HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 1421 Property Insurance Assignment Agreements

SPONSOR(S): Grant; Plasencia

TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS:

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Insurance & Banking Subcommittee	14 Y, 1 N	Peterson	Luczynski
2) Commerce Committee			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Generally, an assignment of benefits allows a third party to collect insurance proceeds owed to the policyholder directly from the insurance company. Assignment of benefits are becoming more common in property insurance claims, particularly in water damage claims where a homeowner assigns his or her benefits, i.e., the proceeds, from the property insurance policy to a contractor or water remediation company who repairs the damaged property. Insurers now report experiencing a higher percentage of litigated claims that involve an assignment agreement and these claims generally are resulting in higher payouts and higher litigation costs than claims not involving an assignment agreement.

Current statute provides that an insurance policy may be assignable, or not assignable, as provided by its terms. Florida courts have held that an insurance policy may prohibit a pre-loss assignment of benefits; however, the courts have also held that an insurance policy may not prohibit a post-loss assignment. The bill codifies in statute the case law that states an insurance policy, limited to a residential property insurance policy, cannot prohibit the assignment of post-loss benefits.

In addition, the bill defines "assignment agreement" and establishes requirements related to the execution, validity, effect, and enforcement of an assignment agreement. Specifically, the bill requires a written agreement, a 7-day period within which the policyholder may rescind the agreement, an estimate of services, notice to the insurer when an assignment agreement has been executed, and notice to the policyholder regarding the legal implications of an assignment agreement. The bill prohibits specified fees in connection with an assignment agreement and prohibits an assignment agreement from altering a policy provision related to managed repair. The bill creates a rebuttable presumption that an insurer is prejudiced if the assignee fails to abide by specified duties of the contract which shifts the burden of proof to the assignee to prove otherwise. The bill also limits an assignee's ability to recover certain costs directly from the policyholder. The new requirements apply to assignment agreements executed after July 1, 2017.

If an assignee intends to file suit against an insurer to enforce an assignment agreement, the bill requires that the assignee give the insurer 21-days' prior notice. If the parties fail to settle and litigation results in a judgment, the bill provides the exclusive means for either party to recover attorney's fees. Fees and costs are recoverable under s. 57.105, F.S., or:

- By the assignee, if the amount awarded to the assignee is equal to or greater than the amount the assignee requested to settle the claim prior to litigation.
- By the insurer, if the amount awarded to the assignee is less than or equal to the amount offered by the insured to settle the claim prior to litigation.
- By neither party, if the amount awarded is less than the assignee's request for settlement, but more than the insurer's offer of settlement.

The bill does not have a fiscal impact on the state or on local governments. It may have an indeterminate positive and negative fiscal impact on the private sector.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2017.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h1421a.IBS

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Background on Issue

Generally, an agreement assigning contract benefits allows a third party to collect and enforce collection of insurance proceeds owed to the policyholder directly from the insurance company. Consequently, the proceeds are not paid to the policyholder. Assignment agreements are commonly used in health insurance and personal injury protection insurance. In health insurance, a policyholder typically assigns his or her benefits for a covered medical service to the health care provider. Thus, the treating physician gets paid directly from the insurer. Assignment agreements are becoming more common in property insurance claims, particularly in water damage claims where a homeowner assigns his or her benefits from the property insurance policy to a contractor, water remediation company, or roofer (hereinafter collectively referred to as a "vendor") who repairs the damaged property.

