

The Florida Senate
BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Committee on Transportation

BILL: SB 1272

INTRODUCER: Senator Brandes

SUBJECT: Transportation and Motor Vehicles

DATE: March 3, 2014

REVISED: _____

	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
1.	Price	Eichin	TR	Pre-meeting
2.			ATD	
3.			AP	

I. Summary:

SB 1272 makes a number of revisions to transportation laws administered by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) and to motor vehicle laws administered by the Department of Highway Safety & Motor Vehicles (DHSMV). More specifically, the bill:

- extends the Florida Transportation Commission’s (FTC) oversight of expressway and bridge authorities to the Mid-Bay Bridge Authority (MBBA) and repeals provisions relating to the Florida Statewide Passenger Rail Commission (FSPRC);
- prohibits, unless expressly authorized, enforcement of State Uniform Traffic Laws by any means other than the direct observation and intervention of a law enforcement officer or other duly appointed individual;
- directs the Department of State, in consultation with the Department of Law Enforcement, to establish a retention schedule for records generated through the use of an automated license plate recognition system;
- prohibits a bus from stopping to load or unload passengers in a manner that restricts the progression of traffic on a roadway;
- provides that the prohibition against unattended vehicles left with the engine running does not apply to a vehicle started by remote control while the ignition, transmission, and doors are locked;
- includes devices used to improve driver safety in the current exception for devices that may be mounted on windshields;
- requires that when a vehicle owner’s name(s) has been placed on the list of individuals who may not register a vehicle after a notice submitted to the DHSMV by a lienor, only the vehicle noticed may be withheld from issuance. The bill allows the registered owner to dispute a notice to surrender by bringing a civil action and provides procedural requirements for disposition of a challenge;

- directs the DHSMV to develop a plan of action that addresses motor vehicle registration holds for outstanding tolls, parking tickets, and fines;
- authorizes individuals with amateur radio licenses recognized, as opposed to issued, by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to apply for a special license plate;
- requires the DHSMV to develop an optional digital proof of driver license;
- authorizes tax collectors that conduct certain tests to retain certain portions of fees previously deposited into the Highway Safety Operating Trust Fund;
- exempts applicants who are homeless or whose annual income is at or below 100% of the federal poverty level from the \$25 fee for an identification card;
- revises the terms and conditions under which the FDOT may sell or lease properties acquired for transportation rights-of-way;
- amends the process that the FDOT must follow relating to proposals to enter into a lease of FDOT property for joint public-private development;
- revises state law relating to the designation, voting membership, and reapportionment of metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs);
- authorizes Enterprise Florida, Inc., to be a consultant to the FDOT for consideration of economic development transportation projects;
- requires full-service gasoline stations offering self-service at a lesser cost to meet certain decal display requirements and preempts local government laws and regulations relating to the provision of fueling assistance by a self-service gas station;
- authorizes the FDOT to program and pay maintenance costs related to the Pinellas Bayway; and
- makes various editorial and grammatical changes and corrects cross-references necessitated by changes made elsewhere in the bill.

II. Present Situation:

The present situation is discussed below in Effect of Proposed Changes in this bill analysis.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 (s. 20.23, F.S.) - Florida Transportation Commission Oversight of Mid-Bay Bridge Authority and Passenger Rail Systems

Current Situation

The Florida Transportation Commission (FTC) has long been charged with periodically reviewing the status of the state transportation system, including rail and other component modes, and with recommending improvements to the system to the Governor and the Legislature. In 2007, the legislature passed House Bill 985 amending Section 20.23(2)(b)8, F.S., to expand the oversight role of the Florida Transportation Commission to include the monitoring of the efficiency, productivity, and management of the various tolling authorities created under Chapters 348 and 349. The Commission conducts periodic reviews of each authority's operations and budget, acquisition of property, management of revenue and bond proceeds, and compliance with applicable laws and generally accepted accounting principles.

The Mid-Bay Bridge Authority (MBBA)¹ is the governing body of an independent special district in Okaloosa County for the purpose of planning, constructing, operating, and maintaining a bridge over the Choctawhatchee Bay. The MBBA operates the tolled, 3.6-mile long Mid-Bay Bridge across the Choctawhatchee Bay and approaches (SR 293) on the northern and southern sides of the bridge. The facility, which connects SR 20 with U.S. 98 east of Destin, is a link between Interstate 10 and U.S. 98 and provides a more direct route to tourists and residents between northern and southern Okaloosa and Walton Counties.² However, unlike other regional transportation, expressway, and bridge authorities, no state entity is currently charged with monitoring the efficiency, productivity, and management of the MBBA.

In 2009, the Florida Legislature created the Florida Statewide Passenger Rail Commission (FSPRC) to monitor, advise, and review publicly-funded passenger rail systems³, resulting in oversight responsibilities that overlap with or are redundant to those of the FTC. Specifically, and similar to the duty of the FTC, the Legislature charged the FSPRC in s. 20.23(3)(b)1., F.S., with the function of:

Monitoring the efficiency, productivity, and management of all publicly funded passenger rail systems in the state, including, but not limited to, any authority created under chapter 343, chapter 349, or chapter 163 if the authority receives public funds for the provision of passenger rail service. ... This paragraph does not preclude the Florida Transportation Commission from conducting its performance and work program monitoring responsibilities.

The FDOT provides administrative support and service to the FSPRC. The commission last met in July 2012. Six of the nine seats on the FSPRC are currently vacant. These seats expire in August of 2014.⁴

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill amends s. 20.23, F.S., expanding the FTC oversight responsibilities to include monitoring the efficiency, productivity, and management of the MBBA. The bill also amends s. 20.23, F.S., to repeal the Florida Statewide Passenger Rail Commission. Overlapping oversight of publicly-funded passenger rail systems is eliminated and remains solely with the FTC.

Section 2 of the bill revises s. 110.205, F.S., to modernize terms and correct cross-references necessitated by statutory changes made elsewhere in the bill.

¹ Re-created by special act, ch. 2000-411.

² Senate Issue Brief 2012-208, *Cost Effectiveness of Regional Expressway and Bridge Authorities*, (September 2011).

³ The only publicly funded passenger rail system in the state (Tri-Rail) then and now existing is operated by the South Florida Regional Transportation Authority, which is established in ch. 343, F.S. However, the first phase (31 miles) of a commuter rail project, SunRail,-- an eventual 61-mile stretch of existing rail freight tracks through Orange, Seminole, Volusia and Osceola counties and the City of Orlando -- is under construction, and service could begin as early as 2014.

