Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

12

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE	ACTION
ADOPTED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
OTHER	

Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Local Government Affairs Subcommittee

Representative La Rosa offered the following:

```
Amendment (with title amendment)
```

Remove everything after the enacting clause and insert: Section 1. Paragraph (c) of subsection (2), paragraph (e) of subsection (5), and paragraph (d) of subsection (7) of section 163.3184, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

10 163.3184 Process for adoption of comprehensive plan or 11 plan amendment.-

(2) COMPREHENSIVE PLANS AND PLAN AMENDMENTS.-

(c) Plan amendments that are in an area of critical state concern designated pursuant to s. 380.05; propose a rural land stewardship area pursuant to s. 163.3248; propose a sector plan 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 1 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

	Amendment No. 1
16	pursuant to s. 163.3245 or an amendment to an adopted sector
17	plan; update a comprehensive plan based on an evaluation and
18	appraisal pursuant to s. 163.3191; propose a development that <u>is</u>
19	subject to the state coordinated review process qualifies as a
20	development of regional impact pursuant to s. 380.06; or are new
21	plans for newly incorporated municipalities adopted pursuant to
22	s. 163.3167 <u>must</u> shall follow the state coordinated review
23	process in subsection (4).
24	(5) ADMINISTRATIVE CHALLENGES TO PLANS AND PLAN
25	AMENDMENTS
26	(e) If the administrative law judge recommends that the
27	amendment be found in compliance, the judge shall submit the
28	recommended order to the state land planning agency.
29	1. If the state land planning agency determines that the
30	plan amendment should be found not in compliance, the agency
31	shall make every effort to refer the recommended order and its
32	determination expeditiously to the Administration Commission for
33	final agency action, but at a minimum within the time period
34	provided by s. 120.569.

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 2 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

35	2. If the state land planning agency determines that the
36	plan amendment should be found in compliance, the agency shall
37	make every effort to enter its final order expeditiously, but at
38	a minimum within the time period provided by s. 120.569.
39	3. The recommended order submitted under this paragraph
40	becomes a final order 90 days after issuance unless the state
41	land planning agency acts as provided in subparagraph 1. or
42	subparagraph 2., or all parties consent in writing to an
43	extension of the 90-day period.
44	(7) MEDIATION AND EXPEDITIOUS RESOLUTION
45	(d) For a case following the procedures under this
46	subsection, absent a showing of extraordinary circumstances or
47	written consent of the parties, if the administrative law judge
48	recommends that the amendment be found not in compliance, the
49	Administration Commission shall issue a final order, in a case
50	proceeding under subsection (5), within 45 days after the
51	issuance of the recommended order, unless the parties agree in
52	writing to a longer time. If the administrative law judge
53	recommends that the amendment be found in compliance, the state
54	land planning agency shall issue a final order within 45 days
-	193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx
	Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 3 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

55	after the issuance of the recommended order. If the state land
56	planning agency fails to timely issue a final order, the
57	recommended order finding the amendment to be in compliance
58	immediately becomes final.
59	Section 2. Subsection (1) of section 163.3245, Florida
60	Statutes, is amended to read:
61	163.3245 Sector plans
62	(1) In recognition of the benefits of long-range planning
63	for specific areas, local governments or combinations of local
64	governments may adopt into their comprehensive plans a sector
65	plan in accordance with this section. This section is intended
66	to promote and encourage long-term planning for conservation,
67	development, and agriculture on a landscape scale; to further
68	support innovative and flexible planning and development
69	strategies, and the purposes of this part and part I of chapter
70	380; to facilitate protection of regionally significant
71	resources, including, but not limited to, regionally significant
72	water courses and wildlife corridors; and to avoid duplication
73	of effort in terms of the level of data and analysis required
74	for a development of regional impact, while ensuring the
1	193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx
	Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 4 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

75 adequate mitigation of impacts to applicable regional resources 76 and facilities, including those within the jurisdiction of other local governments, as would otherwise be provided. Sector plans 77 78 are intended for substantial geographic areas that include at 79 least 5,000 15,000 acres of one or more local governmental jurisdictions and are to emphasize urban form and protection of 80 regionally significant resources and public facilities. A sector 81 plan may not be adopted in an area of critical state concern. 82 83 Section 3. Subsection (2) of section 171.046, Florida 84 Statutes, is amended to read: 171.046 Annexation of enclaves.-85 86 (2) In order to expedite the annexation of enclaves of 87 110 10 acres or less into the most appropriate incorporated 88 jurisdiction, based upon existing or proposed service provision arrangements, a municipality may: 89 90 (a) Annex an enclave by interlocal agreement with the county having jurisdiction of the enclave; or 91 (b) Annex an enclave with fewer than 25 registered voters 92 93 by municipal ordinance when the annexation is approved in a 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Amendment No. 1

