By Senator Hutson

7-00223A-17

2017352

1 A bill to be entitled 2 An act relating to legislative redistricting and 3 congressional reapportionment; creating s. 97.029, 4 F.S.; requiring a court to provide for an expedited 5 hearing and ruling in a challenge to state legislative 6 or congressional district boundaries; providing that 7 candidate qualifying, nomination, and election for 8 certain offices must proceed using current district 9 boundaries if revisions to districts are not made as 10 of a certain date; specifying public oversight procedures that a court is encouraged to follow when 11 12 drafting a remedial redistricting plan; providing for 13 construction; providing an effective date. 14 15 WHEREAS, uncertainty regarding the boundaries of state 16 legislative and congressional districts can create confusion 17 among candidates and voters, with candidates uncertain as to 18 which districts they should run in and how they should allocate finite campaign resources, and voters uncertain as to which 19 20 district they reside in or the polling place to which they are 21 assigned, and 22 WHEREAS, with each redistricting of state legislative 23

23 districts and each reapportionment of congressional districts, 24 supervisors of elections are tasked with the timely and 25 intricate process of redrawing precinct lines and reassigning 26 voters to new polling places, and

27 WHEREAS, finalizing the boundaries of state legislative and 28 congressional districts shortly before an election hampers the 29 ability of supervisors of elections and other election officials 30 to effectively administer an election, and

