

**The Florida Senate**  
**BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT**

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

---

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Committee on Rules

---

BILL: CS/SB 1004

INTRODUCER: Community Affairs Committee and Senator Hays

SUBJECT: Security System Plans

DATE: February 9, 2016

REVISED: \_\_\_\_\_

	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
1.	<u>Present</u>	<u>Yeatman</u>	<u>CA</u>	<u>Fav/CS</u>
2.	<u>Kim</u>	<u>McVaney</u>	<u>GO</u>	<u>Favorable</u>
3.	<u>Present</u>	<u>Phelps</u>	<u>RC</u>	<u>Favorable</u>

---

**Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:**

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

---

**I. Summary:**

CS/SB 1004 provides additional circumstances under which information regarding security system plans which is otherwise confidential and exempt may be disclosed. Such information may now be disclosed to the property owner or leaseholder; in furtherance of the official duties and responsibilities of the agency holding the information; to another local, state, or federal agency in the furtherance of that agency's official duties and responsibilities; or upon a showing of good cause before a court of competent jurisdiction.

**II. Present Situation:**

**Public Records Law**

The Florida Constitution provides that the public has the right to inspect or copy records made or received in connection with official governmental business.<sup>1</sup> This applies to the official business of any public body, officer or employee of the state, including all three branches of state government, local governmental entities, and any person acting on behalf of the government.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(a).

<sup>2</sup> FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(a).

In addition to the Florida Constitution, the Florida Statutes provide that the public may access legislative and executive branch records.<sup>3</sup> Chapter 119, F.S., constitutes the main body of public records laws, and is known as the Public Records Act.<sup>4</sup> The Public Records Act states that

it is the policy of this state that all state, county and municipal records are open for personal inspection and copying by any person. Providing access to public records is a duty of each agency.<sup>5</sup>

According to the Public Records Act, a public record includes virtually any document or recording, regardless of its physical form or how it may be transmitted.<sup>6</sup> The Florida Supreme Court has interpreted public records as being “any material prepared in connection with official agency business which is intended to perpetuate, communicate or formalize knowledge of some type.”<sup>7</sup> A violation of the Public Records Act may result in civil or criminal liability.<sup>8</sup>

The Legislature may create an exemption to public records requirements.<sup>9</sup> An exemption must pass by a two-thirds vote of the House and the Senate.<sup>10</sup> In addition, an exemption must explicitly lay out the public necessity justifying the exemption, and the exemption must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the exemption.<sup>11</sup> A statutory exemption which does not meet these criteria may be unconstitutional and may not be judicially saved.<sup>12</sup>

When creating a public records exemption, the Legislature may provide that a record is ‘confidential and exempt’ or ‘exempt.’<sup>13</sup> Records designated as ‘confidential and exempt’ may

---

<sup>3</sup> The Public Records Act does not apply to legislative or judicial records. *Locke v. Hawkes*, 595 So. 2d 32 (Fla. 1992). Also see *Times Pub. Co. v. Ake*, 660 So. 2d 255 (Fla. 1995). The Legislature’s records are public pursuant to s. 11.0431, F.S. Public records exemptions for the Legislatures are primarily located in s. 11.0431(2)-(3), F.S.

<sup>4</sup> Public records laws are found throughout the Florida Statutes.

<sup>5</sup> Section 119.01(1), F.S.

<sup>6</sup> Section 119.011(12), F.S., defines “public record” to mean “all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency.” Section 119.011(2), F.S., defines “agency” to mean “any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency.”

<sup>7</sup> *Shevin v. Byron, Harless, Schaffer, Reid and Assoc. Inc.*, 379 So. 2d 633, 640 (Fla. 1980).

<sup>8</sup> Section 119.10, F.S. Public records laws are found throughout the Florida Statutes, as are the penalties for violating those laws.

<sup>9</sup> FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c).

<sup>10</sup> FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c).

<sup>11</sup> FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c).

<sup>12</sup> *Halifax Hosp. Medical Center v. New-Journal Corp.*, 724 So.2d 567 (Fla. 1999). In *Halifax Hospital*, the Florida Supreme Court found that a public meetings exemption was unconstitutional because the statement of public necessity did not define important terms and did not justify the breadth of the exemption. *Id.* at 570. The Florida Supreme Court also declined to narrow the exemption in order to save it. *Id.* In *Baker County Press, Inc. v. Baker County Medical Services, Inc.*, 870 So. 2d 189 (Fla. 1st DCA 2004), the court found that the intent of a statute was to create a public records exemption. The *Baker County Press* court found that since the law did not contain a public necessity statement, it was unconstitutional. *Id.* at 196.

<sup>13</sup> If the Legislature designates a record as confidential, such record may not be released to anyone other than the persons or entities specifically designated in the statutory exemption. *WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole*, 874 So. 2d 48 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004).

be released by the records custodian only under the circumstances defined by the Legislature. Records designated as ‘exempt’ may be released at the discretion of the records custodian.<sup>14</sup>

### **Security System Exemptions from Public Access or Disclosure**

Exemptions for security systems and surveillance techniques are governed by ss. 281.301 and 119.071, F.S.