Page 5 of 27

Amendment No. 1

Bill No. H	B 1361 (2016)
------------	----------	------	---

94 referendum by at least 60 percent of the registered voters who reside in the enclave. 95 Section 4. Subsection (14), paragraph (g) of subsection 96 97 (15), paragraphs (b) and (e) of subsection (19), and subsection 98 (30) of section 380.06, Florida Statutes, are amended to read: 99 380.06 Developments of regional impact.-(14) CRITERIA OUTSIDE AREAS OF CRITICAL STATE CONCERN.-100 101 If the development is not located in an area of critical state 102 concern, in considering whether the development is shall be 103 approved, denied, or approved subject to conditions, 104 restrictions, or limitations, the local government shall 105 consider whether, and the extent to which: 106 (a) The development is consistent with the local

107 comprehensive plan and local land development regulations.;

(b) The development is consistent with the report and recommendations of the regional planning agency submitted pursuant to subsection (12)<u>.; and</u>

(c) The development is consistent with the State Comprehensive Plan. In consistency determinations, the plan shall be construed and applied in accordance with s. 187.101(3). 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 6 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

114	However, a local government may approve a change to a
115	development authorized as a development of regional impact if
116	the change has the effect of reducing the originally approved
117	height, density, or intensity of the development, and if the
118	revised development would have been consistent with the
119	comprehensive plan in effect when the development was originally
120	approved. If the revised development is approved, the developer
121	may proceed as provided in s. 163.3167(5).
122	(15) LOCAL GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT ORDER
123	(g) A local government <u>may</u> shall not issue <u>a permit</u>
124	$\frac{1}{1}$ permits for <u>a</u> development subsequent to the buildout date
125	contained in the development order unless:
126	1. The proposed development has been evaluated
127	cumulatively with existing development under the substantial
128	deviation provisions of subsection (19) $after subsequent to the$
129	termination or expiration date;
130	2. The proposed development is consistent with an
131	abandonment of development order that has been issued in
132	accordance with the provisions of subsection (26);
 	193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx
	Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 7 of 27

Amendment No. 1

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

133	3. The development of regional impact is essentially
134	built out, in that all the mitigation requirements in the
135	development order have been satisfied, all developers are in
136	compliance with all applicable terms and conditions of the
137	development order except the buildout date, and the amount of
138	proposed development that remains to be built is less than 40
139	percent of any applicable development-of-regional-impact
140	threshold; or
141	4. The project has been determined to be an essentially
142	built out built-out development of regional impact through an
143	agreement executed by the developer, the state land planning
144	agency, and the local government, in accordance with s. 380.032,
145	which will establish the terms and conditions under which the
146	development may be continued. If the project is determined to be
147	essentially built out, development may proceed pursuant to the
148	s. 380.032 agreement after the termination or expiration date
149	contained in the development order without further development
150	of-regional-impact review subject to the local government
151	comprehensive plan and land development regulations or subject
152	to a modified development-of-regional-impact analysis. The
1	93329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx
	Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 8 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

parties may amend the agreement without submission, review, or approval of a notification of proposed change pursuant to subsection (19). For the purposes of As used in this paragraph, a an "essentially built-out" development of regional impact is essentially built out, if means:

a. The developers are in compliance with all applicable
terms and conditions of the development order except the
buildout date; and

b.(I) The amount of development that remains to be built is less than the substantial deviation threshold specified in paragraph (19)(b) for each individual land use category, or, for a multiuse development, the sum total of all unbuilt land uses as a percentage of the applicable substantial deviation threshold is equal to or less than 100 percent; or

(II) The state land planning agency and the local government have agreed in writing that the amount of development to be built does not create the likelihood of any additional regional impact not previously reviewed.