⁴ The FDOT email, February 24, 2014, on file in the Senate Transportation Committee.

Section 3 (s. 316.0071, F.S.) - Enforcement of State Uniform Traffic Control by Direct Observation*Current Situation*

Section 316.007, F.S., requires that the provisions of ch. 316, F.S., be applicable and uniform throughout the state in all political subdivisions and municipalities. No local authority shall enact or enforce any ordinance on matters covered by this chapter unless expressly authorized.

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill creates s. 316.0071, F.S., to prohibit, unless expressly authorized, enforcement of ch. 316, F.S., by a method other than the direct observation and intervention of a law enforcement officer, a parking enforcement specialist, a traffic infraction enforcement officer, or any other duly appointed individual. This effectively prohibits the use of cameras for the enforcement of speed limits, stop signs, and other infractions identified in ch. 316, F.S.

Section 4 (s. 316.0778) - Automated License Plate Recognition Systems*Current Situation*

An automated license plate recognition system (ALPRS) uses computerized optical character recognition to extract vehicle license plate information from an image or a sequence of images. The extracted information can be used with or without a database for many applications, such as electronic payment systems (toll payment, parking fee payment), and freeway and arterial monitoring systems for real-time traffic speed projections. This captured information (i.e., license plate number, date, time, and location) is collected, and may be matched to personal identifying databases and sometimes pooled into regional sharing systems. As a result, enormous databases may house the location and travel patterns of thousands, if not millions of individual motorists.

As an operational tool for law enforcement, ALPRSs scan the license plates of moving or parked vehicles while either mounted on a moving patrol car or attached to a fixed location, such as a toll plaza or free-standing installation. Though designed to assist law enforcement with day-to-day vehicle violations, an ALPRS may collect and store extensive location information about each vehicle in its field of vision. Photographs captured by an ALPRS may contain more than simply the license plate, and sometimes include a substantial part of a vehicle, its occupants, and its immediate vicinity. Law enforcement can use captured photographs to verify witness descriptions of vehicles and confirm identifying features.

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill creates s. 316.0778, F.S., to define “automated license plate recognition system” to mean “a system of one or more mobile or fixed high-speed cameras combined with computer algorithms to convert images of license plates into computer-readable data.” The Department of State, in consultation with the Department of Law Enforcement, is directed to establish a retention schedule for records containing images and data generated through the use of an automated license plate recognition system, which schedule must institute a maximum period that the records may be retained. Entities in possession of such records will be required to retain them in compliance with the schedule.

Section 5 (s. 316.0817) - Loading and Unloading of Bus Passengers*Current Situation*

Section 316.0815, F.S., requires that a driver of a vehicle yield the right-of-way to a publicly owned transit bus traveling in the same direction when the bus driver has signaled and the bus is reentering the traffic flow from a specifically designated pullout bay. However, specifically designated pullout bays are not available at every location at which such buses stop for the purpose of loading and unloading bus passengers, and stops are routinely made in the main-traveled portion of a roadway.

Section 316.083, F.S., authorizes drivers to overtake and pass a vehicle proceeding in the same direction and requires the driver of the overtaken vehicle to give way to the right in favor of the overtaking vehicle, without increasing vehicle speed, until completely passed by the overtaking vehicle. This requirement applies to the drivers of buses stopped in the main-traveled portion of a roadway to load and unload passengers.

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill creates s. 316.0817, F.S., notwithstanding any other law, to prohibit a bus from stopping to load or unload passengers in a manner that impedes, blocks, or otherwise restricts the progression of traffic on the main-traveled portion of a roadway if there is another reasonable means for the bus to stop parallel to the travel lane and safely load and unload passengers. "Reasonable means" is defined for purposes of the new section to mean "sufficient unobstructed pavement or a designated turn lane that is sufficient in length to allow the safe loading and unloading of passengers parallel to the travel lane." These provisions do not apply to school buses. Enforcement of these provisions may be difficult, as the definition of "reasonable means" may be subject to differing interpretations. Private owners of "sufficient unobstructed pavement" that may be adjacent and parallel to the travel lane may object to damage incurred to the pavement should use of the owner's pavement occur.

Section 6 (s. 316.1975, F.S.) - Unattended Motor Vehicles/Remote Starters*Current Situation*

Section 316.1975(2)(d), F.S., prohibits vehicles from standing unattended with the engine running and ignition not in locked position with the key removed. The vehicle that is left unattended without stopping the engine and effectively setting the brake violates this section and the driver may be charged with a noncriminal traffic infraction punishable by a \$30 penalty.⁵

This section does not apply to the operator of:

- An authorized emergency vehicle equipped with antitheft device prohibiting the vehicle from being driven;
- A licensed delivery truck being used for business;
- A solid waste or recovered materials collection vehicle.

Remote keyless systems are devices that allow a vehicle to be started up without requiring either the driver or the key to be physically inside the automobile. This is accomplished through a

⁵ Section 318.18, F.S.

component that is connected to the ignition system and fitted with a radio receiver. When that component receives a signal from a paired transmitter, which typically takes the form of a key fob, it activates the starter motor. Through the advancement of technology, some latest vehicle models can be started by way of Smartphones.

Many vehicle models currently on the market and in use on the roadways are capable of being started by remote control, without a key. The current exceptions from the prohibition against allowing a vehicle to stand unattended without first stopping the engine, locking the ignition, and removing the key do not include a vehicle that is started by remote control while the ignition, transmission, and doors are locked.

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill amends s. 316.1975, F.S., to provide that the prohibition does not apply to a vehicle started by remote control while the ignition, transmission, and doors are locked, thereby removing a potential penalty for violating the prohibition.

Section 7 (s. 316.2952(2), F.S.) - Vehicle Windshields/Global Positioning System Devices

Current Situation

Current law requires that every motor vehicle, except a motorcycle or implement of husbandry, be equipped with a windshield.⁶ Operation of a vehicle with any sign, sun-screening material, product, or covering attached to, in, or on the windshield is prohibited, except for the following:

- A certificate or other paper required to be displayed by law.
- Sun-screening material along a strip at the top of the windshield, if the material is transparent and does not encroach upon the driver's direct forward viewing area.
- A device, issued by a governmental entity or its designee, used for electronic toll payments.
- A global positioning system (GPS) or similar device used to obtain navigation or routing information while the motor vehicle is being operated.⁷

Many public and private entities utilize GPS and camera-based devices to monitor fleet operations and provide additional sensory-based safety features.⁸ Suppliers of such devices report multiple benefits, such as improved vehicle maintenance, driver safety, and delivery times, as well as reduced insurance costs and overall reduction in operational expenses. Concern exists that the current exception for GPS or similar devices on vehicle windshields for purposes other than navigation or routing may not allow these devices to be placed on windshields.

