By the Committee on Environmental Preservation and Conservation; and Senators Latvala, Hutson, and Mayfield

	592-02736A-17 20171590c1
1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to coastal management; amending s.
3	161.101, F.S.; revising the criteria to be considered
4	by the Department of Environmental Protection in
5	determining and assigning annual funding priorities
6	for beach management and erosion control projects;
7	specifying tiers for such criteria; requiring tiers to
8	be given certain weight; requiring the department to
9	update active project lists on its website; redefining
10	the term "significant change"; revising the
11	department's reporting requirements; specifying
12	allowable uses for certain surplus funds; revising the
13	requirements for a specified summary; requiring that
14	funding for certain projects remain available for a
15	specified period; amending s. 161.143, F.S.;
16	specifying the scope of certain projects; revising the
17	list of projects that are included as inlet management
18	projects; requiring that certain projects be
19	considered separate and apart from other specified
20	projects; revising the ranking criteria to be used by
21	the department to establish certain funding priorities
22	for certain inlet-caused beach erosion projects;
23	revising provisions authorizing the department to
24	spend certain appropriated funds for the management of
25	inlets; deleting a provision authorizing the
26	department to spend certain appropriated funds for
27	specified inlet studies; revising the required
28	elements of the department's report of prioritized
29	inlet management projects; revising the funds that the

Page 1 of 22

1	592-02736A-17 20171590c1
30	department must make available to certain inlet
31	management projects; requiring the department to
32	include specified activities on the inlet management
33	project list; deleting provisions requiring the
34	department to make available funding for specified
35	projects; deleting a requirement that the Legislature
36	designate a project as an Inlet of the Year; requiring
37	the department to update and maintain a report
38	regarding the progress of certain inlet management
39	projects; revising the requirements for the report;
40	deleting certain temporary provisions relating to
41	specified appropriations; amending s. 161.161, F.S.;
42	revising requirements for the comprehensive long-term
43	management plan; requiring the plan to include a
44	strategic beach management plan, a critically eroded
45	beaches report, and a statewide long-range budget
46	plan; providing for the development and maintenance of
47	such plans; deleting a requirement that the department
48	submit a certain beach management plan on a certain
49	date each year; requiring the department to hold a
50	public meeting before finalization of the strategic
51	beach management plan; requiring the department to
52	submit a 3-year work plan and a related forecast for
53	the availability of funding to the Legislature;
54	amending s. 375.041, F.S.; requiring certain funds
55	from the Land Acquisition Trust Fund to be used for
56	projects that preserve and repair state beaches;
57	providing effective dates.
58	

Page 2 of 22

	592-02736A-17 20171590c1
59	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
60	
61	Section 1. Effective July 1, 2018, subsection (14) of
62	section 161.101, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
63	161.101 State and local participation in authorized
64	projects and studies relating to beach management and erosion
65	control
66	(14) The intent of the Legislature in preserving and
67	protecting Florida's sandy beaches pursuant to this act is to
68	direct beach erosion control appropriations to the state's most
69	severely eroded beaches $_{m{ au}}$ and to prevent further adverse impact
70	caused by improved, modified, or altered inlets, coastal
71	armoring, or existing upland development. In establishing annual
72	project funding priorities, the department shall seek formal
73	input from local coastal governments, beach and general
74	government interest groups, and university experts. The
75	department shall adopt by rule a scoring system to determine
76	annual project funding priorities. The scoring system must
77	consist of the following criteria equally weighted within the
78	following specified tiers criteria to be considered by the
79	department in determining annual funding priorities shall
80	include:
81	(a) <u>Tier 1 must account for 20 percent of the total score</u>
82	and consist of the tourism-related return on investment and the
83	severity of crosion conditions, the threat to existing upland
84	development, and recreational and/or economic impact of the
85	project. The return on investment of the project is the ratio of
86	the tourism-related tax revenues for the most recent year to the
87	amount of state funding requested for the proposed project. The

