, 874 So.2d 48, 53 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004), review denied 892 So.2d 1015 (Fla. 2004); *City of Riviera Beach v. Barfield*, 642 So.2d 1135 (Fla. 4th DCA 1994); *Williams v. City of Minneola*, 575 So.2d 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991). If the Legislature designates a record as confidential and exempt from public disclosure, such record may not be released, by the custodian of public records, to anyone other than the persons or entities specifically designated in the statutory exemption. (*See Attorney General Opinion 85-62*, August 1, 1985).

The bill defines proprietary confidential business information to mean information that is owned or controlled by the proprietor requesting confidentiality; that is intended to be and is treated by the proprietor as private in that the disclosure of the information would cause harm to the business operations of the proprietor; that has not been disclosed unless disclosed pursuant to a statutory provision, an order of a court or administrative body, or a private agreement providing that the information may be released to the public; and that is information concerning:

- Business plans.
- Internal auditing controls and reports of internal auditors.
- Reports of external auditors for privately held companies.
- Client and customer lists.
- Potentially patentable material.
- Business transactions; however, business transaction do not include those transactions between a proprietor and a public airport.
- Financial information of the proprietor.

The bill also creates a public record exemption for trade secrets⁵ held by a public airport.

The bill creates a public record exemption for a proposal or counterproposal exchanged between a public airport and a nongovernmental entity relating to the sale, use, development, or lease of airport facilities. The public record exemption expires upon approval by the governing body of a public airport. If a proposal or counterproposal is not submitted to the governing body for approval, then the public record exemption for the proposal or counterproposal expires 90 days after the cessation of negotiations between the public airport and the nongovernmental entity.

The bill provides for repeal of the exemptions on October 2, 2016, unless reviewed and saved from repeal by the Legislature. It also provides a statement of public necessity as required by the State Constitution.⁶

Finally, the bill provides definitions for the terms airport facilities, governing body, proprietor, and public airport.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 creates s. 332.16, F.S., to create public record exemptions for public airports.

Section 2 provides a public necessity statement.

Section 3 provides an effective date of July 1, 2011.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

⁵ Trade secret has the same meaning as provided in the Uniform Trade Secrets Act.

⁶ Section 24(c), Art. I of the State Constitution.

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not applicable. This bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds. This bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities. This bill does not reduce the authority that municipalities have to raise revenue.

2. Other:

Vote Requirement

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution, requires a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting for final passage of a newly created public record or public meeting exemption. The bill creates new public record exemptions; thus, it requires a two-thirds vote for final passage.

Public Necessity Statement

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution, requires a public necessity statement for a newly created or expanded public record or public meeting exemption. The bill creates new public record exemptions; thus, it includes a public necessity statement.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

On March 23, 2011, the Government Operations Subcommittee adopted a strike-all amendment and reported the bill favorably with committee substitute. The committee substitute:

- Revises the definition of proprietary confidential business information to clarify that the definition does not include business transactions between the proprietor and the public airport. Further, the revision clarifies that the definition applies only to the financial information of the proprietor.
- Provides that a proposal or counterproposal is made available to the public upon approval by the governing body of the public airport. The bill delayed access for 10 days after approval.
- Corrects drafting errors.