By Senator Brandes

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

24-00935-18 20181256

A bill to be entitled An act relating to the search of the content, information, and communications of cellular phones, portable electronic communication devices, and microphone-enabled household devices; amending s. 92.605, F.S.; authorizing the obtaining in criminal cases of the contents of electronic communications only by court order or by search warrant, as provided in ch. 934, F.S., unless otherwise required by law; amending s. 934.01, F.S.; revising and providing legislative findings; reordering and amending s. 934.02, F.S.; redefining the term "oral communication"; defining the terms "portable electronic communication device" and "microphoneenabled household device"; amending s. 934.03, F.S.; authorizing specified persons to provide information, facilities, or technical assistance to a person authorized by law to intercept wire, oral, or electronic communications if the person has been provided with a warrant; prohibiting specified persons from disclosing the existence of any interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication with respect to which the person has been served with a warrant; conforming a cross-reference; amending s. 934.07, F.S.; authorizing a judge to issue, instead of granting, a warrant in conformity with specified provisions; authorizing the Department of Law Enforcement to request a law enforcement agency that provided it with certain information to join with the

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43 44

45 46

47

48 49

50

51

52

53 54

5556

57

58

24-00935-18 20181256

department in seeking a new warrant; amending s. 934.08, F.S.; authorizing certain disclosure or use when an investigative or law enforcement officer intercepts wire, oral, or electronic communications relating to offenses other than those specified in a warrant; amending s. 934.09, F.S.; requiring that each application for a warrant, rather than an order, authorizing or approving the interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications be made in writing and state the applicant's authority; authorizing a judge to authorize a warrant ex parte, rather than an ex parte order, based on the application under certain circumstances; specifying requirements for warrants, rather than orders, issued under certain circumstances; deleting a time limitation that, if not met, prohibits the introduction into evidence of the contents of certain wire, oral, or electronic communications or other evidence; deleting a provision authorizing a judge to waive the time limitation if he or she makes certain findings; authorizing an aggrieved person to move to suppress the contents of certain wire, oral, or electronic communications before, as well as during, a trial, hearing, or proceeding; providing for inadmissibility of certain evidence if a certain motion is granted; authorizing a judge of competent jurisdiction to authorize interception within this state under specified circumstances; amending s. 934.10, F.S.; providing that a good faith reliance on a warrant issued under

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67 68

6970

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

8485

86

87

24-00935-18 20181256

certain provisions constitutes a complete defense against specified actions; amending s. 934.21, F.S.; providing criminal penalties for the intentional and unlawful access without authorization of certain devices and obtainment of wire, oral, or electronic communications stored within those devices; conforming cross-references; reordering and amending s. 934.42, F.S.; authorizing an investigative or law enforcement officer to apply to a judge of competent jurisdiction for a warrant, rather than an order, authorizing the acquisition of cellular-site location data, precise global positioning system data, or historical global positioning satellite data; requiring an application for a warrant to include a statement of a reasonable length of time that a mobile tracking device may be used, not to exceed a specified duration; authorizing a court to grant extensions not individually exceeding a specified duration, for good cause; deleting a provision requiring a certification to be included in the application for an order; requiring a court to grant a warrant ex parte if it finds probable cause in the application and if the required statements have been made; requiring the warrant to command the officer to complete an installation authorized by the warrant within a certain timeframe; providing requirements for the return of the warrant to the judge and service of a copy of the warrant on the person who was tracked or whose property was tracked; authorizing a court to delay the notice requirement

89

90

91

92

93 94

95

96 97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

24-00935-18 20181256

for a certain time upon request by the law enforcement agency; requiring that the standards established by Florida courts for the installation, use, or monitoring of mobile tracking devices apply to the installation, use, or monitoring of certain devices; redefining the term "tracking device"; authorizing any investigative or law enforcement officer who is specially designated by certain persons and who makes specified determinations to install or use a mobile tracking device under certain circumstances; providing requirements for the installation and use of the mobile tracking devices; providing criminal penalties; reenacting s. 934.22(2)(b), F.S., relating to voluntary disclosure of customer communications or records, to incorporate the amendments made to ss. 934.03 and 934.07, F.S., in references thereto; reenacting s. 934.27(1) and (4), F.S., relating to relief, damages, and defenses for certain civil actions, to incorporate the amendments made to ss. 934.09 and 934.21, F.S., in references thereto; reenacting ss. 934.23(6), 934.24(6) and (7), 934.25(5), and 934.28, F.S., relating to required disclosures of customer communications or records, a subscriber or customer filing a motion for certain relief and customer notification, delayed notice, and the exclusivity of remedies and sanctions for certain violations, respectively, to incorporate the amendment made to s. 934.21, F.S., in references thereto; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

- Section 1. Subsection (9) of section 92.605, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 92.605 Production of certain records by Florida businesses and out-of-state corporations.—
- (9) In any criminal case, the content of any electronic communication may be obtained under this section only by court order or by the issuance of a search warrant, as provided in chapter 934, unless otherwise required by provided under the Electronic Communications Privacy Act or other provision of law.
- Section 2. Section 934.01, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 934.01 Legislative findings.—On the basis of its own investigations and of published studies, the Legislature makes the following findings:
- (1) Wire communications are normally conducted through the use of facilities which form part of an intrastate network. The same facilities are used for interstate and intrastate communications.
- (2) In order to protect effectively the privacy of wire, and oral, and electronic communications, to protect the integrity of court and administrative proceedings, and to prevent the obstruction of intrastate commerce, it is necessary for the Legislature to define the circumstances and conditions under which the interception of wire, and oral, and electronic communications may be authorized and to prohibit any unauthorized interception of such communications and the use of

24-00935-18 20181256

the contents thereof in evidence in courts and administrative proceedings.

- (3) Organized criminals make extensive use of wire, and oral, and electronic communications in their criminal activities. The interception of such communications to obtain evidence of the commission of crimes or to prevent their commission is an indispensable aid to law enforcement and the administration of justice.
- (4) To safeguard the privacy of innocent persons, the interception of wire, or oral, or electronic communications when none of the parties to the communication has consented to the interception should be allowed only when authorized by a court of competent jurisdiction and should remain under the control and supervision of the authorizing court. Interception of wire, and oral, and electronic communications should further be limited to certain major types of offenses and specific categories of crime with assurance that the interception is justified and that the information obtained thereby will not be misused.
- Legislature recognizes a subjective expectation of privacy in precision location data that society is now prepared to accept as objectively reasonable. As such, the collection by law enforcement of the precise location of a person, a cellular phone, or a portable electronic communication device without the consent of the person or the owner of the cellular phone or portable electronic communication device should be allowed only when authorized by a warrant issued by a court of competent jurisdiction and should remain under the control and supervision

of the authorizing court.

