

The Florida Senate
BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Committee on Banking and Insurance

BILL: SPB 7136

INTRODUCER: For Consideration by Committee on Banking and Insurance

SUBJECT: Public Records/Inspector General/Citizens Property Insurance Corporation

DATE: March 29, 2013

REVISED: _____

	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
1.	Matiyow	Burgess	BI	Submitted as Committee Bill
2.	_____	_____	_____	_____
3.	_____	_____	_____	_____
4.	_____	_____	_____	_____
5.	_____	_____	_____	_____
6.	_____	_____	_____	_____

I. Summary:

SPB 7136 exempts from public record the identity of individuals who provide information to the Office of the Inspector General of Citizens, created in SB 1770, that an employee or agent of the corporation violated a federal, state, or local law, ordinance, or rule; committed an act of fraud, waste, abuse, malfeasance, or mismanagement; committed employee misconduct; or violated a policy of the corporation. Additionally, all information relating to an investigation conducted by the inspector general is confidential and exempt until he or she completes the investigation and determines such violation or act occurred. If the inspector general determines that such violation or act did not occur, the information remains confidential and exempt. Information relating to an investigation may be disclosed at any time to a current member of the Financial Services Commission or the current President of the Senate or Speaker of the House of Representatives.

The exemptions of the bill are subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act in accordance with s. 119.15 and shall stand repealed on October 2, 2018, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.

This bill substantially amends the following section of the Florida Statutes: 627.351.

II. Present Situation:

Public Records Law

The State of Florida has a long history of providing public access to governmental records. The Florida Legislature enacted the first public records law in 1892.¹ One hundred years later, Floridians adopted an amendment to the State Constitution that raised the statutory right of access to public records to a constitutional level.² Article I, s. 24 of the State Constitution, provides that:

Every person has the right to inspect or copy any public record made or received in connection with the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, or persons acting on their behalf, except with respect to records exempted pursuant to this section or specifically made confidential by this Constitution. This section specifically includes the legislative, executive, and judicial branches of government and each agency or department created thereunder; counties, municipalities, and districts; and each constitutional officer, board, and commission, or entity created pursuant to law or this Constitution.

In addition to the State Constitution, the Public Records Act,³ which pre-dates public records provision of the State Constitution, specifies conditions under which public access must be provided to records of an agency.⁴ Section 119.07(1)(a), F.S., states:

- (a) Every person who has custody of a public record shall permit the record to be inspected and examined by any person desiring to do so, at any reasonable time, under reasonable conditions, and under supervision by the custodian of the public record.

Unless specifically exempted, all agency records are available for public inspection. The term “public record” is broadly defined to mean:

. . . all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency.⁵

The Florida Supreme Court has interpreted this definition to encompass all materials made or received by an agency in connection with official business which are used to perpetuate, communicate, or formalize knowledge.⁶ All such materials, regardless of whether they are in final form, are open for public inspection unless made exempt.⁷

¹ Section 1390, 1391 F.S. (Rev. 1892).

² Article I, s. 24, Fla. Constitution.

³ Chapter 119, F.S.

⁴ The word “agency” is defined in s. 119.011(2), F.S., to mean “. . . any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency.” The Florida Constitution also establishes a right of access to any public record made or received in connection with the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, or persons acting on their behalf, except those records exempted by law or the state constitution.

⁵ Section 119.011(11), F.S.

⁶ *Shevin v. Byron, Harless, Schaffer, Reid and Associates, Inc.*, 379 So.2d 633, 640 (Fla. 1980).

⁷ *Wait v. Florida Power & Light Company*, 372 So.2d 420 (Fla. 1979).

Only the Legislature is authorized to create exemptions to open government requirements.⁸ Exemptions must be created by general law and such law must specifically state the public necessity justifying the exemption. Further, the exemption must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law.⁹ A bill enacting an exemption¹⁰ may not contain other substantive provisions, although it may contain multiple exemptions that relate to one subject.¹¹

There is a difference between records that the Legislature has made exempt from public inspection and those that are *confidential* and exempt. If the Legislature makes a record confidential and exempt, such information may not be released by an agency or to anyone other than to the persons or entities designated in the statute.¹² If a record is simply made exempt from disclosure requirements, then an agency is not prohibited from disclosing the record in all circumstances.¹³

Open Government Sunset Review Act

The Open Government Sunset Review Act (Act)¹⁴ provides for the systematic review, through a 5-year cycle ending October 2 of the 5th year following enactment, of an exemption from the Public Records Act or the Public Meetings Law. Each year, by June 1, the Division of Statutory Revision of the Office of Legislative Services is required to certify to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives the language and statutory citation of each exemption scheduled for repeal the following year.¹⁵

The Act states that an exemption may be created or expanded only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and if the exemption is no broader than necessary to meet the public purpose it serves. An identifiable public purpose is served if the exemption meets one of three specified criteria and if the Legislature finds that the purpose is sufficiently compelling to override the strong public policy of open government and cannot be accomplished without the exemption. An exemption meets the three statutory criteria if it:

- Allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, whose administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption;
- Protects information of a sensitive, personal nature concerning individuals, the release of which would be defamatory or cause unwarranted damage to the good name or reputation of such individuals, or would jeopardize their safety; or

⁸ Article I, s. 24(c), Fla. Constitution.