31 WHEREAS, in recent rulings relating to challenges to 32 district boundaries, courts have recognized the legal and

## Page 1 of 3

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

logistical difficulties associated with implementing revised district boundaries within an abbreviated timeframe, as well the financial cost of holding a special election to implemen new districts, NOW, THEREFORE, Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: Section 1. Section 97.029, Florida Statutes, is created read: <u>97.029 Challenges to state legislative or congressional districts</u> (1) If the validity of boundaries of senatorial, representative, or congressional districts of the state is challenged in state court, the court, including an appellate court, must set an immediate hearing, giving the case priori over other pending cases, and render a decision as expeditio as possible. (2) If the challenge is still pending when the qualifyi period for persons seeking nomination or election to state o	-
the financial cost of holding a special election to implement new districts, NOW, THEREFORE, Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: Section 1. Section 97.029, Florida Statutes, is created read: 97.029 Challenges to state legislative or congressional districts (1) If the validity of boundaries of senatorial, representative, or congressional districts of the state is challenged in state court, the court, including an appellate court, must set an immediate hearing, giving the case priori over other pending cases, and render a decision as expeditio as possible. (2) If the challenge is still pending when the qualifyi	-
<pre>36 new districts, NOW, THEREFORE, 37 38 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 39 40 Section 1. Section 97.029, Florida Statutes, is created 41 read: 42 97.029 Challenges to state legislative or congressional 43 districts 44 (1) If the validity of boundaries of senatorial, 45 representative, or congressional districts of the state is 46 challenged in state court, the court, including an appellate 47 court, must set an immediate hearing, giving the case priori 48 over other pending cases, and render a decision as expeditio 49 as possible. 50 (2) If the challenge is still pending when the qualifyi</pre>	
37 38 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 39 40 Section 1. Section 97.029, Florida Statutes, is created 41 read: 42 <u>97.029 Challenges to state legislative or congressional</u> 43 <u>districts</u> 44 <u>(1) If the validity of boundaries of senatorial,</u> 45 <u>representative, or congressional districts of the state is</u> 46 <u>challenged in state court, the court, including an appellate</u> 47 <u>court, must set an immediate hearing, giving the case priori</u> 48 <u>over other pending cases, and render a decision as expeditio</u> 49 <u>as possible.</u> 50 <u>(2) If the challenge is still pending when the qualifyi</u>	to
Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: Section 1. Section 97.029, Florida Statutes, is created read: 97.029 Challenges to state legislative or congressional districts (1) If the validity of boundaries of senatorial, representative, or congressional districts of the state is challenged in state court, the court, including an appellate court, must set an immediate hearing, giving the case priori over other pending cases, and render a decision as expeditio as possible. (2) If the challenge is still pending when the qualifyi	to
39 40 Section 1. Section 97.029, Florida Statutes, is created 41 read: 42 <u>97.029 Challenges to state legislative or congressional</u> 43 <u>districts</u> 44 <u>(1) If the validity of boundaries of senatorial,</u> 45 <u>representative, or congressional districts of the state is</u> 46 <u>challenged in state court, the court, including an appellate</u> 47 <u>court, must set an immediate hearing, giving the case priori</u> 48 <u>over other pending cases, and render a decision as expeditio</u> 49 <u>as possible.</u> 50 <u>(2) If the challenge is still pending when the qualifyi</u>	to
Section 1. Section 97.029, Florida Statutes, is created read: <u>97.029 Challenges to state legislative or congressional</u> <u>districts</u> (1) If the validity of boundaries of senatorial, <u>representative, or congressional districts of the state is</u> <u>challenged in state court, the court, including an appellate</u> <u>court, must set an immediate hearing, giving the case priori</u> <u>over other pending cases, and render a decision as expedition</u> <u>as possible.</u> (2) If the challenge is still pending when the qualifyi	to
<pre>41 read: 42 <u>97.029 Challenges to state legislative or congressional</u> 43 <u>districts</u> 44 <u>(1) If the validity of boundaries of senatorial,</u> 45 <u>representative, or congressional districts of the state is</u> 46 <u>challenged in state court, the court, including an appellate</u> 47 <u>court, must set an immediate hearing, giving the case priori</u> 48 <u>over other pending cases, and render a decision as expeditio</u> 49 <u>as possible.</u> 50 <u>(2) If the challenge is still pending when the qualifyi</u></pre>	to
42 <u>97.029 Challenges to state legislative or congressional</u> 43 <u>districts</u> 44 <u>(1) If the validity of boundaries of senatorial,</u> 45 <u>representative, or congressional districts of the state is</u> 46 <u>challenged in state court, the court, including an appellate</u> 47 <u>court, must set an immediate hearing, giving the case priori</u> 48 <u>over other pending cases, and render a decision as expeditio</u> 49 <u>as possible.</u> 50 <u>(2) If the challenge is still pending when the qualifyi</u>	
43 <u>districts</u> 44 <u>(1) If the validity of boundaries of senatorial,</u> 45 <u>representative, or congressional districts of the state is</u> 46 <u>challenged in state court, the court, including an appellate</u> 47 <u>court, must set an immediate hearing, giving the case priori</u> 48 <u>over other pending cases, and render a decision as expeditio</u> 49 <u>as possible.</u> 50 <u>(2) If the challenge is still pending when the qualifyi</u>	
<ul> <li>44 (1) If the validity of boundaries of senatorial,</li> <li>45 representative, or congressional districts of the state is</li> <li>46 challenged in state court, the court, including an appellate</li> <li>47 court, must set an immediate hearing, giving the case priori</li> <li>48 over other pending cases, and render a decision as expeditio</li> <li>49 as possible.</li> <li>50 (2) If the challenge is still pending when the qualifyi</li> </ul>	
45 representative, or congressional districts of the state is 46 challenged in state court, the court, including an appellate 47 court, must set an immediate hearing, giving the case priori 48 over other pending cases, and render a decision as expeditio 49 as possible. 50 (2) If the challenge is still pending when the qualifyi	
46 challenged in state court, the court, including an appellate 47 court, must set an immediate hearing, giving the case priori 48 over other pending cases, and render a decision as expeditio 49 as possible. 50 (2) If the challenge is still pending when the qualifyi	
47 court, must set an immediate hearing, giving the case priori 48 over other pending cases, and render a decision as expeditio 49 as possible. 50 (2) If the challenge is still pending when the qualifyi	
48 <u>over other pending cases, and render a decision as expeditio</u> 49 <u>as possible.</u> 50 <u>(2) If the challenge is still pending when the qualifyi</u>	
49 <u>as possible.</u> 50 (2) If the challenge is still pending when the qualifyi	<u>IY</u>
50 (2) If the challenge is still pending when the qualifyi	ısly
51 period for persons seeking nomination or election to state o	ıg
	<u>-</u>
52 <u>multicounty district office</u> , other than the office of state	
53 attorney or the public defender, begins pursuant to s.	
54 99.061(1), candidate qualifying, nomination, and election fo	<u>-</u>
55 the offices in the plan subject to the challenge must procee	1
56 using the districts that are in place on the 71st day before	the
57 primary election. If a court orders revisions to senatorial,	
58 representative, or congressional districts on or after the 7	
59 day before the primary election, the revised districts shall	st
60 govern beginning with the subsequent primary and general	st
61 <u>elections in the next even-numbered year.</u>	lst

## Page 2 of 3

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

SB 352

	7-00223A-17 2017352
62	(3) If a court orders revisions to congressional districts
63	after the qualifying period for persons seeking nomination or
64	election to federal office has concluded at noon of the 116th
65	day before the primary election, candidates for the United
66	States House of Representatives must requalify in accordance
67	with the revised congressional districts during the qualifying
68	period from noon on the 71st day before the primary election to
69	noon on the 67th day before the primary election.
70	(4) In the event that a court drafts a remedial
71	redistricting plan as a result of a successful challenge to the
72	validity of boundaries of senatorial, representative, or
73	congressional districts, the court is encouraged to use the
74	following procedures in drafting the remedial plan in order to
75	maintain public oversight:
76	(a) Conduct public hearings on proposed configurations of
77	district boundaries in the remedial plan.
78	(b) Record and maintain minutes of meetings on the remedial
79	plan which are closed to the public.
80	(c) Provide a mechanism for the public to submit and
81	comment on alternative maps.
82	(d) Offer an opportunity for the public to review and
83	comment on any proposed map before the remedial plan is
84	finalized.
85	(e) Maintain all e-mails and documents related to the
86	drafting of the remedial plan.
87	(5) This section does not supersede or impair the
88	procedures governing the judicial review of apportionment as set
89	forth in s. 16, Art. III of the State Constitution.
90	Section 2. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.
	Page 3 of 3

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.