Page 3 of 22

	592-02736A-17 20171590c1
88	economic impact of the project is the ratio of the tourism-
89	related tax revenues for the most recent year to all county tax
90	revenues for the most recent year. The department must calculate
91	these ratios using state sales tax and tourism development tax
92	data of the county having jurisdiction over the project area. If
93	multiple counties have jurisdiction over the project area, the
94	department must assess each county individually using these
95	ratios. The department shall calculate the mean average of these
96	ratios to determine the final overall assessment for the
97	multicounty project benefits .
98	(b) Tier 2 must account for 45 percent of the total score
99	and consist of the following criteria:
100	<u>1.</u> The availability of federal matching dollars <u>,</u>
101	considering federal authorization, the federal cost-share
102	percentage, and the status of the funding award;-
103	2. The storm damage reduction benefits of the project based
104	on the following considerations:
105	a. The current conditions of the project area, including
106	any recent storm damage impact, as a percentage of volume of
107	sand lost since the most recent beach nourishment event or most
108	recent beach surveys. If the project area has not been
109	previously restored, the department must use the historical
110	background erosion rate;
111	b. The overall potential threat to existing upland
112	development, including public and private structures and
113	infrastructure, based on the percentage of vulnerable shoreline
114	within the project boundaries; and
115	c. The value of upland property benefiting from the
116	protection provided by the project and its subsequent

Page 4 of 22

	592-02736A-17 20171590c1
117	maintenance. A property must be within one-quarter mile of the
118	project boundaries to be considered under the criterion
119	specified in this subparagraph; and
120	3. The cost-effectiveness of the project based on the
121	yearly cost per volume per mile of proposed beach fill
122	placement. The department shall also consider the following when
123	assessing cost-effectiveness pursuant to this subparagraph:
124	a. The existence of projects with proposed structural or
125	design components to extend the beach nourishment interval;
126	b. Existing beach nourishment projects that reduce upland
127	storm damage costs by incorporating new or enhanced dune
128	structures or new or existing dune restoration and revegetation
129	projects;
130	c. Proposed innovative technologies designed to reduce
131	project costs; and
132	d. Regional sediment management strategies and coordination
133	to conserve sand source resources and reduce project costs.
134	(c) Tier 3 must account for 20 percent of the total score
135	and consist of the following criteria: The extent of local
136	government sponsor financial and administrative commitment to
137	the project, including a long-term financial plan with a
138	designated funding source or sources for initial construction
139	and periodic maintenance.
140	1(d) Previous state commitment and involvement in the
141	project, considering previously funded phases, the total amount
142	of previous state funding, and previous partial appropriations
143	for the proposed project;
144	2. The recreational benefits of the project based on:
145	a. The accessible beach area added by the project; and
I	

Page 5 of 22

	592-02736A-17 20171590c1
146	b. The percentage of linear footage within the project
147	boundaries that is zoned:
148	(I) As recreational or open space;
149	(II) For commercial use; or
150	(III) To otherwise allow for public lodging
151	establishments; -
152	(c) The anticipated physical performance of the proposed
153	project, including the frequency of periodic planned
154	nourishment.
155	<u>3.(f)</u> The extent to which the proposed project mitigates
156	the adverse impact of improved, modified, or altered inlets on
157	adjacent beaches <u>; and</u> .
158	(g) Innovative, cost-effective, and environmentally
159	sensitive applications to reduce erosion.
160	(h) Projects that provide enhanced habitat within or
161	adjacent to designated refuges of nesting sea turtles.
162	(i) The extent to which local or regional sponsors of beach
163	erosion control projects agree to coordinate the planning,
164	design, and construction of their projects to take advantage of
165	identifiable cost savings.
166	4.(j) The degree to which the project addresses the state's
167	most significant beach erosion problems <u>based on the ratio of</u>
168	the linear footage of the project shoreline to the cubic yards
169	of sand placed per mile per year.
170	(d) Tier 4 must account for 15 percent of the total score
171	and consist of the following criteria:
172	1. Increased prioritization of projects that have been on
173	the department's ranked project list for successive years and
174	that have not previously secured state funding for project

