1 A bill to be entitled 2 An act for the relief of Brian Pitts; directing the 3 Division of Administrative Hearings to appoint an 4 administrative law judge to determine a basis for 5 equitable relief for the purpose of compensating Mr. 6 Pitts for any wrongful act or omission by the State of 7 Florida or officials thereof; requiring a report to 8 the Legislature; authorizing compensation upon a 9 determination by the administrative law judge; 10 providing an appropriation to compensate Mr. Pitts for 11 injuries and damages sustained; providing a limitation 12 on the payment of fees and costs; directing that certain court orders and judgments be declared null 13 and void; authorizing Mr. Pitts to practice law under 14 15 certain circumstances; authorizing the President of the Senate, Speaker of the House of Representatives, 16 17 and the Governor to sever portions of this act under certain circumstances; providing an effective date. 18 19 WHEREAS, this state has clearly recognized the practice of 20 21 law by lay persons since at least 1980 as declared in The Florida Bar v. Moses, 380 So. 2d 412, 416-418 (Fla. 1980) and 22 23 The Florida Bar re Advisory Opinion on Nonlawyer Representation 24 in Securities Arbitration, 696 So. 2d 1178, 1180-1181, 1183-1184 25 (Fla. 1997), the Legislature and judiciary having concurrent 26 jurisdiction to regulate such, and Page 1 of 14

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

27 WHEREAS, Mr. Pitts has exercised this privilege since 2001 28 in Pinellas County, and his practice was later confirmed by the 29 Florida Supreme Court in case number SC02-247, in a final order 30 dated November 6, 2003, at clause (1) declaring "unless 31 otherwise authorized by Florida Statutes, court rule, case law, 32 administrative rule, or the rules regulating The Florida Bar," 33 and

34 WHEREAS, since the inception of Mr. Pitts' practice, the 35 Second District Court of Appeal, the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida serving Pasco and Pinellas Counties, the State 36 37 Attorney's Office for the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida, and The Florida Bar have, without good cause, continued to deprive 38 Mr. Pitts of the privilege of practicing law as prescribed by 39 the Legislature and Florida Supreme Court, subjecting him to 40 41 civil and criminal proceedings and penalties on an ongoing 42 basis, and

43 WHEREAS, the Florida Supreme Court, by virtue of the broad, general, yet ambiguous language of its 2003 final order in case 44 45 number SC02-247, has subjected Mr. Pitts to entrapment and has 46 failed to exercise its constitutional duty despite many requests 47 by Mr. Pitts to clarify or amend the final order or to 48 promulgate court rules through The Florida Bar following 49 original proceedings brought or suggested by Mr. Pitts to 50 correct the matter, and

51 WHEREAS, this course of misconduct has been ongoing from 52 2001 to 2012, and such action has resulted in wrongful and Page 2 of 14

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

53 unlawful incarcerations of Mr. Pitts in the Pinellas County jail 54 for a total of nearly 1 year, and

WHEREAS, the purpose of this course of misconduct was to 55 56 retaliate against Mr. Pitts for not being a member of the bar 57 despite being lawfully otherwise authorized to represent third 58 persons he assisted in legitimate legal matters and, by way of 59 his detainment, to thwart his pending pro se actions for relief 60 directed to or from criminal cases SC02-247, SC06-1279, CRCAB-65835CFANO, CRCAB-90407CFANO, CRC07-12964CFANO, CTC07-61 03965MMANO, CTC03-01885MMANO, CTC03-01887MMANO, and CTC03-62 09855MMANO, and 63

WHEREAS, appearing pro se in many of his cases, Mr. Pitts 64 was complimented by several judges of the Sixth Judicial Circuit 65 for his exceptional degree of technical performance and 66 67 competence that would be expected of any trained and experienced member of The Florida Bar, yet he was informed by express or 68 69 implied communication that he would not receive the relief 70 requested in any given proceeding unless represented by a member 71 of The Florida Bar, and

72 WHEREAS, though appearing pro se in said cases and other 73 actions seeking relief from collusion, Mr. Pitts was at times 74 represented by appointed counsel; however, such proceedings 75 proved to be futile and illusory, and the courts failed to abide 76 by binding precedent and stare decisis, where applicable, as 77 well as Florida Rules of Court, as evidenced by the series of 78 filings in each case by Mr. Pitts, or his court-appointed 79 Page 3 of 14