With losses caused by water damage, such as leaky pipes, the homeowner is often facing emergency circumstances where he or she must, as a condition of the insurance policy, mitigate the damage before further damage results. This often involves calling a vendor to the home to immediately mitigate and prevent further flooding. Some insurers assert that the increasingly popular practice of assigning benefits to a vendor in a water damage claim¹ can be problematic. In claims not involving an assignment agreement, typically, the homeowner notifies the insurance company of the loss and the company has the opportunity to inspect the property before permanent repairs begin. Insurers report that in claims involving an assignment agreement, often the work has begun and may be substantially completed before the insurer has the opportunity to inspect the property. This makes it difficult to verify the cause and the extent of the damage and, as a result, the scope of coverage and the appropriate amount of the claim. Insurance policies typically impose certain duties which policyholders must comply with in order to receive coverage under their policies; homeowners must file proofs of loss, produce records, and submit to examinations under oath. However, some Florida courts have held that vendors obtaining an assignment agreement for the claim do not have to comply with these obligations because they agreed only to an assignment of the insurance benefits and did not agree to assume any of the duties under the insurance policy.²

Assignment agreements used by some vendors attempt to transfer broad rights under the policy and combine the assignment with authorization to perform services described only in general terms.³ "When a party assigns a contract, the party assigns all equitable and legal interest in the contract to the assignee. The assignee thereafter stands in the shoes of the assignor and may enforce the contract against the original obligor in the assignee's own name." ⁴ Thus, assignment of the right to receive payment under an insurance contract necessarily assigns the right to enforce payment. An unqualified assignment transfers to the vendor all of the interest the policyholder has under the assigned contract and the policyholder has no right to make any claim on the contract once the assignment is complete, unless authorized to do so by the vendor.⁵ Thus, a policyholder who enters into an agreement may unknowingly be assigning away his or her right to determine whether or not to bring suit on the claim. Some industry representatives have reported that some homeowners have been unaware litigation was

STORAGE NAME: h1421a.IBS DATE: 3/14/2017

¹ Insurers report an increasing number of assignment agreements in connection with roof replacement and repair claims, as well.

² See, e.g., Citizens Property Insurance Corporation v. Ifergane, 114 So. 3d 190 (Fla. 3d DCA 2012); Shaw v. State Farm Fire and Casualty, Co., 37 So. 3d 329, 332 (Fla. 5th DCA 2010).

³ See, e.g., ERICKSON'S, Contract for Services, Assignment of Benefits, http://ericksonsdrying.com/contact-us/contract-for-services-assignment-of-benefits/ (last visited Mar. 5, 2017) (assigning "any and all insurance rights, benefits, and proceeds under applicable insurance policies ...; authorizing release of any and all information requested by Erickson's its representative, or its attorney to [sic] the direct purpose of obtaining actual benefits to be paid ...; waiv[ing] privacy rights ...; appointing Erickson's as attorney-in-fact, authorizing Erickson's to endorse [insured's] name, and to deposit insurance checks"

⁴ 3A Fla. Jur 2d Assignments § 34 (Nov. 2015).

⁵ See, e.g., State Farm Fire and Casualty Co. v. Ray, 556 So. 2d 811, 813 (Fla. 5th DCA 1990) (citing 4 Fla.Jur.2d, Assignments, § 23 (1978)).

pending on their claim until they, themselves, were deposed or subpoenaed by one of the parties. In these cases, the suit may be proceeding against the homeowner's wishes.

Section 627.428(1), F.S., provides for an award of attorney's fees against an insurer in a court proceeding "in which the insured or beneficiary prevails" This "one-way" attorney's fee provision, as it is commonly described, serves to level the playing field between an insurance company and a policyholder, thereby creating a disincentive for an insurance company to improperly deny or delay coverage. The Florida Supreme Court has construed the statute as making an award of attorney's fees available to a policyholder, the policyholder's estate, specifically named policy beneficiaries, and "third parties who claim policy coverage by assignment from the insured." The policyholder typically sues to be made whole for damages incurred and covered by the policy. A vendor, however, could use litigation and the threat of attorney's fees to maximize profit from an insurance claim. This combination of a broad assignment of rights, no assignment of duties, open-ended authorization to perform work, authority to enforce transferred rights to the exclusion of the policyholder's authority to enforce, and the potential for attorney's fees has created an environment of escalating concern to insurance companies.