- (6) The Legislature recognizes that the use of portable electronic communication devices is growing at a rapidly increasing rate. These devices can store, and encourage the storage of, an almost limitless amount of personal and private information. Often linked to the Internet, these devices are commonly used to access personal and business information and other data stored in computers and servers that can be located anywhere in the world. The user of a portable electronic communication device has a reasonable and justifiable expectation of privacy of the information that these devices contain.
- (7) The Legislature recognizes that the use of household electronic devices, including microphone-enabled household devices, is growing at a rapidly increasing rate. These devices often contain microphones that listen for and respond to environmental triggers. These household devices are generally connected to and communicate through the Internet, resulting in the storage of and accessibility of daily household information in a device itself or in a remote computing service. An individual should not have to choose between using household technological enhancements and conveniences or preserving the right to privacy in one's home.

Section 3. Section 934.02, Florida Statutes, is reordered and amended to read:

934.02 Definitions.—As used in this chapter, the term:

 $\underline{(28)}$  "Wire communication" means any aural transfer made in whole or in part through the use of facilities for the transmission of communications by the aid of wire, cable, or

24-00935-18 20181256

other like connection between the point of origin and the point of reception including the use of such connection in a switching station furnished or operated by any person engaged in providing or operating such facilities for the transmission of intrastate, interstate, or foreign communications or communications affecting intrastate, interstate, or foreign commerce.

- (17) (2) "Oral communication" means any oral communication uttered by a person exhibiting an expectation that such communication is not subject to interception under circumstances justifying such expectation, including interception through the use of a microphone-enabled household device. The term and does not mean any public oral communication uttered at a public meeting or any electronic communication.
- $\underline{(12)}$  "Intercept" means the aural or other acquisition of the contents of any wire, electronic, or oral communication through the use of any electronic, mechanical, or other device.
- (10) (4) "Electronic, mechanical, or other device" means any device or apparatus which can be used to intercept a wire, electronic, or oral communication other than:
- (a) Any telephone or telegraph instrument, equipment, or facility, or any component thereof:
- 1. Furnished to the subscriber or user by a provider of wire or electronic communication service in the ordinary course of its business and being used by the subscriber or user in the ordinary course of its business or furnished by such subscriber or user for connection to the facilities of such service and used in the ordinary course of its business; or
- 2. Being used by a provider of wire or electronic communications service in the ordinary course of its business or

2.58

24-00935-18 20181256

by an investigative or law enforcement officer in the ordinary course of her or his duties.

- (b) A hearing aid or similar device being used to correct subnormal hearing to not better than normal.
- (19) (5) "Person" means any employee or agent of the State of Florida or political subdivision thereof, of the United States, or of any other state or political subdivision thereof, and any individual, partnership, association, joint stock company, trust, or corporation.
- (20) "Portable electronic communication device" means an object capable of being easily transported or conveyed by a person which is capable of creating, receiving, accessing, or storing electronic data or communications and which communicates with, by any means, another device, entity, or individual.
- (13) (6) "Investigative or law enforcement officer" means any officer of the State of Florida or political subdivision thereof, of the United States, or of any other state or political subdivision thereof, who is empowered by law to conduct on behalf of the Government investigations of, or to make arrests for, offenses enumerated in this chapter or similar federal offenses, any attorney authorized by law to prosecute or participate in the prosecution of such offenses, or any other attorney representing the State of Florida or political subdivision thereof in any civil, regulatory, disciplinary, or forfeiture action relating to, based upon, or derived from such offenses.
- (5) "Contents," when used with respect to any wire, oral, or electronic communication, includes any information concerning the substance, purport, or meaning of that

communication.

(14) (8) "Judge of competent jurisdiction" means justice of the Supreme Court, judge of a district court of appeal, circuit judge, or judge of any court of record having felony jurisdiction of the State of Florida, irrespective of the geographic location or jurisdiction where the judge presides.

- $\underline{(1)}$  "Aggrieved person" means a person who was a party to any intercepted wire, oral, or electronic communication or a person against whom the interception was directed.
- (15) (10) "Law enforcement agency" means an agency of the State of Florida or a political subdivision thereof or of the United States if the primary responsibility of the agency is the prevention and detection of crime or the enforcement of the penal, traffic, or highway laws of this state and if its agents and officers are empowered by law to conduct criminal investigations and to make arrests.
- (16) "Microphone-enabled household device" means a device, sensor, or other physical object within a residence:
- (a) Which is capable of connecting to the Internet, directly or indirectly, or to another connected device;
- (b) Which is capable of creating, receiving, accessing, processing, or storing electronic data or communications;
- (c) Which communicates with, by any means, another device, entity, or individual; and
- (d) Which contains a microphone designed to listen for and respond to environmental cues.
- $\underline{(3)}$  (11) "Communication common carrier"  $\underline{\text{has}}$  shall have the same meaning which is given the term "common carrier" in  $\underline{47}$   $\underline{\text{U.S.C. s. 153}}$   $\underline{47}$   $\underline{\text{U.S.C. s. 153}}$  (10).

24-00935-18 20181256

(6) (12) "Electronic communication" means any transfer of signs, signals, writing, images, sounds, data, or intelligence of any nature transmitted in whole or in part by a wire, radio, electromagnetic, photoelectronic, or photooptical system that affects intrastate, interstate, or foreign commerce, but does not include:

- (a) Any wire or oral communication;
- (b) Any communication made through a tone-only paging device;
- (c) Any communication from an electronic or mechanical device which <u>allows</u> permits the tracking of the movement of a person or an object; or
- (d) Electronic funds transfer information stored by a financial institution in a communications system used for the electronic storage and transfer of funds.
  - (27) (13) "User" means any person or entity who:
  - (a) Uses an electronic communication service, and
- (b) Is duly authorized by the provider of such service to engage in such use.
- (8) (14) "Electronic communications system" means any wire, radio, electromagnetic, photooptical, or photoelectronic facilities for the transmission of wire or electronic communications, and any computer facilities or related electronic equipment for the electronic storage of such communications.
- $\underline{(7)}$  "Electronic communication service" means any service which provides to users thereof the ability to send or receive wire or electronic communications.
  - (22) <del>(16)</del> "Readily accessible to the general public" means,

24-00935-18 20181256

with respect to a radio communication, that such communication is not:

- (a) Scrambled or encrypted;
- (b) Transmitted using modulation techniques whose essential parameters have been withheld from the public with the intention of preserving the privacy of such communication;
- (c) Carried on a subcarrier or other signal subsidiary to a radio transmission;
- (d) Transmitted over a communications system provided by a common carrier, unless the communication is a tone-only paging system communication; or
- (e) Transmitted on frequencies allocated under part 25; subpart D, subpart E, or subpart F of part 74; or part 94 of the Rules of the Federal Communications Commission, unless, in the case of a communication transmitted on a frequency allocated under part 74 that is not exclusively allocated to broadcast auxiliary services, the communication is a two-way voice communication by radio.
  - (9) <del>(17)</del> "Electronic storage" means:
- (a) Any temporary intermediate storage of a wire or electronic communication incidental to the electronic transmission thereof.
- (b) Any storage of a wire or electronic communication by an electronic communication service for purposes of backup protection of such communication.
- (2) "Aural transfer" means a transfer containing the human voice at any point between and including the point of origin and the point of reception.
  - (23) (19) "Remote computing service" means the provision to

24-00935-18 20181256

the public of computer storage or processing services by means of an electronic communications system.

