

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

**BILL #:** HB 811 Pub. Rec./Dental Workforce Surveys

**SPONSOR(S):** Harrell

**TIED BILLS:** **IDEN./SIM. BILLS:** SB 584

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Government Operations Subcommittee	14 Y, 0 N	Thompson	Williamson
2) Health & Human Services Quality Subcommittee			
3) State Affairs Committee			

### SUMMARY ANALYSIS

The bill creates a public record exemption for all personal identifying information contained in records provided by dentists or dental hygienists in response to dental workforce surveys and held by the Department of Health. Such information must be disclosed:

- With the express written consent of the individual to whom the information pertains or to the individual's legally authorized representative.
- By court order upon a showing of good cause.
- To a research entity, provided certain requirements are met.

The bill provides for repeal of the exemption on October 2, 2017, unless reviewed and saved from repeal by the Legislature. It also provides a statement of public necessity as required by the State Constitution, and provides an effective date of upon becoming a law.

**Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution, requires a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting for final passage of a newly created public record or public meeting exemption. The bill creates a new public record exemption; thus, it requires a two-thirds vote for final passage.**

# FULL ANALYSIS

## I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

### A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

#### **Background**

##### Public Records Law

Article I, s. 24(a) of the State Constitution sets forth the state's public policy regarding access to government records. The section guarantees every person a right to inspect or copy any public record of the legislative, executive, and judicial branches of government. The Legislature, however, may provide by general law for the exemption of records from the requirements of Article I, s. 24(a) of the State Constitution. The general law must state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption (public necessity statement) and must be no broader than necessary to accomplish its purpose.<sup>1</sup>

Public policy regarding access to government records is addressed further in the Florida Statutes. Section 119.07(1), F.S., guarantees every person a right to inspect and copy any state, county, or municipal record. Furthermore, the Open Government Sunset Review Act<sup>2</sup> provides that a public record or public meeting exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose. In addition, it may be no broader than is necessary to meet one of the following purposes:

- Allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, which administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption.
- Protects sensitive personal information that, if released, would be defamatory or would jeopardize an individual's safety; however, only the identity of an individual may be exempted under this provision.
- Protects trade or business secrets.

##### Workforce Surveys

Current law does not provide for a dental workforce survey as part of the licensure renewal process for dentists and dental hygienists. In 2009, however, the Department of Health (DOH) developed a workforce survey for dentists and dental hygienists to complete on a voluntary basis in conjunction with the biennial renewal of dental licenses.<sup>3</sup>

Responses to the survey are self-reported. The survey was designed to obtain information unavailable elsewhere on key workforce characteristics in order to better inform and shape public healthcare policy. Of the 11,272 dentists who renewed an active license by June 23, 2010, 89 percent responded to the voluntary survey.<sup>4</sup>

#### **Effect of Bill**

The bill provides that all personal identifying information contained in records provided by dentists or dental hygienists licensed under chapter 466, F.S., in response to dental workforce surveys and held by DOH is confidential and exempt<sup>5</sup> from public records requirements. Such information must be disclosed:

---

<sup>1</sup> Section 24(c), Art. I of the State Constitution.

<sup>2</sup> Section 119.15, F.S.

<sup>3</sup> Section 466.013(2), F.S., authorizes DOH to adopt rules for the biennial renewal of licenses.

<sup>4</sup> Report on the 2009-2010 Workforce Survey of Dentists Florida Department of Health, March 2011, at 11, [http://www.doh.state.fl.us/Family/dental/OralHealthcareWorkforce/2009\\_2010\\_Workforce\\_Survey\\_Dentists\\_Report.pdf](http://www.doh.state.fl.us/Family/dental/OralHealthcareWorkforce/2009_2010_Workforce_Survey_Dentists_Report.pdf) (last visited December 22, 2011).

<sup>5</sup> There is a difference between records the Legislature designates as exempt from public record requirements and those the Legislature deems confidential and exempt. A record classified as exempt from public disclosure may be disclosed under certain circumstances. (See *WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole*, 874 So.2d 48, 53 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004), review denied 892 So.2d 1015 (Fla. 2004); *City of Riviera Beach v. Barfield*, 642 So.2d 1135 (Fla. 4th DCA 1994); *Williams v. City of Minneola*, 575 So.2d 687 (Fla. 5th DCA

- With the express written consent of the individual to whom the information pertains or to the individual's legally authorized representative.
- By court order upon a showing of good cause.

In addition, such information must be disclosed to a research entity, if the entity seeks the record or data pursuant to a research protocol approved by DOH. The research entity must maintain the records or data in accordance with the approved research protocol, and enter into a purchase and data-use agreement with DOH. The agreement must restrict the release of information that would identify individuals, limit the use of records or data to the approved research protocol, and prohibit any other use of the records or data. Copies of records or data remain the property of DOH.

DOH is authorized to deny a research entity's request if the protocol provides for intrusive follow-back contacts, does not plan for the destruction of confidential records after the research is concluded, is administratively burdensome, or does not have scientific merit.

The bill provides for repeal of the exemption on October 2, 2017, unless reviewed and saved from repeal by the Legislature. It also provides a statement of public necessity as required by the State Constitution.<sup>6</sup>

#### B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 creates an unnumbered section of law that creates a public record exemption for personal identifying information of dentists or dental hygienists contained in a response to a dental workforce survey.

Section 2 provides a public necessity statement.

Section 3 provides an effective date of upon becoming a law.

## II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

#### A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

##### 1. Revenues:

None.

##### 2. Expenditures:

None.

#### B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

##### 1. Revenues:

None.

##### 2. Expenditures:

None.

#### C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

---

1991). If the Legislature designates a record as confidential and exempt from public disclosure, such record may not be released, by the custodian of public records, to anyone other than the persons or entities specifically designated in the statutory exemption. (*See* Attorney General Opinion 85-62, August 1, 1985).

<sup>6</sup> Section 24(c), Art. I of the State Constitution.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

**III. COMMENTS**

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not Applicable. This bill does not appear to affect county or municipal governments.

2. Other:

Vote Requirement

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution, requires a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting for final passage of a newly created public record or public meeting exemption. The bill creates a new public record exemption; thus, it requires a two-thirds vote for final passage.

Public Necessity Statement

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution, requires a public necessity statement for a newly created or expanded public record or public meeting exemption. The bill creates a new public record exemption; thus, it includes a public necessity statement.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The bill does not appear to create a need for rulemaking or rulemaking authority.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

Other Comments: Voluntary Survey

The Department of Health developed a workforce survey for dentists and dental hygienists to complete on a voluntary basis in conjunction with the biennial renewal of dental licenses. However, there does not appear to be any statutory authority for the creation of such survey.

Other Comments: Retroactive Application

The Supreme Court of Florida ruled that a public record exemption is not to be applied retroactively unless the legislation clearly expresses intent that such exemption is to be applied retroactively.<sup>7</sup> The bill does not contain a provision requiring retroactive application. As such, the public record exemption would only apply prospectively.

**IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES**

None.

---

<sup>7</sup> *Memorial Hospital-West Volusia, Inc. v. News-Journal Corporation*, 729 So.2d. 373 (Fla. 2001).