

The Florida Senate
BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Governmental Oversight and Accountability Committee

BILL: CS/SB 906

INTRODUCER: Governmental Oversight and Accountability Committee and Senator Hays

SUBJECT: Public Records/Investigators and Inspectors/Department of Business and Professional Regulation

DATE: February 13, 2012 REVISED: _____

ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
1. Oxamendi	Imhof	RI	Favorable
2. Seay	Roberts	GO	Fav/CS
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			

Please see Section VIII. for Additional Information:

- | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| A. COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE..... | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Statement of Substantial Changes |
| B. AMENDMENTS..... | <input type="checkbox"/> | Technical amendments were recommended |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> | Amendments were recommended |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> | Significant amendments were recommended |

I. Summary:

This bill expands the public records exemption for agency personnel information to include the home addresses, telephone numbers, and photographs of current or former investigators and inspectors of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR). The bill also exempts the home addresses, telephone numbers, and places of employment of the spouses and children of current or former investigators and inspectors of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation, as well as the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by their children. The bill requires investigators and inspectors of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation to have made reasonable efforts to protect their personal information from being accessible from alternate means. The CS further provides that the home addresses, telephone numbers, social security numbers, and photographs personnel of county tax collectors whose responsibilities include revenue collection and enforcement or child support enforcement are exempt from public records requirements. The home addresses, telephone numbers, social security numbers, photographs, the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel, and the places of employment of the spouses and children of such personnel of a county tax collector are also exempt from public records requirements.

This bill specifies that the exemptions are subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act and provides a statement of public necessity for the exemptions as required by the State Constitution.

This bill substantially amends section 119.071 of the Florida Statutes.

II. Present Situation:

Public Records Law

The State of Florida has a long history of providing public access to governmental records. The Florida Legislature enacted the first public records law in 1892.¹ One hundred years later, Floridians adopted an amendment to the State Constitution that raised the statutory right of access to public records to a constitutional level.² Article I, s. 24 of the State Constitution, provides that:

Every person has the right to inspect or copy any public record made or received in connection with the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, or persons acting on their behalf, except with respect to records exempted pursuant to this section or specifically made confidential by this Constitution. This section specifically includes the legislative, executive, and judicial branches of government and each agency or department created thereunder; counties, municipalities, and districts; and each constitutional officer, board, and commission, or entity created pursuant to law or this Constitution.

In addition to the State Constitution, the Public Records Act,³ which pre-dates public records provision of the State Constitution, specifies conditions under which public access must be provided to records of an agency.⁴ Section 119.07(1)(a), F.S., states:

- (a) Every person who has custody of a public record shall permit the record to be inspected and examined by any person desiring to do so, at any reasonable time, under reasonable conditions, and under supervision by the custodian of the public record.

Unless specifically exempted, all agency records are available for public inspection. The term “public record” is broadly defined to mean:

. . . all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless

¹ Section 1390, 1391 F.S. (Rev. 1892).

² Article I, s. 24 of the State Constitution.

³ Chapter 119, F.S.

⁴ The word “agency” is defined in s. 119.011(2), F.S., to mean “. . . any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency.” The Florida Constitution also establishes a right of access to any public record made or received in connection with the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, or persons acting on their behalf, except those records exempted by law or the state constitution.

of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency.⁵

The Florida Supreme Court has interpreted this definition to encompass all materials made or received by an agency in connection with official business which are used to perpetuate, communicate, or formalize knowledge.⁶ All such materials, regardless of whether they are in final form, are open for public inspection unless made exempt.⁷

Only the Legislature is authorized to create exemptions to open government requirements.⁸ Exemptions must be created by general law and such law must specifically state the public necessity justifying the exemption. Further, the exemption must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law.⁹ A bill enacting an exemption¹⁰ may not contain other substantive provisions, although it may contain multiple exemptions that relate to one subject.¹¹

There is a difference between records that the Legislature has made exempt from public inspection and those that are *confidential* and exempt. If the Legislature makes a record confidential and exempt, such information may not be released by an agency to anyone other than to the persons or entities designated in the statute.¹² If a record is simply made exempt from disclosure requirements then an agency is not prohibited from disclosing the record in all circumstances.¹³

Open Government Sunset Review Act

The Open Government Sunset Review Act (Act)¹⁴ provides for the systematic review, through a 5-year cycle ending October 2 of the 5th year following enactment, of an exemption from the Public Records Act or the Public Meetings Law. Each year, by June 1, the Division of Statutory Revision of the Office of Legislative Services is required to certify to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives the language and statutory citation of each exemption scheduled for repeal the following year.¹⁵

The Act states that an exemption may be created or expanded only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and if the exemption is no broader than necessary to meet the public purpose it serves. An identifiable public purpose is served if the exemption meets one of three specified criteria and if the Legislature finds that the purpose is sufficiently compelling to override the

⁵ Section 119.011(11), F.S.