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

79 counsel, hence depriving Mr. Pitts of procedural and substantive 80 due process, equal protection of the law, self-representation, 81 and representation by counsel under the United States 82 Constitution, and

WHEREAS, the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office wrongfully 83 84 incarcerated Mr. Pitts in the Pinellas County jail during the 85 time periods of January 2003 through April 2004 and March 22, 86 2010, through July 4, 2010, and by refusing him administrative 87 alternative sentencing without cause, and by subjecting him to living conditions and circumstances in violation of Florida 88 Model Jail Standards (2.15)(c), (9.08), (9.06)(b), (5.08)(a) and 89 90 (c) (1)-(8), (12.03) (d)-(g) and (i), (12.06), (5.08) (j), (10.01), 91 (6.02), (11.12), (11.16), Appendix A, (4.12), (4.13), (4.15), 92 and (9.10) and in violation of ss. 951.03 and 951.033(3), 93 Florida Statutes, and by extending his sentence an additional 40 94 and 10 days of detention over the ordered sentences in violation 95 of Inmate Handbook XI. A., Florida Model Jail Standard (4.16), 96 and ss. 951.21(1) and 921.16(1), Florida Statutes, thereby 97 subjecting him to cruel and unusual punishment, subjecting him to false imprisonment, and denying him due process and equal 98 99 protection of the law. See Miller v. Carson, 599 F.2d 742 (5th Cir. 1979); Miller v. Carson, 563 F.2d 757 (5th Cir. 1977); 100 101 Miller v. Carson, 563 F.2d 741 (5th Cir. 1977); Miller v. 102 Carson, 401 F. Supp. 835 (M.D. Fla. 1975); Miller v. Carson, 392 103 F. Supp. 515 (M.D. Fla. 1975); Solomos v. Jenne, 776 So. 2d 953 104 (Fla. 4th DCA 2000); Douthit v. Jones, 619 F.2d 527 (5th Cir. Page 4 of 14

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

105 1980), and

WHEREAS, such misconduct is a clear abuse of judicial, executive, and administrative authority as to the state court system and local government, including the State Attorney's Office for the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida and the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office, and

111 WHEREAS, Mr. Pitts' good name and reputation have been 112 damaged, he has been deprived of due process, the ability to 113 conduct a lawful business, freedom of speech, property, liberty, and equal protection of the law, he has not benefited from 114 115 constitutional protections against unlawful trusts by public officers and employees (oath of office) and double jeopardy as 116 to criminal proceedings and sanctions, he has suffered mental 117 anguish and emotional distress as the result of the intentional 118 119 misconduct and gross negligence of the courts, the State 120 Attorney's Office for the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida, The Florida Bar, and the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office relating 121 122 to his practice of law as a non-lawyer in this state, and, 123 further, there is no state-action exception to federal anti-124 trust laws (Sherman Act), which were violated in the subject 125 cases, and

WHEREAS, Mr. Pitts has suffered, and continues to suffer, significant monetary damage by virtue of lost income, property, and time, expenses, fees, fines, costs, and restitution resulting from the civil and criminal proceedings relating to his alleged unauthorized or unlicensed practice of law, and