Page 6 of 22

1	592-02736A-17 20171590c1
175	implementation;
176	2. Environmental habitat enhancement, recognizing state or
177	federal critical habitat areas for threatened or endangered
178	species which may be subject to extensive shoreline armoring or
179	recognizing areas where extensive shoreline armoring threatens
180	the availability or quality of habitat for such species. Turtle-
181	friendly designs, dune and vegetation projects for areas with
182	redesigned or reduced fill templates, proposed incorporation of
183	best management practices and adaptive management strategies to
184	protect resources, and innovative technologies designed to
185	benefit critical habitat preservation may also be considered;
186	and
187	3. The overall readiness of the project to proceed in a
188	timely manner considering the project's readiness for the
189	construction phase of development, the status of required
190	permits, the status of any needed easement acquisition, the
191	availability of local funding sources, and the establishment of
192	an erosion control line. If the department identifies specific
193	reasonable and documented concerns that the project will not
194	proceed in a timely manner, the department may choose not to
195	include the project in the annual funding priorities submitted
196	to the Legislature.
197	
198	If In the event that more than one project qualifies equally
199	under the provisions of this subsection, the department shall
200	assign funding priority to those projects <u>shown to be most</u> that
201	are ready to proceed.
202	Section 2. Subsection (20) of section 161.101, Florida
203	Statutes, is amended to read:

Page 7 of 22

204 161.101 State and local participation in authorized 205 projects and studies relating to beach management and erosion 206 control.-207 (20) The department shall maintain active project lists, 208 updated at least quarterly, listings on its website by fiscal year in order to provide transparency regarding those projects receiving funding and the funding amounts $_{ au}$ and to facilitate 211 legislative reporting and oversight. In consideration of this 212 intent: 213 (a) The department shall notify the Executive Office of the 214 Governor and the Legislature regarding any significant changes in the funding levels of a given project as initially requested 215 216 in the department's budget submission and subsequently included 217 in approved annual funding allocations. The term "significant 218 change" means a project-specific change or cumulative changes 219 that exceed the project's original allocation by \$500,000 or that exceed those changes exceeding 25 percent of the a 220 221 project's original allocation. 222 1. Except as provided in subparagraph 2., if there is 223 surplus funding, the department must provide a notification and 224 supporting justification shall be provided to the Executive 225 Office of the Governor and the Legislature to indicate whether 226 surplus additional dollars are intended to be used for inlet 227 management projects pursuant to s. 161.143 or for beach 228 restoration and beach nourishment projects, offered for 229 reversion as part of the next appropriations process, or used 230 for other specified priority projects on active project lists. 231 2. For surplus funds for projects that do not have a

significant change, the department may use such funds for the 232

Page 8 of 22

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS for SB 1590

20171590c1

209 210

592-02736A-17

	592-02736A-17 20171590c1
233	same purposes identified in subparagraph 1. The department shall
234	post the uses of such funds on the project listing web page of
235	its website. No other notice or supporting justification is
236	required before the use of surplus funds for a project that does
237	not have a significant change.
238	(b) <u>The department shall prepare</u> a summary of specific
239	project activities for the current fiscal year , <u>their</u> funding
240	status, and changes to annual project lists for the current and
241	preceding fiscal year. shall be prepared by The department shall
242	include the summary and included with the department's
243	submission of its annual legislative budget request.
244	(c) Funding for specific projects on annual project lists
245	approved by the Legislature must remain available for such
246	projects for 18 months. A local project sponsor may at any time
247	release, in whole or in part, appropriated project dollars by
248	formal notification to the department. The department, which
249	shall notify the Executive Office of the Governor and the
250	Legislature <u>of such release and</u> . Notification must indicate <u>in</u>
251	the notification how the project dollars are recommended
252	intended to be used after such release.
253	Section 3. Subsections (2) through (5) of section 161.143,
254	Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
255	161.143 Inlet management; planning, prioritizing, funding,
256	approving, and implementing projects
257	(2) The department shall establish annual funding
258	priorities for studies, activities, or other projects concerning
259	inlet management. Such inlet management projects constitute the
260	intended scope of this section and s. 161.142 and consist of
261	include, but are not limited to, inlet sand bypassing,
•	

