

The Florida Senate
BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Committee on Banking and Insurance

BILL: SB 952

INTRODUCER: Senator Simpson

SUBJECT: Workers' Compensation

DATE: March 10, 2014

REVISED: _____

	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
1.	Johnson	Knudson	BI	Pre-meeting
2.			CM	
3.			GO	

I. Summary:

SB 952 revises provisions relating to the regulation of workers' compensation retrospective rating plans by the Office of Insurance Regulation. Currently, under such a plan, the final workers' compensation premium paid by the employer is based on the actual loss experience of the employer during the policy, plus negotiated expenses and charges. If the employer controls the amount of claims, it pays lower premiums. The bill authorizes retrospective rating plans to contain a provision that allows the employer and insurer to negotiate the premium when the employer has multistate exposure, an estimated annual standard premium in Florida of at least \$175,000, and an annual estimated countrywide standard premium of \$1 million or more.

The bill may reduce workers' compensation premiums for employers participating in such plans.

The bill has no fiscal impact on the Office of Insurance Regulation.

II. Present Situation:

Florida law requires every workers' compensation insurer to file with the Office of Insurance Regulation (OIR) its rates and classifications that the insurer proposes to use.¹ Section 627.072, F.S., prescribes factors used in the determination of rates. Section 627.091(1), F.S., requires every insurer to file with the OIR every manual of classifications, rules, and rates, and every rating plan, which it proposes to use. Rate filings for workers' compensation are subject to approval by the OIR before they become effective. The standard for approving insurance rates in

¹ Section 627.091(4), F.S., allows an insurer to satisfy this obligation by becoming a member of a licensed rating organization, which makes such filings on its behalf. The law expressly provides that an insurer is not required to be a member of any rating organization, but all workers' compensation insurers in Florida have chosen to do so. Currently, all workers' compensation insurers are members of the National Council on Compensation Insurance.

Florida and most states is that the rate may not be excessive, inadequate, or unfairly discriminatory.²

Current Florida law and the rating plans approved by OIR allow for various ways for insurers to compete in the market by varying or adjusting premiums, including retrospective (retro) rating plans that adjust the premium at the end of the policy period to reflect the actual loss experience of the employer. In a retro rating plan, the insurer and employer agree that the final premium paid will be based upon losses actually incurred in the policy period. The insurer and employer negotiate on certain expenses, charges, taxes, and assessments, based upon minimum and maximum premiums. Retrospective rating has been a component of workers' compensation rating for over 50 years in Florida and nationwide. The National Council on Compensation Insurance (NCCI) has filed actuarially sound rating plans.

In 1991, the NCCI filed the Large Risk Alternative Rating Option (LRARO) in Florida. The LRARO was described as providing greater flexibility of negotiation between an insurer and employer for risks with over \$1,000,000 in standard premium.” In 1991, the Department of Insurance (predecessor of the Office of Insurance Regulation) disapproved the use of the LRARO on the basis that it did not comply with s. 627.091(1), F.S., and that the LRARO was not a rating plan but an agreement to use any factors acceptable to both parties.³ Subsequently, in 1993, an insurer filed its own version of the LRARO and the Department of Insurance disapproved it. The rejection of the plan was primarily on the basis that the use of the LRARO would not allow agency oversight as to the determination of premiums since it proposed to allow the insurer and prospective insureds to agree unilaterally on the components to be used in the rating process.⁴ The insurer appealed the disapproval to the Division of Administrative Hearings (DOAH) and DOAH found that the Department of Insurance was justified in disapproving the plan.

Currently, the LRARO plans are available in the majority of the states. However, Alaska, Arkansas, Florida, and Nebraska do not allow its use.⁵ The NCCI retro plan rule, which does not apply in Florida, provides that an insured is eligible for the LRARO if the estimated standard premium individually or in any combination with any other commercial casualty lines of insurance exceeds an annual standard premium eligibility threshold of \$500,000 for the term of a retrospective rating plan. The following table provides examples of states with different annual standard premium eligibility thresholds for LRARO.⁶

² Section 627.062, F.S.

³ See *Liberty Mutual Insurance Company, et. al., v. State of Florida, Department of Insurance, Case No. 94-0892 (Fla. DOAH 1994)*.

⁴ *Id.*

⁵ E-mail from Lori Lovgren, NCCI (Mar. 4, 2014) (on file with Senate Committee on Banking and Insurance).

⁶ *Id.*

LRARO Premium Eligibility Threshold by State	
State	Annual Standard Premium Eligibility Threshold
Arizona	\$250,000
Kansas	\$1,000,000
Minnesota	\$250,000
Nevada	\$250,000
New Hampshire	\$250,000
North Carolina	\$250,000

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 allows an insurer and employer to negotiate the retrospective plan rating factors that can be used for calculating the premium when the employer has multistate exposure, an estimated annual standard premium in Florida of at least \$175,000, and an annual estimated countrywide standard premium of \$1 million or more for workers’ compensation.

Section 2 provides a technical conforming cross reference.

Section 3 provides that the act takes effect July 1, 2014.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

The bill would allow insurers and larger employers greater flexibility in negotiating retrospective rating plans by allowing the parties to determine the rating factors used to

calculate premium. This change may result in a reduction in premiums for such employers.

C. Government Sector Impact:

None.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 627.072 and 627.281.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.