Page 5 of 14

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

 Legislature to instruct, advise, inform, and advocate for or against proposed legislation covering a broad spectrum of topics and subject matter in fact and law with an exceptional degree of technical performance and competence that would be expected of any trained and experienced member of The Florida Bar, and WHEREAS, the Legislature recognizes that no system of justice is impervious to human error, and WHEREAS, the Legislature acknowledges that the state's system of justice sometimes yields imperfect results that may have tragic consequences, and WHEREAS, this claim is based on a moral and legal obligation of the Legislature to acknowledge its own acts and inherent authority to correct a wrong where normal or other state authority, remedy, or resolution has been intentionally avoided, deprived, or denied in an arbitrary and capricious manner, resulting in a manifest injustice or disregard for the law, and WHEREAS, this is in accord with rulings of the courts concerning legislative claim bills as expressed in <i>Circuit Court</i> of <i>Twelfth Judicial Circuit v. Dep't of Natural Res.</i>, 339 So. 2d lil3, 1116-1117 (Fla. 1976), in which the court held that one may seek a claim bill through the Legislature, for "[a]bsent legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity this Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"; Gerard v. Dep't of Transp., 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985), 	131	WHEREAS, Mr. Pitts, on many occasions, appears before the
and subject matter in fact and law with an exceptional degree of technical performance and competence that would be expected of any trained and experienced member of The Florida Bar, and WHEREAS, the Legislature recognizes that no system of justice is impervious to human error, and WHEREAS, the Legislature acknowledges that the state's system of justice sometimes yields imperfect results that may have tragic consequences, and WHEREAS, this claim is based on a moral and legal obligation of the Legislature to acknowledge its own acts and inherent authority to correct a wrong where normal or other state authority, remedy, or resolution has been intentionally avoided, deprived, or denied in an arbitrary and capricious manner, resulting in a manifest injustice or disregard for the law, and WHEREAS, this is in accord with rulings of the courts concerning legislative claim bills as expressed in <i>Circuit Court</i> of <i>Twelfth Judicial Circuit v. Dep't of Natural Res.</i> , 339 So. 2d 1113, 1116-1117 (Fla. 1976), in which the court held that one may seek a claim bill through the Legislature, for "[a]bsent legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity this Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"; Gerard v. Dep't of Transp., 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985),	132	Legislature to instruct, advise, inform, and advocate for or
technical performance and competence that would be expected of any trained and experienced member of The Florida Bar, and WHEREAS, the Legislature recognizes that no system of justice is impervious to human error, and WHEREAS, the Legislature acknowledges that the state's system of justice sometimes yields imperfect results that may have tragic consequences, and WHEREAS, this claim is based on a moral and legal obligation of the Legislature to acknowledge its own acts and inherent authority to correct a wrong where normal or other state authority, remedy, or resolution has been intentionally avoided, deprived, or denied in an arbitrary and capricious manner, resulting in a manifest injustice or disregard for the law, and WHEREAS, this is in accord with rulings of the courts concerning legislative claim bills as expressed in <i>Circuit Court</i> of Twelfth Judicial Circuit v. Dep't of Natural Res., 339 So. 2d 1113, 1116-1117 (Fla. 1976), in which the court held that one may seek a claim bill through the Legislature, for "[a]bsent legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity this Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"; Gerard v. Dep't of Transp., 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985),	133	against proposed legislation covering a broad spectrum of topics
any trained and experienced member of The Florida Bar, and WHEREAS, the Legislature recognizes that no system of justice is impervious to human error, and WHEREAS, the Legislature acknowledges that the state's system of justice sometimes yields imperfect results that may have tragic consequences, and WHEREAS, this claim is based on a moral and legal obligation of the Legislature to acknowledge its own acts and inherent authority to correct a wrong where normal or other state authority, remedy, or resolution has been intentionally avoided, deprived, or denied in an arbitrary and capricious manner, resulting in a manifest injustice or disregard for the law, and WHEREAS, this is in accord with rulings of the courts concerning legislative claim bills as expressed in <i>Circuit Court</i> of <i>Twelfth Judicial Circuit v. Dep't of Natural Res.</i> , 339 So. 2d 1113, 1116-1117 (Fla. 1976), in which the court held that one may seek a claim bill through the Legislature, for "[a]bsent legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity this Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"; Gerard v. Dep't of Transp., 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985),	134	and subject matter in fact and law with an exceptional degree of
WHEREAS, the Legislature recognizes that no system of justice is impervious to human error, and WHEREAS, the Legislature acknowledges that the state's system of justice sometimes yields imperfect results that may have tragic consequences, and WHEREAS, this claim is based on a moral and legal obligation of the Legislature to acknowledge its own acts and inherent authority to correct a wrong where normal or other state authority, remedy, or resolution has been intentionally avoided, deprived, or denied in an arbitrary and capricious manner, resulting in a manifest injustice or disregard for the law, and WHEREAS, this is in accord with rulings of the courts concerning legislative claim bills as expressed in <i>Circuit Court</i> of <i>Twelfth Judicial Circuit v. Dep't of Natural Res.</i> , 339 So. 2d 1113, 1116-1117 (Fla. 1976), in which the court held that one may seek a claim bill through the Legislature, for "[a]bsent legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity this Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"; Gerard v. Dep't of Transp., 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985),	135	technical performance and competence that would be expected of