592-02736A-17 20171590c1 262 improvement of infrastructure to facilitate sand bypassing, 263 modifications to channel dredging, jetty redesign, jetty repair, disposal of spoil material, and the development, revision, 264 265 adoption, or implementation of an inlet management plan. 266 Projects considered for funding pursuant to this section shall 267 be considered separate and apart from projects reviewed and 268 prioritized in s. 161.101(14). The funding priorities 269 established by the department under this section must be 270 consistent with the requirements and legislative declaration in ss. 161.101(14), 161.142, and 161.161(1)(b). In establishing 271 272 funding priorities under this subsection and before transmitting 273 the annual inlet project list to the Legislature under 274 subsection (4) (5), the department shall seek formal input from 275 local coastal governments, beach and general government 276 associations and other coastal interest groups, and university 277 experts concerning annual funding priorities for inlet 278 management projects. In order to maximize the benefits of 279 efforts to address the inlet-caused beach erosion problems of 280 this state, the ranking criteria used by the department to establish funding priorities for studies, activities, or other 281 282 projects concerning inlet management must include equal 283 consideration of: 284

(a) An estimate of the annual quantity of beach-quality
sand reaching the updrift boundary of the improved jetty or
inlet channel.

(b) The severity of the erosion to the adjacent beaches
caused by the inlet and the extent to which the proposed project
mitigates the erosive effects of the inlet.

290

(c) The overall significance and anticipated success of the

Page 10 of 22

592-02736A-17 20171590c1 291 proposed project in mitigating the erosive effects of the inlet, 292 balancing the sediment budget of the inlet and adjacent beaches, 293 and addressing the sand deficit along the inlet-affected 294 shorelines. 295 (d) The extent to which existing bypassing activities at an 296 inlet would benefit from modest, cost-effective improvements 297 when considering the volumetric increases from the proposed 298 project, the availability of beach-quality sand currently not 299 being bypassed to adjacent eroding beaches, and the ease with 300 which such beach-quality sand may be obtained. 301 (e) The cost-effectiveness of sand made available by a 302 proposed inlet management project or activity relative to other sand source opportunities that would be used to address inlet-303 304 caused beach erosion The interest and commitment of local 305 governments as demonstrated by their willingness to coordinate 306 the planning, design, construction, and maintenance of an inlet 307 management project and their financial plan for funding the 308 local cost share for initial construction, ongoing sand 309 bypassing, channel dredging, and maintenance. 310 (f) The existence of a proposed or recently updated The 311 previous completion or approval of a state-sponsored inlet 312 management plan or a local-government-sponsored inlet study 313 addressing concerning the inlet addressed by the proposed 314 project, the ease of updating and revising any such plan or study, and the adequacy and specificity of the plan's or study's 315

316 recommendations concerning the mitigation of an inlet's erosive 317 effects on adjacent beaches.

318 (g) The degree to which the proposed project will enhance 319 the performance and longevity of proximate beach nourishment