(18) (20) "Pen register" means a device or process that records or decodes dialing, routing, addressing, or signaling information transmitted by an instrument or facility from which a wire or electronic communication is transmitted, but such information does not include the contents of any communication. The term does not include any device or process used by a provider or customer of a wire or electronic communication service for billing or recording as an incident to billing or for communication services provided by such provider, and does not include any device or process used by a provider or customer of a wire communication service for cost accounting or other like purposes in the ordinary course of its business.

(26) (21) "Trap and trace device" means a device or process that captures the incoming electronic or other impulses that identify the originating number or other dialing, routing, addressing, or signaling information reasonably likely to identify the source of a wire or electronic communication, but such information does not include the contents of any communication.

(24) "State" means any state of the United States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, or any other possession or territory of the United States.

(25)(23) "Subpoena" means any administrative subpoena authorized by federal or Florida law, federal or Florida grand jury subpoena, or any criminal investigative subpoena as authorized by Florida statute which may be utilized on behalf of the government by an investigative or law enforcement officer.

24-00935-18 20181256

 $\underline{(11)}$  "Foreign intelligence information" means information, whether or not concerning a United States person, as that term is defined in 50 U.S.C. s. 1801, which relates to:

- (a) The ability of the United States to protect against actual or potential attack or other grave hostile acts of a foreign power or an agent of a foreign power;
- (b) Sabotage or international terrorism by a foreign power or an agent of a foreign power;
- (c) Clandestine intelligence activities by an intelligence service, a network of a foreign power, or an agent of a foreign power; or
- (d) With respect to a foreign power or foreign territory, the national defense or security of the United States or the conduct of the foreign affairs of the United States.
  - (21) (25) "Protected computer" means:
- (a) A computer for the exclusive use of a financial institution or governmental entity;
- (b) A computer that is not for the exclusive use of a financial institution or governmental entity, but that is used by or for a financial institution or governmental entity and with respect to which unlawful conduct can affect the use by or for the financial institution or governmental entity; or
- (c) A computer that is used in interstate or foreign commerce or communication, including a computer located outside the United States.
- $\underline{(4)}$  "Computer trespasser" means a person who accesses a protected computer without authorization and thus does not have a reasonable expectation of privacy with respect to any communication transmitted to, through, or from the protected

24-00935-18 20181256

computer. The term does not include a person known by the owner or operator of the protected computer to have an existing contractual relationship with the owner or operator of the protected computer for access to all or part of the protected computer.

- Section 4. Paragraphs (a) and (g) of subsection (2) of section 934.03, Florida Statutes, are amended, and subsection (1), paragraphs (b) through (f) and (h) through (k) of subsection (2), and paragraph (b) of subsection (3) of that section are republished, to read:
- 934.03 Interception and disclosure of wire, oral, or electronic communications prohibited.—
- (1) Except as otherwise specifically provided in this chapter, any person who:
- (a) Intentionally intercepts, endeavors to intercept, or procures any other person to intercept or endeavor to intercept any wire, oral, or electronic communication;
- (b) Intentionally uses, endeavors to use, or procures any other person to use or endeavor to use any electronic, mechanical, or other device to intercept any oral communication when:
- 1. Such device is affixed to, or otherwise transmits a signal through, a wire, cable, or other like connection used in wire communication; or
- 2. Such device transmits communications by radio or interferes with the transmission of such communication;
- (c) Intentionally discloses, or endeavors to disclose, to any other person the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication, knowing or having reason to know that the

information was obtained through the interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication in violation of this subsection;

- (d) Intentionally uses, or endeavors to use, the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication, knowing or having reason to know that the information was obtained through the interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication in violation of this subsection; or
- (e) Intentionally discloses, or endeavors to disclose, to any other person the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication intercepted by means authorized by subparagraph (2)(a)2., paragraph (2)(b), paragraph (2)(c), s. 934.07, or s. 934.09 when that person knows or has reason to know that the information was obtained through the interception of such a communication in connection with a criminal investigation, has obtained or received the information in connection with a criminal investigation, and intends to improperly obstruct, impede, or interfere with a duly authorized criminal investigation;

shall be punished as provided in subsection (4).

(2) (a) 1. It is lawful under this section and ss. 934.04-934.09 for an operator of a switchboard, or an officer, employee, or agent of a provider of wire or electronic communication service whose facilities are used in the transmission of a wire or electronic communication, to intercept, disclose, or use that communication in the normal course of his or her employment while engaged in any activity which is a necessary incident to the rendition of his or her

24-00935-18 20181256

service or to the protection of the rights or property of the provider of that service, except that a provider of wire communication service to the public shall not utilize service observing or random monitoring except for mechanical or service quality control checks.

- 2. Notwithstanding any other law, a provider of wire, oral, or electronic communication service, or an officer, employee, or agent thereof, or landlord, custodian, or other person, may provide information, facilities, or technical assistance to a person authorized by law to intercept wire, oral, or electronic communications if such provider, or an officer, employee, or agent thereof, or landlord, custodian, or other person, has been provided with:
- a. A court order directing such assistance signed by the authorizing judge;  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$
- b. A certification in writing by a person specified in s. 934.09(7) that no warrant or court order is required by law, that all statutory requirements have been met, and that the specified assistance is required, setting forth the period of time during which the provision of the information, facilities, or technical assistance is authorized and specifying the information, facilities, or technical assistance required; or
- c. A warrant issued by a judge of competent jurisdiction as required by law.
- 3. A provider of wire, oral, or electronic communication service, or an officer, employee, or agent thereof, or landlord, custodian, or other person may not disclose the existence of any interception or the device used to accomplish the interception with respect to which the person has been <u>served with a warrant</u>

24-00935-18 20181256

or furnished an order under this section and ss. 934.04-934.09, except as may otherwise be required by legal process and then only after prior notice to the Governor, the Attorney General, the statewide prosecutor, or a state attorney, as may be appropriate. Any such disclosure renders such person liable for the civil damages provided under s. 934.10, and such person may be prosecuted under s. 934.43. An action may not be brought against any provider of wire, oral, or electronic communication service, or an officer, employee, or agent thereof, or landlord, custodian, or other person for providing information, facilities, or assistance in accordance with the terms of a court order under this section and ss. 934.04-934.09.

- (b) It is lawful under this section and ss. 934.04-934.09 for an officer, employee, or agent of the Federal Communications Commission, in the normal course of his or her employment and in discharge of the monitoring responsibilities exercised by the commission in the enforcement of 47 U.S.C. chapter 5, to intercept a wire, oral, or electronic communication transmitted by radio or to disclose or use the information thereby obtained.
- (c) It is lawful under this section and ss. 934.04-934.09 for an investigative or law enforcement officer or a person acting under the direction of an investigative or law enforcement officer to intercept a wire, oral, or electronic communication when such person is a party to the communication or one of the parties to the communication has given prior consent to such interception and the purpose of such interception is to obtain evidence of a criminal act.
- (d) It is lawful under this section and ss. 934.04-934.09 for a person to intercept a wire, oral, or electronic

communication when all of the parties to the communication have given prior consent to such interception.

