

The Florida Senate
BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Committee on Governmental Oversight and Accountability

BILL: SB 7032

INTRODUCER: Banking and Insurance Committee

SUBJECT: OGSR/Office of Financial Regulation

DATE: January 8, 2016

REVISED: _____

	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
	<u>Johnson</u>	<u>Knudson</u>		BI Submitted as Committee Bill
1.	<u>Kim</u>	<u>McVaney</u>	<u>GO</u>	Favorable
2.	_____	_____	<u>RC</u>	_____

I. Summary:

SB 7032 is the result of an Open Government Sunset Review (OGSR) by the Banking and Insurance Committee professional staff of a public records exemption¹ that makes the following information held by the Office of Financial Regulation (OFR) before, on, or after July 1, 2011, confidential and exempt from public-records requirements:

- Information received from another state or federal regulatory, administrative, or criminal justice agency that is otherwise confidential or exempt pursuant to the laws of that state or pursuant to federal law.
- Information that is received or developed by OFR as part of a joint or multiagency investigation or examination.

The bill eliminates the scheduled repeal of the public record exemption. As a result, this information will continue to be confidential and exempt from public disclosure.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local governments.

A simple majority vote is required for passage.

II. Present Situation:

The Florida Constitution provides that the public has the right to inspect or copy records made or received in connection with official governmental business.² This applies to the official business of any public body, officer or employee of the state, including all three branches of state government, local governmental entities and any person acting on behalf of the government.³

¹ Section 119.0712(3), F.S.

² FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(a).

³ FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(a).

In addition to the Florida Constitution, the Florida Statutes provides that the public may access legislative and executive branch records.⁴ Chapter 119, F.S., constitutes the main body of public records laws known as the Public Records Act.⁵ The Public Records Act states that

it is the policy of this state that all state, county and municipal records are open for personal inspection and copying by any person. Providing access to public records is a duty of each agency.⁶

According to the Public Records Act, a public record includes virtually any document or recording, regardless of its physical form or how it may be transmitted.⁷ The Florida Supreme Court has interpreted public records as being “any material prepared in connection with official agency business which is intended to perpetuate, communicate or formalize knowledge of some type.”⁸ A violation of the Public Records Act may result in civil or criminal liability.⁹

The Legislature may create an exemption to public records requirements.¹⁰ An exemption must pass by a two-thirds vote of the House and the Senate.¹¹ In addition, an exemption must explicitly lay out the public necessity justifying the exemption, and the exemption must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the exemption.¹² A statutory exemption that does not meet these criteria may be unconstitutional and may not be judicially saved.¹³

⁴ The Public Records Act does not apply to legislative or judicial records. *Locke v. Hawkes*, 595 So. 2d 32 (Fla. 1992). Also see *Times Pub. Co. v. Ake*, 660 So. 2d 255 (Fla. 1995). The Legislature’s records are public pursuant to s. 11.0431, F.S. Public records exemptions for the Legislatures are primarily located in s. 11.0431(2)-(3), F.S.

⁵ Public records laws are found throughout the Florida Statutes.

⁶ Section 119.01(1), F.S.

⁷ Section 119.011(12), F.S., defines “public record” to mean “all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency.” Section 119.011(2), F.S., defines “agency” to mean as “any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency.”

⁸ *Shevin v. Byron, Harless, Schaffer, Reid and Assoc. Inc.*, 379 So. 2d 633, 640 (Fla. 1980).

⁹ Section 119.10, F.S. Public records laws are found throughout the Florida Statutes, as are the penalties for violating those laws.

¹⁰ FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c).

¹¹ FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c).

¹² FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c).

¹³ *Halifax Hosp. Medical Center v. New-Journal Corp.*, 724 So.2d 567 (Fla. 1999). In *Halifax Hospital*, the Florida Supreme Court found that a public meetings exemption was unconstitutional because the statement of public necessity did not define important terms and did not justify the breadth of the exemption. *Id.* at 570. The Florida Supreme Court also declined to narrow the exemption in order to save it. *Id.* In *Baker County Press, Inc. v. Baker County Medical Services, Inc.*, 870 So. 2d 189 (Fla. 1st DCA 2004), the court found that the intent of a statute was to create a public records exemption. The *Baker County Press* court found that since the law did not contain a public necessity statement, it was unconstitutional. *Id.* at 196.

When creating a public records exemption, the Legislature may provide that a record is “confidential and exempt” or “exempt.”¹⁴ Records designated as “confidential and exempt” may be released by the records custodian only under the circumstances defined by the Legislature. Records designated as ‘exempt’ may be released at the discretion of the records custodian.¹⁵

Open Government Sunset Review Act

In addition to the constitutional requirements relating to the enactment of a public records exemption, the Legislature may subject the new or broadened exemption to the Open Government Sunset Review Act (OGSR).