Page 11 of 22

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS for SB 1590

592-02736A-17 20171590c1 320 projects, thereby reducing the frequency of such periodic 321 nourishment projects. 322 (h) The project-ranking criteria in s. 161.101(14) to the 323 extent such criteria are applicable to inlet management studies, 324 projects, and activities and are distinct from, and not 325 duplicative of, the criteria listed in paragraphs (a)-(g). 326 (3) The department may pay from legislative appropriations 327 up to 75 percent of the construction costs of an initial major 328 inlet management project component for the purpose of mitigating 329 the erosive effects of the inlet to the shoreline and balancing 330 the sediment budget. The remaining balance of such construction 331 costs must be paid from other funding sources, such as local 332 sponsors. All project costs not associated with an initial major 333 inlet management project component must be shared equally by 334 state and local sponsors in accordance with, pursuant to s. 161.101 and notwithstanding s. 161.101(15), pay from legislative 335 336 appropriations provided for these purposes 75 percent of the 337 total costs, or, if applicable, the nonfederal costs, of a 338 study, activity, or other project concerning the management of 339 an inlet. The balance must be paid by the local governments or 340 special districts having jurisdiction over the property where 341 the inlet is located. 342 (4) Using the legislative appropriation to the statewide 343 beach-management-support category of the department's fixed capital outlay funding request, the department may employ 344 345 university-based or other contractual sources and pay 100 346 percent of the costs of studies that are consistent with the 347 legislative declaration in s. 161.142 and that: (a) Determine, calculate, refine, and achieve general 348

Page 12 of 22

	592-02736A-17 20171590c1
349	consensus regarding net annual sediment transport volumes to be
350	used for the purpose of planning and prioritizing inlet
351	management projects; and
352	(b) Appropriate, assign, and apportion responsibilities
353	between inlet beneficiaries for the erosion caused by a
354	particular inlet on adjacent beaches.
355	(4) (5) The department shall annually provide an inlet
356	management project list, in priority order, to the Legislature
357	as part of the department's budget request. The list must
358	include studies, projects, or other activities that address the
359	management of at least 10 separate inlets and that are ranked
360	according to the criteria established under subsection (2).
361	(a) The department shall <u>designate for</u> make available at
362	least 10 percent of the total amount that the Legislature
363	appropriates in each fiscal year for statewide beach management
364	for the three highest-ranked projects on the current year's
365	inlet management project list, in priority order, an amount that
366	is at least equal to the greater of:
367	1. Ten percent of the total amount that the Legislature
368	appropriates in the fiscal year for statewide beach management;
369	or
370	2. The percentage of inlet management funding requests from
371	local sponsors as a proportion of the total amount of statewide
372	beach management dollars requested in a given year.
373	(b) The department shall <u>include inlet monitoring</u>
374	activities ranked on the inlet management project list as one
375	aggregated subcategory on the overall inlet management project
376	<u>list</u> make available at least 50 percent of the funds
377	appropriated for the feasibility and design category in the

Page 13 of 22

592-02736A-17 20171590c1 378 department's fixed capital outlay funding request for projects 379 on the current year's inlet management project list which 380 involve the study for, or design or development of, an inlet 381 management project. 382 (c) The department shall make available all statewide beach 383 management funds that remain unencumbered or are allocated to 384 non-project-specific activities for projects on legislatively 385 approved inlet management project lists. Funding for local-386 government-specific projects on annual project lists approved by 387 the Legislature must remain available for such purposes for a 388 period of 18 months pursuant to s. 216.301(2)(a). Based on an 389 assessment and the department's determination that a project 390 will not be ready to proceed during this 18-month period, such 391 funds shall be used for inlet management projects on 392 legislatively approved lists. 393 (5) (d) The Legislature shall designate one of the three 394 highest projects on the inlet management project list in any 395 year as the Inlet of the Year. The department shall update and 396 maintain an annual annually report on its website to the 397 Legislature concerning the extent to which each inlet project 398 designated by the Legislature as Inlet of the Year has succeeded 399 in balancing the sediment budget of the inlet and adjacent 400 beaches and $in_{\overline{\tau}}$ mitigating the inlet's erosive effects on adjacent beaches. The report must provide an estimate of the 401 quantity of sediment bypassed, transferred, and transferring or 402 403 otherwise placed placing beach quality sand on adjacent eroding 404 beaches, or in such beaches' nearshore area, for the purpose of 405 offsetting the erosive effects of inlets on the beaches of this 406 state.

