

| | LEGISLATIVE ACTION | |
|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Senate | | House |
| | • | |
| | • | |
| Floor: 1/R/2R | | Floor: SENAT/RC |
| 03/11/2016 05:05 PM | • | 03/11/2016 10:38 AM |
| | • | |

Senator Hays moved the following:

Senate Amendment (with directory and title amendments)

Between lines 173 and 174 insert:

1 2 3

4

5 6

8

9

10

11

- (7) The commission shall determine the reasonableness of rate case expenses and shall disallow all rate case expenses determined to be unreasonable. No rate case expense determined to be unreasonable shall be paid by a consumer.
- (a) In determining the reasonable level of rate case expense, the commission shall consider the following criteria as a basis for disallowing such rate case expense when the criteria

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40



are specifically raised in writing by the Public Counsel, an intervenor, or commission staff:

- 1. The extent to which a utility has utilized or failed to utilize the provisions of paragraph (4)(a) or paragraph (4)(b).
- 2. Whether the customers have received a material benefit as a result of the rate case.
 - 3. The amount of time between each rate case.
- 4. The extent to which, at the time of the initial filing, the utility filed complete documentation as required by commission rule, including, but not limited to, minimum filing requirements.
- 5. Whether the utility's rate case filing seeks preferential benefits to shareholders, owners, or nonregulated affiliates.
- 6. The proportion of any rate increase approved by the commission as compared to the amount initially requested by the utility.
- 7. The amount of overall rate case expense incurred and requested as compared to the amount of rate increase approved by the commission.
- 8. The utility management's culpability in causing any deficiencies in the quality of service provided by the utility.
- 9. and Such other criteria as the commission it may establish by rule.
- (b) If any of the criteria specified under paragraph (a) are specifically contested in an evidentiary proceeding, the commission shall make specific findings of fact, supported by competent, substantial evidence, for each criterion and the extent to which each criterion benefits the customer. The



41 commission may allocate the benefits between the customers and 42 the shareholders, owners, or affiliates accordingly and may 43 disallow rate case expense in accordance with the specific 44 findings of fact. 45 46 ===== D I R E C T O R Y C L A U S E A M E N D M E N T ====== 47 And the directory clause is amended as follows: Delete line 68 48 and insert: 49 50 (4) and subsection (7) are amended, subsection (8) is renumbered 51 as subsection (10), 52 53 ======= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ========= 54 And the title is amended as follows: 5.5 Delete line 21 56 and insert: 57 specified expense items; requiring the commission to 58 consider certain criteria in determining the 59 reasonableness of rate case expenses, when 60 specifically raised in writing by certain parties; 61 specifying standards for evidentiary proceedings 62 involving challenges to such criteria; authorizing the 63 commission to allocate benefits between the customers 64 and shareholders, owners, or affiliates and to 65 disallow rate case expense under certain 66 circumstances; specifying the time period