HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES FINAL BILL ANALYSIS

FINAL HOUSE FLOOR ACTION: BILL #: **CS/CS/HB 711**

SPONSOR(S): Government Operations 111 Y's 2 **N**'s

Subcommittee; Health Quality

Subcommittee: Hudson and others

CS/CS/HB 709; CS/SB 840; COMPANION **GOVERNOR'S ACTION:** Approved

BILLS: CS/CS/SB 872

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

CS/CS/HB 711 passed the House on April 28, 2014, and subsequently passed the Senate on May 2, 2014.

The bill creates public records and public meetings exemptions for the Alzheimer's Disease Research Grant Advisory Board (board), as created by CS/CS/HB 709. The board was created to review applications and make recommendations to the State Surgeon General for research grants to be funded by the Ed and Ethel Moore Alzheimer's Disease Research Program, as created by CS/CS/HB 709.

The bill provides that applications provided to the board for Alzheimer's disease research grants are confidential and exempt from public records requirements. In addition, any records generated by the board relating to the review of research grant applications, except final recommendations, are confidential and exempt.

The bill also creates a public meetings exemption for those portions of a board meeting during which such applications are discussed. The closed portion of the meeting must be recorded, and the recording must be maintained by the board.

The bill provides that the confidential and exempt records, including the recording of the meeting, may be disclosed with the written consent of the individual to whom the information pertains, or the individual's legally authorized representative, or by a court order upon a showing of good cause.

The bill provides that the public records and public meetings exemptions are subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act and will stand repealed on October, 2, 2019, unless saved from repeal by reenactment by the Legislature. It also provides a public necessity statement as required by the State Constitution.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact.

The bill was approved by the Governor on June 18, 2014, ch. 2014-164, L.O.F., and will become effective on July 1, 2014.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h0711z1.HQS

DATE: June 18, 2014

I. SUBSTANTIVE INFORMATION

A. EFFECT OF CHANGES:

Background

Public Records Law

Article I, s. 24(a) of the State Constitution sets forth the state's public policy regarding access to government records. The section guarantees every person a right to inspect or copy any public record of the legislative, executive, and judicial branches of government.

Public policy regarding access to government records is addressed further in the Florida Statutes. Section 119.07(1), F.S., guarantees every person a right to inspect and copy any state, county, or municipal record.

Public Meetings Law

Article I, s. 24(b) of the State Constitution sets forth the state's public policy regarding access to government meetings. The section requires that all meetings of any collegial public body of the executive branch of state government or of any collegial public body of a county, municipality, school district, or special district, at which official acts are to be taken or at which public business of such body is to be transacted or discussed, be open and noticed to the public.

Public policy regarding access to government meetings also is addressed in the Florida Statutes. Section 286.011, F.S., known as the "Government in the Sunshine Law" or "Sunshine Law," further requires that all meetings of any board or commission of any state agency or authority or of any agency or authority of any county, municipal corporation, or political subdivision, at which official acts are to be taken be open to the public at all times.¹ The board or commission must provide reasonable notice of all public meetings.² Public meetings may not be held at any location that discriminates on the basis of sex, age, race, creed, color, origin or economic status or which operates in a manner that unreasonably restricts the public's access to the facility.³ Minutes of a public meeting must be promptly recorded and open to public inspection.⁴

Public Records and Public Meetings Exemptions

The Legislature, however, may provide by general law for the exemption of records and meetings from the requirements of Article I, s. 24(a) and (b) of the State Constitution. The general law must state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption (public necessity statement) and must be no broader than necessary to accomplish its purpose.⁵

Furthermore, the Open Government Sunset Review Act⁶ provides that a public records or public meetings exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose. In addition, it may be no broader than is necessary to meet one of the following purposes:

Allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a
governmental program, which administration would be significantly impaired without the
exemption;

¹ Section 286.011(1), F.S.

² Ibid.

³ Section 286.011(6), F.S.

⁴ Section 286.011(2), F.S.

⁵ Art. I, s. 24(c), Fla. Const.

⁶ Section 119.15, F.S.

