1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to the search of the content,
3	information, and communications of cellular phones,
4	portable electronic communication devices, and
5	microphone-enabled household devices; amending s.
6	92.605, F.S.; including a reference to chapter 934,
7	F.S., in provisions concerning production of certain
8	business records; requiring that law enforcement
9	adhere to the requirements of chapter 934, F.S., in
10	order to obtain content of electronic communications;
11	amending s. 934.01, F.S.; providing legislative
12	findings; amending s. 934.02, F.S.; providing
13	definitions; amending ss. 934.03, 934.07, 934.08, and
14	934.09, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by
15	the act; amending s. 934.10, F.S.; conforming
16	provisions to changes made by the act; revising
17	provisions concerning when a judge may authorize
18	interception; amending s. 934.21, F.S.; conforming
19	provisions to changes made by the act; prohibiting
20	unlawful access to communications stored in specified
21	devices; providing penalties; amending s. 934.42,
22	F.S.; requiring that law enforcement obtain a warrant
23	to acquire certain location information; providing
24	procedures for such warrants; providing limited
25	exceptions in certain circumstances; providing an
	Dogo 1 of 21

Page 1 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

26	effective date.
27	
28	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
29	
30	Section 1. Subsection (9) of section 92.605, Florida
31	Statutes, is amended to read:
32	92.605 Production of certain records by Florida businesses
33	and out-of-state corporations
34	(9) In any criminal case, the content of any electronic
35	communication may be obtained under this section only by court
36	order or by the issuance of a search warrant, as provided in
37	chapter 934, unless otherwise required by provided under the
38	Electronic Communications Privacy Act or other provision of law.
39	Section 2. Section 934.01, Florida Statutes, is amended to
40	read:
41	934.01 Legislative findings.—On the basis of its own
42	investigations and of published studies, the Legislature makes
43	the following findings:
44	(1) Wire communications are normally conducted through the
45	use of facilities which form part of an intrastate network. The
46	same facilities are used for interstate and intrastate
47	communications.
48	(2) In order to protect effectively the privacy of wire <u>,</u>
49	and oral, and electronic communications, to protect the
50	integrity of court and administrative proceedings, and to
	Page 2 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

51 prevent the obstruction of intrastate commerce, it is necessary 52 for the Legislature to define the circumstances and conditions 53 under which the interception of wire, and oral, and electronic 54 communications may be authorized and to prohibit any 55 unauthorized interception of such communications and the use of 56 the contents thereof in evidence in courts and administrative 57 proceedings.

(3) Organized criminals make extensive use of wire, and oral, and electronic communications in their criminal activities. The interception of such communications to obtain evidence of the commission of crimes or to prevent their commission is an indispensable aid to law enforcement and the administration of justice.

64 (4) To safeguard the privacy of innocent persons, the interception of wire, or oral, or electronic communications when 65 none of the parties to the communication has consented to the 66 67 interception should be allowed only when authorized by a court 68 of competent jurisdiction and should remain under the control 69 and supervision of the authorizing court. Interception of wire, 70 and oral, and electronic communications should further be 71 limited to certain major types of offenses and specific categories of crime with assurance that the interception is 72 73 justified and that the information obtained thereby will not be 74 misused.

75

(5) To safeguard the privacy of innocent persons, the

Page 3 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2018

76	Legislature recognizes that the subjective expectation of
77	privacy in precision location data that society is now prepared
78	to accept is objectively reasonable. As such, the law
79	enforcement collection of the precise location of a person, cell
80	phone, or portable electronic communication device without the
81	consent of the person or owner of the cell phone or portable
82	electronic communication device should be allowed only when
83	authorized by a warrant issued by a court of competent
84	jurisdiction and should remain under the control and supervision
85	of the authorizing court.
86	(6) The Legislature recognizes that the use of portable
87	electronic communication devices is growing at a rapidly
88	increasing rate. These devices can store, and encourage the
89	storing of, an almost limitless amount of personal and private
90	information. Often linked to the Internet, these devices are
91	commonly used to access personal and business information and
92	databases in computers and servers that can be located anywhere
93	in the world. The user of a portable electronic communication
94	device has a reasonable and justifiable expectation of privacy
95	in the information that these devices contain.
96	(7) The Legislature recognizes that the use of household
97	electronic devices, including microphone-enabled household
98	devices, is growing at a rapidly increasing rate. These devices
99	often contain microphones that listen for and respond to
100	environmental triggers. These household devices are generally
	Dage 4 of 21