Reported Data

On February 7, 2017, the Commissioner of the Office of Insurance Regulation (Commissioner) testified before the Financial Services Commission regarding the impact of assignment agreements on the domestic insurance market. Of concern is a substantial decrease in the net underwriting gains and net income of domestic insurers, which he attributed to rising loss and loss adjustment expense⁸ ratios. He indicated this reduces a company's ability to build policyholder surplus; procure reinsurance; and, lower rates. Between 2010 and the 3rd quarter of 2015, domestic insurers reported a 28 percent increase in the average severity and a 46 percent increase in the frequency per 1,000 policies of water loss claims associated with personal residential insurance policies. During this same period, the use of assignment agreements increased from 5.7 percent to 15.9 percent. The Commissioner also shared data from Citizens Property Insurance Corporation (Citizens). Citizens reports that the percent of litigated water claims has increased from 20.7 percent in 2012 to 34 percent in 2015. During this same period, the percent of litigated water claims with an assignment agreement increased from 9.7 percent to 55 percent, and the percent of litigated water claims with representation at first notice of loss increased from 2.4 percent to 76.1 percent. In 2015, Citizens reports the severity of litigated water claims was \$33,918; the severity of non-litigated water claims was \$5,857. 10 Based on the trend lines, the Commissioner projected the potential for recurring annual rate increases due to water claims of ten percent and the potential for insurers to discontinue writing policies within specific zip codes. 11 The reduction in available insurance combined with the widening gap between rates in the private market and rates available from Citizens, ¹² he indicated, jeopardizes the depopulation of Citizens that has occurred during the last 5 years. ¹³

STORAGE NAME: h1421a.IBS

⁶ Roberts v. Carter, 350 So.2d 78, 79 (Fla. 1977).

⁷ Commissioner David Altmaier, *The Florida Property Insurance Market and Assignment of Benefits (AOB)*, Presented to: The Financial Services Commission, Feb. 7, 2017 (on file with Insurance & Banking Subcommittee).

⁸ A loss adjustment expense, or LAE, is defined as the sum insurers pay for investigating and settling insurance claims, including the cost of defending a lawsuit in court. (INSURANCE INFORMATION INSTITUTE, *Glossary*, http://www.iii.org/services/glossary/1? (last visited Mar. 9, 2017).

⁹ Altmaier, *supra* note 7, at 4. *See also* Florida Office of Insurance Regulation, *2015 Report on Review of the 2015 Assignment of Benefits Data Call*, at 6, http://www.floir.com/siteDocuments/AssignmentBenefitsDataCallReport02082016.pdf.

¹⁰ Altmaier, *supra* note 7, at 5.

¹¹ The percent of approved rate filings requesting a rate increase increased from 37.6 percent in 2014 to 72.3 percent in 2016.

¹² By law, Citizens' rates may not increase more than 10 percent per year.

¹³ By law, a new policy is ineligible for coverage in Citizens if a private company offers comparable coverage with a premium that is up to 15 percent higher than the Citizens premium. A policy is ineligible for renewal coverage through Citizens if a private company offers comparable coverage at or below Citizens' premium. Thus, if rates for private carriers increase significantly, it is more likely that a policy will meet the threshold for new or renewal coverage by Citizens.

Assignability of Insurance Policies

Background on Form Filing and Approval for Property and Casualty Insurance Forms

The Office of Insurance Regulation (OIR) has primary responsibility for regulation, compliance, and enforcement of statutes related to the business of insurance and the admission of new insurers to the market. The OIR oversees insurance company solvency, policy forms and rates, market conduct performance, and new companies entering the Florida market. With limited exceptions, ¹⁴ s. 627.410(1), F.S., requires every insurance policy form to be filed with the OIR and approved by the OIR before the form can be used by the insurance company. Thus, residential property insurance policies are not only contracts executed between a policyholder and an insurance company, but contracts whose terms are subject to oversight by the OIR.