171

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 9 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

172 The single-family residential portions of a development may be considered "essentially built out" if all of the workforce 173 174 housing obligations and all of the infrastructure and horizontal 175 development have been completed, at least 50 percent of the 176 dwelling units have been completed, and more than 80 percent of the lots have been conveyed to third-party individual lot owners 177 178 or to individual builders who own no more than 40 lots at the 179 time of the determination. The mobile home park portions of a 180 development may be considered "essentially built out" if all 181 the infrastructure and horizontal development has been completed, and at least 50 percent of the lots are leased to 182 183 individual mobile home owners. In order to accommodate changing 184 market demands and achieve maximum land use efficiency in an 185 essentially built out project, when a developer is building out a project, a local government, without the concurrence of the 186 187 state land planning agency, may adopt a resolution authorizing 188 the developer to exchange one approved land use for another 189 approved land use specified in the agreement. Before issuance of 190 a building permit pursuant to an exchange, the developer must 191 demonstrate to the local government that the exchange ratio will 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Amendment No. 1

Page 10 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1 192 not result in a net increase in impacts to public facilities and 193 will meet all applicable requirements of the comprehensive plan 194 and land development code. For developments previously determined to impact strategic intermodal facilities as defined 195 196 in s. 339.63, the local government shall consult with the 197 Department of Transportation in approving this change. 198 (19) SUBSTANTIAL DEVIATIONS.-199 (b) Any proposed change to a previously approved 200 development of regional impact or development order condition 201 which, either individually or cumulatively with other changes, exceeds any of the following criteria in subparagraphs 1.-11. 202 203 constitutes shall constitute a substantial deviation and shall 204 cause the development to be subject to further development-of 205 regional-impact review through the notice of proposed change process under this subsection. without the necessity for a 206 finding of same by the local government: 207 208 1. An increase in the number of parking spaces at an 209 attraction or recreational facility by 15 percent or 500 spaces, 210 whichever is greater, or an increase in the number of spectators

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 11 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

211 that may be accommodated at such a facility by 15 percent or 212 1,500 spectators, whichever is greater.

213 2. A new runway, a new terminal facility, a 25 percent 214 lengthening of an existing runway, or a 25 percent increase in 215 the number of gates of an existing terminal, but only if the 216 increase adds at least three additional gates.

3. An increase in land area for office development by 15
percent or an increase of gross floor area of office development
by 15 percent or 100,000 gross square feet, whichever is
greater.

4. An increase in the number of dwelling units by 10percent or 55 dwelling units, whichever is greater.

223 5. An increase in the number of dwelling units by 50 224 percent or 200 units, whichever is greater, provided that 15 225 percent of the proposed additional dwelling units are dedicated 226 to affordable workforce housing, subject to a recorded land use 227 restriction that shall be for a period of not less than 20 years 228 and that includes resale provisions to ensure long-term 229 affordability for income-eligible homeowners and renters and 230 provisions for the workforce housing to be commenced before 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 12 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

231 prior to the completion of 50 percent of the market rate 232 dwelling. For purposes of this subparagraph, the term "affordable workforce housing" means housing that is affordable 233 234 to a person who earns less than 120 percent of the area median 235 income, or less than 140 percent of the area median income if 236 located in a county in which the median purchase price for a 237 single-family existing home exceeds the statewide median 238 purchase price of a single-family existing home. For purposes of 239 this subparagraph, the term "statewide median purchase price of 240 a single-family existing home" means the statewide purchase price as determined in the Florida Sales Report, Single-Family 241 242 Existing Homes, released each January by the Florida Association 243 of Realtors and the University of Florida Real Estate Research 244 Center.

6. An increase in commercial development by 60,000 square feet of gross floor area or of parking spaces provided for customers for 425 cars or a 10 percent increase, whichever is greater.

7. An increase in a recreational vehicle park area by 10 percent or 110 vehicle spaces, whichever is less. 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Amendment No. 1

Page 13 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

8. A decrease in the area set aside for open space of 5percent or 20 acres, whichever is less.

9. A proposed increase to an approved multiuse development of regional impact where the sum of the increases of each land use as a percentage of the applicable substantial deviation criteria is equal to or exceeds 110 percent. The percentage of any decrease in the amount of open space shall be treated as an increase for purposes of determining when 110 percent has been reached or exceeded.

260 10. A 15 percent increase in the number of external 261 vehicle trips generated by the development above that which was 262 projected during the original development-of-regional-impact 263 review.