Page 4 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

101	connected to and communicate through the Internet resulting in
102	the storage of and accessibility to daily household information
103	in a device itself or in a remote computing service. Persons
104	should not have to choose between using household technological
105	enhancements and conveniences or preserving the right to privacy
106	in one's home.
107	Section 3. Subsection (2) of section 934.02, Florida
108	Statutes, is amended, and subsections (27) and (28) are added to
109	that section, to read:
110	934.02 Definitions.—As used in this chapter:
111	(2) "Oral communication" means any oral communication
112	uttered by a person exhibiting an expectation that such
113	communication is not subject to interception under circumstances
114	justifying such expectation, including the use of a microphone-
115	enabled household device, and does not mean any public oral
116	communication uttered at a public meeting or any electronic
117	communication.
118	(27) "Microphone-enabled household device" means a device,
119	sensor, or other physical object within a residence:
120	(a) Capable of connecting to the Internet, directly or
121	indirectly, or to another connected device;
122	(b) Capable of creating, receiving, accessing, processing,
123	or storing electronic data or communications;
124	(c) That communicates with, by any means, another entity
125	or individual; and

Page 5 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2018

126	(d) That contains a microphone designed to listen for and
127	respond to environmental cues.
128	(28) "Portable electronic communication device" means an
129	object capable of being easily transported or conveyed by a
130	person which is capable of creating, receiving, accessing, or
131	storing electronic data or communications and that communicates
132	with, by any means, another device, entity, or individual.
133	Section 4. Paragraph (a) of subsection (2) of section
134	934.03, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
135	934.03 Interception and disclosure of wire, oral, or
136	electronic communications prohibited
137	(2)(a)1. It is lawful under this section and ss. 934.04-
138	934.09 for an operator of a switchboard, or an officer,
139	employee, or agent of a provider of wire or electronic
140	communication service whose facilities are used in the
141	transmission of a wire or electronic communication, to
142	intercept, disclose, or use that communication in the normal
143	course of his or her employment while engaged in any activity
144	which is a necessary incident to the rendition of his or her
145	service or to the protection of the rights or property of the
146	provider of that service, except that a provider of wire
147	communication service to the public shall not utilize service
148	observing or random monitoring except for mechanical or service
149	quality control checks.
150	2. Notwithstanding any other law, a provider of wire,

Page 6 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

151 oral, or electronic communication service, or an officer, 152 employee, or agent thereof, or landlord, custodian, or other 153 person, may provide information, facilities, or technical 154 assistance to a person authorized by law to intercept wire, 155 oral, or electronic communications if such provider, or an 156 officer, employee, or agent thereof, or landlord, custodian, or 157 other person, has been provided with:

a. A court order directing such assistance signed by the
 authorizing judge; or

b. A certification in writing by a person specified in s. 934.09(7) that no warrant or court order is required by law, that all statutory requirements have been met, and that the specified assistance is required, setting forth the period of time during which the provision of the information, facilities, or technical assistance is authorized and specifying the information, facilities, or technical assistance required; or

167 <u>c. A warrant issued by a judge of competent jurisdiction</u>
 168 <u>as required by law</u>.

3. A provider of wire, oral, or electronic communication service, or an officer, employee, or agent thereof, or landlord, custodian, or other person may not disclose the existence of any interception or the device used to accomplish the interception with respect to which the person has been <u>served with a warrant</u> or furnished an order under this section and ss. 934.04-934.09, except as may otherwise be required by legal process and then

Page 7 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

176 only after prior notice to the Governor, the Attorney General, 177 the statewide prosecutor, or a state attorney, as may be 178 appropriate. Any such disclosure renders such person liable for 179 the civil damages provided under s. 934.10, and such person may 180 be prosecuted under s. 934.43. An action may not be brought 181 against any provider of wire, oral, or electronic communication 182 service, or an officer, employee, or agent thereof, or landlord, 183 custodian, or other person for providing information, facilities, or assistance in accordance with the terms of a 184 court order under this section and ss. 934.04-934.09. 185

186Section 5. Subsection (1) and paragraph (b) of subsection187(2) of section 934.07, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

188 934.07 Authorization for interception of wire, oral, or 189 electronic communications.-

(1) The Governor, the Attorney General, the statewide
prosecutor, or any state attorney may authorize an application
to a judge of competent jurisdiction for, and such judge may
<u>issue grant</u> in conformity with ss. 934.03-934.09 <u>a warrant as</u>
<u>required by law or</u> an order authorizing or approving the
interception of, wire, oral, or electronic communications by:

(a) The Department of Law Enforcement or any law
enforcement agency as defined in s. 934.02 having responsibility
for the investigation of the offense as to which the application
is made when such interception may provide or has provided
evidence of the commission of the offense of murder, kidnapping,

Page 8 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

aircraft piracy, arson, gambling, robbery, burglary, theft, 201 202 dealing in stolen property, criminal usury, bribery, or 203 extortion; any felony violation of ss. 790.161-790.166, 204 inclusive; any violation of s. 787.06; any violation of chapter 205 893; any violation of the provisions of the Florida Anti-Fencing 206 Act; any violation of chapter 895; any violation of chapter 896; 207 any violation of chapter 815; any violation of chapter 847; any 208 violation of s. 827.071; any violation of s. 944.40; or any 209 conspiracy or solicitation to commit any violation of the laws 210 of this state relating to the crimes specifically enumerated in 211 this paragraph.

212 (b) The Department of Law Enforcement, together with other 213 assisting personnel as authorized and requested by the 214 department under s. 934.09(5), for the investigation of the 215 offense as to which the application is made when such interception may provide or has provided evidence of the 216 217 commission of any offense that may be an act of terrorism or in 218 furtherance of an act of terrorism or evidence of any conspiracy 219 or solicitation to commit any such violation.

220 (2)

(b) Upon its receipt of information of the contents of an intercepted communications from a law enforcement agency, the Department of Law Enforcement shall promptly review the information to determine whether the information relates to an actual or anticipated act of terrorism as defined in this

Page 9 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2018

226 section. If, after reviewing the contents of the intercepted 227 communications, there is probable cause that the contents of the 228 intercepted communications meet the criteria of paragraph 229 (1) (b), the Department of Law Enforcement may make application 230 for the interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications 231 consistent with paragraph (1)(b). The department may make an 232 independent new application for interception based on the 233 contents of the intercepted communications. Alternatively, the 234 department may request the law enforcement agency that provided 235 the information to join with the department in seeking a new 236 warrant as required by law or an amendment of the original 237 interception order, or may seek additional authority to continue intercepting communications under the direction of the 238 239 department. In carrying out its duties under this section, the 240 department may use the provisions for an emergency interception 241 provided in s. 934.09(7) if applicable under statutory criteria. 242 Section 6. Subsection (5) of section 934.08, Florida 243 Statutes, is amended to read: 244 934.08 Authorization for disclosure and use of intercepted 245 wire, oral, or electronic communications.-246 (5) When an investigative or law enforcement officer, while engaged in intercepting wire, oral, or electronic 247 communications in the manner authorized herein, intercepts wire, 248 oral, or electronic communications relating to offenses other 249 250 than those specified in the warrant or order of authorization or

Page 10 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

251 approval, the contents thereof and evidence derived therefrom 252 may be disclosed or used as provided in subsections (1) and (2). 253 Such contents and any evidence derived therefrom may be used 254 under subsection (3) when authorized or approved by a judge of 255 competent jurisdiction when such judge finds on subsequent 256 application that the contents were otherwise intercepted in 257 accordance with the provisions of this chapter. Such application 258 shall be made as soon as practicable.

259 Section 7. Section 934.09, Florida Statutes, is amended to 260 read:

261 934.09 Procedure for interception of wire, oral, or 262 electronic communications.-

(1) Each application for <u>a warrant</u> an order authorizing or
approving the interception of a wire, oral, or electronic
communication under ss. 934.03-934.09 shall be made in writing
upon oath or affirmation to a judge of competent jurisdiction
and shall state the applicant's authority to make such
application. Each application shall include the following
information:

(a) The identity of the investigative or law enforcement
officer making the application and the officer authorizing the
application.

(b) A full and complete statement of the facts and circumstances relied upon by the applicant to justify his or her belief that <u>a warrant</u> an order should be issued, including:

Page 11 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Details as to the particular offense that has been, is
 being, or is about to be committed.

278 2. Except as provided in subsection (11), a particular 279 description of the nature and location of the facilities from 280 which, or the place where, the communications are to be 281 intercepted.

3. A particular description of the type of communicationssought to be intercepted.

4. The identity of the person, if known, committing theoffense and whose communications are to be intercepted.

(c) A full and complete statement as to whether or not other investigative procedures have been tried and failed or why they reasonably appear to be unlikely to succeed if tried or to be too dangerous.