Background on Assignability of Insurance Policies

Currently, Florida law provides that "a policy may be assignable, or not assignable, as provided by its terms." An assignment can occur in two circumstances: pre-loss assignments and post-loss assignments. A pre-loss assignment occurs before a policyholder experiences a loss, and a post-loss assignment occurs after a policyholder experiences a loss. Florida courts have held that an insurance company may include language in the policy prohibiting pre-loss assignments. However, the courts have also held that an insurance company may not include language in the policy prohibiting post-loss assignments.

Florida case law provides that "a provision in a policy of insurance which prohibits assignment thereof except with the consent of the insurer does not apply to prevent assignment of the claim or interest in the insurance money then due, after loss." In other words, an insurance company can include a provision in a property insurance policy that prohibits a policyholder from assigning his or her policy to a third party. However, the courts have consistently rejected attempts by insurance companies to limit or prohibit a policyholder from assigning his or her rights under the policy once a claim arises. The purpose of a provision that prohibits assignment of the policy is to protect an insurer against unbargained-for risks. One reason a post-loss assignment is valid despite a provision prohibiting assignment without consent of the insurance company is that once a loss occurs, the financial exposure of the insurance company does not change. If a post-loss assignment agreement is executed, the third party cannot assert new rights of his or her own that did not belong to the policyholder. Nevertheless, the assignment agreement can sometimes undermine the insurance company's ability to administer a claim according to the terms of the insurance contract because the assignment agreement conveys only contract rights, not contract duties, e.g., examination under oath.

Effect of the Bill on Assignability of Insurance Policies

Section 1:

The bill creates s. 627.7152, F.S., which establishes requirements applicable to the assignment of postloss benefits under a residential insurance policy. The provisions regulating assignment agreements are divided between the execution, validity, and effect of assignment agreements and the enforcement of assignment agreements.

STORAGE NAME: h1421a.IBS DATE: 3/14/2017

¹⁴ Commercial property insurance forms are among the exceptions.

¹⁵ s. 627.422, F.S.

¹⁶ Id

¹⁷ Security First Ins. Co. v. Fla. Office of Ins. Reg., 177 So. 3d 627 (Fla. 1st DCA 2015).

¹⁸ Gisela Invs., N.V. v. Liberty Mut. Ins. Co., 452 So. 2d 1056 (Fla. 3d DCA 1984); see also West Florida Grocery Co. v. Teutonia Fire Ins. Co., 77 So. 209, 224 (Fla. 1917) ("[I]t is a well-settled rule that the provision in a policy relative to the consent of the insurer to the transfer of an interest does not apply to an assignment after loss."); Better Construction, Inc. v. National Union Fire Ins. Co., 651 So. 2d 141, 142 ("[A] provision against assignment of an insurance policy does not bar an insured's assignment of an after-loss claim."); Highlands Ins. Co. v. Kravecas, 719 So. 2d 320, 321 (Fla. 3d DCA 1998); One Call Prop. Serv, Inc. v. Sec. First Ins. Co., 165 So. 3d 749 (Fla. 4th DCA 2015); Security First Ins., at 628 (prohibiting an insurance company from including language in a property insurance policy that prohibits a policyholder from assigning a post-loss claim).

¹⁹ Lexington Ins. Co. v. Simkins Industries, Inc., 704 So. 2d 1384, 1386 (Fla. 1998).

Execution, Validity, and Effect

The bill defines an "assignment agreement" as a written instrument which assigns post-loss benefits under a residential property insurance policy to a vendor who performs either emergency or non-emergency repairs on the property. To be valid and enforceable an assignment agreement must:

- Be executed in writing by all named insureds;
- Permit the policyholder to rescind the agreement within 7 days of execution, without penalty (the policyholder may be responsible to pay for work performed before the agreement is rescinded);
- Require the assignee to provide the insurer with a copy of the assignment agreement within 3 days after the agreement is executed;
- Include a written, itemized, per-unit cost estimate of services and, if the estimate includes water restoration services, provide proof that the assignee is certified by an entity that requires services to be performed according to a nationally-recognized standard;
- Relate only to the work to be performed by the assignee; and
- Contain notice that, by executing the assignment agreement, the policyholder is giving up certain rights that could result in litigation by the assignee against the insurer and require the policyholder to participate in the litigation.