- (e) It is unlawful to intercept any wire, oral, or electronic communication for the purpose of committing any criminal act.
- (f) It is lawful under this section and ss. 934.04-934.09 for an employee of a telephone company to intercept a wire communication for the sole purpose of tracing the origin of such communication when the interception is requested by the recipient of the communication and the recipient alleges that the communication is obscene, harassing, or threatening in nature. The individual conducting the interception shall notify local police authorities within 48 hours after the time of the interception.
- (g) It is lawful under this section and ss. 934.04-934.09 for an employee of:
- 1. An ambulance service licensed pursuant to s. 401.25, a fire station employing firefighters as defined by s. 633.102, a public utility, a law enforcement agency as defined by  $\underline{s. 934.02}$   $\underline{s. 934.02(10)}$ , or any other entity with published emergency telephone numbers;
- 2. An agency operating an emergency telephone number "911" system established pursuant to s. 365.171; or
- 3. The central abuse hotline operated pursuant to s.  $39.201_{r}$

to intercept and record incoming wire communications; however, such employee may intercept and record incoming wire communications on designated "911" telephone numbers and

24-00935-18 20181256

published nonemergency telephone numbers staffed by trained dispatchers at public safety answering points only. It is also lawful for such employee to intercept and record outgoing wire communications to the numbers from which such incoming wire communications were placed when necessary to obtain information required to provide the emergency services being requested. For the purpose of this paragraph, the term "public utility" has the same meaning as provided in s. 366.02 and includes a person, partnership, association, or corporation now or hereafter owning or operating equipment or facilities in the state for conveying or transmitting messages or communications by telephone or telegraph to the public for compensation.

- (h) It shall not be unlawful under this section and ss. 934.04-934.09 for any person:
- 1. To intercept or access an electronic communication made through an electronic communication system that is configured so that such electronic communication is readily accessible to the general public.
- 2. To intercept any radio communication which is transmitted:
- a. By any station for the use of the general public, or that relates to ships, aircraft, vehicles, or persons in distress;
- b. By any governmental, law enforcement, civil defense, private land mobile, or public safety communications system, including any police or fire communications system, readily accessible to the general public;
- c. By a station operating on an authorized frequency within the bands allocated to the amateur, citizens band, or general

mobile radio services; or

- d. By any marine or aeronautical communications system.
- 3. To engage in any conduct which:
- a. Is prohibited by s. 633 of the Communications Act of 1934; or
- b. Is excepted from the application of s. 705(a) of the Communications Act of 1934 by s. 705(b) of that act.
- 4. To intercept any wire or electronic communication the transmission of which is causing harmful interference to any lawfully operating station of consumer electronic equipment to the extent necessary to identify the source of such interference.
- 5. To intercept, if such person is another user of the same frequency, any radio communication that is not scrambled or encrypted made through a system that utilizes frequencies monitored by individuals engaged in the provision or the use of such system.
- 6. To intercept a satellite transmission that is not scrambled or encrypted and that is transmitted:
- a. To a broadcasting station for purposes of retransmission to the general public; or
- b. As an audio subcarrier intended for redistribution to facilities open to the public, but not including data transmissions or telephone calls, when such interception is not for the purposes of direct or indirect commercial advantage or private financial gain.
- 7. To intercept and privately view a private satellite video communication that is not scrambled or encrypted or to intercept a radio communication that is transmitted on

24-00935-18 20181256

frequencies allocated under subpart D of part 74 of the rules of the Federal Communications Commission that is not scrambled or encrypted, if such interception is not for a tortious or illegal purpose or for purposes of direct or indirect commercial advantage or private commercial gain.

- (i) It shall not be unlawful under this section and ss. 934.04-934.09:
- 1. To use a pen register or a trap and trace device as authorized under ss. 934.31-934.34 or under federal law; or
- 2. For a provider of electronic communication service to record the fact that a wire or electronic communication was initiated or completed in order to protect such provider, another provider furnishing service toward the completion of the wire or electronic communication, or a user of that service, from fraudulent, unlawful, or abusive use of such service.
- (j) It is not unlawful under this section and ss. 934.04-934.09 for a person acting under color of law to intercept the wire or electronic communications of a computer trespasser which are transmitted to, through, or from a protected computer if:
- 1. The owner or operator of the protected computer authorizes the interception of the communications of the computer trespasser;
- 2. The person acting under color of law is lawfully engaged in an investigation;
- 3. The person acting under color of law has reasonable grounds to believe that the contents of the communications of the computer trespasser will be relevant to the investigation; and
  - 4. The interception does not acquire communications other

than those transmitted to, through, or from the computer trespasser.

(k) It is lawful under this section and ss. 934.04-934.09 for a child under 18 years of age to intercept and record an oral communication if the child is a party to the communication and has reasonable grounds to believe that recording the communication will capture a statement by another party to the communication that the other party intends to commit, is committing, or has committed an unlawful sexual act or an unlawful act of physical force or violence against the child.

(3)

- (b) A person or entity providing electronic communication service to the public may divulge the contents of any such communication:
- 1. As otherwise authorized in paragraph (2)(a) or s. 934.08;
- 2. With the lawful consent of the originator or any addressee or intended recipient of such communication;
- 3. To a person employed or authorized, or whose facilities are used, to forward such communication to its destination; or
- 4. Which were inadvertently obtained by the service provider and which appear to pertain to the commission of a crime, if such divulgence is made to a law enforcement agency.

Section 5. Subsections (1) and (2) of section 934.07, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

- 934.07 Authorization for interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications.—
- (1) The Governor, the Attorney General, the statewide prosecutor, or any state attorney may authorize an application

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692693

694

695

696

24-00935-18 20181256

to a judge of competent jurisdiction for, and such judge may issue grant in conformity with ss. 934.03-934.09, a warrant as required by law or an order authorizing or approving the interception of, wire, oral, or electronic communications by:

- (a) The Department of Law Enforcement or any law enforcement agency as defined in s. 934.02 having responsibility for the investigation of the offense as to which the application is made when such interception may provide or has provided evidence of the commission of the offense of murder, kidnapping, aircraft piracy, arson, gambling, robbery, burglary, theft, dealing in stolen property, criminal usury, bribery, or extortion; any felony violation of ss. 790.161-790.166, inclusive; any violation of s. 787.06; any violation of chapter 893; any violation of the provisions of the Florida Anti-Fencing Act; any violation of chapter 895; any violation of chapter 896; any violation of chapter 815; any violation of chapter 847; any violation of s. 827.071; any violation of s. 944.40; or any conspiracy or solicitation to commit any violation of the laws of this state relating to the crimes specifically enumerated in this paragraph.
- (b) The Department of Law Enforcement, together with other assisting personnel as authorized and requested by the department under s. 934.09(5), for the investigation of the offense as to which the application is made when such interception may provide or has provided evidence of the commission of any offense that may be an act of terrorism or in furtherance of an act of terrorism or evidence of any conspiracy or solicitation to commit any such violation.
  - (2) (a) If, during the course of an interception of

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724725

24-00935-18 20181256

communications by a law enforcement agency as authorized under paragraph (1)(a), the law enforcement agency finds that the intercepted communications may provide or have provided evidence of the commission of any offense that may be an act of terrorism or in furtherance of an act of terrorism, or evidence of any conspiracy or solicitation to commit any such violation, the law enforcement agency shall promptly notify the Department of Law Enforcement and apprise the department of the contents of the intercepted communications. The agency notifying the department may continue its previously authorized interception with appropriate minimization, as applicable, and may otherwise assist the department as provided in this section.

