

**The Florida Senate**  
**BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT**

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

---

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Governmental Oversight and Accountability Committee

---

BILL: CS/SB 1862

INTRODUCER: Governmental Oversight and Accountability Committee and Senator Negron

SUBJECT: Public Records/Donor Identifying Information/Division of Insurance Fraud

DATE: February 14, 2012      REVISED: \_\_\_\_\_

	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
1.	<u>Matiyow</u>	<u>Burgess</u>	<u>BI</u>	<b>Favorable</b>
2.	<u>Seay</u>	<u>Roberts</u>	<u>GO</u>	<b>Fav/CS</b>
3.	_____	_____	_____	_____
4.	_____	_____	_____	_____
5.	_____	_____	_____	_____
6.	_____	_____	_____	_____

**Please see Section VIII. for Additional Information:**

- |                              |                                     |   |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| A. COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE..... | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Statement of Substantial Changes        |
| B. AMENDMENTS.....           | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Technical amendments were recommended   |
|                              | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Amendments were recommended             |
|                              | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Significant amendments were recommended |

**I. Summary:**

This bill creates a public records exemption for the identity of a donor or prospective donor, who desires to remain anonymous, to the motor vehicle insurance fraud direct-support organization of the Division of Insurance Fraud. The bill provides for future review and repeal pursuant to the Open Government Sunset Review Act. As this bill creates a new public records exemption, it contains a public necessity statement as required by the State Constitution.

This bill substantially amends section 626.9895 of the Florida Statutes.

**II. Present Situation:**

**Public Records Law**

The State of Florida has a long history of providing public access to governmental records. The Florida Legislature enacted the first public records law in 1892.<sup>1</sup> One hundred years later, Floridians adopted an amendment to the State Constitution that raised the statutory right of

---

<sup>1</sup> Section 1390, 1391 F.S. (Rev. 1892).

access to public records to a constitutional level.<sup>2</sup> Article I, s. 24 of the State Constitution, provides that:

Every person has the right to inspect or copy any public record made or received in connection with the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, or persons acting on their behalf, except with respect to records exempted pursuant to this section or specifically made confidential by this Constitution. This section specifically includes the legislative, executive, and judicial branches of government and each agency or department created thereunder; counties, municipalities, and districts; and each constitutional officer, board, and commission, or entity created pursuant to law or this Constitution.

In addition to the State Constitution, the Public Records Act,<sup>3</sup> which pre-dates public records provision of the State Constitution, specifies conditions under which public access must be provided to records of an agency.<sup>4</sup> Section 119.07(1)(a), F.S., states:

(a) Every person who has custody of a public record shall permit the record to be inspected and examined by any person desiring to do so, at any reasonable time, under reasonable conditions, and under supervision by the custodian of the public record.

Unless specifically exempted, all agency records are available for public inspection. The term “public record” is broadly defined to mean:

. . . all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency.<sup>5</sup>

The Florida Supreme Court has interpreted this definition to encompass all materials made or received by an agency in connection with official business which are used to perpetuate, communicate, or formalize knowledge.<sup>6</sup> All such materials, regardless of whether they are in final form, are open for public inspection unless made exempt.<sup>7</sup>

Only the Legislature is authorized to create exemptions to open government requirements.<sup>8</sup> Exemptions must be created by general law and such law must specifically state the public

---

<sup>2</sup> Article I, s. 24 of the State Constitution.

<sup>3</sup> Chapter 119, F.S.

<sup>4</sup> The word “agency” is defined in s. 119.011(2), F.S., to mean “. . . any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency.” The Florida Constitution also establishes a right of access to any public record made or received in connection with the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, or persons acting on their behalf, except those records exempted by law or the state constitution.

<sup>5</sup> Section 119.011(11), F.S.

<sup>6</sup> *Shevin v. Byron, Harless, Schaffer, Reid and Associates, Inc.*, 379 So.2d 633, 640 (Fla. 1980).

<sup>7</sup> *Wait v. Florida Power & Light Company*, 372 So.2d 420 (Fla. 1979).

