

**The Florida Senate**  
**BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT**

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

---

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Committee on Banking and Insurance

---

BILL: SPB 7150

INTRODUCER: For consideration by the Banking and Insurance Committee

SUBJECT: Public Records/Insurance Policies

DATE: April 6, 2013

REVISED: \_\_\_\_\_

	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
1.	Knudson	Burgess		<b>Pre-meeting</b>
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				

---

**I. Summary:**

SPB 7150 applies the public records exemption for motor vehicle insurance policy numbers and personal identifying information of insureds to policies providing bodily injury liability insurance, rather than policies providing personal injury protection. The exemptions of the bill are subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act in accordance with s. 119.15 and shall stand repealed on October 2, 2018, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.

The bill takes effect on the same date that an unspecified legislative act (SPB 7152) or similar legislation takes effect, if such legislation is adopted in the same legislative session or an extension thereof and becomes law.

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 324.242

**II. Present Situation:**

**Public Records Law**

The State of Florida has a long history of providing public access to governmental records. The Florida Legislature enacted the first public records law in 1892.<sup>1</sup> One hundred years later, Floridians adopted an amendment to the State Constitution that raised the statutory right of

---

<sup>1</sup> Section 1390, 1391 F.S. (Rev. 1892).

access to public records to a constitutional level.<sup>2</sup> Article I, s. 24 of the State Constitution, provides that:

Every person has the right to inspect or copy any public record made or received in connection with the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, or persons acting on their behalf, except with respect to records exempted pursuant to this section or specifically made confidential by this Constitution. This section specifically includes the legislative, executive, and judicial branches of government and each agency or department created thereunder; counties, municipalities, and districts; and each constitutional officer, board, and commission, or entity created pursuant to law or this Constitution.

In addition to the State Constitution, the Public Records Act,<sup>3</sup> which pre-dates public records provision of the State Constitution, specifies conditions under which public access must be provided to records of an agency.<sup>4</sup> Section 119.07(1)(a), F.S., states:

(a) Every person who has custody of a public record shall permit the record to be inspected and examined by any person desiring to do so, at any reasonable time, under reasonable conditions, and under supervision by the custodian of the public record.

Unless specifically exempted, all agency records are available for public inspection. The term “public record” is broadly defined to mean:

. . . all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency.<sup>5</sup>

The Florida Supreme Court has interpreted this definition to encompass all materials made or received by an agency in connection with official business which are used to perpetuate, communicate, or formalize knowledge.<sup>6</sup> All such materials, regardless of whether they are in final form, are open for public inspection unless made exempt.<sup>7</sup>

Only the Legislature is authorized to create exemptions to open government requirements.<sup>8</sup> Exemptions must be created by general law and such law must specifically state the public

---

<sup>2</sup> Article I, s. 24, Fla. Constitution.

<sup>3</sup> Chapter 119, F.S.

<sup>4</sup> The word “agency” is defined in s. 119.011(2), F.S., to mean “. . . any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency.” The Florida Constitution also establishes a right of access to any public record made or received in connection with the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, or persons acting on their behalf, except those records exempted by law or the state constitution.

<sup>5</sup> Section 119.011(11), F.S.

<sup>6</sup> *Shevin v. Byron, Harless, Schaffer, Reid and Associates, Inc.*, 379 So.2d 633, 640 (Fla. 1980).

<sup>7</sup> *Wait v. Florida Power & Light Company*, 372 So.2d 420 (Fla. 1979).

<sup>8</sup> Article I, s. 24(c), Fla. Constitution.

necessity justifying the exemption. Further, the exemption must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law.<sup>9</sup> A bill enacting an exemption<sup>10</sup> may not contain other substantive provisions, although it may contain multiple exemptions that relate to one subject.<sup>11</sup>

There is a difference between records that the Legislature has made exempt from public inspection and those that are *confidential* and exempt. If the Legislature makes a record confidential and exempt, such information may not be released by an agency or to anyone other than to the persons or entities designated in the statute.<sup>12</sup> If a record is simply made exempt from disclosure requirements, then an agency is not prohibited from disclosing the record in all circumstances.<sup>13</sup>

### **Open Government Sunset Review Act**

The Open Government Sunset Review Act (Act)<sup>14</sup> provides for the systematic review, through a 5-year cycle ending October 2 of the 5th year following enactment, of an exemption from the Public Records Act or the Public Meetings Law. Each year, by June 1, the Division of Statutory Revision of the Office of Legislative Services is required to certify to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives the language and statutory citation of each exemption scheduled for repeal the following year.<sup>15</sup>

The Act states that an exemption may be created or expanded only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and if the exemption is no broader than necessary to meet the public purpose it serves. An identifiable public purpose is served if the exemption meets one of three specified criteria and if the Legislature finds that the purpose is sufficiently compelling to override the strong public policy of open government and cannot be accomplished without the exemption. An exemption meets the three statutory criteria if it:

- Allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, whose administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption;
- Protects information of a sensitive, personal nature concerning individuals, the release of which would be defamatory or cause unwarranted damage to the good name or reputation of such individuals, or would jeopardize their safety; or
- Protects information of a confidential nature concerning entities, including, but not limited to, a formula, pattern, device, combination of devices, or compilation of information that is used to protect or further a business advantage over those who do not know or use it, the disclosure of which would injure the affected entity in the marketplace.<sup>16</sup>

---

<sup>9</sup> *Memorial Hospital-West Volusia v. News-Journal Corporation*, 729 So. 2d 373, 380 (Fla. 1999); *Halifax Hospital Medical Center v. News-Journal Corporation*, 724 So.2d 567 (Fla. 1999).

<sup>10</sup> Under s. 119.15, F.S., an existing exemption may be considered a new exemption if the exemption is expanded to cover additional records.