⁶ *Shevin v. Byron, Harless, Schaffer, Reid and Associates, Inc.*, 379 So.2d 633, 640 (Fla. 1980).

⁷ *Wait v. Florida Power & Light Company*, 372 So.2d 420 (Fla. 1979).

⁸ Article I, s. 24(c), Fla. Constitution.

⁹ *Memorial Hospital-West Volusia v. News-Journal Corporation*, 729 So. 2d 373, 380 (Fla. 1999); *Halifax Hospital Medical Center v. News-Journal Corporation*, 724 So.2d 567 (Fla. 1999).

¹⁰ Under s. 119.15, F.S., an existing exemption may be considered a new exemption if the exemption is expanded to cover additional records.

¹¹ Art. I, s. 24(c), Fla. Constitution.

¹² Attorney General Opinion 85-62.

¹³ *Williams v. City of Minneola*, 575 So.2d 683, 687 (Fla. 5th DCA), review denied, 589 So.2d 289 (Fla. 1991).

¹⁴ Section 119.15, F.S.

¹⁵ Section 119.15(5)(a), F.S.

strong public policy of open government and cannot be accomplished without the exemption. An exemption meets the three statutory criteria if it:

- Allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, whose administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption;
- Protects information of a sensitive, personal nature concerning individuals, the release of which would be defamatory or cause unwarranted damage to the good name or reputation of such individuals, or would jeopardize their safety; or
- Protects information of a confidential nature concerning entities, including, but not limited to, a formula, pattern, device, combination of devices, or compilation of information that is used to protect or further a business advantage over those who do not know or use it, the disclosure of which would injure the affected entity in the marketplace.¹⁶

The Act also requires consideration of the following:

- What specific records or meetings are affected by the exemption?
- Whom does the exemption uniquely affect, as opposed to the general public?
- What is the identifiable public purpose or goal of the exemption?
- Can the information contained in the records or discussed in the meeting be readily obtained by alternative means? If so, how?
- Is the record or meeting protected by another exemption?
- Are there multiple exemptions for the same type of record or meeting that it would be appropriate to merge?

Agency Personnel Information

Currently, under s. 119.071(4), F.S., specified personal information relating to the employees of agencies is protected from disclosure. For example, for current or former code enforcement officers, s. 119.071(4)5., F.S., provides a public records exemption for:

- Their home addresses, telephone numbers, and photographs;
- The home addresses, telephone numbers, and places of employment of their spouses and children; and
- The names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by their children.

Section 119.071(4), F.S., provides similar records exemptions for the following agency personnel:

- Active or former law enforcement personnel;
- Department of Children and Family Services;
- Department of Health;
- Department of Revenue;
- Florida Supreme Court justices;
- Former state attorneys, assistant state attorneys, statewide prosecutors, or assistant statewide prosecutors;

¹⁶ Section 119.15(4)(b), F.S.

- General magistrates, special magistrates, judges of compensation claims, administrative law judges of the Division of Administrative Hearings, and child support hearing officers;
- Current or former human resource, labor relations, or employee relations directors, assistant directors, managers, or assistant managers of any local government agency;
- Current or former United States attorneys and assistant United States attorneys;
- Former judges of the United States of Appeal, United States district judges, and United States magistrate judges;
- Current or former code enforcement officers;
- Current or former guardians ad litem;
- Current or former juvenile probation officers; and
- Supervisors, group treatment leaders, group treatment leader supervisors, rehabilitation therapists, and social service counselors of the Department of Juvenile Justice.

Department of Business and Professional Regulation

The Department of Business and Professional Regulation (department) is delegated responsibility for both professional regulation and business regulation. The department's division of regulation monitors more than twenty professions and related businesses to ensure that those professions and businesses comply with the rules and standards set by the Legislature, professional boards, and the department. Department inspectors and investigators are required to investigate any complaint that is received in writing, to determine if it is legally sufficient, to review whether it is either signed by the complainant or if not signed, to determine if it is believed to be true after an initial inquiry by the agency.¹⁷ In addition, department inspectors and investigators are required to complete other routine inspections by the department.¹⁸ In many instances the inspectors and investigators have the authority to immediately issue a citation to the offending party.¹⁹ The department not only conducts and prosecutes violations of offending agency rules and regulations, but the agency also has a duty to notify the proper prosecuting authority when there is a criminal violation of any statute related to the practice of a profession by the department.²⁰

Presently, the home addresses, telephone numbers, and photographs of current or former investigators and inspectors of the department; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such personnel; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel are not exempt from public disclosure.²¹ The department's Alcoholic Beverages and Tobacco division does employ some sworn police officers (agents) to conduct investigations for that division. Agents can complete investigations in cooperation with investigators or inspectors or with other agents. However, only the agents who are sworn law enforcement officer are currently protected

¹⁷ Section 455.225(1)(a), F.S.