<sup>8</sup> Article I, s. 24(c), Fla. Constitution.

necessity justifying the exemption. Further, the exemption must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law.<sup>9</sup> A bill enacting an exemption<sup>10</sup> may not contain other substantive provisions, although it may contain multiple exemptions that relate to one subject.<sup>11</sup>

There is a difference between records that the Legislature has made exempt from public inspection and those that are *confidential* and exempt. If the Legislature makes a record confidential and exempt, such information may not be released by an agency to anyone other than to the persons or entities designated in the statute.<sup>12</sup> If a record is simply made exempt from disclosure requirements then an agency is not prohibited from disclosing the record in all circumstances.<sup>13</sup>

### **Open Government Sunset Review Act**

The Open Government Sunset Review Act (Act)<sup>14</sup> provides for the systematic review, through a 5-year cycle ending October 2 of the 5th year following enactment, of an exemption from the Public Records Act or the Public Meetings Law. Each year, by June 1, the Division of Statutory Revision of the Office of Legislative Services is required to certify to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives the language and statutory citation of each exemption scheduled for repeal the following year.<sup>15</sup>

The Act states that an exemption may be created or expanded only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and if the exemption is no broader than necessary to meet the public purpose it serves. An identifiable public purpose is served if the exemption meets one of three specified criteria and if the Legislature finds that the purpose is sufficiently compelling to override the strong public policy of open government and cannot be accomplished without the exemption. An exemption meets the three statutory criteria if it:

- Allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, whose administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption;
- Protects information of a sensitive, personal nature concerning individuals, the release of which would be defamatory or cause unwarranted damage to the good name or reputation of such individuals, or would jeopardize their safety; or
- Protects information of a confidential nature concerning entities, including, but not limited to, a formula, pattern, device, combination of devices, or compilation of information that is used to protect or further a business advantage over those who do not know or use it, the disclosure of which would injure the affected entity in the marketplace.<sup>16</sup>

---

<sup>9</sup> *Memorial Hospital-West Volusia v. News-Journal Corporation*, 729 So. 2d 373, 380 (Fla. 1999); *Halifax Hospital Medical Center v. News-Journal Corporation*, 724 So.2d 567 (Fla. 1999).

<sup>10</sup> Under s. 119.15, F.S., an existing exemption may be considered a new exemption if the exemption is expanded to cover additional records.

<sup>11</sup> Art. I, s. 24(c), Fla. Constitution.

<sup>12</sup> Attorney General Opinion 85-62.

<sup>13</sup> *Williams v. City of Minneola*, 575 So.2d 683, 687 (Fla. 5<sup>th</sup> DCA), review denied, 589 So.2d 289 (Fla. 1991).

<sup>14</sup> Section 119.15, F.S.

<sup>15</sup> Section 119.15(5)(a), F.S.

<sup>16</sup> Section 119.15(4)(b), F.S.

The Act also requires consideration of the following:

- What specific records or meetings are affected by the exemption?
- Whom does the exemption uniquely affect, as opposed to the general public?
- What is the identifiable public purpose or goal of the exemption?
- Can the information contained in the records or discussed in the meeting be readily obtained by alternative means? If so, how?
- Is the record or meeting protected by another exemption?
- Are there multiple exemptions for the same type of record or meeting that it would be appropriate to merge?

**Examples of Existing Exemptions for Donors or Prospective Donors**

<i>Entity</i>	<i>Exemption</i>	<i>Florida Statute</i>	<i>Status</i>
Enterprise Florida, Inc.	Identity of donor or prospective donor who desires to remain anonymous and all identifying information	s. 11.45(3)(i)	Confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), F.S., and s. 24(a), Art. I, State Constitution.
Florida Development Finance Corporation, Inc.	Identity of donor or prospective donor who desires to remain anonymous and all identifying information	s. 11.45(3)(j)	Confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), F.S., and s. 24(a), Art. I, State Constitution.
Cultural Endowment Program (Department of State)	Information which, if released, would identify donors and amounts contributed. Information which, if released, would identify prospective donors.	s. 265.605(2)	Confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), F.S.
Direct Support Organization (University of West Florida)	Identity of donor or prospective donor of property to a DSO who desires to remain anonymous, and all identifying information.	s. 267.1732(8)	Confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), F.S., and s. 24(a), Art. I, State Constitution.
Citizen Support Organization (Fish and Wildlife Commission)	Identity of donor or prospective donor to a CSO who desires to remain anonymous and all identifying information.	s. 379.223(3)	Confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), F.S., and s. 24(a), Art. I, State Constitution.
Florida Agricultural Museum (Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services)	Identity of donor or prospective donor who desires to remain anonymous and all identifying information.	s. 570.903(6)	Confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), F.S., and s. 24(a), Art. I, State Constitution.