The OGSR prescribes a legislative review process for newly created or substantially amended public records.¹⁶ The OGSR provides that an exemption automatically repeals on October 2 of the fifth year after creation or substantial amendment; in order to save an exemption from repeal, the Legislature must reenact the exemption.¹⁷ In practice, many exemptions are continued by repealing the sunset date rather than reenacting the exemption.

Under the OGSR the purpose and necessity of reenacting the exemption are reviewed. The Legislature must consider the following questions during its review of an exemption:¹⁸

- What specific records or meetings are affected by the exemption?
- Whom does the exemption uniquely affect, as opposed to the general public?
- What is the identifiable public purpose or goal of the exemption?
- Can the information contained in the records or discussed in the meeting be readily obtained by alternative means? If so, how?
- Is the record or meeting protected by another exemption?
- Are there multiple exemptions for the same type of record or meeting that it would be appropriate to merge?

If the Legislature expands an exemption, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are required.¹⁹ If the exemption is reenacted without substantive changes or if the exemption is narrowed, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are not required.

¹⁴ If the Legislature designates a record as confidential, such record may not be released to anyone other than the persons or entities specifically designated in the statutory exemption. *WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole*, 874 So. 2d 48 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004).

¹⁵ A record classified as exempt from public disclosure may be disclosed under certain circumstances. *Williams v. City of Minneola*, 575 So. 2d 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991).

¹⁶ Section 119.15, F.S. According to s. 119.15(4)(b), F.S., a substantially amended exemption is one that is expanded to include more information or to include meetings. The OGSR does not apply to an exemption that is required by federal law or that applies solely to the Legislature or the State Court System pursuant to s. 119.15(2), F.S. The OGSR process is currently being followed, however, the Legislature is not required to continue to do so. The Florida Supreme Court has found that one legislature cannot bind a future legislature. *Scott v. Williams*, 107 So. 3d 379 (Fla. 2013).

¹⁷ Section 119.15(3), F.S.

¹⁸ Section 119.15(6)(a), F.S.

¹⁹ FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c); s. 119.15(4)(b), F.S.; and s. 119.15(6)(b), F.S.

Office of Financial Regulation

The Office of Financial Regulation (OFR) has regulatory oversight of state-chartered financial institutions, securities brokers, investment advisers, mortgage loan originators, money services businesses, consumer finance companies, debt collectors, and other financial service entities. The OFR has licensing authority and the authority to conduct examinations and investigations.

Other states and federal agencies also have regulatory oversight of many of these entities. In addition, many of the regulated entities operate in multiple states, making interstate cooperation essential to achieving comprehensive, efficient, and effective regulatory oversight. The OFR interacts with the following federal agencies:

- Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN)
- Federal Trade Commission
- Florida Fusion Center (a collaboration of state and federal agencies led by the Florida Department of Law Enforcement)
- Commodities Futures Trading Commission
- Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
- National Credit Union Association
- Securities Exchange Commission
- Internal Revenue Service

Exemption under Review

Section 119.0712(3), F.S., provides a public record exemption for the following information held by the OFR before, on, or after July 1, 2011:

- Information received from another state or federal regulatory, administrative, or criminal justice agency that is otherwise confidential or exempt pursuant to the laws of that state or pursuant to federal law.
- Information that is received or developed by OFR as part of a joint or multiagency investigation or examination.

The OFR is authorized to obtain and use information in accordance with the requirements imposed as a condition of participating in a joint or multiagency examination or investigation.

Pursuant to the Open Government Sunset Review Act, the public record exemption will repeal on October 2, 2016, unless reenacted by the Legislature.

Professional staff communicated with OFR staff as part of the Open Government Sunset Review process. The OFR staff was asked whether OFR recommended that the Legislature repeal the public record exemption under review, reenact the public record exemption, or reenact it with changes. OFR recommended reenactment of the public record exemption under review.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill eliminates the scheduled repeal of the current public records exemption for specified information held by the OFR. The following information held by the OFR will remain confidential and exempt from the public records exemption:

- Information received from another state or federal regulatory, administrative, or criminal justice agency that is otherwise confidential or exempt pursuant to the laws of that state or pursuant to federal law.
- Information that is received or developed by OFR as part of a joint or multiagency investigation or examination.

The bill has an effective date of October 1, 2016.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

The mandate restrictions do not apply because the bill does not require counties and municipalities to spend funds, reduce counties' or municipalities' ability to raise revenue, or reduce the percentage of a state tax shares with counties and municipalities.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

Since this bill reenacts a current exemption and does not expand the scope of the exemption, a simple majority vote of the members present and voting in each house of the Legislature is required for passage.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The continuation of this exemption will allow the OFR to obtain information that could assist it in pursuing violations of law under its jurisdiction and to participate in joint or multiagency investigations and examinations. Without this exemption, the effective and efficient administration of the regulatory programs administered by the Office of Financial Regulation would be significantly impaired.

Since the exemption is currently in place, there should be no increase in costs associated with redacting records.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 119.0712 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.