justice is impervious to human error, and WHEREAS, the Legislature acknowledges that the state's system of justice sometimes yields imperfect results that may have tragic consequences, and WHEREAS, this claim is based on a moral and legal obligation of the Legislature to acknowledge its own acts and inherent authority to correct a wrong where normal or other state authority, remedy, or resolution has been intentionally avoided, deprived, or denied in an arbitrary and capricious manner, resulting in a manifest injustice or disregard for the law, and WHEREAS, this is in accord with rulings of the courts concerning legislative claim bills as expressed in <i>Circuit Court</i> of <i>Twelfth Judicial Circuit v. Dep't of Natural Res.</i> , 339 So. 2d 1113, 1116-1117 (Fla. 1976), in which the court held that one may seek a claim bill through the Legislature, for "[a]bsent legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity this Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"; Gerard v. Dep't of Transp., 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985),	136	any trained and experienced member of The Florida Bar, and
WHEREAS, the Legislature acknowledges that the state's system of justice sometimes yields imperfect results that may have tragic consequences, and WHEREAS, this claim is based on a moral and legal obligation of the Legislature to acknowledge its own acts and inherent authority to correct a wrong where normal or other state authority, remedy, or resolution has been intentionally avoided, deprived, or denied in an arbitrary and capricious manner, resulting in a manifest injustice or disregard for the law, and WHEREAS, this is in accord with rulings of the courts concerning legislative claim bills as expressed in <i>Circuit Court of Twelfth Judicial Circuit v. Dep't of Natural Res.</i> , 339 So. 2d 1113, 1116-1117 (Fla. 1976), in which the court held that one may seek a claim bill through the Legislature, for "[a]bsent legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity this Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"; Gerard v. Dep't of Transp., 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985),	137	WHEREAS, the Legislature recognizes that no system of
<pre>140 140 141 142 142 144 144 144 144 144 144 144</pre>	138	justice is impervious to human error, and
141 have tragic consequences, and 142 WHEREAS, this claim is based on a moral and legal 143 obligation of the Legislature to acknowledge its own acts and 144 inherent authority to correct a wrong where normal or other 145 state authority, remedy, or resolution has been intentionally 146 avoided, deprived, or denied in an arbitrary and capricious 147 manner, resulting in a manifest injustice or disregard for the 148 law, and 149 WHEREAS, this is in accord with rulings of the courts 150 concerning legislative claim bills as expressed in <i>Circuit Court</i> 151 of Twelfth Judicial Circuit v. Dep't of Natural Res., 339 So. 2d 1113, 1116-1117 (Fla. 1976), in which the court held that one 153 may seek a claim bill through the Legislature, for "[a]bsent 154 legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity this 155 Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"; 156 Gerard v. Dep't of Transp., 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985),	139	WHEREAS, the Legislature acknowledges that the state's
WHEREAS, this claim is based on a moral and legal obligation of the Legislature to acknowledge its own acts and inherent authority to correct a wrong where normal or other state authority, remedy, or resolution has been intentionally avoided, deprived, or denied in an arbitrary and capricious manner, resulting in a manifest injustice or disregard for the law, and WHEREAS, this is in accord with rulings of the courts concerning legislative claim bills as expressed in <i>Circuit Court</i> of <i>Twelfth Judicial Circuit v. Dep't of Natural Res.</i> , 339 So. 2d 1113, 1116-1117 (Fla. 1976), in which the court held that one may seek a claim bill through the Legislature, for "[a]bsent legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity this Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"; <i>Gerard v. Dep't of Transp.</i> , 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985),	140	system of justice sometimes yields imperfect results that may
obligation of the Legislature to acknowledge its own acts and inherent authority to correct a wrong where normal or other state authority, remedy, or resolution has been intentionally avoided, deprived, or denied in an arbitrary and capricious manner, resulting in a manifest injustice or disregard for the law, and WHEREAS, this is in accord with rulings of the courts concerning legislative claim bills as expressed in <i>Circuit Court</i> of <i>Twelfth Judicial Circuit v. Dep't of Natural Res.</i> , 339 So. 2d 1113, 1116-1117 (Fla. 1976), in which the court held that one may seek a claim bill through the Legislature, for "[a]bsent legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity this Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"; Gerard v. Dep't of Transp., 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985),	141	have tragic consequences, and
<pre>144 inherent authority to correct a wrong where normal or other 145 state authority, remedy, or resolution has been intentionally 146 avoided, deprived, or denied in an arbitrary and capricious 147 manner, resulting in a manifest injustice or disregard for the 148 law, and 149 WHEREAS, this is in accord with rulings of the courts 150 concerning legislative claim bills as expressed in <i>Circuit Court</i> 151 of <i>Twelfth Judicial Circuit v. Dep't of Natural Res.</i>, 339 So. 2d 152 1113, 1116-1117 (Fla. 1976), in which the court held that one 153 may seek a claim bill through the Legislature, for "[a]bsent 154 legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity this 155 Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"; 156 <i>Gerard v. Dep't of Transp.</i>, 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985),</pre>	142	WHEREAS, this claim is based on a moral and legal