<i>Entity</i>	<i>Exemption</i>	<i>Florida Statute</i>	<i>Status</i>
John and Mable Ringling Museum of Art Direct Support Organization (Florida State University)	Information that, if released, would identify donors who wish to remain anonymous or prospective donors who wish to remain anonymous when the DSO has identified the prospective donor and has not obtained the name in another manner.	s. 1004.45(2)(h)	Confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), F.S.
Florida Prepaid College Board Direct Support Organization	Identity of donors who wish to remain anonymous. Any sensitive, personal information regarding contract beneficiaries, including identity.	s. 1009.983(4)	Confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I, State Constitution.

**Direct-Support Organizations**

*In General*

Florida law provides for the establishment of direct-support organizations (“DSO” or “DSOs”) as a means to assist state agencies in accomplishing their missions. DSOs are established as Florida corporations not for profit which are incorporated under ch. 617, F.S., and approved by the Department of State. Section 617.01401(5), F.S., defines the term “corporation not for profit” as “a corporation no part of the income or profit of which is distributable to its members, directors, or officers.”

DSOs perform a variety of services for state agencies, including:

- Raising money;
- Submitting requests for, and receiving grants from, the federal government, the state, or its political subdivisions;
- Receiving, holding, investing, and administering property;
- Assisting an agency in performing its mission; and,
- Making expenditures for the benefit of the supported agency.<sup>17</sup>

DSOs have been established in Florida to support a wide array of services and agencies, including: child abuse prevention and adoption; tourism; amateur athletics and professional sports; public guardianship; victims of crime; universities, community colleges, and school districts; the Florida National Guard; the Departments of Corrections, Juvenile Justice, Agriculture and Consumer Services, and Veterans’ Affairs; and, the Florida Prepaid College Board.<sup>18</sup>

Florida law generally requires DSOs to:

<sup>17</sup> Sections 39.0011, 250.115, 267.1732, 267.1736, 288.1226, 288.1229, 292.055, 570.903, 744.7082, 944.802, 960.002, 985.672, 1001.453, 1004.28, 1004.70, and 1009.983, F.S.

<sup>18</sup> *Id.*

- Operate under written contract with the supported agency;
- Be governed by a board of directors; and,
- Operate for the benefit of, and in a manner consistent with, the goals of the agency and in the best interest of the state.

### **Motor Vehicle Insurance Fraud Direct Support Organization**

Pending legislation in the 2012 Legislative Session<sup>19</sup> creates the motor vehicle insurance fraud DSO within the Department of Financial Services' Division of Insurance Fraud. The DSO's sole purpose is to support the prosecution, investigation, and prevention of motor vehicle insurance fraud. The DSO is to be set up as a not-for-profit corporation in accordance with Florida law and will be allowed to raise funds by requesting and receiving grants, gifts, and bequests of money.

### **III. Effect of Proposed Changes:**

**Section 1** amends s. 626.9895, F.S., providing that the identity of a donor or prospective donor to the motor vehicle insurance fraud DSO who desires to remain anonymous is confidential and exempt from public records requirements, providing that anonymity will be maintained in an annual financial audit; providing future review and repeal pursuant to the Open Government Sunset Review Act.

**Section 2** provides a public necessity statement as required by the State Constitution.

**Section 3** contains a contingent effective date.

### **IV. Constitutional Issues:**

#### **A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:**

None.

#### **B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:**

##### **Vote Requirement**

Section 24(c), art. I of the State Constitution requires a two-thirds vote of each house of the Legislature for passage of a newly-created or expanded public records or public meetings exemption. Because this bill creates a new public records exemption, it requires a two-thirds vote for passage.

##### **Public Necessity Statement**

Section 24(c), art. I of the State Constitution requires a public necessity statement for a newly created or expanded public records or public meetings exemption. Because this bill creates a new public records exemption, it includes a public necessity statement.

---

<sup>19</sup> Senate Bill 1860, 2012 Legislative Session.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. **Fiscal Impact Statement:**

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

Donors or prospective donors to the motor vehicle insurance fraud DSO would have the option of requesting anonymity, which may encourage private entities to donate.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The exemption may encourage donations and may result in a financial gain to the motor vehicle insurance fraud DSO.

VI. **Technical Deficiencies:**

None.

VII. **Related Issues:**

None.

VIII. **Additional Information:**

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

**CS by Governmental Oversight and Accountability – February 16, 2012:**

The CS corrects technical deficiencies in the original bill to include references to the linked substantive bill, CS for SB 1860.

B. Amendments:

None.