

The Florida Senate
BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Committee on Appropriations

BILL: CS/SB 1014

INTRODUCER: Banking and Insurance Committee and Senator Brandes

SUBJECT: Public Records/Investigation and Tracking of Insurance Fraud/Department of Financial Services

DATE: April 24, 2017

REVISED: _____

	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
1.	<u>Billmeier</u>	<u>Knudson</u>	<u>BI</u>	<u>Fav/CS</u>
2.	<u>Peacock</u>	<u>Ferrin</u>	<u>GO</u>	<u>Favorable</u>
3.	<u>Sanders</u>	<u>Hansen</u>	<u>AP</u>	<u>Pre-meeting</u>

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/SB 1014 creates a public records exemption for certain information submitted to the Department of Financial Services (DFS) by insurers to comply with insurance fraud prevention and reporting requirements. The bill provides that the following information is exempt from public inspection and copying:

- The description of the insurer's required anti-fraud education and training;
- The description or chart of the insurer's anti-fraud investigative unit;
- The rationale for the level of staffing and resources provided to the insurer's anti-fraud investigative unit;
- The number of claims referred to the anti-fraud investigative unit;
- The number of other insurance fraud matters referred to the anti-fraud investigative unit that were not claim related;
- The number of claims investigated or accepted by the anti-fraud investigative unit;
- The number of other insurance fraud matters investigated or accepted by the anti-fraud investigative unit that were not claim related; and
- The estimated dollar amount or range of damages on cases referred to the DFS's Division of Investigative and Forensic Services or other agencies.

The bill provides that the exemption applies to records held on, before, or after the effective date.

The bill provides that the public records exemption is subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act and will expire October 2, 2022, unless saved from repeal by the Legislature.

The bill includes a public necessity statement as required by the Florida Constitution.

The Florida Constitution requires a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting for final passage of a newly created or expanded public records exemption.

The bill does not impact state revenue or expenditures.¹

The bill becomes effective at the same time CS/SB 1012 or similar legislation takes effect.

II. Present Situation:

Public Records Law

The Florida Constitution provides that the public has the right to inspect or copy records made or received in connection with official governmental business.² This applies to the official business of any public body, officer or employee of the state, including all three branches of state government, local governmental entities, and any person acting on behalf of the government.³

In addition to the Florida Constitution, the Florida Statutes provide that the public may access legislative and executive branch records.⁴ Chapter 119, F.S., constitutes the main body of public records laws, and is known as the Public Records Act.⁵ The Public Records Act states that:

It is the policy of this state that all state, county and municipal records are open for personal inspection and copying by any person. Providing access to public records is a duty of each agency.⁶

According to the Public Records Act, a public record includes virtually any document or recording, regardless of its physical form or how it may be transmitted.⁷ The Florida Supreme Court has interpreted public records as being “any material prepared in connection with official

¹ Department of Financial Services, *Senate Bill 1014 Fiscal Analysis* (March 14, 2017) (on file with the Senate Appropriations Committee on General Government).

² FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(a).

³ *Id.*

⁴ The Public Records Act does not apply to legislative or judicial records. *Locke v. Hawkes*, 595 So.2d 32 (Fla. 1992). Also see *Times Pub. Co. v. Ake*, 660 So.2d 255 (Fla. 1995). The Legislature’s records are public pursuant to s. 11.0431, F.S. Public records exemptions for the Legislatures are primarily located in s. 11.0431(2)-(3), F.S.

⁵ Public records laws are found throughout the Florida Statutes.

⁶ Section 119.01(1), F.S.

⁷ Section 119.011(12), F.S., defines “public record” to mean “all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency.” Section 119.011(2), F.S., defines “agency” to mean as “any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency.”

agency business which is intended to perpetuate, communicate or formalize knowledge of some type.”⁸ A violation of the Public Records Act may result in civil or criminal liability.⁹

The Legislature may create an exemption to public records requirements.¹⁰ An exemption must pass by a two-thirds vote of the House and the Senate.¹¹ In addition, an exemption must explicitly lay out the public necessity justifying the exemption, and the exemption must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the exemption.¹² A statutory exemption that does not meet these criteria may be unconstitutional and may not be judicially saved.¹³

When creating a public records exemption, the Legislature may provide that a record is “confidential and exempt” or “exempt.”¹⁴ Records designated as “confidential and exempt” may be released by the records custodian only under the circumstances defined by the Legislature. Records designated as “exempt” may be released at the discretion of the records custodian under certain circumstances.¹⁵

Open Government Sunset Review Act

In addition to the constitutional requirements relating to the enactment of a public records exemption, the Legislature may subject the new or broadened exemption to the Open Government Sunset Review Act (OGSR).