264 11. Any change that would result in development of any area which was specifically set aside in the application for 265 development approval or in the development order for 266 267 preservation or special protection of endangered or threatened 268 plants or animals designated as endangered, threatened, or 269 species of special concern and their habitat, any species 270 protected by 16 U.S.C. ss. 668a-668d, primary dunes, or 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 14 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

271	archaeological and historical sites designated as significant by
272	the Division of Historical Resources of the Department of State.
273	The refinement of the boundaries and configuration of such areas
274	shall be considered under sub-subparagraph (e)2.j.
275	
276	The substantial deviation numerical standards in subparagraphs
277	3., 6., and 9., excluding residential uses, and in subparagraph
278	10., are increased by 100 percent for a project certified under
279	s. 403.973 which creates jobs and meets criteria established by
280	the Department of Economic Opportunity as to its impact on an
281	area's economy, employment, and prevailing wage and skill
282	levels. The substantial deviation numerical standards in
283	subparagraphs 3., 4., 5., 6., 9., and 10. are increased by 50
284	percent for a project located wholly within an urban infill and
285	redevelopment area designated on the applicable adopted local
286	comprehensive plan future land use map and not located within
287	the coastal high hazard area.

Amendment No. 1

(e)1. Except for a development order rendered pursuant to subsection (22) or subsection (25), a proposed change to a development order which individually or cumulatively with any 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 15 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

291 previous change is less than any numerical criterion contained 292 in subparagraphs (b)1.-10. and does not exceed any other criterion, or which involves an extension of the buildout date 293 294 of a development, or any phase thereof, of less than 5 years is 295 not subject to the public hearing requirements of subparagraph 296 (f)3., and is not subject to a determination pursuant to 297 subparagraph (f)5. Notice of the proposed change shall be made 298 to the regional planning council and the state land planning 299 agency. Such notice must include a description of previous 300 individual changes made to the development, including changes previously approved by the local government, and must include 301 302 appropriate amendments to the development order. 303 2. The following changes, individually or cumulatively 304 with any previous changes, are not substantial deviations: a. Changes in the name of the project, developer, owner, 305 or monitoring official. 306 307 b. Changes to a setback which do not affect noise buffers, environmental protection or mitigation areas, or 308 309 archaeological or historical resources. 310 c. Changes to minimum lot sizes. 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Amendment No. 1

Page 16 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

311 d. Changes in the configuration of internal roads which312 do not affect external access points.

e. Changes to the building design or orientation which
stay approximately within the approved area designated for such
building and parking lot, and which do not affect historical
buildings designated as significant by the Division of
Historical Resources of the Department of State.

318 f. Changes to increase the acreage in the development, if 319 no development is proposed on the acreage to be added.

320 g. Changes to eliminate an approved land use, if there 321 are no additional regional impacts.

h. Changes required to conform to permits approved by any
federal, state, or regional permitting agency, if these changes
do not create additional regional impacts.

i. Any renovation or redevelopment of development within
a previously approved development of regional impact which does
not change land use or increase density or intensity of use.

328 j. Changes that modify boundaries and configuration of 329 areas described in subparagraph (b)11. due to science-based 330 refinement of such areas by survey, by habitat evaluation, by 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 17 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

331 other recognized assessment methodology, or by an environmental 332 assessment. In order for changes to qualify under this sub 333 subparagraph, the survey, habitat evaluation, or assessment must 334 occur before the time that a conservation easement protecting 335 such lands is recorded and must not result in any net decrease 336 in the total acreage of the lands specifically set aside for 337 permanent preservation in the final development order.

Amendment No. 1

338 k. Changes that do not increase the number of external 339 peak hour trips and do not reduce open space and conserved areas 340 within the project except as otherwise permitted by sub-341 subparagraph j.

342 <u>1. A phase date extension, if the state land planning</u>
343 agency, in consultation with the regional planning council and
344 subject to the written concurrence of the Department of
345 <u>Transportation, agrees that the traffic impact is not</u>
346 <u>significant and adverse under applicable state agency rules.</u>

347 <u>m.l.</u> Any other change that the state land planning 348 agency, in consultation with the regional planning council, 349 agrees in writing is similar in nature, impact, or character to 350 the changes enumerated in sub-subparagraphs <u>a.-l.</u> a.-k. and that 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 18 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

351 does not create the likelihood of any additional regional 352 impact.