Section 281.301, F.S., provides that:

Information relating to the security systems for any property owned by or leased to the state or any of its political subdivisions, and information relating to the security systems for any privately owned or leased property which is in the possession of any agency as defined in s. 119.011(2), including all records, information, photographs, audio and visual presentations, schematic diagrams, surveys, recommendations, or consultations or portions thereof relating directly to or revealing such systems or information, and all meetings relating directly to or that would reveal such systems or information are confidential and exempt from ss. 119.07(1) and 286.011 and other laws and rules requiring public access or disclosure. This information can be disclosed to the owner, leaseholder or to a government agency to fight terrorism.

Section 119.071(3)(a)2., F.S., provides that:

(3) SECURITY.—

2. A security system plan or portion thereof for:

Any property owned by or leased to the state or any of its political subdivisions; or

Any privately owned or leased property

held by any agency is confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.

As used in s. 119.071(3)(a), F.S., the term “security system plan” includes “all... records, information, photographs, audio and visual presentations, schematic diagrams, surveys, recommendations, or consultations or portions thereof relating directly to the physical security of the facility or revealing security systems.”<sup>15</sup> Security system plans also include threat assessments and response plans; evacuation and sheltering plans and training manuals.<sup>16</sup>

---

<sup>14</sup> A record classified as exempt from public disclosure may be disclosed under certain circumstances. *Williams v. City of Minneola*, 575 So. 2d 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991).

<sup>15</sup> Section 119.071(3)(a)1.a., F.S.

<sup>16</sup> Section 119.071(3)(a)1., F.S.

### Statutory Interpretation by the Courts and the Attorney General

The Attorney General and the courts have both weighed in on the issues relating to exemptions for security systems. The Attorney General concluded that the names and addresses of applicants for permits to install security systems would be information that would reveal the existence of a security system, and, therefore would be exempt from public disclosure.<sup>17</sup> Furthermore, the Second District Court of Appeal, in *Critical Intervention Services, Inc. v. City of Clearwater*, cited with approval the discussion in that Attorney General Opinion finding that the identity of residential and business alarm permit holders was exempt from public disclosure.<sup>18</sup> The court found that the plain language of ss. 281.301 and 119.071, F.S., makes confidential all records revealing a security system and stated that disclosure of such information “would imperil the safety of persons and property.”<sup>19</sup>

The Fifth District Court of Appeal in *Central Florida Regional Transportation Authority d/b/a Lynx v. Post-Newsweek Stations, Orlando, Inc.*, considered whether security tapes from cameras installed on transit authority buses were confidential as revealing the security system.<sup>20</sup> Citing to s. 281.301, F.S., which states that records that directly relate to or reveal information about security systems are confidential, the court concluded that the video footage captured by the bus camera “directly relates to and reveals information about a security system.”<sup>21</sup> The court found that the videos “which are records, reveal the capabilities—and as a corollary, the vulnerabilities—of the current system” and therefore, are confidential and exempt from public inspection.<sup>22</sup>

In similar fashion, the Attorney General opined that surveillance tapes that are made by a security system are confidential and exempt from the disclosure requirements of the public records law under ss. 281.301 and 119.071, F.S.<sup>23</sup>

### III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

**Section 1** amends s. 119.071, F.S., to expand the circumstances under which an agency may disclose information regarding security system plans. Information made confidential and exempt under paragraph (a) may now be disclosed:

- To the property owner or leaseholder;
- In furtherance of the official duties and responsibilities of the agency holding the information;
- To another local, state, or federal agency in furtherance of that agency’s official duties and responsibilities; or
- Upon a showing of good cause before a court of competent jurisdiction.

---

<sup>17</sup> Op. Atty Gen. Fla. 2004-08 (2004).

<sup>18</sup> *Critical Intervention Services, Inc. v. City of Clearwater*, 908 So. 2d 1195 (Fla. 2d DCA 2005).

<sup>19</sup> *Id.* at 1197.

<sup>20</sup> *Cent. Florida Reg’l Transp. Auth. V. Post-Newsweek Stations, Orlando, Inc.*, 157 So. 3d. 401 (Fla. 5<sup>th</sup> DCA 2015), reh’g denied (Feb. 26, 2015).

<sup>21</sup> *Id.* at 405.

<sup>22</sup> *Id.*

<sup>23</sup> Op. Atty Gen. Fla. 2015-06 (2015).

**Section 2** amends s. 281.301, F.S., to expand the circumstances under which information relating to the security systems for any property owned by or leased to the state or any privately owned or leased property which is in the possession of any agency as defined in s. 119.011(2), F.S., may be disclosed. Such information may be disclosed:

- To the property owner or leaseholder;
- In furtherance of the official duties and responsibilities of the agency holding the information;
- To another local, state, or federal agency in furtherance of that agency's official duties and responsibilities; or
- Upon a showing of good cause before a court of competent jurisdiction.

**Section 3** provides that the bill is effective upon becoming a law.

**IV. Constitutional Issues:**

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

**V. Fiscal Impact Statement:**

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

None.

**VI. Technical Deficiencies:**

None.

**VII. Related Issues:**

None.

**VIII. Statutes Affected:**

This bill substantially amends sections 119.071 and 281.301 of the Florida Statutes.

**IX. Additional Information:**

- A. **Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:**  
(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

**CS by Community Affairs on January 19, 2016:**

Removes language that expanded the public records exemption for security plans to include video or audio recordings from a security system camera. The corresponding public necessity statement and OGSR language related to the expanded exemption are also removed.

- B. **Amendments:**

None.