Page 14 of 22

592-02736A-17 20171590c1 407 (e) Notwithstanding paragraphs (a) and (b), and for the 408 2016-2017 fiscal year only, the amount allocated for inlet 409 management funding is provided in the 2016-2017 General 410 Appropriations Act. This paragraph expires July 1, 2017. 411 Section 4. Effective July 1, 2018, subsections (1) and (2) 412 of section 161.161, Florida Statutes, are amended, and present 413 subsections (3) through (7) are redesignated as subsections (4) through (8), respectively, to read: 414 415 161.161 Procedure for approval of projects.-416 (1) The department shall develop and maintain a 417 comprehensive long-term beach management plan for the 418 restoration and maintenance of the state's critically eroded 419 beaches fronting the Atlantic Ocean, Gulf of Mexico, and Straits 420 of Florida. In developing and maintaining this the beach 421 management plan, the department shall: 422 (a) Address long-term solutions to the problem of 423 critically eroded beaches in this state. 424 (b) Evaluate each improved, modified, or altered inlet and 425 determine whether the inlet is a significant cause of beach 426 erosion. With respect to each inlet determined to be a 427 significant cause of beach erosion, the plan shall include: 428 1. the extent to which such inlet causes beach erosion and 429 recommendations to mitigate the erosive impact of the inlet, 430 including, but not limited to, recommendations regarding inlet 431 sediment bypassing; improvement of infrastructure to facilitate sand bypassing; modifications to channel dredging, jetty design, 432 433 and disposal of spoil material; establishment of feeder beaches; 434 and beach restoration and beach nourishment; and 435 2. Cost estimates necessary to take inlet corrective

Page 15 of 22

I	592-02736A-17 20171590c1
436	measures and recommendations regarding cost sharing among the
437	beneficiaries of such inlet.
438	(c) <u>Evaluate</u> Design criteria for beach restoration and
439	beach nourishment projects, including, but not limited to <u>,</u> :
440	$\frac{1}{2}$ dune elevation and width and revegetation and
441	stabilization requirements <u>,</u> + and
442	2. beach <u>profiles</u> profile .
443	(d) <u>Consider</u> Evaluate the establishment of <u>regional</u>
444	sediment management alternatives for one or more individual
445	beach and inlet sand bypassing projects feeder beaches as an
446	alternative to direct beach restoration <u>when appropriate and</u>
447	cost-effective, and recommend the location of such regional
448	sediment management alternatives feeder beaches and the source
449	of beach-compatible sand.
450	(e) Identify causes of shoreline erosion and change,
451	determine calculate erosion rates, and maintain an updated list
452	of critically eroded sandy beaches based on data, analyses, and
453	investigations of shoreline conditions and project long-term
454	erosion for all major beach and dune systems by surveys and
455	profiles.
456	(f) Identify shoreline development and degree of density
457	and Assess impacts of development and coastal protection
458	shoreline protective structures on shoreline change and erosion.
459	(g) Identify short-term and long-term economic costs and
460	benefits of beaches <u>to the state of Florida and individual beach</u>
461	communities, including recreational value to user groups, tax
462	base, revenues generated, and beach acquisition and maintenance
463	costs .
464	(h) Study dune and vegetation conditions, identify existing

Page 16 of 22

	592-02736A-17 20171590c1
465	beach nourishment projects without dune features or with dunes
466	without adequate elevations, and encourage dune restoration and
467	revegetation to be incorporated as part of storm damage recovery
468	projects or future dune maintenance events.
469	(i) Identify beach areas used by marine turtles and develop
470	strategies for protection of the turtles and their nests and
471	nesting locations.
472	(j) Identify alternative management responses to preserve
473	undeveloped beach and dune systems <u>and</u> , to restore damaged beach
474	and dune systems. In identifying such management responses, the
475	department shall consider, at a minimum, and to prevent
476	inappropriate development and redevelopment on migrating
477	beaches, and consider beach restoration and nourishment,
478	armoring, relocation and abandonment, dune and vegetation
479	restoration, and acquisition.
480	(k) Document procedures and policies for preparing post-
481	storm damage assessments and corresponding recovery plans,
482	including repair cost estimates. Establish criteria, including
483	costs and specific implementation actions, for alternative
484	management techniques.
485	(l) <u>Identify and assess</u> Select and recommend appropriate
486	management measures for all of the state's critically eroded
487	sandy beaches in a beach management program.
488	(m) Establish a list of beach restoration and beach
489	nourishment projects, arranged in order of priority, and the
490	funding levels needed for such projects.
491	(2) The comprehensive long-term management plan developed
492	and maintained by the department pursuant to subsection (1) must
493	include, at a minimum, a strategic beach management plan, a
I	