290 (d) A statement of the period of time for which the 291 interception is required to be maintained and, if the nature of 292 the investigation is such that the authorization for 293 interception should not automatically terminate when the 294 described type of communication has been first obtained, a 295 particular description of facts establishing probable cause to 296 believe that additional communications of the same type will 297 occur thereafter.

(e) A full and complete statement of the facts concerning
all previous applications known to the individual authorizing
and making the application, made to any judge for authorization

Page 12 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

301 to intercept, or for approval of interceptions of, wire, oral, 302 or electronic communications involving any of the same persons, 303 facilities, or places specified in the application, and the 304 action taken by the judge on each such application.

(f) When the application is for the extension of <u>a warrant</u> an order, a statement setting forth the results thus far obtained from the interception or a reasonable explanation of the failure to obtain such results.

309 (2) The judge may require the applicant to furnish
310 additional testimony or documentary evidence in support of the
311 application.

312 (3) Upon such application, the judge may authorize a 313 warrant enter an ex parte order, as requested or as modified, 314 authorizing or approving interception of wire, oral, or 315 electronic communications within the territorial jurisdiction of the court in which the judge is sitting, and outside such 316 317 jurisdiction but within the State of Florida in the case of a 318 mobile interception device authorized by the judge within such 319 jurisdiction, if the judge determines on the basis of the facts 320 submitted by the applicant that:

(a) There is probable cause for belief that an individual
is committing, has committed, or is about to commit an offense
as provided in s. 934.07.

324 (b) There is probable cause for belief that particular325 communications concerning that offense will be obtained through

Page 13 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

326 such interception.

327 (c) Normal investigative procedures have been tried and 328 have failed or reasonably appear to be unlikely to succeed if 329 tried or to be too dangerous.

(d) Except as provided in subsection (11), there is probable cause for belief that the facilities from which, or the place where, the wire, oral, or electronic communications are to be intercepted are being used, or are about to be used, in connection with the commission of such offense, or are leased to, listed in the name of, or commonly used by such person.

336 (4) Each <u>warrant</u> order authorizing or approving the 337 interception of any wire, oral, or electronic communication 338 shall specify:

(a) The identity of the person, if known, whosecommunications are to be intercepted.

(b) The nature and location of the communications
facilities as to which, or the place where, authority to
intercept is granted.

344 (c) A particular description of the type of communication
345 sought to be intercepted and a statement of the particular
346 offense to which it relates.

347 (d) The identity of the agency authorized to intercept the348 communications and of the person authorizing the application.

349 (e) The period of time during which such interception is
350 authorized, including a statement as to whether or not the

Page 14 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

353

351 interception shall automatically terminate when the described 352 communication has been first obtained.

354 A warrant An order authorizing the interception of a wire, oral, 355 or electronic communication shall, upon the request of the 356 applicant, direct that a provider of wire or electronic 357 communication service, landlord, custodian, or other person 358 shall furnish the applicant forthwith all information, 359 facilities, and technical assistance necessary to accomplish the 360 interception unobtrusively and with a minimum of interference 361 with the services that such service provider, landlord, 362 custodian, or person is according the person whose 363 communications are to be intercepted. The obligation of a 364 provider of wire, oral, or electronic communication service 365 under such a warrant an order may include, but is not limited 366 to, conducting an in-progress trace during an interception, or 367 providing other assistance to support the investigation as may 368 be specified in the warrant order. Any provider of wire or 369 electronic communication service, landlord, custodian, or other 370 person furnishing such facilities or technical assistance shall 371 be compensated therefor by the applicant for reasonable expenses 372 incurred in providing such facilities or assistance.

373 (5) No <u>warrant</u> order entered under this section may
374 authorize or approve the interception of any wire, oral, or
375 electronic communication for any period longer than is necessary

Page 15 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2018

376 to achieve the objective of the authorization or in any event 377 longer than 30 days. Such 30-day period begins on the day on 378 which the agent or officer of the law enforcement agency first 379 begins to conduct an interception under the warrant order or 10 380 days after the warrant is approved order is entered, whichever 381 occurs earlier. Extensions of a warrant an order may be granted 382 but only upon application for an extension made in accordance 383 with subsection (1) and upon the court making the findings required by subsection (3). The period of extension shall be no 384 385 longer than the authorizing judge deems necessary to achieve the 386 purposes for which it was granted and in no event for longer 387 than 30 days. Every warrant order and extension thereof shall 388 contain a provision that the authorization to intercept shall be 389 executed as soon as practicable, shall be conducted in such a 390 way as to minimize the interception of communications not 391 otherwise subject to interception under ss. 934.03-934.09, and 392 must terminate upon attainment of the authorized objective or in 393 any event in 30 days. If the intercepted communication is in 394 code or foreign language and an expert in that foreign language 395 or code is not reasonably available during the interception 396 period, minimization may be accomplished as soon as practicable 397 after such interception. An interception under ss. 934.03-934.09 may be conducted in whole or in part by government personnel or 398 by an individual operating under a contract with the government, 399 400 acting under the supervision of an agent or officer of the law