<sup>11</sup> Art. I, s. 24(c), Fla. Constitution.

<sup>12</sup> Attorney General Opinion 85-62.

<sup>13</sup> *Williams v. City of Minneola*, 575 So.2d 683, 687 (Fla. 5<sup>th</sup> DCA), review denied, 589 So.2d 289 (Fla. 1991).

<sup>14</sup> Section 119.15, F.S.

<sup>15</sup> Section 119.15(5)(a), F.S.

<sup>16</sup> Section 119.15(4)(b), F.S.

The Act also requires consideration of the following:

- What specific records or meetings are affected by the exemption?
- Whom does the exemption uniquely affect, as opposed to the general public?
- What is the identifiable public purpose or goal of the exemption?
- Can the information contained in the records or discussed in the meeting be readily obtained by alternative means? If so, how?
- Is the record or meeting protected by another exemption?
- Are there multiple exemptions for the same type of record or meeting that it would be appropriate to merge?

### **Section 324.242, F.S., Exemption**

Every Florida registrant of a motor vehicle must obtain and provide proof of holding a motor vehicle insurance policy that includes \$10,000 in personal injury protection (PIP).<sup>17</sup> Additionally, s. 324.022, F.S., requires owners and operators of Florida-registered motor vehicles to maintain the ability to pay at least \$10,000 in property damage, which may be met by maintaining \$10,000 in property damage liability coverage.<sup>18</sup> A higher financial requirement is placed on commercial motor vehicles, taxicab owners and operators, for-hire passenger transportation vehicles, and registered vehicle owners or operators found guilty or that have plead nolo contendere to driving under the influence.<sup>19</sup>

The Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles (DHSMV) is notified by insurers that supply policies with personal injury protection or property damage liability coverage of renewals, cancellations, and non-renewals of these policies within 45 days of their effective dates, as required by s. 324.0221, F.S. The insurer must also notify the named insured in writing of the cancellation or non-renewal of a policy and give notice of the consequences from the failure of maintaining PIP and property damage coverage, including the loss of registration, loss of driving privileges, and imposition of reinstatement fees. The records held by the DHSMV contain the insurance company code, the policy number, driver's license number, personal identifying information (name and address), and information identifying the vehicle, including the vehicle identification number and the make, model, and year of the vehicle.

Section 324.242, F.S., exempts from public records requirements personal identifying information, including the name, address, and driver's license number of insureds and former insureds and the insurance policy number contained in PIP and property damage liability motor vehicle insurance policies.<sup>20</sup> The exemption serves to protect sensitive personal information concerning individuals whose reputation or safety from identity theft would be jeopardized if the information were released. The exemption also protects confidential information used for business advantage against competitors. The disclosure of this information could injure insurance

---

<sup>17</sup> Section 627.733, F.S.

<sup>18</sup> Section 324.022, F.S.

<sup>19</sup> See ss. 324.023, F.S., and 324.032, F.S.

<sup>20</sup> The statutory predecessor to s. 324.242, F.S., was s. 627.736(9)(a), F.S., which was repealed as part of the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law on October 1, 2007.

companies in the market since competitors would be able to solicit the business of their policyholders. The information exempted by s. 324.242, F.S., is neither obtainable by alternate means nor protected under other exemptions. However under s. 324.242, F.S., the DHSMV must release the policy number for a vehicle involved in an accident to any person involved in the accident, the attorney of any person involved in the accident, or a representative of the insurer of any person involved in the accident upon receipt of a written request and copy of the crash report.

### III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

**Section 1** amends s. 324.242, F.S., to apply the public records exemption for motor vehicle insurance policy numbers and personal identifying information of insureds to policies providing bodily injury liability insurance, rather than policies providing personal injury protection. The exemptions of the bill are subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act in accordance with s. 119.15 and shall stand repealed on October 2, 2018, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.

**Section 2** states that the Legislature finds that it is a public necessity to make certain information regarding bodily injury liability insurance policies held by the DHSMV confidential and exempt from the requirements of s. 119.07(1), F.S., and s. 24(a), Article I of the State Constitution. The Legislature finds that automobile drivers must be properly insured for BI liability and PD liability in order to ensure public safety on the state's roads and highways. As such, insurers must report to the DHSMV and verify the issuance of a new insurance policy to a driver, as well as the renewal, nonrenewal, or cancellation of that policy. Such information includes the personal identifying information of an insured or former insured and the insurance policy number of the insured, which, if compiled, could result in a customer list of every insurer in the state. This information is traditionally considered proprietary business information because such lists could be used by competitors to solicit customers. Consequently, the release of that information could injure the insurer in the marketplace. Further, public access to such information could be used to perpetuate fraud against an insured and put him or her at risk or to make the insured the target of uninvited solicitations from other insurers or from others seeking to profit from motor vehicle accidents.

**Section 3** provides that the effective date of the bill is the same date that an unspecified legislative act (SPB 7152) or similar legislation takes effect, if such legislation is adopted in the same legislative session or an extension thereof and becomes law.

### IV. Constitutional Issues:

#### A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

#### B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

Please see *Section II. Present Situation* of this Staff Analysis.

#### C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

**V. Fiscal Impact Statement:**

## A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

## B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

## C. Government Sector Impact:

None.

**VI. Technical Deficiencies:**

On line 18, “personal injury protection” should be reinstated because SB 7150 will not repeal the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law prior to the effective date of this bill. Line 71 of the bill should be amended to refer to SB 7152.

**VII. Related Issues:**

The proposed bill will only take effect upon the enactment of SPB 7152, or similar legislation, that repeals the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law and requires owners and operators of motor vehicle registered in this state to obtain bodily injury liability coverage.

**VIII. Additional Information:**

## A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

## B. Amendments:

None.