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill amends s. 316.2952(2), F.S., to specifically include in the current exception for GPS devices on windshields, those devices used to improve driver safety as a component of safety or provide driver feedback.

⁶ Section 316.2952(1), F.S.

⁷ Section 316.2952(2), F.S.

⁸ See, e.g., the following supplier websites: <http://www.networkfleet.com/>; <http://www.fleetistics.com/>; <http://www.fmsgps.com/frontend/>.

Sections 8 and 10 (ss. 320.02 and 320.1316, F.S.) - Motor Vehicle Registration Holds*Current Situation*

Section 320.02, F.S., requires every owner/operator of a motor vehicle, driving on roads of Florida register his or her vehicle in this state. The owner or person in charge shall apply and register to the DHSMV or to its authorized agent each such vehicle on a form prescribed by the DHSMV.

Section 320.1316, F.S., allows a lienor to notify the DHSMV when a liened vehicle is not surrendered as ordered and has otherwise been disposed of, concealed, removed, or destroyed by the registered owner (lienee.) When the DHSMV is so notified, the registered owner's name is placed on the list of persons who may not be issued a license plate or revalidation sticker for any vehicle. If the unsurrendered vehicle is jointly-owned, the name of each registered owner is placed on the list and no vehicle may be registered by any of the named individuals.

The lienor must maintain proof that written notice to surrender the vehicle was sent to each registered owner pursuant to s. 320.1316(1), F.S. A revalidation sticker or replacement license plate may not be issued for any vehicle until that person's name is no longer on the list or until the person presents documentation from the lienor that the unsurrendered vehicle has been surrendered to the lienor.

Citing insufficient due process to the affected registered owners, the DHSMV has declined to enforce the provisions of s. 320.1316, F.S., since its revision in 2010.

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill amends ss. 320.02 and 320.1316, F.S., to require that when an applicant's name appears on a list of persons who may not be issued a license plate, revalidation sticker, or replacement license plate after a written notice to surrender a vehicle or vessel was submitted to the DHSMV by a lienor as provided in s. 320.1316, F.S., the DHSMV shall withhold renewal of registration or replacement registration of *only* the motor vehicle identified in the notice.

The registered owner of the vehicle may dispute a notice to surrender his or her inclusion on the list by bringing a civil action in the county in which he or she resides. If the registered owner challenges the refusal to issue a license plate under s. 320.03(8), F.S., the court shall determine whether the lienor has a recorded lien on the vehicle or vessel and whether the lienor properly made a demand for the surrender in accordance with this section. The court shall determine whether good cause exists, which is limited to proof that:

- The vehicle was traded in to a licensed motor vehicle dealer before the date of the surrender demand;
- The lien of the vehicle in question has been paid in full, and demand satisfied;
- There is ongoing litigation relating to the validity or enforceability of the lien;
- The petitioner no longer has possession of the vehicle or vessel, and the loss of possession occurred under the operation of law (if the loss of possession did not occur under the operation of law, and a third party has physical possession of the vehicle or vessel, good cause is not proven).

If the petitioner establishes good cause for failure to surrender, the vehicle or vessel, the court shall order removal of the petitioner's name(s) from the list of persons who may not be issued a license plate, revalidation sticker, or replacement license plate, and reasonable attorney fees and costs shall be awarded.

However, if the court finds that the demand for surrender was properly made by the lienor and the petitioner fails to establish good cause for the failure to surrender the vehicle or vessel, the court shall award the lienor reasonable attorney fees and costs.

The lienor must maintain proof that written notice to surrender the vehicle or vessel was sent to each registered owner pursuant to s. 320.1316(1), F.S. Only the vehicle identified on the notice to surrender may be withheld from issuance of a revalidation sticker or replacement license plate, until the person's name no longer appear on the list, having presented the proper documentation from the lienor informing that the vehicle or vessel has been surrendered to the lienor, or a court orders that person's name removed from the list.

Section 22 of the bill also directs the DHSMV to develop a plan of action that addresses motor vehicle registration holds placed pursuant to ss. 316.1001 (toll violations), 316.1967 (parking violations), and 318.15 (civil penalty compliance), for presentation to the Legislature by February 1, 2015. The plan must include a methodology for applicants who names have been placed on the list of persons who may be issued a license plate or revalidation sticker to rectify the cause of the hold through the payment of any outstanding toll, parking ticket, fine, and any other fee at the point of collection of the registration fee.

Section 9 (s. 320.083) - Amateur Radio Operators/Special License Plate

Current Situation

Amateur radio or ham radio call signs are unique identifiers for licensed amateur radio operators. In the United States, amateur radio licensing is governed by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) which issues licenses to operate amateur stations for personal use to individuals once they demonstrate an understanding of both pertinent FCC regulations and knowledge of radio station operation and safety considerations. Canadian call signs are regulated internationally by the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) as well as nationally by Industry Canada, which regulates all aspects of amateur radio in the country. It assigns call signs, issues amateur radio licenses, conducts exams, allots frequency spectrum, and monitors the radio waves.

In 1952, Canada and the United States signed a Reciprocal Operating Agreement treaty. In the terms of the agreement, visiting amateurs may operate in the host country in accordance with the rules and regulations of the host country.

Under s. 320.083, F.S., persons who hold a valid amateur radio license *issued* by the FCC, may have their radio's call sign assigned to a special license plate for an additional fee. However, persons whose call sign was assigned in Canada by the ITU, may not.

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill revises s. 320.083(1), F.S., to allow persons with amateur radio licenses *recognized* by the FCC to apply for a special license plate with their call sign assigned. This will allow Canadian, and potentially other long term visitors who register vehicles in the state to display their amateur radio call signs on their Florida license plate.