Page 16 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

401 enforcement agency authorized to conduct the interception.

(6) Whenever <u>a warrant</u> an order authorizing interception
is <u>granted</u> entered pursuant to ss. 934.03-934.09, the <u>warrant</u>
order may require reports to be made to the judge who issued the
<u>warrant</u> order showing what progress has been made toward
achievement of the authorized objective and the need for
continued interception. Such reports shall be made at such
intervals as the judge may require.

(7) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter,
any investigative or law enforcement officer specially
designated by the Governor, the Attorney General, the statewide
prosecutor, or a state attorney acting under this chapter, who
reasonably determines that:

414

(a) An emergency exists that:

1. Involves immediate danger of death or serious physical injury to any person, the danger of escape of a prisoner, or conspiratorial activities threatening the security interest of the nation or state; and

2. Requires that a wire, oral, or electronic communication
be intercepted before <u>a warrant</u> an order authorizing such
interception can, with due diligence, be obtained; and

(b) There are grounds upon which <u>a warrant</u> an order could
be entered under this chapter to authorize such interception
may intercept such wire, oral, or electronic communication if an

Page 17 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2018

426 application for a warrant an order approving the interception is 427 made in accordance with this section within 48 hours after the 428 interception has occurred or begins to occur. In the absence of 429 a warrant an order, such interception shall immediately 430 terminate when the communication sought is obtained or when the 431 application for the warrant order is denied, whichever is 432 earlier. If such application for approval is denied, or in any 433 other case in which the interception is terminated without a 434 warrant an order having been issued, the contents of any wire, 435 oral, or electronic communication intercepted shall be treated 436 as having been obtained in violation of s. 934.03(4), and an 437 inventory shall be served as provided for in paragraph (8) (e) on 438 the person named in the application.

439 (8) (a) The contents of any wire, oral, or electronic 440 communication intercepted by any means authorized by ss. 934.03-934.09 shall, if possible, be recorded on tape or wire or other 441 442 comparable device. The recording of the contents of any wire, 443 oral, or electronic communication under this subsection shall be 444 kept in such a way as will protect the recording from editing or 445 other alterations. Immediately upon the expiration of the period of the warrant order, or extensions thereof, such recordings 446 447 shall be made available to the judge approving the warrant issuing such order and sealed under his or her directions. 448 Custody of the recordings shall be wherever the judge orders. 449 450 They shall not be destroyed except upon an order of the issuing

Page 18 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

451 or denying judge, or that judge's successor in office, and in 452 any event shall be kept for 10 years. Duplicate recordings may 453 be made for use or disclosure pursuant to the provisions of s. 454 934.08(1) and (2) for investigations, or for purposes of 455 discovery as required by law.

(b) The presence of the seal provided for by this subsection, or a satisfactory explanation for the absence thereof, shall be a prerequisite for the use or disclosure of the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication or evidence derived therefrom under s. 934.08(3), as required by federal law.

462 (c) Applications made and warrants orders granted under 463 ss. 934.03-934.09 shall be sealed by the judge. Custody of the 464 applications and warrants orders shall be wherever the judge 465 directs. As required by federal law, such applications and 466 warrants orders shall be disclosed for purposes of discovery or 467 only upon a showing of good cause before a judge of competent jurisdiction and shall not be destroyed except on order of the 468 469 issuing or denying judge, or that judge's successor in office, and in any event shall be kept for 10 years. 470

471 (d) Any violation of the provisions of this subsection may
472 be punished as contempt of the issuing or denying judge.

