

**HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
FINAL BILL ANALYSIS**

BILL #:	CS/HB 179	FINAL HOUSE FLOOR ACTION:	
SPONSOR(S):	Civil Justice Subcommittee; Young and others	116 Y's	0 N's
COMPANION BILLS:	(CS/SB 322)	GOVERNOR'S ACTION:	Approved

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

CS/CS/HB 179 passed the House on March 22, 2013, and subsequently passed the Senate on April 4, 2013. The bill affects who is entitled to receive interest earned on certain eminent domain deposits.

Eminent domain refers to the power of the government to take private property for a public use. Florida law allows state and local governments, and specified entities ("condemning authorities") to acquire title and possession of real property before eminent domain proceedings have concluded through a process referred to as "quick taking." The condemning authority must first deposit the estimated value of the property with the Clerk of the Circuit Court. Florida law provides that 90 percent of the interest earned on this deposit is paid to the condemning authority.

The bill provides that 90 percent of interest earned is paid to the ultimate owner of the deposit, which may be the property owner or judgment creditors.

This bill may have a minimal negative fiscal impact on state and local governments.

The bill was approved by the Governor on April 17, 2013, ch. 2013-23, L.O.F., and will become effective on July 1, 2013.

I. SUBSTANTIVE INFORMATION

A. EFFECT OF CHANGES:

Present Situation

"Eminent domain" is the sovereign power to take private property for public use without the owner's consent.¹ Under the federal and state constitutions, private property can only be taken for a public purpose and upon payment to the owner of the full and just value of that property.² State government, local governments, and certain entities (the "condemning authorities") all have the power of eminent domain.

In Florida, there are two types of eminent domain proceedings, a traditional taking, and a "quick-take" proceeding.³ In a traditional eminent domain action, the condemning authority files a petition indicating the intent to take the property. The case progresses and eventually is concluded by agreement of the parties or a trial. Once the court's judgment is rendered as to the property's value, the condemning authority pays that amount, and the title or possession sought vests in the condemning authority.⁴

Because of the inherent delays in the traditional process, a "quick-taking" process was enacted as well.⁵ In a quick-taking, the condemning authority must deposit a good faith estimate of the sum that will "fully secure and fully compensate the persons entitled to compensation" in the court's registry and then may take immediate possession and title of the property prior to final judgment.⁶ The Clerk of the Circuit Court is authorized to invest monies that are held, even for temporary periods.⁷

Section 74.051(4), F.S., provides that 90 percent of the interest earned on deposits made under the quick-take procedure is paid to the petitioner (the condemning authority)⁸; the other 10 percent of interest is paid to the clerk as a management fee. Regarding creditor priority, s. 74.051(2), F.S. empowers the court to make orders in respect to claimants and the deposit "as shall be just and equitable."

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill amends s. 74.051(4), F.S., to allocate 90 percent of interest in accordance with the ultimate ownership in the deposit.

The bill effectively shifts the receipt of most of the earned interest from condemning authorities to those entitled to ownership, i.e., the property owners and judgment creditors.⁹ The bill does not offer an additional order of priority for dispersing the earned interest among those entitled to it.

¹ *Storer Cable T.V. of Florida, Inc. v. Summerwinds Apartments Associates, Ltd.*, 493 So.2d 417 (Fla. 1986).

² Section 6(a), Art. X, State Constitution ("full compensation paid . . . or secured by deposit in the registry of the court"); *Spafford v. Brevard County*, 110 So. 451 (Fla. 1926); see *City of St. Petersburg v. Div. of Admin., State Dep't of Transp.*, 198 So.2d 781 (Fla. 2d DCA 1974)(the deposit substitutes for the property taken).

³ "Quick-take" or "quick-taking" are nicknames used by practitioners in the area and is not a title created by statute.

⁴ See s. 73.111, F.S.

⁵ See ch. 65-369, L.O.F.

⁶ Section 74.051(2), F.S.

⁷ Section 74.051(4), F.S.

⁸ *Id.*

⁹ Section 73.141(1), F.S. ("In the event that no appeal has been taken . . . the clerk shall pay each judgment creditor the sum necessary to satisfy the judgment from the funds on deposit").

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

The bill may have a minimal negative fiscal impact on state revenues to the extent the state elects to utilize the quick-take procedure. In the last three years, the Department of Transportation has collected \$17,452.83 in earned interest.¹⁰ The bill eliminates that source of revenue.

2. Expenditures:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on state expenditures.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

The bill may have a minimal negative fiscal impact on local government revenue to the extent that local governments elect to use the quick-take procedure. The bill eliminates that source of revenue.

2. Expenditures:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on local government expenditures.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

The bill may have a minimal positive fiscal impact on private property owners in Florida who are subjected to quick-take eminent domain proceedings.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

¹⁰ The last three years represented a significant reduction in deposit-interest collections by the Department of Transportation compared to prior years. In comparison, since 1986, the department has collected \$8,177,860.52 in earned interest (Bill Analysis by the Department of Transportation, on file with Judiciary Committee).