- Protects sensitive personal information that, if released, would be defamatory or would jeopardize an individual's safety; however, only the identity of an individual may be exempted under this provision; or
- Protects trade or business secrets.

The Open Government Sunset Review Act requires the automatic repeal of a newly created exemption on October 2nd of the fifth year after creation or substantial amendment, unless the Legislature reenacts the exemption.

House Bill 709 (2014), Ed and Ethel Moore Alzheimer's Disease Research Program

House Bill 709 creates the Ed and Ethel Moore Alzheimer's Disease Research Program (program), and authorizes the program to be administered by the Department of Health (DOH). The purpose of the program is to fund research leading to prevention of or a cure for Alzheimer's disease.

The bill authorizes applications for research funding under the program to be submitted by any university or established research institute in the state, and requires that all qualified investigators in the state have equal access and opportunity to compete for research funding. The bill authorizes certain types of applications to be considered for funding, including:

- Investigatory-initiated research grants;
- Institutional research grants;
- Pre-doctoral and post-doctoral research fellowships; and
- Collaborative research grants, including those that advance the finding of cures through basic or applied research.

House Bill 709 also creates the Alzheimer's Disease Research Grant Advisory Board (board). The board must consist of 11 members appointed by the State Surgeon General, and must include two gerontologists, two geriatric psychiatrists, two geriatricians, two neuroscientists, and three neurologists. The bill provides requirements for the board, including requiring the board to advise the State Surgeon General as to the scope of the research program.

Effect of the Bill

The bill creates a public records and public meetings exemption for the board.

The bill provides that applications provided to the board for Alzheimer's disease research grants are confidential and exempt⁷ from public record requirements. In addition any records generated by the board relating to the review of research grant applications, except final recommendations, are confidential and exempt.

The bill also creates a public meetings exemption for those portions of a board meeting during which such applications are discussed. The closed portion of the meeting must be recorded, and the recording must be maintained by the board.

The bill provides that the confidential and exempt records, including the recording of the meeting, may be disclosed with the written consent of the individual to whom the information pertains, or the individual's legally authorized representative, or by a court order upon a showing of good cause.

DATE: June 18, 2014

⁷ There is a difference between records the Legislature designates as exempt from public record requirements and those the Legislature deems confidential and exempt. A record classified as exempt from public disclosure may be disclosed under certain circumstances. See WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole, 874 So.2d 48, 53 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004), review denied 892 So.2d 1015 (Fla. 2004); City of Riviera Beach v. Barfield, 642 So.2d 1135 (Fla. 4th DCA 1994); Williams v. City of Minneola, 575 So.2d 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991) If the Legislature designates a record as confidential and exempt from public disclosure, such record may not be released, by the custodian of public records, to anyone other than the persons or entities specifically designated in the statutory exemption. See Attorney General Opinion 85-62 (August 1, 1985).

The bill provides that the public records and public meetings exemptions are subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act and will stand repealed on October, 2, 2019, unless saved from repeal by reenactment by the Legislature.

The bill provides a public necessity statement as required by the State Constitution, which states the d g he е

	exemptions are a public necessity because the research grant applications and the by the board related to review of the applications contain information of a confidential ideas and processes, the disclosure of which could injure the affected researchers. access to those portions of meetings of the board during which research grant applic discussed serves a public good by ensuring that decisions are based upon merit wit influence.	
		II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT
A.	FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:	
	1.	Revenues:
		None.
	2.	Expenditures:
		None.
B.	FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:	
	1.	Revenues:
		None.
	2.	Expenditures:
		None.
C.	DIF	RECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:
	None.	
D.	FIS	SCAL COMMENTS:
	pul boa rele	e bill may create a minimal fiscal impact on the board because staff responsible for complying with olic records requests could require training related to the public record exemption. In addition, the ard could incur costs associated with redacting the confidential and exempt information prior to easing a record. The costs, however, would be absorbed, as they are part of the day-to-day ponsibilities of the board.

STORAGE NAME: h0711z1.HQS PAGE: 4

DATE: June 18, 2014