(e) Within a reasonable time but not later than 90 days
after the termination of the period of <u>a warrant</u> an order or
extensions thereof, the issuing or denying judge shall cause to

Page 19 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

485

476 be served on the persons named in the warrant order or the application, and such other parties to intercepted 477 478 communications as the judge may determine in his or her 479 discretion to be in the interest of justice, an inventory which 480 shall include notice of: 481 The fact of the approval of the warrant entry of the 1. 482 order or the application. 483 2. The date of the approval of the warrant entry and the 484 period of authorized, approved, or disapproved interception, or

3. The fact that during the period wire, oral, or
electronic communications were or were not intercepted.

the denial of the application.

The judge, upon the filing of a motion, may make available to such person or the person's counsel for inspection such portions of the intercepted communications, applications, and <u>warrants</u> orders as the judge determines to be in the interest of justice. On an ex parte showing of good cause to a judge of competent jurisdiction, the serving of the inventory required by this paragraph may be postponed.

(9) As required by federal law, The contents of any
intercepted wire, oral, or electronic communication or evidence
derived therefrom shall not be received in evidence or otherwise
disclosed in any trial, hearing, or other proceeding unless each
party, not less than 10 days before the trial, hearing, or

Page 20 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

proceeding, has been furnished with a copy of the warrant court 501 502 order and accompanying application under which the interception 503 was authorized or approved. This 10-day period may be waived by 504 the judge if he or she finds that it was not possible to furnish 505 the party with the above information 10 days before the trial, 506 hearing, or proceeding and that the party will not be prejudiced 507 by the delay in receiving such information. 508 (10) (a) Any aggrieved person prior to or in any trial, hearing, or proceeding in or before any court, department, 509 officer, agency, regulatory body, or other authority may move to 510 511 suppress the contents of any intercepted wire, oral, or 512 electronic communication, or evidence derived therefrom, on the 513 grounds that: The communication was unlawfully intercepted; 514 1. 515 The warrant order of authorization or approval under 2. which it was intercepted is insufficient on its face; or 516 517 3. The interception was not made in conformity with the 518 warrant order of authorization or approval. 519 (b) Except as otherwise provided in the applicable Florida 520 Rules of Criminal Procedure, in a criminal matter: Such motion shall be made before the trial, hearing, or 521 1. 522 proceeding unless there was no opportunity to make such motion or the person was not aware of the grounds of the motion. 523 524 If the motion is granted, the contents of the 2. intercepted wire or oral communication, or evidence derived 525

Page 21 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

526 therefrom, shall be treated as having been obtained in violation 527 of ss. 934.03-934.09 and shall not be admissible as evidence.

528 <u>3.</u> The judge, upon the filing of such motion by the 529 aggrieved person, may make available to the aggrieved person or 530 his or her counsel for inspection such portions of the 531 intercepted communication or evidence derived therefrom as the 532 judge determines to be in the interest of justice.

533 (c) (b) In addition to any other right to appeal, the state 534 shall have the right to appeal from an order granting a motion 535 to suppress made under paragraph (a) or the denial of an 536 application for a warrant an order of approval if the attorney 537 shall certify to the judge or other official granting such 538 motion or denying such application that the appeal is not taken 539 for purposes of delay. Such appeal shall be taken within 30 days 540 after the date the order was entered and shall be diligently 541 prosecuted.

542 <u>(d) (c)</u> The remedies and sanctions described in ss. 934.03-543 934.10 with respect to the interception of electronic 544 communications are the only judicial remedies and sanctions for 545 violations of those sections involving such communications.

(11) The requirements of subparagraph (1) (b)2. and paragraph (3) (d) relating to the specification of the facilities from which, or the place where, the communication is to be intercepted do not apply if:

550

(a) In the case of an application with respect to the

Page 22 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

551 interception of an oral communication:552 1. The application is by an agent or officer of a law

553 enforcement agency and is approved by the Governor, the Attorney 554 General, the statewide prosecutor, or a state attorney.

555 2. The application contains a full and complete statement 556 as to why such specification is not practical and identifies the 557 person committing the offense and whose communications are to be 558 intercepted.

559 3. The judge finds that such specification is not 560 practical.

(b) In the case of an application with respect to a wire or electronic communication:

The application is by an agent or officer of a law
enforcement agency and is approved by the Governor, the Attorney
General, the statewide prosecutor, or a state attorney.

566 The application identifies the person believed to be 2. 567 committing the offense and whose communications are to be 568 intercepted and the applicant makes a showing that there is 569 probable cause to believe that the person's actions could have 570 the effect of thwarting interception from a specified facility 571 or that the person whose communications are to be intercepted 572 has removed, or is likely to remove, himself or herself to another judicial circuit within the state. 573

574 3. The judge finds that such showing has been adequately 575 made.

