

Amendment No. 3

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE ACTION

ADOPTED	<u> </u>	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	<u> </u>	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	<u> </u>	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	<u> </u>	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	<u> </u>	(Y/N)
OTHER	<u> </u>	

1 Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Justice Appropriations
 2 Subcommittee

3 Representative Passidomo offered the following:

4
 5 **Amendment**

6 Remove lines 200-215 and insert:

7 (4) The court may not give preference to the appointment
 8 of a person under subsection (2) solely based on the fact that
 9 such person was appointed by the court to serve as an emergency
 10 temporary guardian. This limitation shall only apply where an
 11 interested person objects to appointment of the emergency
 12 temporary guardian as a permanent guardian. This limitation
 13 shall not apply to a standby guardian or to a preneed guardian.

14 (5) Appointment of professional guardians by the court
 15 shall be on a rotating basis of professional guardians deemed
 16 qualified by the chief judge of the circuit. However, the court
 17 may appoint a professional guardian without reference to the

Amendment No. 3

18 rotation where the special requirements of the guardianship
19 demand that the court appoint a guardian with special talent or
20 specific prior experience. The court must make specific findings
21 of fact that justify a finding that there are special
22 requirements requiring an appointment without reference to the
23 rotation.

24 (6) An emergency temporary guardian who is a professional
25 guardian may not be appointed as the permanent guardian of a
26 ward. This limitation shall only apply where an interested
27 person objects to appointment of the emergency temporary
28 guardian as a permanent guardian. This limitation shall not
29 apply to a standby guardian or to a preneed guardian. The court
30 may waive this limitation only where the special requirements of
31 the guardianship demand that the court appoint that professional
32 guardian because he or she has special talent or specific prior
33 experience. The court must make specific findings of fact that
34 justify a finding that there are special requirements requiring
35 an appointment without reference to this limitation.
36