The OGSR prescribes a legislative review process for newly created or substantially amended public records.¹⁶ The OGSR provides that an exemption automatically repeals on October 2nd of the fifth year after creation or substantial amendment; in order to save an exemption from repeal, the Legislature must reenact the exemption.¹⁷ In practice, many exemptions are continued by repealing the sunset date rather than reenacting the exemption.

⁸ *Shevin v. Byron, Harless, Schaffer, Reid and Assoc. Inc.*, 379 So.2d 633, 640 (Fla. 1980).

⁹ Section 119.10, F.S. Public records laws are found throughout the Florida Statutes, as are the penalties for violating those laws.

¹⁰ FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c).

¹¹ *Id.*

¹² *Id.*

¹³ *Halifax Hosp. Medical Center v. New-Journal Corp.*, 724 So.2d 567 (Fla. 1999). In *Halifax Hospital*, the Florida Supreme Court found that a public meetings exemption was unconstitutional because the statement of public necessity did not define important terms and did not justify the breadth of the exemption. *Id.* at 570. The Florida Supreme Court also declined to narrow the exemption in order to save it. *Id.* In *Baker County Press, Inc. v. Baker County Medical Services, Inc.*, 870 So.2d 189 (Fla. 1st DCA 2004), the court found that the intent of a statute was to create a public records exemption. The *Baker County Press* court found that since the law did not contain a public necessity statement, it was unconstitutional. *Id.* at 196.

¹⁴ If the Legislature designates a record as confidential, such record may not be released to anyone other than the persons or entities specifically designated in the statutory exemption. *WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole*, 874 So.2d 48 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004).

¹⁵ *Williams v. City of Minneola*, 575 So.2d 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991).

¹⁶ Section 119.15, F.S. According to s. 119.15(4)(b), F.S., a substantially amended exemption is one that is expanded to include more information or to include meetings. The OGSR does not apply to an exemption that is required by federal law or that applies solely to the Legislature or the State Court System pursuant to s. 119.15(2), F.S. The OGSR process is currently being followed, however, the Legislature is not required to continue to do so. The Florida Supreme Court has found that one legislature cannot bind a future legislature. *Scott v. Williams*, 107 So.3d 379 (Fla. 2013).

¹⁷ Section 119.15(3), F.S.

The OGSR provides that a public records or open meetings exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and is no broader than necessary.¹⁸ An exemption serves an identifiable purpose if it meets one of the following purposes and cannot be accomplished without the exemption:

- It allows the state or its political subdivision to effectively and efficiently administer a program, and administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption;¹⁹
- Releasing sensitive personal information would be defamatory or would jeopardize an individual's safety. If this public purpose is cited as the basis of an exemption, however, only personal identifying information is exempt;²⁰ or
- It protects trade or business secrets.²¹

In addition, the Legislature must find that the purpose of the exemption overrides the Florida's public policy strongly favoring open government.

Under the OGSR the purpose and necessity of reenacting the exemption are reviewed. The Legislature must consider the following questions during its review of an exemption:²²

- What specific records or meetings are affected by the exemption?
- Whom does the exemption uniquely affect, as opposed to the general public?
- What is the identifiable public purpose or goal of the exemption?
- Can the information contained in the records or discussed in the meeting be readily obtained by alternative means? If so, how?
- Is the record or meeting protected by another exemption?
- Are there multiple exemptions for the same type of record or meeting that it would be appropriate to merge?

If the Legislature expands an exemption, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are required.²³ If the exemption is reenacted without substantive changes or if the exemption is narrowed, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are not required. If the Legislature allows an exemption to sunset, the previously exempt records will remain exempt unless otherwise provided for by law.²⁴

Department of Financial Services

The Department of Financial Services (DFS) regulates insurance agents, insurance agencies, and insurance adjusters. The DFS Division of Investigative and Forensic Services (division) contains sworn law enforcement officers that investigate various types of insurance fraud including personal injury protection (PIP) fraud, workers' compensation fraud, vehicle fraud, application fraud, licensee fraud, homeowner's insurance fraud, and healthcare fraud. The division is directed by statute to investigate fraudulent insurance acts, violations of the Unfair Insurance Trade Practices Act, false and fraudulent insurance claims, and willful violations of the Florida

¹⁸ Section 119.15(6)(b), F.S.