⁹ *Memorial Hospital-West Volusia v. News-Journal Corporation*, 729 So. 2d 373, 380 (Fla. 1999); *Halifax Hospital Medical Center v. News-Journal Corporation*, 724 So.2d 567 (Fla. 1999).

¹⁰ Under s. 119.15, F.S., an existing exemption may be considered a new exemption if the exemption is expanded to cover additional records.

¹¹ Art. I, s. 24(c), Fla. Constitution.

¹² Attorney General Opinion 85-62.

¹³ *Williams v. City of Minneola*, 575 So.2d 683, 687 (Fla. 5th DCA), review denied, 589 So.2d 289 (Fla. 1991).

¹⁴ Section 119.15, F.S.

¹⁵ Section 119.15(5)(a), F.S.

- Protects information of a confidential nature concerning entities, including, but not limited to, a formula, pattern, device, combination of devices, or compilation of information that is used to protect or further a business advantage over those who do not know or use it, the disclosure of which would injure the affected entity in the marketplace.¹⁶

The Act also requires consideration of the following:

- What specific records or meetings are affected by the exemption?
- Whom does the exemption uniquely affect, as opposed to the general public?
- What is the identifiable public purpose or goal of the exemption?
- Can the information contained in the records or discussed in the meeting be readily obtained by alternative means? If so, how?
- Is the record or meeting protected by another exemption?
- Are there multiple exemptions for the same type of record or meeting that it would be appropriate to merge?

Citizens' Inspector General

Citizens' currently does not have an inspector general and is not required by law to have one. The Chief of Internal Audit has job duties and responsibilities similar to an inspector general. The Chief of Internal Audit position was created in Citizens in 2006 and Citizens' first Chief of Internal Audit started in January 2007. The position has been filled almost continuously since that time, with Citizens employing four Chiefs of Internal Audit since 2007.

Generally, the duties of the Chief of Internal Audit include: fostering and promoting accountability and integrity in Citizens; holding the Citizen's leadership, management and staff accountable for efficient, cost-effective operation; and preventing, identifying, and eliminating fraud, waste, corruption, illegal acts, and abuse. Specific duties and responsibilities for the position are contained in s. 627.351(6)(i), F.S. The Chief of Internal Audit carries out his duties primarily through audits, management reviews and investigations.

From December 2010 until October 2012, Citizens also had an Office of Corporate Integrity. The office handled employee complaints, particularly those that could indicate ethics violations and internal fraud. From December 2010 until July 2012, the employees in this office reported to Citizens' General Counsel and Chief Legal Officer. Thereafter, they reported to the Citizens' Chief of Internal Audit. The Office was disbanded by Citizens' Board in October 2012, but its functions were absorbed by other Citizens' staff, including the Office of Internal Audit, the Ethics Officer, and the Employee Relations Office.

The SB 1770 establishes the Office of the Inspector General within Citizens to ensure accountability, integrity, and efficiency. The inspector general is appointed by the Financial Services Commission and may be removed from office only by the commission. The inspector general is under the supervision of the commission until June 30, 2014, after which he/she reports to the chair of the board of governors.

¹⁶ Section 119.15(4)(b), F.S.

The inspector general's duties are specified to initiate, direct, coordinate, participate in, and perform studies, reviews, evaluations, and investigations designed to assess management practices; compliance with laws, rules, and policies; and program effectiveness and efficiency. In addition, complete special projects and perform other duties as requested by the Financial Services Commission.

At least annually, the inspector general must provide a report to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives the extent to which policies are returned to the voluntary market from the corporation's clearinghouse and must include an analysis regarding the effectiveness of the clearinghouse for depopulation of Citizens.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill exempts from public record the name or identity of an individual who, in good faith, alleges, or provides information relating to an allegation, to the Office of the Inspector General of the corporation that an employee or agent of the corporation violated a federal, state, or local law, ordinance, or rule; committed an act of fraud, waste, abuse, malfeasance, or mismanagement; committed employee misconduct; or violated a policy of the corporation. The name or identity of such an individual may be disclosed only with the written consent of the individual or pursuant to a court order. Additionally, all information relating to an investigation of a possible violation or act described in this section conducted by the inspector general is confidential and exempt until he or she completes the investigation and determines such violation or act occurred. If the inspector general determines that such violation or act did not occur, the information remains confidential and exempt. Information relating to an investigation may be disclosed at any time to a current member of the Financial Services Commission or the current President of the Senate or Speaker of the House of Representatives.

This act shall take effect on the same date that SB 1770 or similar legislation takes effect, if such legislation is adopted in the same legislative session or an extension thereof and becomes a law.

The exemptions of the bill are subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act in accordance with s. 119.15 and shall stand repealed on October 2, 2018, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

None.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.