The bill specifically prohibits an assignment agreement from containing specified fees related to the administration or rescission of the agreement. The agreement may not alter any term or defense relating to a managed repair arrangement provided in the insurance policy.

The bill creates a rebuttable presumption that an insurer, insured, or third party who claims a benefit under the contract is prejudiced if the assignee fails to do certain things that are typical duties of a property insurance contract which shifts the burden of proof to the assignee to demonstrate otherwise. The duties required of the assignee are to:

- Maintain and provide requested service records for copying;
- Cooperate in the investigation of a claim;
- Submit to an examination under oath by the insurer;
- Deliver the assignment agreement to the insurer as required (within 3 days);
- Provide a detailed statement of services when submitting a request for payment; and
- Participate in appraisal or other alternative dispute resolution method as provided in the policy.

The bill requires the assignee to guarantee that work is performed to current industry standards and prohibits the assignee from paying more than \$300 in referral agreements in connection with the assignment agreement.

By entering into an assignment agreement, the vendor waives any claim against the policyholder for payment related to the services performed, except for a claim for payment of applicable deductibles, work performed before the agreement was rescinded, or any enhancements ordered and approved by the policyholder.

The new requirements do not apply to post-loss assignments to a subsequent purchaser of the property; power of attorney that grants specified parties authority to act for the insured in connection with the claim; and the liability coverages under a property insurance policy.

Enforcement

The bill requires an assignee to give an insurer at least 21-days' notice before filing suit on a claim. The notice must specify the amount claimed. During the 21-day period, the insurer must investigate, review, and evaluate the claim and may respond by rejecting the claim, making a settlement offer, or requiring further action as may be permitted by law or policy. Both the insurer and the assignee are required to

STORAGE NAME: h1421a.IBS PAGE: 5

participate in this process in good faith. If the parties fail to settle and litigation results in a judgment, the bill provides the exclusive means for either party to recover attorney's fees. Fees and costs are recoverable under s. 57.105, F.S.,²⁰ or:

- By the assignee, if the amount awarded to the assignee is equal to or greater than the amount the assignee requested to settle the claim prior to litigation.
- By the insurer, if the amount awarded to the assignee is less than or equal to the amount offered by the insured to settle the claim prior to litigation.
- By neither party, if the amount awarded is less than the assignee's request for settlement, but more than the insurer's offer of settlement.

Applicability

The requirements of the new section apply to assignment agreements entered into after July 1, 2017.

Section 2:

The bill amends s. 627.422, F.S., by codifying in statute case law that states an insurance policy, limited in the bill to a residential property insurance policy, cannot prohibit the assignment of post-loss benefits.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Creates s. 627.7152, F.S., relating to assignment agreements.

Section 2: Amends s. 627.422, F.S., relating to assignment of policies or post-loss policies.

Section 3: Provides an effective date of July 1, 2017.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

It may have an indeterminate positive and negative fiscal impact on the private sector.

STORAGE NAME: h1421a.IBS

PAGE: 6

²⁰ Section 57.105, F.S., requires the award of attorney's fees, paid in equal amounts by the losing party and the losing party's attorney, when the court finds that a claim or defense is not supported by necessary material facts or the material facts are not supported by the law.

D.	FISCAL COMMENTS
	None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

- Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:
 Not applicable. The bill does not appear to affect county or municipal governments.
- 2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

STORAGE NAME: h1421a.IBS DATE: 3/14/2017