¹⁹ Section 119.15(6)(b)1., F.S.

²⁰ Section 119.15(6)(b)2., F.S.

²¹ Section 119.15(6)(b)3., F.S.

²² Section 119.15(6)(a), F.S.

²³ FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c).

²⁴ Section 119.15(7), F.S.

Insurance Code and rules adopted pursuant to the code. The division employs sworn law enforcement officers to investigate insurance fraud. In Fiscal Year 2014-2015, the division received 17,392 referrals.²⁵

Insurer Reporting Requirements

Section 626.9891, F.S., requires each insurer admitted to do business in this state, if the insurer received \$10 million or more in direct premiums during the previous calendar year, to establish a unit to investigate possible insurance claim fraud or to contract with others to investigate such fraud. The insurer must file a detailed description of the anti-fraud unit with, or provide a copy of the contract, to the division.²⁶

If the insurer received less than \$10 million in direct premiums during the previous calendar year, the insurer must submit an anti-fraud plan to the division.²⁷ The anti-fraud plan must describe:

- A description of the insurer's procedures for detecting and investigating possible fraudulent insurance acts;
- A description of the insurer's procedures for the mandatory reporting of possible fraudulent insurance acts to the division;
- A description of the insurer's plan for anti-fraud education and training of its claims adjusters or other personnel; and
- A written description or chart outlining the organizational arrangement of the insurer's anti-fraud personnel who are responsible for the investigation and reporting of possible fraudulent insurance acts.²⁸

Workers' compensation insurers are required to report the following to the DFS on or before August 1 of each year:

- The dollar amount of recoveries and losses attributable to workers' compensation fraud delineated by the type of fraud: claimant, employer, provider, agent, or other;
- The number of fraud referrals submitted to the Bureau of Workers' Compensation Fraud for the prior year;
- A description of the organization's anti-fraud investigative unit, if applicable, including the position titles and descriptions of staffing;
- The rationale for the level of staffing and resources being provided for the anti-fraud investigative unit, which may include objective criteria such as number of policies written, number of claims received on an annual basis, volume of suspected fraudulent claims currently being detected, other factors, and an assessment of optimal caseload that can be handled by an investigator on an annual basis;
- The in-service education and training provided to underwriting and claims personnel to assist in identifying and evaluating instances of suspected fraudulent activity in underwriting or claims activities; and

²⁵ See http://www.fldfs.com/Division/DIFS/resources/documents/2014-15_Annual-Report.pdf (last accessed March 29, 2017).

²⁶ Section 626.9891(1), F.S.

²⁷ Section 626.9891(2), F.S.

²⁸ Section 626.9891(3), F.S.

- A description of a public awareness program focused on the costs and frequency of insurance fraud and methods by which the public can prevent it.²⁹

If an insurer fails to comply with the requirements for anti-fraud units or anti-fraud plans or fails to comply with other provisions of law, the DFS, Office of Insurance Regulation, or Financial Services Commission may impose certain administrative fines.³⁰

CS/SB 1012: Insurer Anti-fraud Efforts

CS/SB 1012, which is linked to this bill, revises the reporting requirements and requires all insurance companies to file statistical information with the division. Each insurer must adopt an anti-fraud plan or contract with others to investigate possible fraud. Each insurer must also establish a unit to investigate possible fraud or contract with others to investigate possible fraud. Each insurer must electronically file with the division a detailed description of the unit established to investigate possible fraudulent insurance acts or a copy of the contract with the company that investigates fraudulent insurance acts for the insurer.

The anti-fraud plan must include:

- An acknowledgement that the insurer has established procedures for detecting and investigating possible fraudulent insurance acts relating to the different types of insurance written by that insurer;
- An acknowledgment that the insurer has established procedures for the mandatory reporting of possible fraudulent insurance acts to the division;
- An acknowledgement that the insurer provides anti-fraud education and training to its anti-fraud investigative unit;
- A description of the anti-fraud education and training;
- A description or chart of the insurer's anti-fraud investigative unit, including position titles and descriptions of staffing; and
- The rationale for the level of staffing and resources being provided for the anti-fraud investigative unit, which may include objective criteria, such as the number of policies written, the number of claims received on an annual basis, the volume of suspected fraudulent claims detected on an annual basis, an assessment of the optimal caseload that one investigator can handle on an annual basis, and other factors.