(b) Upon its receipt of information of the contents of an intercepted communications from a law enforcement agency, the Department of Law Enforcement shall promptly review the information to determine whether the information relates to an actual or anticipated act of terrorism as defined in this section. If, after reviewing the contents of the intercepted communications, there is probable cause that the contents of the intercepted communications meet the criteria of paragraph (1) (b), the Department of Law Enforcement may make application for the interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications consistent with paragraph (1)(b). The department may make an independent new application for interception based on the contents of the intercepted communications. Alternatively, the department may request the law enforcement agency that provided the information to join with the department in seeking a new warrant as required by law or an amendment of the original interception order, or may seek additional authority to continue

24-00935-18 20181256

intercepting communications under the direction of the department. In carrying out its duties under this section, the department may use the provisions for an emergency interception provided in s. 934.09(7) if applicable under statutory criteria.

Section 6. Subsection (5) of section 934.08, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

934.08 Authorization for disclosure and use of intercepted wire, oral, or electronic communications.—

(5) When an investigative or law enforcement officer, while engaged in intercepting wire, oral, or electronic communications in the manner authorized herein, intercepts wire, oral, or electronic communications relating to offenses other than those specified in the <u>warrant or</u> order of authorization or approval, the contents thereof and evidence derived therefrom may be disclosed or used as provided in subsections (1) and (2). Such contents and any evidence derived therefrom may be used under subsection (3) when authorized or approved by a judge of competent jurisdiction when such judge finds on subsequent application that the contents were otherwise intercepted in accordance with the provisions of this chapter. Such application shall be made as soon as practicable.

Section 7. Section 934.09, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

934.09 Procedure for interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications.—

(1) Each application for <u>a warrant</u> an order authorizing or approving the interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication under ss. 934.03-934.09 shall be made in writing upon oath or affirmation to a judge of competent jurisdiction

24-00935-18 20181256

and shall state the applicant's authority to make such application. Each application shall include the following information:

- (a) The identity of the investigative or law enforcement officer making the application and the officer authorizing the application.
- (b) A full and complete statement of the facts and circumstances relied upon by the applicant to justify his or her belief that a warrant an order should be issued, including:
- 1. Details as to the particular offense that has been, is being, or is about to be committed.
- 2. Except as provided in subsection (11), a particular description of the nature and location of the facilities from which, or the place where, the communications are to be intercepted.
- 3. A particular description of the type of communications sought to be intercepted.
- 4. The identity of the person, if known, committing the offense and whose communications are to be intercepted.
- (c) A full and complete statement as to whether or not other investigative procedures have been tried and failed or why they reasonably appear to be unlikely to succeed if tried or to be too dangerous.
- (d) A statement of the period of time for which the interception is required to be maintained and, if the nature of the investigation is such that the authorization for interception should not automatically terminate when the described type of communication has been first obtained, a particular description of facts establishing probable cause to

24-00935-18 20181256

believe that additional communications of the same type will occur thereafter.

- (e) A full and complete statement of the facts concerning all previous applications known to the individual authorizing and making the application, made to any judge for authorization to intercept, or for approval of interceptions of, wire, oral, or electronic communications involving any of the same persons, facilities, or places specified in the application, and the action taken by the judge on each such application.
- (f) When the application is for the extension of a warrant an order, a statement setting forth the results thus far obtained from the interception or a reasonable explanation of the failure to obtain such results.
- (2) The judge may require the applicant to furnish additional testimony or documentary evidence in support of the application.
- warrant enter an ex parte order, as requested or as modified, authorizing or approving interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications within the territorial jurisdiction of the court in which the judge is sitting, and outside such jurisdiction but within the State of Florida in the case of a mobile interception device authorized by the judge within such jurisdiction, if the judge determines on the basis of the facts submitted by the applicant that:
- (a) There is probable cause for belief that an individual is committing, has committed, or is about to commit an offense as provided in s. 934.07.
  - (b) There is probable cause for belief that particular

communications concerning that offense will be obtained through such interception.

- (c) Normal investigative procedures have been tried and have failed or reasonably appear to be unlikely to succeed if tried or to be too dangerous.
- (d) Except as provided in subsection (11), there is probable cause for belief that the facilities from which, or the place where, the wire, oral, or electronic communications are to be intercepted are being used, or are about to be used, in connection with the commission of such offense, or are leased to, listed in the name of, or commonly used by such person.
- (4) Each <u>warrant</u> order authorizing or approving the interception of any wire, oral, or electronic communication shall specify:
- (a) The identity of the person, if known, whose communications are to be intercepted.
- (b) The nature and location of the communications facilities as to which, or the place where, authority to intercept is granted.
- (c) A particular description of the type of communication sought to be intercepted and a statement of the particular offense to which it relates.
- (d) The identity of the agency authorized to intercept the communications and of the person authorizing the application.
- (e) The period of time during which such interception is authorized, including a statement as to whether or not the interception shall automatically terminate when the described communication has been first obtained.

844845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864865

866867

868

869870

24-00935-18 20181256

A warrant An order authorizing the interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication shall, upon the request of the applicant, direct that a provider of wire or electronic communication service, landlord, custodian, or other person shall furnish the applicant forthwith all information, facilities, and technical assistance necessary to accomplish the interception unobtrusively and with a minimum of interference with the services that such service provider, landlord, custodian, or person is according the person whose communications are to be intercepted. The obligation of a provider of wire, oral, or electronic communication service under such a warrant an order may include, but is not limited to, conducting an in-progress trace during an interception, or providing other assistance to support the investigation as may be specified in the warrant order. Any provider of wire or electronic communication service, landlord, custodian, or other person furnishing such facilities or technical assistance shall be compensated therefor by the applicant for reasonable expenses incurred in providing such facilities or assistance.

(5) No <u>warrant</u> order entered under this section may authorize or approve the interception of any wire, oral, or electronic communication for any period longer than is necessary to achieve the objective of the authorization or in any event longer than 30 days. Such 30-day period begins on the day on which the agent or officer of the law enforcement agency first begins to conduct an interception under the <u>warrant</u> order or 10 days after the <u>warrant is approved</u> order is entered, whichever occurs earlier. Extensions of <u>a warrant</u> an order may be granted but only upon application for an extension made in accordance

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880 881

882

883

884

885 886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

898

899

24-00935-18 20181256

with subsection (1) and upon the court making the findings required by subsection (3). The period of extension shall be no longer than the authorizing judge deems necessary to achieve the purposes for which it was granted and in no event for longer than 30 days. Every warrant order and extension thereof shall contain a provision that the authorization to intercept shall be executed as soon as practicable, shall be conducted in such a way as to minimize the interception of communications not otherwise subject to interception under ss. 934.03-934.09, and must terminate upon attainment of the authorized objective or in any event in 30 days. If the intercepted communication is in code or foreign language and an expert in that foreign language or code is not reasonably available during the interception period, minimization may be accomplished as soon as practicable after such interception. An interception under ss. 934.03-934.09 may be conducted in whole or in part by government personnel or by an individual operating under a contract with the government, acting under the supervision of an agent or officer of the law enforcement agency authorized to conduct the interception.