353

354 This subsection does not require the filing of a notice of 355 proposed change but requires an application to the local 356 government to amend the development order in accordance with the 357 local government's procedures for amendment of a development 358 order. In accordance with the local government's procedures, 359 including requirements for notice to the applicant and the 360 public, the local government shall either deny the application for amendment or adopt an amendment to the development order 361 362 which approves the application with or without conditions. 363 Following adoption, the local government shall render to the 364 state land planning agency the amendment to the development 365 order. The state land planning agency may appeal, pursuant to s. 380.07(3), the amendment to the development order if the 366 367 amendment involves sub-subparagraph g., sub-subparagraph h., sub-368 subparagraph j., sub-subparagraph k., or sub-subparagraph m. 1. 369 and if the agency believes that the change creates a reasonable 370 likelihood of new or additional regional impacts. 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 19 of 27

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT Bill No. HB 1361

(2016)

Amendment No. 1

371 3. Except for the change authorized by sub-subparagraph 2.f., any addition of land not previously reviewed or any change 372 not specified in paragraph (b) or paragraph (c) shall be 373 374 presumed to create a substantial deviation. This presumption may 375 be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence.

376 4. Any submittal of a proposed change to a previously approved development must include a description of individual 377 378 changes previously made to the development, including changes 379 previously approved by the local government. The local 380 government shall consider the previous and current proposed changes in deciding whether such changes cumulatively constitute 381 a substantial deviation requiring further development-of 382 383 regional-impact review.

384 5. The following changes to an approved development of regional impact shall be presumed to create a substantial 385 386 deviation. Such presumption may be rebutted by clear and 387 convincing evidence:-

a. A change proposed for 15 percent or more of the 388 389 acreage to a land use not previously approved in the development

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 20 of 27

Amendment No. 1

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

390 order. Changes of less than 15 percent shall be presumed not to 391 create a substantial deviation.

b. Notwithstanding any provision of paragraph (b) to the contrary, a proposed change consisting of simultaneous increases and decreases of at least two of the uses within an authorized multiuse development of regional impact which was originally approved with three or more uses specified in s. 380.0651(3)(c) and (d) and residential use.

398 6. If a local government agrees to a proposed change, a 399 change in the transportation proportionate share calculation and mitigation plan in an adopted development order as a result of 400 401 recalculation of the proportionate share contribution meeting 402 the requirements of s. 163.3180(5)(h) in effect as of the date 403 of such change shall be presumed not to create a substantial deviation. For purposes of this subsection, the proposed change 404 in the proportionate share calculation or mitigation plan may 405 406 not be considered an additional regional transportation impact.

407 (30) NEW PROPOSED DEVELOPMENTS. – A new proposed
408 development otherwise subject to the review requirements of this
409 section shall be approved by a local government pursuant to s.
193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 21 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

410	163.3184(4) in lieu of proceeding in accordance with this
411	section. However, if the proposed development is consistent with
412	the comprehensive plan as provided in s. 163.3194(3)(b), the
413	development is not required to undergo review pursuant to s.
414	163.3184(4) or this section. This subsection does not apply to
415	amendments to a development order governing an existing
416	development of regional impact.
417	Section 5. Paragraph (c) of subsection (4) of section
418	380.0651, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
419	380.0651 Statewide guidelines and standards
420	(4) Two or more developments, represented by their owners
421	or developers to be separate developments, shall be aggregated
422	and treated as a single development under this chapter when they
423	are determined to be part of a unified plan of development and
424	are physically proximate to one other.
425	(c) Aggregation is not applicable when the following
426	circumstances and provisions of this chapter apply are
427	applicable:
428	1. Developments that which are otherwise subject to
429	aggregation with a development of regional impact which has
l	193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx
	Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM
	Page 22 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

430 received approval through the issuance of a final development 4.31 order may shall not be aggregated with the approved development 432 of regional impact. However, nothing contained in this subparagraph does not shall preclude the state land planning 433 434 agency from evaluating an allegedly separate development as a 435 substantial deviation pursuant to s. 380.06(19) or as an 436 independent development of regional impact. 437 2. Two or more developments, each of which is 438 independently a development of regional impact that has or will 439 obtain a development order pursuant to s. 380.06. 3. Completion of any development that has been vested 440 441 pursuant to s. 380.05 or s. 380.06, including vested rights 442 arising out of agreements entered into with the state land 443 planning agency for purposes of resolving vested rights issues. Development-of-regional-impact review of additions to vested 444 developments of regional impact shall not include review of the 445 446 impacts resulting from the vested portions of the development. 4. The developments sought to be aggregated were 447 448 authorized to commence development before prior to September 1,