Sections 11, 12, and 14 (ss. 322.032, 322.059, and 322.15) - Digital Proof of Driver License*Current Situation*

Section 322.059, F.S., requires that any person whose driver license or registration has been suspended must return that driver license immediately to the DHSMV. If he or she fails to return their license or registration, a law enforcement agent may seize the driver's license.

Section 322.15, F.S., requires that every licensee must have his or her driver license in their possession at all times while operating a motor vehicle and shall display that license upon demand of a law enforcement officer or an authorized representative of the DHSMV.

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill creates 322.032, F.S., requiring the DHSMV to develop a secure and uniform system for issuing an optional digital proof of driver license by October 1, 2016. The DHSMV may contract with private entities to develop the system.

- The digital proof of driver license system must be in such a format that will allow law enforcement to verify the authenticity of digital driver licenses.
- The DHSMV may promulgate rules to ensure valid authentication of the digital driver licenses by law enforcement.
- A person may not be issued a digital proof of driver license until he or she has satisfied all the requirements of this chapter and has received a physical driver license as provided in this chapter.

A person who:

- Manufactures a false digital proof of driver license commits a felony of the third degree, punishable by a term of imprisonment not exceeding five years,⁹ a possible additional fine not exceeding \$5,000,¹⁰ or imposition under certain circumstances of an extended term of imprisonment for habitual felony offenders, habitual violent felony offenders, three-time felony offenders, and violent career criminals.¹¹
- Possesses a false digital proof of driver license commits a misdemeanor of the second degree, punishable by a term of imprisonment not exceeding 60 days.¹²

⁹ Section 775.082, F.S.

¹⁰ Section 775.083, F.S.

¹¹ Section 775.084, F.S.

¹² See supra note 9.

The bill also amends s. 322.059, F.S., to require the DHSMV to invalidate the digital proof of driver license issued under this chapter if the motor vehicle operator was driving on a suspended license or registration.

Section 322.15, F.S., is amended to provide that a licensee may display digital proof of driver license as provided in this chapter in lieu of a physical driver license.

Sections 13 and 15 (ss. 322.12 and 322.21, F.S.) - Driver License Fees/Tax Collectors

Current Situation

Section 322.12, F.S., requires that each applicant for an original driver license pass an initial knowledge test and initial skills test. An applicant who fails to pass the initial knowledge test must pay a \$10 fee for each subsequent test, to be deposited into the Highway Safety Operating Trust Fund. An applicant who fails to pass the initial skills test must pay a \$20 fee for each subsequent test, to be deposited in the same trust fund.

Section 322.21, F.S., establishes the following fees, except as otherwise provided, for:

- A replacement driver license, \$25, of which \$7 is deposited into the Highway Safety Operating Trust Fund and \$18 is deposited into the General Revenue Fund. Beginning July 1, 2015, or upon completion of the transition of driver license issuance services, if the replacement is issued by the tax collector, the tax collector retains the \$7 that would otherwise be deposited into the Highway Safety Operating Trust Fund, and the remaining revenues are deposited into the General Revenue Fund.
- An original, renewal, or replacement identification card issued pursuant to s. 322.051, F.S., \$25, which is distributed as follows:
 - for an original identification card, the \$25 is deposited into the General Revenue Fund;
 - for a renewal identification card issued, \$6 of the \$25 is deposited into the Highway Safety Operating Trust Fund and \$19 into the General Revenue Fund;
 - for a replacement identification card, \$9 of the \$25 is deposited into the Highway Safety Operating Trust Fund and \$16 is deposited into the General Revenue Fund.

Again, beginning July 1, 2015, or upon completion of the transition of driver license issuance services, if the replacement is issued by the tax collector, the tax collector retains the \$9 that would otherwise be deposited into the Highway Safety Operating Trust Fund, and the remaining revenues are deposited into the General Revenue Fund.

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill amends s. 322.12, F.S., to revise the distribution of fees as follows:

- Of the \$10 fee for each subsequent initial knowledge test, \$6 is retained by the tax collector if the tax collector conducted the test, and the remaining \$4 is deposited into the Highway Safety Operating Trust Fund.
- Of the \$20 fee for each subsequent initial skills test, \$15 is retained by the tax collector if the tax collector conducted the test, and the remaining \$5 is deposited into the Highway Safety Operating Trust Fund.
- In both cases, all fees incurred by an applicant with a third-party provider or administered at a state facility are deposited into the Highway Safety Operating Trust Fund.

The bill also amends s. 322.21, F.S., to authorize county tax collectors to retain \$7 of the \$25 fee for a replacement driver license, and \$9 of the \$25 fee for a replacement identification card, otherwise deposited into the Highway Safety Operating Trust Fund, if the tax collector has completed the transition of driver licensing services.

The bill also exempts an applicant who presents satisfactory evidence that he or she is homeless or that his or her annual income is at or below 100 percent of the federal poverty level¹³ from the \$25 fee for an original, renewal, or replacement identification card issued pursuant to s. 322.051, F.S.

Section 16 (s. 337.25, F.S.) - Disposal and Lease of Real and Personal Property

Current Situation

The FDOT is authorized to purchase, lease, exchange, or otherwise acquire any land, property interests, buildings or other improvements necessary for rights-of-way for existing or anticipated transportation facilities on the State Highway System, on the State Park Road System, or in an FDOT designated rail or transportation corridor. The FDOT may also accept donations of land, building, or other improvements for transportation rights-of-way and may compensate an entity by providing replacement facilities when the land, building, or other improvements are needed for transportation purposes but are held by a federal, state, or local governmental entity and used for public purposes other than transportation.¹⁴

The FDOT is required to conduct a complete inventory of all real or personal property immediately upon acquisition, including an itemized listing of all appliances, fixtures, and other severable items, a statement of the location or site of each piece of realty, structure, or severable item; and the serial number assigned to each.¹⁵ The FDOT must evaluate the inventory of real property which has been owned for at least 10 years and which is not within a transportation corridor or the right-of-way of a transportation facility. If the property is not located within a transportation corridor or is not needed for a transportation facility, FDOT is authorized to dispose of the property.¹⁶ According to the FDOT, 85 percent of its currently-owned surplus property is valued at under \$50,000.

Sale of Property: The FDOT is authorized to sell any land, building, or other real or personal property it acquired if the FDOT determines the property is not needed for a transportation facility.¹⁷ The FDOT is required to first offer the property (“first right of refusal”) to the local government in whose jurisdiction the property is located, with the exception of the following parcels:

¹³ <https://www.healthcare.gov/glossary/federal-poverty-level-FPL/> (last visited 2/27/14)

¹⁴ Section 337.25(1), F.S.