Page 17 of 22

592-02736A-17 20171590c1 494 critically eroded beaches report, and a statewide long-range 495 budget plan. The long-range budget plan must include a 3-year 496 work plan for beach nourishment projects and inlet management 497 projects that lists planned projects for each of the 3 fiscal 498 years addressed in the work plan. 499 (a) The strategic beach management plan must identify and 500 recommend appropriate measures for all of the state's critically 501 eroded sandy beaches and may incorporate plans be prepared at 502 the regional level taking into account based upon areas of 503 greatest need and probable federal and local funding. Upon 504 approval in accordance with chapter 86-138, Laws of Florida, 505 such regional plans, along with the 3-year work plan identified 506 in subparagraph (c)1., shall be components of the statewide 507 beach management plan and shall serve as the basis for state 508 funding decisions upon approval in accordance with chapter 86-509 138, Laws of Florida. In accordance with a schedule established 510 for the submission of regional plans by the department, any 511 completed plan must be submitted to the secretary of the 512 department for approval no later than March 1 of each year. 513 These regional plans shall include, but shall not be limited to, 514 recommendations of appropriate funding mechanisms for 515 implementing projects in the beach management plan, giving 516 consideration to the use of single-county and multicounty taxing 517 districts or other revenue generation measures by state and 518 local governments and the private sector. Prior to finalizing 519 the strategic beach management presenting the plan to the 520 secretary of the department, the department shall hold a public 521 meeting in the region areas for which the plan is prepared or through a publicly noticed webinar. The plan submission schedule 522

Page 18 of 22

592-02736A-17 20171590c1 523 shall be submitted to the secretary for approval. Any revisions 524 to such schedule must be approved in like manner. 525 (b) The critically eroded beaches report must be developed 526 and maintained based primarily on the requirements specified in 527 paragraph (1) (e). 528 (c) The statewide long-range budget plan must include at 529 least 5 years of planned beach nourishment and inlet management project funding needs as identified, and subsequently refined, 530 531 by local government sponsors. This plan shall consist of two 532 components: 533 1. A 3-year work plan that identifies beach nourishment and 534 inlet management projects viable for implementation during the 535 next 3 ensuing fiscal years, as determined by available costsharing, local sponsor support, regulatory considerations, and 536 537 the ability of the project to proceed as scheduled. The 3-year 538 work plan must, for each fiscal year, identify proposed projects 539 and their current development status, listing them in priority 540 order based on the applicable criteria established in ss. 161.101(14) and 161.143(2). Specific funding requests and 541 542 criteria ranking, pursuant to ss. 161.101(14) and 161.143(2), 543 may be modified as warranted in each successive fiscal year, and 544 such modifications must be documented and submitted to the 545 Legislature with each 3-year work plan. Year one projects shall 546 consist of those projects identified for funding consideration 547 in the ensuing fiscal year. 2. A long-range plan that identifies projects for inclusion 548 549 in the fourth and fifth ensuing fiscal years. These projects may 550 be presented by region and do not need to be presented in priority order; however, the department should identify issues 551