Page 23 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

581

4. The <u>warrant</u> order authorizing or approving the interception is limited to interception only for such time as it is reasonable to presume that the person identified in the application is or was reasonably proximate to the instrument through which such communication will be or was transmitted.

582 Consistent with this paragraph, a judge of competent 583 jurisdiction may authorize interception within this state, whether the interception is within or outside the court's 584 585 jurisdiction, if the application for the interception makes a 586 showing that some activity or conspiracy believed to be related 587 to, or in furtherance of, the criminal predicate for the 588 requested interception has occurred or will likely occur, or the 589 communication to be intercepted or expected to be intercepted is 590 occurring or will likely occur, in whole or in part, within the 591 jurisdiction of the court where the order is being sought.

592 If an interception of a communication is to be (12)593 carried out pursuant to subsection (11), such interception may 594 not begin until the facilities from which, or the place where, 595 the communication is to be intercepted is ascertained by the 596 person implementing the interception warrant order. A provider 597 of wire or electronic communications service that has received a warrant an order as provided under paragraph (11) (b) may 598 petition the court to modify or quash the warrant order on the 599 600 ground that the interception cannot be performed in a timely or

Page 24 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

601 reasonable fashion. The court, upon notice to the state, shall 602 decide such a petition expeditiously. 603 (13) Consistent with this section, a judge of competent 604 jurisdiction may authorize interception within this state, 605 whether the interception is within or outside the court's 606 jurisdiction, if the application for the interception makes a 607 showing that some activity or conspiracy believed to be related 608 to, or in furtherance of, the criminal predicate for the 609 requested interception has occurred or will likely occur, or the 610 communication to be intercepted or expected to be intercepted is occurring or will likely occur, in whole or in part, within the 611 612 jurisdiction of the court where the warrant is being sought. 613 Section 8. Paragraph (a) of subsection (2) of section 614 934.10, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 615 934.10 Civil remedies.-(2) A good faith reliance on: 616 617 A warrant, court order, subpoena, or legislative (a) 618 authorization as provided in ss. 934.03-934.09, 619 620 shall constitute a complete defense to any civil or criminal, or 621 administrative action arising out of such conduct under the laws 622 of this state. Section 9. Section 934.21, Florida Statutes, is amended to 623 624 read: 934.21 Unlawful access to stored communications; 625

Page 25 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

626 penalties.-

627 (1) Except as provided in subsection (4) (3), whoever:
628 (a) Intentionally accesses without authorization a
629 facility through which an electronic communication service is
630 provided, or

(b) Intentionally exceeds an authorization to access suchfacility,

633

and thereby obtains, alters, or prevents authorized access to a
wire or electronic communication while it is in electronic
storage in such system shall be punished as provided in
subsection (3)(2).

638 (2) Except as provided in subsection (4), whoever 639 intentionally and unlawfully accesses without authorization a 640 cell phone, portable electronic communication device, or 641 microphone-enabled household device and thereby obtains wire, 642 oral, or electronic communications stored within the cell phone, 643 portable electronic communication device, or microphone-enabled 644 household device shall be punished as provided in subsection 645 (3).

646 (3) (2) The punishment for an offense under subsection (1)
 647 or subsection (2) is as follows:

(a) If the offense is committed for purposes of commercial
advantage, malicious destruction or damage, or private
commercial gain, the person is:

Page 26 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

651 1. In the case of a first offense under this subsection, 652 commits quilty of a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable 653 as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 934.41. 654 In the case of any subsequent offense under this 2. 655 subsection, commits quilty of a felony of the third degree, 656 punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, s. 775.084, or 657 s. 934.41. 658 In any other case, the person commits is quilty of a (b) 659 misdemeanor of the second degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082 or s. 775.083. 660 661 (4) (3) Subsection (1) does not apply with respect to 662 conduct authorized: 663 (a) By the person or entity providing a wire or electronic 664 communications service; 665 (b) By a user of a wire or electronic communications 666 service with respect to a communication of or intended for that 667 user; or In s. 934.09, s. 934.23, or s. 934.24. 668 (C) 669 Section 10. Section 934.42, Florida Statutes, is amended 670 to read: 671 934.42 Mobile tracking device and location tracking 672 authorization.-(1) An investigative or law enforcement officer may make 673 674 application to a judge of competent jurisdiction for a warrant 675 an order authorizing or approving the installation and use of a Page 27 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