¹⁵ Section 337.25(2), F.S.

¹⁶ Section 337.25(3), F.S.

¹⁷ Section 337.25(4), F.S.

- The FDOT may negotiate the sale of property, at no less than fair market value as determined by an independent appraisal, to the owner holding title to abutting property, if in the FDOT's discretion public sale would be inequitable.¹⁸
- The FDOT may sell property acquired for use as a borrow pit, at no less than fair market value, to the owner of abutting land from which the pit was originally acquired, if the pit is no longer needed.¹⁹
- The FDOT may convey to a county without consideration any property acquired by a county or by the FDOT using constitutional gas tax funds for a right-of-way or borrow pit for a road on the State Highway System, State Park Road System, or county road system if the property is no longer used or needed by the FDOT; and the county may sell the property on receipt of competitive bids.²⁰
- A governmental entity may authorize re-conveyance to the original donor of property donated to the state for transportation purposes if the facility has not been constructed for at least five years, no plans have been prepared for construction of the facility, and the property is not located within a transportation corridor.²¹
- The FDOT may negotiate the sale of property as replacement housing if the property was originally acquired for persons displaced by transportation projects and if the state receives no less than its investment in such properties or fair market value, whichever is lower. This benefit extends only to persons actually displaced by a project, and dispositions to any other person must be for fair market value.²²

Once the FDOT determines the property is not needed for a transportation facility and has extended and received rejection of required first rights of refusal, FDOT is also authorized to:

- Negotiate the sale of property if its value is \$10,000 or less as determined by FDOT estimate;²³
- Sell the property to the highest bidder through "due advertisement" of receipt of sealed competitive bids or by public auction if its value exceeds \$10,000 as determined by the FDOT estimate;²⁴
- Determine the fair market value of property through appraisal conducted by an FDOT appraiser, if the FDOT begins the process for disposing of property on its own initiative, either by authorized negotiation or by authorized receipt of sealed competitive bids or public auction;²⁵
- Convey the property without consideration to a governmental entity if the property is to be used for a public purpose;²⁶and
- Use the projected maintenance costs of the property over the next five years to offset the market value in establishing a value for disposal of the property, even if that value is zero, if

¹⁸ Section 337.25(4)(c), F.S.

¹⁹ Section 337.25(4)(d), F.S.

²⁰ Section 337.25(4)(f), F.S.

²¹ Section 337.25(4)(g), F.S.

²² Section 337.25(4)(i), F.S.

²³ Section 337.25(4)(a), F.S.

²⁴ Section 337.25(4)(b), F.S.

²⁵ Section 337.25(4)(e), F.S.

²⁶ Section 337.25(4)(h), F.S.

the FDOT determines that the property will require significant costs to be incurred or that continued ownership of the property exposes the FDOT to significant liability risks.²⁷

Lease of Property: The FDOT is further authorized to convey a leasehold interest for commercial or other purposes to any acquired land, building, or other property, real or personal, subject to the following:²⁸

- The FDOT may negotiate such a lease at the prevailing market value with the owner from whom the property was acquired; with the holders of leasehold estates existing at the time of the FDOT's acquisition; or, if public bidding would be inequitable, with the owner of privately owned abutting property, after reasonable notice to all other abutting property owners.²⁹
- All other leases must be by competitive bid.³⁰
- Such leases are limited to five years in duration, but the FDOT may renegotiate a lease for an additional five-year term without rebidding.³¹
- Each lease must require that any improvements made to the property during the lease term be removed at the lessee's expense.³²
- If property is to be used for a public purpose, including a fair, art show, or other educational, cultural, or fundraising activity, the property may be leased without consideration to a governmental entity or school board.³³
- No lease may be used by the lessee to establish the four years' standing required by eminent domain law if the business had not been established for four years on the date title passed to the FDOT.³⁴
- The FDOT may enter into a long-term lease without compensation with certain public ports for rail corridors used for the operation of a short-line railroad to the port.³⁵

The appraisals currently required under s. 337.25(4)(c) and (d), F.S., must be prepared in accordance with the FDOT guidelines and rules by an independent appraiser certified by the FDOT.³⁶ When "due advertisement" is required, an advertisement in a newspaper of general circulation in the area of the improvements of not less than 14 calendar days prior to the date of the receipt of bids or the date on which a public auction is to be held satisfies the requirement.³⁷

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill amends s. 337.25, F.S., to revise the terms and conditions under which the FDOT may sell or lease properties acquired for transportation rights-of-way and to authorize the FDOT to contract for auction services used in the conveyance of real or personal property or leasehold

²⁷ Section 337.25(4)(j), F.S.

²⁸ Section 337.25(5), F.S.

²⁹ Section 337.25(5)(a), F.S.

³⁰ Section 337.25(5)(b), F.S.

³¹ Section 337.25(5)(c), F.S.

³² Section 337.25(5)(d), F.S.

³³ Section 337.25(5)(e), F.S.

³⁴ Section 337.25(5)(g), F.S.

³⁵ Section 337.25(5)(h), F.S.

³⁶ Section 337.25(7), F.S.

³⁷ Section 337.25(8), F.S.

interests and to authorize such contracts to allow the contractor to retain a portion of the proceeds as compensation.

The FDOT is authorized to “convey”, rather than “sell” land, buildings, or other real or personal property after determining the property isn’t needed for a transportation facility and to dispose of property through negotiations, sealed competitive bids, auctions, or any other means deemed to be in the FDOT’s best interest. Due advertisement is required for property valued at more than \$10,000, and no property may be sold at less than fair market value except as specified. The FDOT is authorized, rather than required, to afford a right of first refusal to a political subdivision, or local government in which the parcel is located, except in conveyances when the property has been donated to the state for transportation purposes and a facility has not been constructed for at least 5 years, the property was originally required for replacement housing for persons displaced by transportation projects, or property which the FDOT has determined a sale to anyone other than the abutting land owner would be inequitable.

The FDOT is prohibited from conveying a leasehold interest at a price less than the FDOT’s current estimate of value and specifies that a lease may be created through negotiations, sealed competitive bids, auctions, or any other means deemed to be in the best interest by the FDOT. A lease shall not be for a period of more than 5 years, however, the FDOT may extend the lease for an additional 5 years without rebidding.