CS/SB 1012 also requires each insurer to report data related to fraud to the DFS by March 1, 2019, and annually thereafter for each line of insurance written by the insurer during the prior calendar year. The data must include:

- The number of policies in effect;
- The amount of premiums written for policies;
- The number of claims received;
- The number of claims referred to the anti-fraud investigative unit;
- The number of other insurance fraud matters referred to the anti-fraud investigative unit that were not claim related;
- The number of claims investigated or accepted by the anti-fraud investigative unit;

²⁹ Section 626.9891(6), F.S.

³⁰ Section 626.9891(7), F.S.

- The number of other insurance fraud matters investigated or accepted by the anti-fraud investigative unit that were not claim related;
- The number of cases referred to the division;
- The number of cases referred to other law enforcement agencies;
- The number of cases referred to other entities; and
- The estimated dollar amount or range of damages on cases referred to the division or other agencies.

Currently, there is no public records exemption that applies to these specific records. The DFS suggests it is possible people could access this information and use it for criminal purposes, such as perpetuating insurance fraud or hiding from investigators.³¹

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill amends s. 626.9891, F.S., to provide that the following information is exempt from public inspection and copying:

- A description of the anti-fraud education and training;
- A description or chart of the insurer's anti-fraud investigative unit, including position titles and descriptions of staffing;
- The rationale for the level of staffing and resources being provided for the anti-fraud investigative unit, which may include objective criteria, such as the number of policies written, the number of claims received on an annual basis, the volume of suspected fraudulent claims detected on an annual basis, an assessment of the optimal caseload that one investigator can handle on an annual basis, and other factors;
- The number of claims referred to the anti-fraud investigative unit;
- The number of other insurance fraud matters referred to the anti-fraud investigative unit that were not claim related;
- The number of claims investigated or accepted by the anti-fraud investigative unit;
- The number of other insurance fraud matters investigated or accepted by the anti-fraud investigative unit that were not claim related; and
- The estimated dollar amount or range of damages on cases referred to the division or other agencies.

The exemptions created by the bill apply to records held before, on, or after the effective date of the exemption.

The exemptions created by the bill are subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act and will stand repealed on October 2, 2022, unless reviewed and saved from repeal by the Legislature.

The bill provides a public necessity statement. The bill notes that public disclosure of fraud detection strategies utilized by insurers would allow criminal elements to use this information to commit insurance fraud. Public disclosure of measures taken by insurers to deter fraud could

³¹ Department of Financial Services, *Senate Bill 1014 Fiscal Analysis* (March 8, 2017) (on file with the Senate Appropriations Committee).

injure a business in the marketplace by providing competitors with company statistics and insights into claim investigation processes.

The provisions of this bill cite statutory changes made by CS/SB 1012. This bill becomes effective at the same time CS/SB 1012 or similar legislation takes effect.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandate Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

Vote Requirement

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting for final passage of a newly created or expanded public records exemption. Because the bill creates a public records exemption, the State Constitution requires passage by a two-thirds vote in each house of the Legislature.

Public Necessity Statement

Article I, s. 24(c) of the Florida Constitution requires a public necessity statement for a newly created public record exemption. The bill creates a public record exemption. The bill includes a public necessity statement.

Breadth of Exemption

Article I, s. 24(c) of the Florida Constitution requires a newly created public record exemption to be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law.

The bill creates a public records exemption for certain information maintained by insurers and specified data submitted to the DFS by insurers to comply with insurance fraud prevention and reporting requirements. As such, the exemption does not appear to be in conflict with the constitutional requirements that it be no broader than necessary to accomplish its purpose.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

Taxpayers may benefit from the DFS's increased ability to detect and prevent fraud.³²

C. Government Sector Impact:

The DFS does not anticipate an impact to state funds or expenditures.³³

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 626.9891 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Banking and Insurance on April 3, 2017:

The bill narrows the public records exemption and specifies the information that is exempt. The original bill exempted the entire anti-fraud plan rather than specific information from that plan that could damage insurers.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

³² Florida Department of Financial Services, *Senate Bill 1014 Analysis* (March 8, 2017) (Copy on file with the Senate Governmental Oversight and Accountability Committee).

³³ Department of Financial Services, *Senate Bill 1014 Fiscal Analysis* (March 8, 2017) (on file with Senate Appropriations Committee).