Page 19 of 22

	592-02736A-17 20171590c1
552	that may prevent successful completion of such projects and
553	recommend solutions that would allow the projects to progress
554	into the 3-year work plan.
555	(3) (2) Annually, The secretary shall annually present the
556	3-year work plan to the Legislature. The work plan must be
557	accompanied by a 3-year financial forecast for the availability
558	of funding for the projects, based on funds dedicated in s.
559	375.041 recommendations for funding beach erosion control
560	projects prioritized according to the criteria established in s.
561	$\frac{161.101(14)}{1}$
562	Section 5. Paragraph (b) of subsection (3) of section
563	
564	375.041, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
	375.041 Land Acquisition Trust Fund
565	(3) Funds distributed into the Land Acquisition Trust Fund
566	pursuant to s. 201.15 shall be applied:
567	(b) Of the funds remaining after the payments required
568	under paragraph (a), but before funds may be appropriated,
569	pledged, or dedicated for other uses:
570	1. A minimum of the lesser of 25 percent or \$200 million
571	shall be appropriated annually for Everglades projects that
572	implement the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan as set
573	forth in s. 373.470, including the Central Everglades Planning
574	Project subject to Congressional authorization; the Long-Term
575	Plan as defined in s. 373.4592(2); and the Northern Everglades
576	and Estuaries Protection Program as set forth in s. 373.4595.
577	From these funds, \$32 million shall be distributed each fiscal
578	year through the 2023-2024 fiscal year to the South Florida
579	Water Management District for the Long-Term Plan as defined in
580	s. 373.4592(2). After deducting the \$32 million distributed

Page 20 of 22

	592-02736A-17 20171590c1
581	under this subparagraph, from the funds remaining, a minimum of
582	the lesser of 76.5 percent or \$100 million shall be appropriated
583	each fiscal year through the 2025-2026 fiscal year for the
584	planning, design, engineering, and construction of the
585	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan as set forth in s.
586	373.470, including the Central Everglades Planning Project
587	subject to Congressional authorization. The Department of
588	Environmental Protection and the South Florida Water Management
589	District shall give preference to those Everglades restoration
590	projects that reduce harmful discharges of water from Lake
591	Okeechobee to the St. Lucie or Caloosahatchee estuaries in a
592	timely manner. For the purpose of performing the calculation
593	provided in this subparagraph, the amount of debt service paid
594	pursuant to paragraph (a) for bonds issued after July 1, 2016,
595	for the purposes set forth under paragraph (b) shall be added to
596	the amount remaining after the payments required under paragraph
597	(a). The amount of the distribution calculated shall then be
598	reduced by an amount equal to the debt service paid pursuant to
599	paragraph (a) on bonds issued after July 1, 2016, for the
600	purposes set forth under this subparagraph.
601	2. A minimum of the lesser of 7.6 percent or \$50 million
602	shall be appropriated annually for spring restoration.

shall be appropriated annually for spring restoration, 602 603 protection, and management projects. For the purpose of performing the calculation provided in this subparagraph, the 604 605 amount of debt service paid pursuant to paragraph (a) for bonds 606 issued after July 1, 2016, for the purposes set forth under 607 paragraph (b) shall be added to the amount remaining after the 608 payments required under paragraph (a). The amount of the 609 distribution calculated shall then be reduced by an amount equal

Page 21 of 22

592-02736A-17 20171590c1 610 to the debt service paid pursuant to paragraph (a) on bonds 611 issued after July 1, 2016, for the purposes set forth under this 612 subparagraph. 3. The sum of \$5 million shall be appropriated annually 613 614 each fiscal year through the 2025-2026 fiscal year to the St. 615 Johns River Water Management District for projects dedicated to 616 the restoration of Lake Apopka. This distribution shall be 617 reduced by an amount equal to the debt service paid pursuant to paragraph (a) on bonds issued after July 1, 2016, for the 618 619 purposes set forth in this subparagraph. 620 4. A minimum of the lesser of 7.6 percent or \$50 million 621 shall be appropriated annually for projects that preserve and 622 repair the state's beaches as provided in s. 161.091(3). The 623 calculation provided in this subparagraph shall be performed 624 using the same formula as described in subparagraph 2.

625 Section 6. Except as otherwise provided in this act, this 626 act shall take effect July 1, 2017.