CS/CS/HB 359 2013

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to public meetings; amending s. 1004.28, F.S.; providing an exemption from public meeting requirements for any portion of a meeting of the board of directors of a university direct-support organization, or of the executive committee or other committees of such board, at which the identity of a donor or prospective donor, any proposal seeking research funding from the organization, or a plan or program for either initiating or supporting research is discussed; providing for review and repeal of the exemption; providing a statement of public necessity; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Subsection (5) of section 1004.28, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

1004.28 Direct-support organizations; use of property; board of directors; activities; audit; facilities.—

## (5) ANNUAL AUDIT; PUBLIC RECORDS EXEMPTION; PUBLIC MEETINGS EXEMPTION.—

(a) Each direct-support organization shall provide for an annual financial audit of its accounts and records to be conducted by an independent certified public accountant in accordance with rules adopted by the Auditor General pursuant to s. 11.45(8) and by the university board of trustees. The annual audit report shall be submitted, within 9 months after the end

Page 1 of 4

CS/CS/HB 359 2013

of the fiscal year, to the Auditor General and the Board of Governors for review. The Board of Governors, the university board of trustees, the Auditor General, and the Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability shall have the authority to require and receive from the organization or from its independent auditor any records relative to the operation of the organization. The identity of donors who desire to remain anonymous shall be protected, and that anonymity shall be maintained in the auditor's report.

- (b) All records of the organization other than the auditor's report, management letter, and any supplemental data requested by the Board of Governors, the university board of trustees, the Auditor General, and the Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability shall be confidential and exempt from the provisions of s. 119.07(1).
- (c) Any portion of a meeting of the board of directors of the organization, or of the executive committee or other committees of such board, at which the identity of a donor or prospective donor, any proposal seeking research funding from the organization, or a plan or program for either initiating or supporting research is discussed is exempt from s. 286.011 and s. 24(b), Art. I of the State Constitution. This paragraph is subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act in accordance with s. 119.15 and shall stand repealed on October 2, 2018, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.

necessity that meetings of the board of directors of a direct-

The Legislature finds that it is a public

Section 2.

CS/CS/HB 359 2013

57 support organization established under s. 1004.28, Florida 58 Statutes, or of the executive committee or other committees of 59 such board, at which the identity of a donor or prospective 60 donor, any proposal seeking research funding from the 61 organization, or a plan or program for either initiating or supporting research is discussed should be held exempt from s. 62 63 286.011, Florida Statutes, and s. 24(b), Art. I of the State 64 Constitution. For the benefit of our state universities, and 65 ultimately all the people of Florida, direct-support 66 organizations serve a vital role in raising donations from 67 private sources. This undertaking demands great sensitivity and 68 discretion, as donors frequently seek anonymity and are concerned 69 about the potential release of sensitive financial information. 70 If direct-support organizations cannot honor those requests and 71 protect such information from public disclosure, potential 72 donors may decline to contribute, thus hampering the ability of 73 the direct-support organization to carry out its activities. The 74 state has recognized these realities by making most of the 75 records of direct-support organizations confidential and exempt 76 from the state's public records requirements, including the 77 identity of donors and prospective donors. However, without the 78 exemption from public meeting requirements, release of the 79 identity of donors or prospective donors via a public meeting 80 would defeat the purpose of the public records exemption. It is 81 therefore the finding of the Legislature that the exemption from 82 public meeting requirements is a public necessity. Additionally, 83 the resources raised by direct-support organizations are 84 frequently used to initiate, develop, and fund plans and

CS/CS/HB 359 2013

85 programs for research that routinely contain sensitive proprietary information, including university-connected research 86 87 projects, which provide valuable opportunities for faculty and 88 students and may lead to future commercial applications. This 89 activity requires the direct-support organization to develop research strategies and evaluate proposals for research grants that routinely contain sensitive or proprietary information, 92 including specific research approaches and targets of 93 investigation, the disclosure of which could injure those conducting the research. Maintaining the confidentiality of 94 95 research strategies, plans, and proposals is a hallmark of a 96 responsible funding process, is practiced by the National Science 97 Foundation and the National Institutes of Health, and allows for candid exchanges among reviewers. The state has recognized these 98 99 realities by expressly making most of the records of direct-100 support organizations confidential and exempt from the state's public records requirements, including proposals seeking 102 research funding. Failure to close meetings in which these activities are discussed would significantly undermine the 103 104 confidentiality of the strategies, plans, and proposals 105 themselves. Without the exemption from public meeting 106 requirements, the release during a public meeting of a proposal 107 seeking research funding from the direct-support organization or 108 a plan or program for either initiating or supporting research 109 would defeat the purpose of the public records exemption. It is therefore the finding of the Legislature that the exemption from 110 public meeting requirements is a public necessity. Section 3. This act shall take effect October 1, 2013.

Page 4 of 4

90

91

101

111

112