<pre>145 state authority, remedy, or resolution has been intentionally 146 avoided, deprived, or denied in an arbitrary and capricious 147 manner, resulting in a manifest injustice or disregard for the 148 law, and 149 WHEREAS, this is in accord with rulings of the courts 150 concerning legislative claim bills as expressed in <i>Circuit Court</i> 151 of Twelfth Judicial Circuit v. Dep't of Natural Res., 339 So. 2d 152 1113, 1116-1117 (Fla. 1976), in which the court held that one 153 may seek a claim bill through the Legislature, for "[a]bsent 154 legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity this 155 Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"; 156 Gerard v. Dep't of Transp., 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985),</pre>	143	obligation of the Legislature to acknowledge its own acts and
<pre>146 avoided, deprived, or denied in an arbitrary and capricious 147 manner, resulting in a manifest injustice or disregard for the 148 law, and 149 WHEREAS, this is in accord with rulings of the courts 150 concerning legislative claim bills as expressed in <i>Circuit Court</i> 151 of Twelfth Judicial Circuit v. Dep't of Natural Res., 339 So. 2d 152 1113, 1116-1117 (Fla. 1976), in which the court held that one 153 may seek a claim bill through the Legislature, for "[a]bsent 154 legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity this 155 Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"; 156 Gerard v. Dep't of Transp., 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985),</pre>	144	inherent authority to correct a wrong where normal or other
147 manner, resulting in a manifest injustice or disregard for the 148 law, and 149 WHEREAS, this is in accord with rulings of the courts 150 concerning legislative claim bills as expressed in <i>Circuit Court</i> 151 <i>of Twelfth Judicial Circuit v. Dep't of Natural Res.</i> , 339 So. 2d 152 1113, 1116-1117 (Fla. 1976), in which the court held that one 153 may seek a claim bill through the Legislature, for "[a]bsent 154 legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity this 155 Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"; 156 <i>Gerard v. Dep't of Transp.</i> , 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985),	145	state authority, remedy, or resolution has been intentionally
148 law, and 149 WHEREAS, this is in accord with rulings of the courts 150 concerning legislative claim bills as expressed in <i>Circuit Court</i> 151 of Twelfth Judicial Circuit v. Dep't of Natural Res., 339 So. 2d 152 1113, 1116-1117 (Fla. 1976), in which the court held that one 153 may seek a claim bill through the Legislature, for "[a]bsent 154 legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity this 155 Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"; 156 Gerard v. Dep't of Transp., 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985),	146	avoided, deprived, or denied in an arbitrary and capricious
WHEREAS, this is in accord with rulings of the courts concerning legislative claim bills as expressed in <i>Circuit Court</i> of <i>Twelfth Judicial Circuit v. Dep't of Natural Res.</i> , 339 So. 2d 1113, 1116-1117 (Fla. 1976), in which the court held that one may seek a claim bill through the Legislature, for "[a]bsent legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity this Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"; Gerard v. Dep't of Transp., 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985),	147	manner, resulting in a manifest injustice or disregard for the
<pre>150 concerning legislative claim bills as expressed in Circuit Court 151 of Twelfth Judicial Circuit v. Dep't of Natural Res., 339 So. 2d 152 1113, 1116-1117 (Fla. 1976), in which the court held that one 153 may seek a claim bill through the Legislature, for "[a]bsent 154 legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity this 155 Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"; 156 Gerard v. Dep't of Transp., 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985),</pre>	148	law, and
<pre>151 of Twelfth Judicial Circuit v. Dep't of Natural Res., 339 So. 2d 152 1113, 1116-1117 (Fla. 1976), in which the court held that one 153 may seek a claim bill through the Legislature, for "[a]bsent 154 legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity this 155 Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"; 156 Gerard v. Dep't of Transp., 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985),</pre>	149	WHEREAS, this is in accord with rulings of the courts
152 1113, 1116-1117 (Fla. 1976), in which the court held that one 153 may seek a claim bill through the Legislature, for "[a]bsent 154 legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity this 155 Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"; 156 Gerard v. Dep't of Transp., 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985),	150	concerning legislative claim bills as expressed in Circuit Court
may seek a claim bill through the Legislature, for "[a]bsent legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity this Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"; <i>Gerard v. Dep't of Transp.</i> , 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985),	151	of Twelfth Judicial Circuit v. Dep't of Natural Res., 339 So. 2d
<pre>154 legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity this 155 Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"; 156 Gerard v. Dep't of Transp., 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985),</pre>	152	1113, 1116-1117 (Fla. 1976), in which the court held that one
<pre>155 Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process"; 156 Gerard v. Dep't of Transp., 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985),</pre>	153	may seek a claim bill through the Legislature, for "[a]bsent
156 Gerard v. Dep't of Transp., 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985),	154	legislation waiving the state's sovereign immunity this
	155	Court cannot authorize relief through the judicial process";
Page 6 of 14	156	Gerard v. Dep't of Transp., 472 So. 2d 1170, 1172 (Fla. 1985),
	I	Page 6 of 14