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Amendment No. 1

Page 23 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

449	1988, and could not have been required to be aggregated under
450	the law existing <u>before</u> prior to that date.
451	5. Any development that qualifies for an exemption under
452	s. 380.06(29).
453	6. Newly acquired lands intended for development in
454	coordination with a developed and existing development of
455	regional impact are not subject to aggregation if such newly
456	acquired lands comprise an area equal to, or less than, 10
457	percent of the total acreage subject to an existing development-
458	of-regional impact development order.
459	Section 6. Subsection (1) of section 380.115, Florida
460	Statutes, is amended to read:
461	380.115 Vested rights and duties; effect of size
462	reduction, changes in guidelines and standards.
463	(1) A change in a development-of-regional-impact
464	guideline and standard does not abridge or modify any vested or
465	other right or any duty or obligation pursuant to any
466	development order or agreement that is applicable to a
467	development of regional impact. A development that has received
468	a development of-regional-impact development order pursuant to
	193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx
	Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 24 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

469 s. 380.067 but is no longer required to undergo development-of-470 regional-impact review by operation of a change in the 471 guidelines and standards, a development that or has reduced its 472 size below the thresholds <u>specified</u> in s. 380.0651, or a 473 development that is exempt pursuant to s. 380.06(24) or (29), or 474 <u>a development that elects to rescind the development order are</u> 475 <u>shall be</u> governed by the following procedures:

Amendment No. 1

476 (a) The development shall continue to be governed by the 477 development-of-regional-impact development order and may be 478 completed in reliance upon and pursuant to the development order 479 unless the developer or landowner has followed the procedures 480 for rescission in paragraph (b). Any proposed changes to those 481 developments which continue to be governed by a development 482 order must shall be approved pursuant to s. 380.06(19) as it existed before a change in the development-of-regional-impact 483 484 guidelines and standards, except that all percentage criteria 485 are shall be doubled and all other criteria are shall be 486 increased by 10 percent. The development-of-regional-impact 487 development order may be enforced by the local government as 488 provided in by ss. 380.06(17) and 380.11. 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 25 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

400	
489	(b) If requested by the developer or landowner, the
490	development-of-regional-impact development order shall be
491	rescinded by the local government having jurisdiction upon a
492	showing that all required mitigation related to the amount of
493	development that existed on the date of rescission has been
494	completed or will be completed under an existing permit or
495	equivalent authorization issued by a governmental agency as
496	defined in s. 380.031(6), <u>if</u> provided such permit or
497	authorization is subject to enforcement through administrative
498	or judicial remedies.
499	Section 7. This act shall take effect July 1, 2016.
500	
501	
502	
503	TITLE AMENDMENT
504	Remove everything before the enacting clause and insert:
505	A bill to be entitled
506	An act relating to growth management; amending s. 163.3184,
507	F.S.; specifying that certain developments must follow the state
508	coordinated review process; providing timeframes within which
509	the Division of Administrative Hearings must transmit certain
510	recommended orders to the Administration Commission;
 -	193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx
	Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM
	Page 26 of 27

Amendment No. 1

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

511 establishing deadlines for the state land planning agency to 512 take action on recommended orders relating to certain plan 513 amendments; providing a procedure for issuing a final order if 514 the state land planning agency fails to take action; amending s. 515 163.3245, F.S.; revising the acreage thresholds for sector 516 plans; amending s. 171.046, F.S.; revising the size of an 517 enclave that a municipality may annex on an expedited basis; 518 amending s. 380.06, F.S.; authorizing certain changes to 519 approved developments of regional impact; authorizing parties to 520 amend certain development agreements without submittal, review, 521 or approval of a notification of proposed change; providing 522 criteria under which one approved land use may be submitted for 523 another approved land use in certain land development agreements 524 under certain circumstances; specifying that certain proposed 525 changes to certain developments are a substantial deviation; 526 specifying that such developments must undergo further 527 development-of-regional-impact review; providing that certain phase date extensions to amend a development order are not 528 529 substantial deviations under certain circumstances; specifying 530 conditions under which certain proposed developments are not 531 required to undergo the state-coordinated review process; amending s. 380.0651, F.S.; providing that lands acquired for 532 533 development are not subject to aggregation under certain 534 circumstances; amending s. 380.115, F.S.; providing the 535 procedures to be used by a development that elects to rescind a development order; providing an effective date. 536

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 27 of 27