¹⁸ See Rule 61G5-30.001, F.A.C.

¹⁹ See Rule 61G5-30.004, F.A.C.

²⁰ Section 455.2277, F.S.

²¹ The Department of Business and Professional Regulation does not routinely collect the names and locations of the schools and day care facilities attended by the children of department investigators and inspectors. However, the department has expressed an interest in having this information part of the exemption in the event that the information has been made part of the personnel file or case file inadvertently. Otherwise, the department is concerned that this information could be available to the public when completing a public records request.

under the exemption for law enforcement personnel in s. 119.017(4)(d)1.a., F.S., but not the agency's other inspectors or investigators.

The department's inspectors and investigators have reported incidents of threats and abuse. According to the department, after issuing a citation in an Orlando salon, an investigator received numerous threatening phone calls to her home telephone number. The threats did not cease until the investigator reported the threats to local law enforcement.

In 2006, an Orlando area investigator was verbally abused when a licensee told her that he wished harm upon her before the end of the day.²² In 2007 and then again in 2008, another Orlando investigator had her state vehicle vandalized while it was parked outside her home at night.²³

Two Jacksonville investigators received threatening calls to their home numbers after conducting investigations. In 2008, a Jacksonville inspector had to have his personal cell phone number changed after it had been compromised by a private investigator. Both investigators have since had their telephone numbers changed to be unlisted. In 2007, an inspector in Ft. Myers arrived home to find a subject of one of her investigations sitting on her front doorstep. Another inspector from the same regional office had a convicted felon call her at home in late 2008.

The department's Miami regional office has reported multiple incidents as well in 2008. On one occasion, an investigator noticed one of the subject's of his investigation, an investigation which resulted in the subject's arrest, driving slowly past his house. Another had numerous subjects of investigations knock on their front door after their home address had been posted at the department. And yet another had numerous threatening phone calls on her cell phone, and threats to both her family and children.²⁴

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 amends s. 119.071, F.S., providing that the home addresses, telephone numbers, social security numbers, photographs, and places of employment of the spouses and children of the personnel of county tax collectors engaged in revenue collection and enforcement or child support enforcement; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel are exempt from public records requirements. This section provides for future review and repeal pursuant to the Open Government Sunset Review Act.

This section also provides that the home addresses, telephone numbers, and photographs of current and former investigators and inspectors of DBPR; the names, home address, telephone numbers, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such current or former investigators and inspectors; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such current or former investigators and inspectors are exempt from public records requirements if the investigator or inspector has made reasonable efforts to protect

²² See Recommended Order in *Dept. Business and Professional Regulation v. Tony's Hair Styling*, DOAH Case No. 05-007711, where the formal hearing found the licensee guilty of interfering with an agency inspection.

²³ Information supplied by the Department of Business and Professional Regulation. (redacted information on file with the Regulated Industries committee).

²⁴ *Id.*

such information from being accessible through other means available to the public. This section provides for future review and repeal pursuant to the Open Government Sunset Review Act.

Section 2 provides a public necessity statement as required by the State Constitution.

Section 3 provides that this act is effective upon becoming a law.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

Vote Requirement

Section 24(c), art. I of the State Constitution requires a two-thirds vote of each house of the Legislature for passage of a newly-created or expanded public records or public meetings exemption. Because this bill creates a new public records exemption, it requires a two-thirds vote for passage.

Public Necessity Statement

Section 24(c), art. I of the State Constitution requires a public necessity statement for a newly created or expanded public records or public meetings exemption. Because this bill creates a new public records exemption, it includes a public necessity statement.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

None.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Additional Information:**A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:**

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Governmental Oversight and Accountability – February 16, 2012:

The CS further provides that the home addresses, telephone numbers, social security numbers, and photographs of personnel of county tax collectors whose responsibilities include revenue collection and enforcement or child support enforcement are exempt from public records requirements. The home addresses, telephone numbers, social security numbers, photographs, the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel, and the places of employment of the spouses and children of such personnel of a county tax collector are also exempt from public records requirements.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.