The FDOT is required to publish a notice when a proposal to lease property has been received, stating that a proposal has been received and that FDOT will accept other proposals for 120 days after the date of publication for lease of the property. The FDOT is authorized to establish, by rule, an application fee for the submission of the proposals.

The FDOT’s estimate of value must be prepared in accordance with department procedures, guidelines, and rules for valuation of real property. If the value of the property exceeds \$50,000, the sale or lease must be negotiated at a price not less than the estimated value determined by the FDOT.

This section does not modify the eminent domain requirement of s. 73.013, F.S.

Section 17 (s. 337.251, F.S.) - Unsolicited Lease Proposals

Current Situation

Section 337.251, F.S., *Lease of property for joint public-private development and areas above or below department property*, authorizes the FDOT to request proposals for the lease of the FDOT property for joint public-private development or commercial development. The FDOT may also receive and consider unsolicited proposals for such uses. If the FDOT receives an unsolicited proposal to negotiate a lease, the FDOT must publish a notice in a newspaper of general circulation at least once a week for two weeks, stating that it has received the proposal and will accept, for 60 days after the date of publication, other proposals for use of the space. The FDOT must also mail a copy of the notice to each local government in the affected area.

Any unsolicited lease proposal must be selected based on competitive bidding, and the FDOT is authorized to consider such factors as the value of property exchanges, the cost of construction,

and other recurring costs for the benefit of the FDOT by the lessee in lieu of direct revenue to the FDOT if such other factors are of equal value including innovative proposals to involve minority businesses. Before entering into any lease, the FDOT must determine that the property subject to the lease has a permanent transportation use related to the FDOT responsibilities, has the potential for such future transportation uses, or constitutes airspace or subsurface rights attached to property having such uses, and is therefore not available for sale as surplus property.

Section 334.30, F.S., *Public-private transportation facilities*, authorizes the FDOT to lease certain toll facilities through public-private partnerships and also authorizes the FDOT to receive unsolicited proposals. That section directs the FDOT to establish by rule an application fee sufficient to pay the costs of evaluating a proposal. The FDOT is further authorized to engage the services of private consultants to assist in the evaluation.

Unlike s. 337.251, F.S., before approving a proposal, the FDOT must determine that the proposed project is in the public's best interest; would not require state funds to be used unless the project is on the SHS; would have adequate safeguards in place to ensure that no additional costs or service disruptions would be realized by the traveling public and residents of the state in the event of default or cancellation of the agreement by the FDOT; would have adequate safeguards in place to ensure that the FDOT or the private entity has the opportunity to add capacity to the proposed project and other transportation facilities serving similar origins and destinations; and would be owned by the FDOT upon completion or termination of the agreement.³⁸ In addition, before awarding a contract for lease of an existing toll facility through a public-private partnership, the FDOT is required to provide an independent analysis of the proposed lease that demonstrates the cost-effectiveness and overall public benefit.

If the FDOT receives an unsolicited proposal for a lease through a public-private partnership, the FDOT must publish a notice in the Florida Administrative Weekly and a newspaper of general circulation at least once a week for two weeks stating that the FDOT has received the proposal and will accept, for 120 days after the initial date of publication, other proposals for the same project purpose. The FDOT must also mail a copy of the notice to each local government in the affected area.

Effect of Proposed Changes

Section 17 amends s. 337.251(2), F.S., relating to the lease of property for joint public-private development, to:

- require that if the FDOT receives a proposal for a lease (rather than to negotiate a lease) of particular property the FDOT desires to consider, it shall publish the currently required newspaper notice stating that the FDOT will accept for 120 (rather than 60) days other proposals for lease of the particular property;
- direct the FDOT to establish by rule an application fee for the submission of proposals under s. 337.251, F.S., sufficient to pay the anticipated costs of evaluating the proposals;
- authorize the FDOT to engage the services of private consultants to assist in the evaluations;
- require the FDOT, before approval of any proposal, to determine that the proposed lease is in the public's best interest, does not require state funds to be used, and has adequate safeguards

³⁸ The ownership requirement in s. 334.30, F.S., would not, of course, apply to a lease arrangement under s. 337.251, F.S.

in place to ensure that no additional costs or service disruptions would be realized by the traveling public and residents of the state in the event of default by the private lessee or upon termination or expiration of the lease; and

- require the FDOT to provide an independent analysis of a proposed lease that demonstrates the cost-effectiveness and overall public benefit before moving forward with the procurement and before awarding the contract if the procurement moves forward.

These revisions bring the process under s. 337.251, F.S., closer to that under s. 334.30, F.S.

Section 18 (s. 339.175, F.S.) - Metropolitan Planning Organizations

Current Situation

Based on census data, the U.S. Bureau of the Census designates urbanized areas throughout the state. Federal law and rule (23 U.S.C. 134 and 23 C.F.R. 450 Part C) require a metropolitan planning organization (MPO) to be designated for each urbanized area³⁹ or group of contiguous urbanized areas. In addition, federal law and rules specify the requirements for a MPO transportation planning and programming activities. These requirements are updated after each federal transportation reauthorization bill enacted by Congress. State law also includes provisions governing MPO activities. Section 339.175, F.S., paraphrases or restates some key federal requirements. In addition, state law includes provisions that go beyond the federal requirements. For example, federal requirements regarding MPO membership are very general, while state law is more specific.

Section 339.175(2)(a)2., F.S., currently provides that designation of an MPO be accomplished by agreement between the Governor and units of general-purpose local government representing at least 75 percent of the population of the urbanized area; however, the unit of general-purpose local government that represents the central city or cities within the MPO jurisdiction, as defined by the United State Bureau of the Census, must be a party to such agreement. This language has been superseded by revisions to 23 U.S.C. 134(d) and 23 C.F.R. 450.310(b), which now require designation to be accomplished by agreement between the Governor and units of general-purpose local government that together represent at least 75 percent of the population (including the largest incorporated city, based on population, as named by the Bureau of the Census) or in accordance with procedures established by applicable State or local law.

An existing MPO may be re-designated by agreement between the Governor and units of general-purpose local government that together represent at least 75 percent of the existing population in the area served, including the largest incorporated city.⁴⁰ Re-designation of an MPO is required whenever the existing MPO proposes to make a substantial change in the proportion of voting members on the existing MPO representing the largest incorporated city, other units of general-purpose local government served by the MPO, and the State; or a substantial change in the decision-making authority or responsibility of the MPO, or in decision-making procedures established under the MPO bylaws.⁴¹

³⁹ An urbanized area is defined by the U.S. Bureau of the Census and has a population of 50,000 or more.