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2014

157 in which the court stated, "we agree with the Department of 158 Transportation's assertion that a judgment in this case was not 159 a prerequisite to Gerard's filing a claims bill in the 160 legislature," and the First District Court of Appeal in Jetton 161 v. Jacksonville Elec. Auth., 399 So. 2d 396, 397 (Fla. 1st DCA 162 1981), stated that although the Legislature has placed limits on 163 recovery, "claimants remain free to seek legislative relief 164 bills, as they did during days of complete sovereign immunity," and Dickinson v. Bradley, 298 So. 2d 352, 354 (Fla. 1974), held 165 that "any claim bill is restricted to less than the general 166 167 public and its purpose is to discharge the state's moral obligation to any individual or other entity whom or which the 168 legislature recognizes as being entitled to such . . . The 169 170 Legislature may enact a claim bill for what would be a tort if a 171 private party was involved just as effectively as for what would 172 constitute a contractual debt, " and WHEREAS, the Legislature intends that any compensation made 173 174 pursuant to this act be the sole compensation provided by the 175 state for any and all present and future claims arising out of 176 the facts presented in this act, NOW, THEREFORE, 177 178 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 179 180 Section 1. The facts stated in the preamble to this act 181 are found and declared to be true, and all judicial and 182 administrative remedies were exhausted as of March 12, 2010, and Page 7 of 14

2014

183	July 4, 2010, respectively.
184	Section 2. The Division of Administrative Hearings shall
185	appoint an administrative law judge or special master to conduct
186	a hearing and determine a basis for equitable relief for the
187	purpose of compensating Mr. Pitts for any wrongful act or
188	omission of the State of Florida, the State Attorney's Office
189	for the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida, or the Pinellas
190	County Sheriff's Office in proportion to what occurred in the
191	investigations, the civil and criminal proceedings relating to
192	Mr. Pitts' alleged unlicensed or unauthorized practice of law,
193	and his incarcerations totaling nearly 12 months from 2001 to
194	2012, if not longer.
195	Section 3. (1) The administrative law judge or special
196	master shall determine by a preponderance of the evidence
197	whether the State of Florida, the State Attorney's Office for
198	the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida, or the Pinellas County
199	Sheriff's Office committed a wrongful act or omission and
200	whether a basis for equitable relief exists, and if it so finds,
201	the administrative law judge or special master shall award Mr.
202	Pitts an amount of up to \$7 million, but not less than \$1
203	million, to be paid proportionately by the parties that wronged
204	him and to be paid in lump sum or in payments over a period of
205	no more than 10 years.
206	(2) The administrative law judge or special master shall
207	report his or her determination to the President of the Senate
208	and the Speaker of the House of Representatives by July 1, 2014.
I	Page 8 of 14

