A bill to be entitled

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

An act relating to surveillance by a drone; amending s. 934.50, F.S.; defining terms; prohibiting a person, state agency, or political subdivision from using a drone to capture an image of privately owned or occupied real property or of the owner, tenant, occupant, invitee, or licensee of such property with the intent to conduct surveillance without his or her written consent if a reasonable expectation of privacy exists; specifying when a reasonable expectation of privacy may be presumed; authorizing the use of a drone by a person or an entity engaged in a business or profession licensed by the state in certain circumstances; providing an exception; authorizing the use of a drone by an employee or a contractor of a property appraiser for the purpose of assessing property for ad valorem taxation; providing that the owner, tenant, occupant, invitee, or licensee may initiate a civil action for compensatory damages and may seek injunctive relief against a person, state agency, or political subdivision for violations; providing for the recovery of attorney fees and punitive damages; specifying that remedies provided are cumulative to other existing remedies; providing an effective date.

Page 1 of 5

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

2829

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

27

Section 1. Section 934.50, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

934.50 Searches and seizure using a drone.-

- (1) SHORT TITLE.—This  $\underline{\text{section}}$  act may be cited as the "Freedom from Unwarranted Surveillance Act."
  - (2) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section act, the term:
  - (a) "Drone" means a powered, aerial vehicle that:
  - 1. Does not carry a human operator;
  - 2. Uses aerodynamic forces to provide vehicle lift;
  - 3. Can fly autonomously or be piloted remotely;
  - 4. Can be expendable or recoverable; and
  - 5. Can carry a lethal or nonlethal payload.
- (b) "Image" means a record of thermal, infrared, ultraviolet, visible light, or other electromagnetic waves; sound waves; odors; or other physical phenomena which captures conditions existing on or about real property or an individual located on that property.
- (c) "Imaging device" means a mechanical, digital, or electronic viewing device; still camera; camcorder; motion picture camera; or any other instrument, equipment, or format capable of recording, storing, or transmitting an image.
- (d) (b) "Law enforcement agency" means a lawfully established state or local public agency that is responsible for the prevention and detection of crime, local government code

Page 2 of 5

enforcement, and the enforcement of penal, traffic, regulatory, game, or controlled substance laws.

(3) PROHIBITED USE OF DRONES.-

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

- (a) A law enforcement agency may not use a drone to gather evidence or other information.
- A person, a state agency, or a political subdivision as defined in s. 11.45 may not use a drone equipped with an imaging device to record an image of privately owned or occupied real property or of the owner, tenant, occupant, invitee, or licensee of such property with the intent to conduct surveillance on the individual or property captured in the image in violation of such person's reasonable expectation of privacy without his or her written consent. For purposes of this section, a person is presumed to have a reasonable expectation of privacy on his or her privately owned or occupied real property if he or she is not observable by persons located at ground level in a place where they have a legal right to be, regardless of whether he or she is observable from the air with the use of a drone. This paragraph is not intended to limit or restrict the application of federal law to the use of drones for surveillance purposes.
- (4) EXCEPTIONS.—This  $\underline{\text{section}}$  act does not prohibit the use of a drone:
- (a) To counter a high risk of a terrorist attack by a specific individual or organization if the United States Secretary of Homeland Security determines that credible

Page 3 of 5

intelligence indicates that there is such a risk.

(b) If the law enforcement agency first obtains a search warrant signed by a judge authorizing the use of a drone.

- (c) If the law enforcement agency possesses reasonable suspicion that, under particular circumstances, swift action is needed to prevent imminent danger to life or serious damage to property, to forestall the imminent escape of a suspect or the destruction of evidence, or to achieve purposes including, but not limited to, facilitating the search for a missing person.
- (d) By a person or an entity engaged in a business or profession licensed by the state, or by an agent, employee, or contractor thereof, if the drone is used only to perform reasonable tasks within the scope of practice or activities permitted under such person's or entity's license. However, this exception does not apply to a profession in which the licensee's authorized scope of practice includes obtaining information about the identity, habits, conduct, movements, whereabouts, affiliations, associations, transactions, reputation, or character of any society, person, or group of persons.
- (e) By an employee or a contractor of a property appraiser who uses a drone solely for the purpose of assessing property for ad valorem taxation.
  - (5) REMEDIES FOR VIOLATION. -
- (a) An aggrieved party may initiate a civil action against a law enforcement agency to obtain all appropriate relief in order to prevent or remedy a violation of this section act.

Page 4 of 5

(b) The owner, tenant, occupant, invitee, or licensee of
privately owned or occupied real property may initiate a civil
action for compensatory damages for violations of this section
and may seek injunctive relief against a person, state agency,
or political subdivision that violates paragraph (3)(b) to
prevent future such violations. In such action, the prevailing
party is entitled to recover reasonable attorney fees from the
nonprevailing party based on the actual and reasonable time
expended by his or her attorney billed at an appropriate hourly
rate and, in cases in which the payment of such a fee is
contingent on the outcome, without a multiplier, unless the
action is tried to verdict, in which case a multiplier of up to
twice the actual value of the time expended may be awarded in
the discretion of the trial court.

- (c) Punitive damages for a violation of paragraph (3) (b) may be sought against a person subject to other requirements and limitations of law, including, but not limited to, part II of chapter 768 and case law.
- (d) The remedies provided for a violation of paragraph (3) (b) are cumulative to other existing remedies.
- (6) PROHIBITION ON USE OF EVIDENCE.—Evidence obtained or collected in violation of this <u>section</u> act is not admissible as evidence in a criminal prosecution in any court of law in this state.
  - Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2015.

Page 5 of 5