- (6) Whenever a warrant an order authorizing interception is granted entered pursuant to ss. 934.03-934.09, the warrant order may require reports to be made to the judge who issued the warrant order showing what progress has been made toward achievement of the authorized objective and the need for continued interception. Such reports shall be made at such intervals as the judge may require.
- (7) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, any investigative or law enforcement officer specially designated by the Governor, the Attorney General, the statewide

24-00935-18 20181256

prosecutor, or a state attorney acting under this chapter, who reasonably determines that:

- (a) An emergency exists that:
- 1. Involves immediate danger of death or serious physical injury to any person, the danger of escape of a prisoner, or conspiratorial activities threatening the security interest of the nation or state; and
- 2. Requires that a wire, oral, or electronic communication be intercepted before a warrant an order authorizing such interception can, with due diligence, be obtained; and
- (b) There are grounds upon which <u>a warrant</u> an order could be entered under this chapter to authorize such interception

may intercept such wire, oral, or electronic communication if an application for a warrant an order approving the interception is made in accordance with this section within 48 hours after the interception has occurred or begins to occur. In the absence of a warrant an order, such interception shall immediately terminate when the communication sought is obtained or when the application for the warrant order is denied, whichever is earlier. If such application for approval is denied, or in any other case in which the interception is terminated without a warrant an order having been issued, the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication intercepted shall be treated as having been obtained in violation of s. 934.03(4), and an inventory shall be served as provided for in paragraph (8)(e) on the person named in the application.

(8)(a) The contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication intercepted by any means authorized by ss. 934.03-

24-00935-18 20181256

934.09 shall, if possible, be recorded on tape or wire or other comparable device. The recording of the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication under this subsection shall be kept in such a way as will protect the recording from editing or other alterations. Immediately upon the expiration of the period of the warrant order, or extensions thereof, such recordings shall be made available to the judge approving the warrant issuing such order and sealed under his or her directions.

Custody of the recordings shall be wherever the judge orders. They shall not be destroyed except upon an order of the issuing or denying judge, or that judge's successor in office, and in any event shall be kept for 10 years. Duplicate recordings may be made for use or disclosure pursuant to the provisions of s. 934.08(1) and (2) for investigations, or for purposes of discovery as required by law.

- (b) The presence of the seal provided for by this subsection, or a satisfactory explanation for the absence thereof, shall be a prerequisite for the use or disclosure of the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication or evidence derived therefrom under s. 934.08(3), as required by federal law.
- (c) Applications made and warrants orders granted under ss. 934.03-934.09 shall be sealed by the judge. Custody of the applications and warrants orders shall be wherever the judge directs. As required by federal law, such applications and warrants orders shall be disclosed only for purposes of discovery or upon a showing of good cause before a judge of competent jurisdiction and shall not be destroyed except on order of the issuing or denying judge, or that judge's successor

24-00935-18 20181256

in office, and in any event shall be kept for 10 years.

(d) Any violation of the provisions of this subsection may be punished as contempt of the issuing or denying judge.

- (e) Within a reasonable time but not later than 90 days after the termination of the period of <u>a warrant</u> an order or extensions thereof, the issuing or denying judge shall cause to be served on the persons named in the <u>warrant</u> or the application, and such other parties to intercepted communications as the judge may determine in his or her discretion to be in the interest of justice, an inventory which shall include notice of:
- 1. The fact of the <u>approval of the warrant</u> entry of the <del>order</del> or the application.
- 2. The date of the <u>approval of the warrant</u> entry and the period of authorized, approved, or disapproved interception, or the denial of the application.
- 3. The fact that during the period wire, oral, or electronic communications were or were not intercepted.

The judge, upon the filing of a motion, may make available to such person or the person's counsel for inspection such portions of the intercepted communications, applications, and warrants orders as the judge determines to be in the interest of justice. On an ex parte showing of good cause to a judge of competent jurisdiction, the serving of the inventory required by this paragraph may be postponed.

(9) As required by federal law, The contents of any intercepted wire, oral, or electronic communication or evidence derived therefrom shall not be received in evidence or otherwise

24-00935-18 20181256

disclosed in any trial, hearing, or other proceeding unless each party, not less than 10 days before the trial, hearing, or proceeding, has been furnished with a copy of the warrant court order and accompanying application under which the interception was authorized or approved. This 10-day period may be waived by the judge if he or she finds that it was not possible to furnish the party with the above information 10 days before the trial, hearing, or proceeding and that the party will not be prejudiced by the delay in receiving such information.

- (10) (a) An Any aggrieved person before or in any trial, hearing, or proceeding in or before any court, department, officer, agency, regulatory body, or other authority may move to suppress the contents of any intercepted wire, oral, or electronic communication, or evidence derived therefrom, on the grounds that:
  - 1. The communication was unlawfully intercepted;
- 2. The <u>warrant</u> order of authorization or approval under which it was intercepted is insufficient on its face; or
- 3. The interception was not made in conformity with the warrant order of authorization or approval.
- (b) Except as otherwise provided in the applicable Florida Rules of Criminal Procedure, in a criminal matter:
- $\underline{1.}$  Such motion shall be made before the trial, hearing, or proceeding unless there was no opportunity to make such motion or the person was not aware of the grounds of the motion.
- 2. If the motion is granted, the contents of the intercepted wire or oral communication, or evidence derived therefrom, shall be treated as having been obtained in violation of ss. 934.03-934.09 and are not admissible as evidence.

24-00935-18 20181256

3. The judge, upon the filing of such motion by the aggrieved person, may make available to the aggrieved person or his or her counsel for inspection such portions of the intercepted communication or evidence derived therefrom as the judge determines to be in the interest of justice.

(c) (b) In addition to any other right to appeal, the state shall have the right to appeal from an order granting a motion to suppress made under paragraph (a) or the denial of an application for a warrant an order of approval if the attorney shall certify to the judge or other official granting such motion or denying such application that the appeal is not taken for purposes of delay. Such appeal shall be taken within 30 days after the date the order was entered and shall be diligently prosecuted.

- (d)(e) The remedies and sanctions described in ss. 934.03-934.10 with respect to the interception of electronic communications are the only judicial remedies and sanctions for violations of those sections involving such communications.
- (11) The requirements of subparagraph (1)(b)2. and paragraph (3)(d) relating to the specification of the facilities from which, or the place where, the communication is to be intercepted do not apply if:
- (a) In the case of an application with respect to the interception of an oral communication:
- 1. The application is by an agent or officer of a law enforcement agency and is approved by the Governor, the Attorney General, the statewide prosecutor, or a state attorney.
- 2. The application contains a full and complete statement as to why such specification is not practical and identifies the

24-00935-18 20181256

person committing the offense and whose communications are to be intercepted.