⁴⁰ 23 C.F.R. 450.301(h) (2012).

⁴¹ 23 C.F.R. 450.301(k) (2012).

Current law does not authorize more than 19 members on an MPO in cases when the MPO is re-designated as a result of the expansion of an MPO to include a new urbanized area or the consolidation of two or more MPOs, even if the membership is already at 19 members.

For both multicounty and single-county MPOs, current law requires that county commission members compose not less than one-third of the MPO governing board membership. All voting members must be elected officials of general-purpose local government.

MPOs are currently required to establish bylaws and adopt rules pursuant to the Administrative Procedures Act.

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill amends s. 339.175, F.S., to:

- revise state law superseded by federal law and rule by requiring that MPO designation occur by agreement between the Governor and units of general-purpose local government that together represent at least 75 percent of the population, including the largest incorporated city, based on population, as named by the Bureau of the Census.
- increase the voting membership from 19 to 25 members, which may provide more flexibility when membership apportionment plans are revised based on updated census data and new or expanded urbanized area boundaries, and could potentially benefit MPO consolidation.
- provide that, with the exception of instances in which all of the county commissioners in a single-county MPO are members of the MPO governing board, county commissioners must compose at least one-third of the MPO governing board membership.
- provide that a multicounty MPO may satisfy the one-third requirement by any combination of county commissioners from each of the counties constituting the MPO.
- authorize general purpose local governments serving on an MPO to include one member who represents a group of general purpose local governments through an entity created by an MPO for that purpose. This would allow an entity created by an MPO that is composed of local government officials, such as an MPO-created committee consisting of local governments not on the MPO, to serve on an MPO.
- authorize, rather than requires, provision of governing board voting membership to authorities or other agencies that perform transportation functions but which are not under the jurisdiction of a general purpose local government. This provides MPO discretion to determine which authorities or other agencies should serve on an MPO governing board.
- provide that each MPO is to review the composition of its membership after each decennial census and, as necessary, reapportion its membership with the Governor, to clarify that the MPO initiates the review and reapportionment of its governing board membership.
- authorize MPOs to establish bylaws by action of its governing board providing procedural rules to guide its proceedings and consideration of matters before the council or, alternatively, adopt rules pursuant to the Administrative Procedures Act.

Section 19 (s. 339.2821, F.S.) - Economic Development Transportation Projects

Current Situation

Florida has a number of economic development incentive programs used to recruit industry to Florida or to persuade existing businesses to expand their operations. One such incentive exists

in what is commonly referred to as the Road Fund, which is funded by the State Transportation Trust Fund and used to assist local government in paying for highway or other transportation infrastructure improvements that will benefit a relocating or expanding company. The amount appropriated for this transfer varies from year to year. The Legislature in 2012 repealed s. 288.063, F.S., in which the Road Fund was statutorily placed, and created s. 339.2821, F.S. The revisions did not change the purpose of the Road Fund but simply moved oversight of the fund from the Florida Department of Economic Opportunity (FDEO) to the FDOT.⁴²

The FDOT, in consultation with the FDEO, is authorized under the new section to make and approve expenditures and contract with the appropriate government body for the direct costs of transportation projects. Current law specifies that as part of the contractual agreement between the FDOT and a governmental body, the governmental body must provide FDOT with specified quarterly reports, the FDOT may only transfer funds on a quarterly basis, the governmental body must expend funds received in a timely manner, and the FDOT may only transfer funds after construction has begun on the facility of a business on whose behalf the award was made.

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill amends s. 339.2821, F.S., to include Enterprise Florida, Inc., as an FDOT consultant in making and approving economic development transportation project contracts; provide authority for the FDOT to terminate a grant award if construction of the transportation project does not begin within four years after the date of the initial grant award; and expands the type of authorized transportation facility projects to include spaceports.

Section 20 (s. 526.141, F.S.) - Gasoline Stations/Accessibility/Preemption of Regulation

Current Situation

People with disabilities may find it difficult or impossible to use the controls, hose, or nozzle of a self-serve gas pump. As a result, at stations that offer both self and full service, people with disabilities might have no choice but to purchase the more expensive gas from a full-serve pump. At locations with only self-serve pumps, people with disabilities might be unable to purchase gas at all. The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requires self-serve gas stations to provide equal access to their customers with disabilities. If necessary to provide access, gas stations must:

- Provide refueling assistance upon the request of an individual with a disability. A service station or convenience store is not required to provide such service at any time that it is operating on a remote control basis with a single employee, but is encouraged to do so, if feasible.
- Let patrons know (e.g., through appropriate signs) that customers with disabilities can obtain refueling assistance by either honking or otherwise signaling an employee.
- Provide the refueling assistance without any charge beyond the self-serve price.⁴³

⁴² Budget Committee Final Analysis of SB 1998:

<http://www.flsenate.gov/Session/Bill/2012/1998/Analyses/M6TO2qtoNCs60=PL=Y=PL=DT9BT2bnWNo=%7C11/Public/Bills/1900-1999/1998/Analysis/s1998z2.TEDAS.PDF>.

⁴³ <http://www.ada.gov/gasserve.htm> (last visited 2/26/14)

Section 526.141, F.S., requires every full-service gasoline station that offers gasoline at a lower price to provide an attendant to dispense gasoline from the self-service portion of the station to any motorist properly displaying an exemption parking permit as provided in s. 316.1958, F.S.,⁴⁴ or a license plate bearing the international accessibility symbol, and the operator is the person to whom such permit was issued. Such stations shall prominently display a decal no larger than 8 square inches on the front of all self-service pumps clearly stating the requirements and applicable penalties for violations. Violation of this section is a misdemeanor of the second degree, punishable by a term of imprisonment not exceeding 60 days⁴⁵ or by a \$500 fine.⁴⁶

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill amends s. 526.141, F.S., to require, by July 1, 2016, a full-service gas station offering self-service at a lesser cost to prominently display, in addition to the above-described decal, a blue decal at least 15 square inches in size that clearly displays the international symbol of accessibility, the station's telephone number, and the words "Call for Assistance." The Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services is directed to adopt rules to implement and enforce these provisions, and local government laws and regulations pertaining to the provision of fueling assistance by a self-service gas station are preempted and superseded.

