



245794

LEGISLATIVE ACTION

Senate	.	House
Comm: WD	.	
04/16/2015	.	
	.	
	.	
	.	

---

Appropriations Subcommittee on Transportation, Tourism, and  
Economic Development (Brandes) recommended the following:

**Senate Amendment (with title amendment)**

Between lines 2814 and 2815

insert:

Section 58. If a municipality or county applies  
transportation concurrency, it may not require a developer to  
pay a fee for the removal of vegetation within the right-of-way  
limits of road improvements for which the developer completed or  
contributed funding as required for transportation concurrency  
for a development project.



245794

11       (2) This section does not affect the ability of a  
12 municipality or county to require any tree removal permits or  
13 tree removal plans.

14       (3) As used in this section, the term "fee" does not  
15 include any costs associated with applying for a tree removal  
16 permit or preparing a tree removal plan.

17       (4) This section does not affect a municipality's or a  
18 county's ability to establish and enforce landscaping  
19 requirements.

20       (5) A municipality or a county may, by majority vote of its  
21 governing body, exempt itself from this section.

22  
23 ===== T I T L E   A M E N D M E N T =====

24 And the title is amended as follows:

25       Delete line 320

26 and insert:

27       Legislature; providing that a municipality or county  
28       that applies transportation concurrency may not  
29       require a developer to pay a fee for the removal of  
30       vegetation within the right-of-way limits of road  
31       improvements; defining the term "fee"; providing for a  
32       municipality or a county to exempt itself from such  
33       provisions; reenacting s. 350.81(6), F.S., relating