676 mobile tracking device or the acquisition of cell-site location 677 data, precise global positioning satellite location data, or 678 historical global positioning satellite location data. 679 An application under subsection (1) of this section (2) 680 must include: 681 (a) A statement of the identity of the applicant and the 682 identity of the law enforcement agency conducting the 683 investigation. 684 (b) A statement setting forth a reasonable period of time 685 that the device may be used or the location data may be 686 obtained. The time must not exceed 45 days from the date the 687 warrant was issued. The court may, for good cause, grant one or 688 more extensions for a reasonable period of time not to exceed 45 689 days each certification by the applicant that the information 690 likely to be obtained is relevant to an ongoing criminal 691 investigation being conducted by the investigating agency. 692 (C) A statement of the offense to which the information 693 likely to be obtained relates. 694 A statement whether it may be necessary to use and (d) 695 monitor the mobile tracking device outside the jurisdiction of 696 the court from which authorization is being sought. 697 Upon application made as provided under subsection (3) (2), the court, if it finds probable cause, that the 698 certification and the statements required by subsection (2) have 699 700 been made in the application, shall grant a warrant enter an ex Page 28 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

701 parte order authorizing the installation and use of a mobile 702 tracking device. Such warrant order may authorize the use of the 703 device within the jurisdiction of the court and outside that 704 jurisdiction but within the State of Florida if the device is 705 installed within the jurisdiction of the court. The warrant must 706 command the officer to complete any installation authorized by 707 the warrant within a specified period of time not to exceed 10 708 calendar days. 709 A court may not require greater specificity or (4) 710 additional information beyond that which is required by law and this section as a requisite for issuing a warrant an order. 711 712 Within 10 days after the time period specified in (5) 713 paragraph (2) (b) has ended, the officer executing a warrant must 714 return the warrant to the issuing judge. The officer may do so 715 by reliable electronic means. 716 Within 10 days after the time period specified in (6) 717 paragraph (2)(b) has ended, the officer executing a warrant must 718 serve a copy of the warrant on the person who, or whose 719 property, was tracked. Service may be accomplished by delivering 720 a copy to the person who, or whose property, was tracked or by leaving a copy at the person's residence or usual place of abode 721 722 with an individual of suitable age and discretion who resides at 723 that location and by mailing a copy to the person's last known 724 address. Upon request of the law enforcement agency, the court 725 may delay notice for a period of 90 days as provided in s.

Page 29 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2018

726	934.25.
727	(7) (5) The standards established by <u>Florida courts and the</u>
728	United States Supreme Court for the installation <u>, use, or</u> and
729	monitoring of mobile tracking devices shall apply to the
730	installation, use, or monitoring and use of any device as
731	authorized by this section.
732	<u>(8)</u> As used in this section, <u>the term "mobile tracking</u>
733	device" or a "tracking device" means an electronic or mechanical
734	device, including a cell phone or a portable electronic
735	communication device, which permits the tracking of the movement
736	of a person or object and may be used to access cell-site
737	location data, precise global positioning satellite location
738	data, or historical global positioning satellite location data.
739	(9)(a) Notwithstanding any other provision of this
740	chapter, any investigative or law enforcement officer specially
741	designated by the Governor, the Attorney General, the statewide
742	prosecutor, or a state attorney acting pursuant to this chapter
743	who reasonably determines that:
744	1. An emergency exists which:
745	a. Involves immediate danger of death or serious physical
746	injury to any person or the danger of escape of a prisoner; and
747	b. Requires the installation or use of a mobile tracking
748	device before a warrant authorizing such installation or use
749	can, with due diligence, be obtained; and
750	2. There are grounds upon which a warrant could be issued

Page 30 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

751	under this chapter to authorize such installation or use,
752	
753	may install or use a mobile tracking device if, within 48 hours
754	after the installation or use has occurred or begins to occur, a
755	warrant approving the installation or use is issued in
756	accordance with this section.
757	(b) In the absence of an authorizing warrant, such
758	installation or use shall immediately terminate when the
759	information sought is obtained, when the application for the
760	warrant is denied, or when 48 hours have lapsed since the
761	installation or use of the mobile tracking device began,
762	whichever is earlier.
763	(c) The knowing installation or use by any investigative
764	or law enforcement officer of a mobile tracking device pursuant
765	to paragraph (a) without application for the authorizing warrant
766	within 48 hours after the installation or use begins constitutes
767	a violation of this section.
768	Section 11. This act shall take effect July 1, 2018.
	Dogo 21 of 21
	Page 31 of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.