2014

209	The Chief Financial Officer is directed to draw a warrant in
210	satisfaction of the relief awarded by the administrative law
211	judge, special master, or Legislature as provided in this act,
212	and to pay the warrant out of the Administrative Trust Fund or
213	State Courts Revenue Trust Fund within the state courts system
214	and the State Attorneys Revenue Trust Fund to Brian Pitts.
215	Pinellas County is directed to and shall pay the warrant out of
216	its general revenue fund or by other means it has provided for
217	to pay valid claims against the local government as pertains to
218	the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office and as to its share of the
219	total award to Mr. Pitts.
220	(3) This award is intended to provide the sole
221	compensation for all present and future claims arising out of
222	the factual situation described in this act which resulted in
223	unlawful or unconstitutional acts committed against Mr. Pitts in
224	connection with allegations, judgments, and convictions of the
225	unlicensed or unauthorized practice of law and his
226	incarcerations totaling nearly 12 months, if not longer, from
227	2001 through 2012. The total amount paid for attorney fees,
228	lobbying fees, costs, and other similar expenses relating to
229	this claim may not exceed 25 percent of the amount awarded under
230	this act.
231	(4) All final orders, judgments, decrees, and convictions,
232	and orders or liens pertaining to fees, fines, costs, and
233	restitution, rendered in cases SC06-1279, SC09-195, SC09-2243,
234	and SC02-247, CRCAB-90407CFANO, CRCAB-65835CFANO, CRC07-
·	Page 9 of 14

2014

235	12964CFANO, CTC07-03965MMANO, CTC03-09855MMANO, CTC03-
236	01885MMANO, and CTC03-01887MMANO, wherein Mr. Pitts is the
237	respondent or defendant with any appellate reviews resulting
238	therefrom, are null and void and are annulled by this act by
239	virtue of the doctrine of separation of powers because the
240	courts failed to recognize the Legislature's lawful and valid
241	enactments and its own lawful and valid case precedent and rules
242	or orders, authorizing lay representation as expressed in The
243	Florida Bar v. Moses, 380 So. 2d 412, 416-418 (Fla. 1980); by
244	virtue of inherent authority of this Legislature as expressed in
245	Florida House of Representatives v. Crist, 999 So. 2d 601, 611
246	(Fla. 2008), Trianon Park Condo. Ass'n v. City of Hialeah, 468
247	So. 2d 912, 918, 919 (Fla. 1985); and by virtue of checks and
248	balances exercised by this Legislature as expressed in State Ex
249	Rel. Young v. Duval County, 79 So. 692, 697 (Fla. 1918), in
250	which the court found, "A clear violation of the constitutional
251	provisions dividing the powers of government into departments
252	should be checked and remedied." As the court found in State v.
253	City of Stuart, 120 So. 335, 346 (Fla. 1929), "[t]he general
254	rule is that the Legislature is supreme in the legislative
255	field, which is the most powerful branch of government, so long
256	as it does not violate any of the provisions of the organic law.
257	There is to our minds no justifiable exception of any class of
258	legislation from this all-pervasive and fundamental principle."
259	Also, by virtue of the cases involving Mr. Pitts, the courts
260	failed to comply with the mandates of s. 20.02(1), Florida
ľ	Page 10 of 14