- 3. The judge finds that such specification is not practical.
- (b) In the case of an application with respect to a wire or electronic communication:
- 1. The application is by an agent or officer of a law enforcement agency and is approved by the Governor, the Attorney General, the statewide prosecutor, or a state attorney.
- 2. The application identifies the person believed to be committing the offense and whose communications are to be intercepted and the applicant makes a showing that there is probable cause to believe that the person's actions could have the effect of thwarting interception from a specified facility or that the person whose communications are to be intercepted has removed, or is likely to remove, himself or herself to another judicial circuit within the state.
- 3. The judge finds that such showing has been adequately made.
- 4. The <u>warrant</u> order authorizing or approving the interception is limited to interception only for such time as it is reasonable to presume that the person identified in the application is or was reasonably proximate to the instrument through which such communication will be or was transmitted.

Consistent with this paragraph, a judge of competent jurisdiction may authorize interception within this state, whether the interception is within or outside the court's jurisdiction, if the application for the interception makes a

24-00935-18 20181256

showing that some activity or conspiracy believed to be related to, or in furtherance of, the criminal predicate for the requested interception has occurred or will likely occur, or the communication to be intercepted or expected to be intercepted is occurring or will likely occur, in whole or in part, within the jurisdiction of the court where the order is being sought.

- (12) If an interception of a communication is to be carried out pursuant to subsection (11), such interception may not begin until the facilities from which, or the place where, the communication is to be intercepted is ascertained by the person implementing the interception order. A provider of wire or electronic communications service that has received an order as provided under paragraph (11) (b) may petition the court to modify or quash the order on the ground that the interception cannot be performed in a timely or reasonable fashion. The court, upon notice to the state, shall decide such a petition expeditiously.
- (13) Consistent with this section, a judge of competent jurisdiction may authorize interception within this state, whether the interception is within or outside the court's jurisdiction, if the application for the interception makes a showing that some activity or conspiracy believed to be related to, or in furtherance of, the criminal predicate for the requested interception has occurred or will likely occur, or the communication to be intercepted or expected to be intercepted is occurring or will likely occur, in whole or in part, within the jurisdiction of the court where the warrant is being sought.

Section 8. Subsection (2) of section 934.10, Florida Statutes, is amended, and subsection (1) of that section is

1103 republished, to read:

1104

1105

1106 1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

11161117

11181119

1120

1121

1122

11231124

1125

1129

1130

1131

934.10 Civil remedies.-

- (1) Any person whose wire, oral, or electronic communication is intercepted, disclosed, or used in violation of ss. 934.03-934.09 shall have a civil cause of action against any person or entity who intercepts, discloses, or uses, or procures any other person or entity to intercept, disclose, or use, such communications and shall be entitled to recover from any such person or entity which engaged in that violation such relief as may be appropriate, including:
- (a) Preliminary or equitable or declaratory relief as may
  be appropriate;
- (b) Actual damages, but not less than liquidated damages computed at the rate of \$100 a day for each day of violation or \$1,000, whichever is higher;
  - (c) Punitive damages; and
- (d) A reasonable attorney's fee and other litigation costs reasonably incurred.
  - (2) A good faith reliance on:
- (a) A <u>warrant</u>, court order, subpoena, or legislative authorization as provided in ss. 934.03-934.09;
- (b) A request of an investigative or law enforcement officer under s. 934.09(7); or
- 1126 (c) A good faith determination that Florida or federal law,
  1127 other than 18 U.S.C. s. 2511(2)(d), <u>authorized permitted</u> the
  1128 conduct complained of,

constitutes shall constitute a complete defense to any civil or criminal, or administrative action arising out of such conduct

1132 under the laws of this state.

11351136

1137

1138

1139

1140

11431144

1145

1146

1147

1148

1149

1150

1151

1152

1153

11541155

11561157

1158

1159

1160

Section 9. Section 934.21, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

934.21 Unlawful access to stored communications; penalties.—

- (1) Except as provided in subsection  $(4) \frac{(3)}{(3)}$ , whoever:
- (a) Intentionally accesses without authorization a facility through which an electronic communication service is provided, or
- 1141 (b) Intentionally exceeds an authorization to access such 1142 facility,

and thereby obtains, alters, or prevents authorized access to a wire or electronic communication while it is in electronic storage in such system shall be punished as provided in subsection (3) (2).

- (2) Except as provided in subsection (4), a person who intentionally and unlawfully accesses without authorization a cellular phone, portable electronic communication device, or microphone-enabled household device and thereby obtains wire, oral, or electronic communications stored within the cellular phone, portable electronic communication device, or microphone-enabled household device shall be punished as provided in subsection (3).
- $\underline{(3)}$  (2) The punishment for an offense under subsection (1) or subsection (2) is as follows:
- (a) If the offense is committed for purposes of commercial advantage, malicious destruction or damage, or private commercial gain, the person is:

24-00935-18 20181256

1. In the case of a first offense under this subsection, commits guilty of a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 934.41.

- 2. In the case of any subsequent offense under this subsection, commits guilty of a felony of the third degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, s. 775.084, or s. 934.41.
- (b) In any other case, the person <u>commits</u> is guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082 or s. 775.083.
- (4)(3) Subsection (1) does not apply with respect to conduct authorized:
- (a) By the person or entity providing a wire or electronic communications service;
- (b) By a user of a wire or electronic communications service with respect to a communication of or intended for that user; or
  - (c) In s. 934.09, s. 934.23, or s. 934.24.
- Section 10. Section 934.42, Florida Statutes, is reordered and amended to read:
- 934.42 Mobile tracking device <u>and location tracking</u> authorization.—
  - (2)(1) An investigative or law enforcement officer may make application to a judge of competent jurisdiction for a warrant an order authorizing or approving the installation and use of a mobile tracking device or the acquisition of cellular-site location data, precise global positioning satellite location data, or historical global positioning satellite data.
    - (3) (2) An application under subsection (2) (1) of this

section must include:

(a) A statement of the identity of the applicant and the identity of the law enforcement agency conducting the investigation.

- (b) A statement setting forth a reasonable length of time that the mobile tracking device may be used. The time may not exceed 45 days after the date the warrant was issued. The court may, for good cause, grant one or more extensions for a reasonable period not to exceed 45 days each A certification by the applicant that the information likely to be obtained is relevant to an ongoing criminal investigation being conducted by the investigating agency.
- (c) A statement of the offense to which the information likely to be obtained relates.
- (d) A statement whether it may be necessary to use and monitor the mobile tracking device outside the jurisdiction of the court from which authorization is being sought.
- (4)(3) Upon application made as provided under subsection (3)(2), the court, if it finds probable cause, that the certification and the statements required by subsection (3)(2) have been made in the application, shall grant a warrant enter an ex parte order authorizing the installation and use of a mobile tracking device. Such warrant order may authorize the use of the device within the jurisdiction of the court and outside that jurisdiction but within the State of Florida if the device is installed within the jurisdiction of the court. The warrant must command the officer to complete any installation authorized by the warrant within a specified timeframe after issuance, to be no longer than 10 calendar days.

24-00935-18 20181256

(5) (4) A court may not require greater specificity or additional information beyond that which is required by <u>law and</u> this section as a requisite for issuing a warrant <del>an order</del>.