Section 21 (ch. 85-364, as amended by ch. 95-382, LOF) - Pinellas Bayway/Maintenance Costs

Current Situation

The FDOT-owned, tolled Pinellas Bayway System is a series of causeways and bridges providing a connection between St. Petersburg Beach, Fort DeSoto Park, and I-275:

The east-west section of the facility (SR 682) provides a connection between I-275 (via 54th Avenue) on the east and Gulf Boulevard (SR 699) on the west. This section crosses the Bayway Isles and Isle Del Sol. The north-south section of the facility (SR 679) extends from Isle Del Sol through Tierra Verde to Mullet Key and Fort DeSoto Park. The facility was opened to traffic in December 1962.⁴⁷

The 1985 Legislature directed that all tolls collected shall first be used for the payment of annual operating costs, second to discharge the current bond indebtedness,⁴⁸ and thereafter to establish a reserve construction account to be used for certain Pinellas Bayway improvements. Maintenance costs were not included in the directive. Instead, the FDOT was required, upon completion of those certain improvements, to continue to collect tolls on the Pinellas Bayway to reimburse the FDOT for all accrued maintenance costs for the Pinellas Bayway.

The 1995 Legislature amended the 1985 law to remove reference to completed improvements and modify references to future improvements but did not change the directive regarding

⁴⁴ Out-of-state vehicles bearing identification of issuance to persons who have disabilities.

⁴⁵ Section 775.082, F.S.

⁴⁶ Section 775.083, F.S.

⁴⁷ Traffic Engineer's Annual Report for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2012, p. 51, on file in the Senate Transportation Committee.

⁴⁸ The FDOT advises no current bond indebtedness exists. The FDOT email, February 4, 2014, on file in the Senate Transportation Committee.

maintenance costs. Consequently, amounts paid by the FDOT for maintenance costs accrue as long-term debt due the State Transportation Trust Fund (STTF), as opposed to the FDOT paying the maintenance costs from toll revenues. As of December 20, 2013, the long-term debt due the STTF as a result of maintenance costs paid by the FDOT is projected to be approximately \$66 million in fiscal year 2022-23.⁴⁹

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill amends s. 2 of chapter 85-364, Laws of Florida, as amended by s. 2 of chapter 95-382, Laws of Florida, to authorize the FDOT to use tolls first for the payment of annual operating *and maintenance* costs. This will allow the FDOT to pay the costs from the toll revenues and no longer accrue those costs as long-term debt. Users of the facility will pay maintenance costs, rather than having the costs subsidized by revenues deposited into the STTF. The bill also removes references to completed improvements.

Section 23 provides the bill takes effect on July 1, 2014.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

The Revenue Estimating Conference has not analyzed the fiscal impact of this bill.

B. Private Sector Impact:

Section 6: Individuals who start their vehicles by remote control while the ignition, transmission, and doors are locked will no longer be subject to a \$30 penalty.

Sections 8 and 10: Individuals will be subject to withholding of registration *only* of the motor vehicle identified in a notice to surrender, which may avoid economic loss for some.

⁴⁹ The FDOT Pinellas Bayway Toll Facility Revenue and Expenditure Report, on file in the Senate Transportation Committee.

Section 17: Those wishing to submit proposals for lease of FDOT property that the FDOT wishes to consider will be subject to an application fee sufficient to pay the anticipated cost of evaluation the proposal, to be established by FDOT rule. Opportunities for private consultant contracts with the FDOT are authorized.

Section 20: Full-service gas stations that offer gasoline at a lower price will incur what is expected to be insignificant expenses associated with displaying the required decal.

C. Government Sector Impact:

Section 1: The FTC will incur additional expenditures associated with monitoring the MBBA and any publicly funded passenger rail system. These expenses are expected to be absorbed within existing resources, as the FTC already monitors the South Florida Regional Transportation Authority, which operates Tri-Rail, and will only add monitoring of the SunRail system to its duties.

Section 4: The Department of State and the Department of Law Enforcement are expected to incur costs associated with establishing a retention schedule for records containing images and data generated through the use of an automated license plate recognition system, which costs are expected to be absorbed within existing resources.

Section 6: The \$30 penalty previously applicable to individuals who start their vehicles by remote control while the ignition, transmission, and doors are locked will no longer be collected.

Section 11: The DHSMV will incur expenses associated with developing a secure and uniform system for issuing an optional digital proof of driver license, which costs are expected to be absorbed within existing resources.

Sections 13 and 15: Deposits into the Highway Safety Operating Trust Fund will be reduced by, and the tax collectors will retain, the specified dollar amounts for initial knowledge and skills tests conducted by tax collectors, and for replacement driver licenses and replacement identification cards issued by tax collectors. The trust fund will additionally be reduced by \$25 for every person made exempt from the fee for an original, renewal, or replacement identification card. A negative impact to the trust fund will occur. At this time, the DHSMV has not yet provided an estimated total impact to the trust fund.

Section 16: The fiscal impact of the modified terms and conditions governing the FDOT's sale or lease of surplus property is indeterminate. However, according to the FDOT, a net positive impact to local revenue is expected as properties are returned to the ad valorem tax roll. In addition, an indeterminate savings to the state is expected as a result of reduced appraisal expenses, especially in cases when such costs approach and even exceed the price received by the FDOT.

Section 17: The FDOT's costs associated with evaluating lease proposals pursuant to s. 337.251, F.S., would presumably be covered by the application fee the FDOT is required

to establish by rule, particularly if the fee includes the cost of private consultants the FDOT is authorized to engage to assist in its evaluations.

Section 20: The Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services will incur expenses to adopt rules relating to decal display at full-service gas stations offering self-service at a lower cost, which costs are expected to be absorbed within existing resources.

Section 22: The DHSMV will incur expenses associated with developing a plan of action that address motor vehicle registration holds for outstanding tolls, parking tickets, and fines, which costs are expected to be absorbed within existing resources.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 20.23, 110.205, 316.1975, 316.2952, 320.02, 320.083, 320.1316, 322.059, 322.12, 322.15, 322.21, 337.25, 337.251, 339.175, 339.2821, 526.141, and s. 2 of chapter 85-364, Laws of Florida, as amended by s. 2 of chapter 95-382, Laws of Florida.

This bill creates the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 316.0071, 316.0778, 316.0817, 322.032, and an unnumbered section of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.