2014

261	Statutes, which states "[t]he judicial branch has the purpose of
262	determining the constitutional propriety of the policies and
263	programs and of adjudicating any conflicts arising from the
264	interpretation or application of the laws."
265	(5) The clerk of the court for the Florida Supreme Court,
266	as to cases SC06-1279, SC09-195, and SC09-2243, and the clerk of
267	the court for the Sixth Judicial Circuit, as to cases CRCAB-
268	90407CFANO, CRCAB-65835CFANO, CRC07-12964CFANO, CTC07-
269	03965MMANO, CTC03-09855MMANO, CTC03-01885MMANO, and CTC03-
270	01887MMANO, all pertaining to Mr. Pitts, are hereby directed to
271	remove from public and private access all dockets, records,
272	documents, and recorded orders or liens related to those cases
273	and transmit them to the Department of Law Enforcement to
274	fulfill the duties required under section 6 of this act. The
275	Department of Law Enforcement is hereby directed to remove from
276	public and private access all record history and information of
277	a criminal nature concerning Mr. Pitts. This includes, but is
278	not limited to, fingerprints, felon registration, and all other
279	matters concerning the case numbers cited in this subsection.
280	Said records, information, or documents may not be used by or
281	accessed for any purpose by anyone unless access to those
282	records is required by federal authorities or for investigations
283	conducted under section 6 of this act.
284	(6) The Department of Law Enforcement is directed to
285	ensure the compliance, execution, and enforcement of subsections
286	(4), (5), and (6) of this section and shall provide protective
I	Page 11 of 14

2014

287	services to Mr. Pitts, ensuring his rights, privileges, and			
288	safety under sections 4, 5, and 6 of this act.			
289	Section 4. In accordance with the Florida Supreme Court's			
290	final order in case number SC02-247 and the exception contained			
291	in clause (1) of that ruling, unless otherwise authorized by			
292	Florida Statutes, court rule, case law, administrative rule, or			
293	the rules regulating The Florida Bar, thereby authorizing Mr.			
294	Pitts to practice law in this state, the Legislature authorizes			
295	Mr. Pitts to practice law in this state under the following			
296	designations, titles, rules, decisions, or acts in the capacity			
297	as a lay counselor or lay representative:			
298	(1) Chapter 120, Florida Statutes, relating to a qualified			
299	representative.			
300	(2) Chapter 44, Florida Statutes, relating to a designated			
301	representative.			
302	(3) Chapter 709, Florida Statutes, relating to an			
303	attorney-in-fact and durable power of attorney, including when			
304	coupled with an interest in any personal or property claim,			
305	election, right, or interest.			
306	(4) Decisions or rules of the Florida Supreme Court			
307	relating to representation in real property management.			
308	(5) Decisions or rules of the Florida Supreme Court			
309	relating to a non-lawyer using approved forms.			
310	(6) Decisions or rules of the Florida Supreme Court			
311	relating to representation in county or small claims civil			
312	proceedings.			

Page 12 of 14

2014

313	(7) Decisions or rules of the Florida Supreme Court			
314	relating to third party standing representation.			
315	(8) Rule 5-15, Rules Relating to Admission to The Florida			
316	Bar.			
317	(9) Judicial discretion under the inherent authority			
318	doctrine.			
319	(10) Issues of federal law or any other clearly expressed			
320	state or local rule, statute, or law, or court or administrative			
321	decision or order under other federal, state, or local law or			
322	authority.			
323	Section 5. Any appearance or public testimony given by Mr.			
324	Pitts on bills or matters before the Legislature, wherever held			
325	or convened throughout this state, does not constitute the			
326	practice of law. In all circumstances Mr. Pitts retains the			
327	right to represent himself at any time he has valid standing			
328	supported by law, or, if he is the subject of civil,			
329	administrative, or criminal proceedings, Mr. Pitts retains the			
330	right to represent himself without a lawyer in court and in			
331	administrative actions or cases.			
332	Section 6. The President of the Senate, the Speaker of the			
333	House of Representatives, or the Governor may sever in whole or			
334	in part any section of this act, excluding this section, which			
335	remaining parts shall be in full force and effect upon becoming			
336	law. Notwithstanding severance, Brian Pitts shall retain the			
337	right or privilege during future legislative sessions to request			
338	the relief severed in part or whole by virtue of this section			
I	Page 13 of 14			

FLORI	DA HO	USE OF	REPRES	ENTATIVES
-------	-------	--------	--------	-----------

339	until	fullv	remedied.
000	011011		TOWOOTOO.

340

Section 7. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

Page 14 of 14