- (6) Within 10 days after the use of the tracking device has ended, the officer executing the warrant must return it to the judge designated in the warrant. The officer may do so by reliable electronic means.
- ended, the officer executing a tracking-device warrant must serve a copy of the warrant on the person who was tracked or whose property was tracked. Service may be accomplished by delivering a copy to the person who, or whose property, was tracked; or by leaving a copy at the person's residence or usual place of abode with an individual of suitable age and discretion who resides at that location and by mailing a copy to the person's last known address. Upon request by the law enforcement agency, the court may delay notice for a period of 90 days as provided in s. 934.25.
- (8) (5) The standards established by Florida courts and the United States Supreme Court for the installation, use, or and monitoring of mobile tracking devices shall apply to the installation, use, or monitoring and use of any device as authorized by this section.
- (1) (6) As used in this section, the term "mobile tracking device" or a "tracking device" means an electronic or mechanical device, including a cellular phone or a portable electronic communication device, which allows permits the tracking of the movement of a person or object and may be used to access cellular-site location data, precise global positioning

24-00935-18 20181256

1248 <u>satellite location data</u>, and historical global positioning 1249 satellite data.

- (9) (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, any investigative or law enforcement officer specially designated by the Governor, the Attorney General, the statewide prosecutor, or a state attorney acting pursuant to this chapter who reasonably determines that:
  - 1. An emergency exists which:
- a. Involves immediate danger of death or serious physical injury to any person or the danger of escape of a prisoner; and
- b. Requires the installation or use of a mobile tracking device before a warrant authorizing such installation or use can, with due diligence, be obtained; and
- 2. There are grounds upon which a warrant could be issued under this chapter to authorize such installation or use,
- may install or use a mobile tracking device if, within 48 hours after the installation or use has occurred or begins to occur, a warrant approving the installation or use is issued in accordance with this section.
- (b) In the absence of an authorizing warrant, such installation or use shall immediately terminate when the information sought is obtained, when the application for the warrant is denied, or when 48 hours have lapsed since the installation or use of the mobile tracking device began, whichever is earlier.
- (c) The knowing installation or use by any investigative or law enforcement officer of a mobile tracking device pursuant to paragraph (a) without application for the authorizing warrant

24-00935-18 20181256

within 48 hours after the installation or use begins is a violation of this subsection.

(d) A person who violates this subsection commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084.

Section 11. For the purpose of incorporating the amendments made by this act to sections 934.03 and 934.07, Florida Statutes, in a reference thereto, paragraph (b) of subsection (2) of section 934.22, Florida Statutes, is reenacted to read:

934.22 Voluntary disclosure of customer communications or records.—

- (2) A provider described in subsection (1) may divulge the contents of a communication:
- (b) As otherwise authorized in s. 934.03(2)(a), s. 934.07, or s. 934.23.

Section 12. For the purpose of incorporating the amendments made by this act to sections 934.09 and 934.21, Florida Statutes, in references thereto, subsections (1) and (4) of section 934.27, Florida Statutes, are reenacted to read:

934.27 Civil action: relief; damages; defenses.-

- (1) Except as provided in s. 934.23(5), any provider of electronic communication service, or subscriber or customer thereof, aggrieved by any violation of ss. 934.21-934.28 in which the conduct constituting the violation is engaged in with a knowing or intentional state of mind may, in a civil action, recover from the person or entity which engaged in that violation such relief as is appropriate.
- (4) A good faith reliance on any of the following is a complete defense to any civil or criminal action brought under

ss. 934.21-934.28:

(a) A court warrant or order, a subpoena, or a statutory authorization, including, but not limited to, a request of an investigative or law enforcement officer to preserve records or other evidence, as provided in s. 934.23(7).

- (b) A request of an investigative or law enforcement officer under s. 934.09(7).
- (c) A good faith determination that s. 934.03(3) permitted the conduct complained of.

Section 13. For the purpose of incorporating the amendment made by this act to section 934.21, Florida Statutes, in a reference thereto, subsection (6) of section 934.23, Florida Statutes, is reenacted to read:

- 934.23 Required disclosure of customer communications or records.—
- (6) No cause of action shall lie in any court against any provider of wire or electronic communication service, its officers, employees, agents, or other specified persons for providing information, facilities, or assistance in accordance with the terms of a court order, warrant, subpoena, or certification under ss. 934.21-934.28.

Section 14. For the purpose of incorporating the amendment made by this act to section 934.21, Florida Statutes, in references thereto, subsections (6) and (7) of section 934.24, Florida Statutes, are reenacted to read:

- 934.24 Backup preservation; customer notification; challenges by customer.—
- (6) Within 14 days after notice by the investigative or law enforcement officer to the subscriber or customer under

24-00935-18 20181256

subsection (2), the subscriber or customer may file a motion to quash the subpoena or vacate the court order seeking contents of electronic communications, with copies served upon the investigative or law enforcement officer and with written notice of such challenge to the service provider. A motion to vacate a court order must be filed in the court which issued the order. A motion to quash a subpoena must be filed in the circuit court in the circuit from which the subpoena issued. Such motion or application must contain an affidavit or sworn statement:

- (a) Stating that the applicant is a subscriber or customer of the service from which the contents of electronic communications maintained for her or him have been sought, and
- (b) Stating the applicant's reasons for believing that the records sought are not relevant to a legitimate law enforcement inquiry or that there has not been substantial compliance with the provisions of ss. 934.21-934.28 in some other respect.
- (7) Except as otherwise obtained under paragraph (3)(a), service must be made under this section upon an investigative or law enforcement officer by delivering or mailing by registered or certified mail a copy of the papers to the person, office, or department specified in the notice which the subscriber or customer has received pursuant to ss. 934.21-934.28. For the purposes of this subsection, the term "delivering" shall be construed in accordance with the definition of "delivery" as provided in Rule 1.080, Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.

Section 15. For the purpose of incorporating the amendment made by this act to section 934.21, Florida Statutes, in a reference thereto, subsection (5) of section 934.25, Florida Statutes, is reenacted to read:

24-00935-18 20181256

934.25 Delayed notice.-

- (5) Upon the expiration of the period of delay of notification under subsection (1) or subsection (4), the investigative or law enforcement officer must serve upon or deliver by registered or first-class mail to the subscriber or customer a copy of the process or request together with notice which:
- (a) States with reasonable specificity the nature of the law enforcement inquiry, and
  - (b) Informs the subscriber or customer:
- 1. That information maintained for such subscriber or customer by the service provider named in the process or request was supplied to or requested by the investigative or law enforcement officer and the date on which such information was so supplied or requested.
- 2. That notification of such subscriber or customer was delayed.
- 3. What investigative or law enforcement officer or what court made the certification or determination pursuant to which that delay was made.
- 4. Which provision of ss. 934.21-934.28 allowed such delay. Section 16. For the purpose of incorporating the amendment made by this act to section 934.21, Florida Statutes, in a reference thereto, section 934.28, Florida Statutes, is reenacted to read:
- 934.28 Exclusivity of remedies and sanctions.—The remedies and sanctions described in ss. 934.21-934.27 are the only judicial remedies and sanctions for violation of those sections.
  - Section 17. This act shall take effect July 1, 2018.