1 A bill to be entitled 2 An act relating to coastal management; amending s. 3 161.101, F.S.; revising the criteria to be considered 4 by the Department of Environmental Protection in 5 determining and assigning annual funding priorities 6 for beach management and erosion control projects; 7 specifying tiers for such criteria; requiring tiers to 8 be given certain weight; requiring the department to 9 update active project lists on its website; redefining the term "significant change"; revising the 10 department's reporting requirements; specifying 11 12 allowable uses for certain surplus funds; revising the requirements for a specified summary; requiring that 13 14 funding for certain projects remain available for a specified period; amending s. 161.143, F.S.; 15 specifying the scope of certain projects; revising the 16 17 list of projects that are included as inlet management projects; requiring that certain projects be 18 19 considered separate and apart from other specified projects; revising the ranking criteria to be used by 20 21 the department to establish certain funding priorities 22 for certain inlet-caused beach erosion projects; 23 revising provisions authorizing the department to 24 spend certain appropriated funds for the management of 25 inlets; deleting a provision authorizing the

Page 1 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

26 department to spend certain appropriated funds for 27 specified inlet studies; revising the required 28 elements of the department's report of prioritized 29 inlet management projects; revising the funds that the 30 department must make available to certain inlet 31 management projects; requiring the department to 32 include specified activities on the inlet management 33 project list; deleting provisions requiring the department to make available funding for specified 34 projects; deleting a requirement that the Legislature 35 36 designate a project as an Inlet of the Year; requiring 37 the department to update and maintain a report regarding the progress of certain inlet management 38 39 projects; revising the requirements for the report; deleting certain temporary provisions relating to 40 41 specified appropriations; amending s. 161.161, F.S.; 42 revising requirements for the comprehensive long-term 43 management plan; requiring the plan to include a 44 strategic beach management plan, a critically eroded beaches report, and a statewide long-range budget 45 plan; providing for the development and maintenance of 46 47 such plans; deleting a requirement that the department 48 submit a certain beach management plan on a certain 49 date each year; requiring the department to hold a 50 public meeting before finalization of the strategic

Page 2 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

51 beach management plan; requiring the department to submit a 3-year work plan and a related forecast for 52 53 the availability of funding to the Legislature; amending s. 375.041, F.S.; requiring certain funds 54 55 from the Land Acquisition Trust Fund to be used for 56 projects that preserve and repair state beaches; 57 providing effective dates. 58 59 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 60 Section 1. Effective July 1, 2019, subsection (14) of 61 62 section 161.101, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 63 161.101 State and local participation in authorized 64 projects and studies relating to beach management and erosion 65 control.-The intent of the Legislature in preserving and 66 (14)67 protecting Florida's sandy beaches pursuant to this act is to 68 direct beach erosion control appropriations to the state's most 69 severely eroded beaches, and to prevent further adverse impact 70 caused by improved, modified, or altered inlets, coastal 71 armoring, or existing upland development. In establishing annual 72 project funding priorities, the department shall seek formal input from local coastal governments, beach and general 73 74 government interest groups, and university experts. The department shall adopt by rule a scoring system to determine 75

Page 3 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2018

76	annual project funding priorities. The scoring system must
77	consist of the following criteria equally weighted within the
78	following specified tiers criteria to be considered by the
79	department in determining annual funding priorities shall
80	include:
81	(a) <u>Tier 1 must account for 20 percent of the total score</u>
82	and consist of the tourism-related return on investment and the
83	severity of erosion conditions, the threat to existing upland
84	development, and recreational and/or economic impact of the
85	project. The return on investment of the project is the ratio of
86	the tourism-related tax revenues for the most recent year to the
87	amount of state funding requested for the proposed project. The
88	economic impact of the project is the ratio of the tourism-
89	related tax revenues for the most recent year to all county tax
90	revenues for the most recent year. The department must calculate
91	these ratios using state sales tax and tourism development tax
92	data of the county having jurisdiction over the project area. If
93	multiple counties have jurisdiction over the project area, the
94	department must assess each county individually using these
95	ratios. The department shall calculate the mean average of these
96	ratios to determine the final overall assessment for the
97	multicounty project benefits.
98	(b) <u>Tier 2 must account for 45 percent of the total score</u>
99	and consist of the following criteria:
100	<u>1.</u> The availability of federal matching dollars <u>,</u>
	Page 4 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

101 considering federal authorization, the federal cost-share 102 percentage, and the status of the funding award; -103 2. The storm damage reduction benefits of the project 104 based on the following considerations: 105 a. The current conditions of the project area, including 106 any recent storm damage impact, as a percentage of volume of 107 sand lost since the most recent beach nourishment event or most recent beach surveys. If the project area has not been 108 previously restored, the department must use the historical 109 110 background erosion rate; 111 b. The overall potential threat to existing upland 112 development, including public and private structures and 113 infrastructure, based on the percentage of vulnerable shoreline 114 within the project boundaries; and c. The value of upland property benefiting from the 115 116 protection provided by the project and its subsequent 117 maintenance. A property must be within one-quarter mile of the 118 project boundaries to be considered under the criterion 119 specified in this sub-subparagraph; and 120 3. The cost-effectiveness of the project based on the 121 yearly cost per volume per mile of proposed beach fill 122 placement. The department shall also consider the following when 123 assessing cost-effectiveness pursuant to this subparagraph: 124 The existence of projects with proposed structural or a. 125 design components to extend the beach nourishment interval;

Page 5 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

FLORIDA HOUSE OF RE	PRESENTATIVES
---------------------	---------------

126 b. Existing beach nourishment projects that reduce upland 127 storm damage costs by incorporating new or enhanced dune 128 structures or new or existing dune restoration and revegetation 129 projects; c. Proposed innovative technologies designed to reduce 130 131 project costs; and d. Regional sediment management strategies and 132 133 coordination to conserve sand source resources and reduce 134 project costs. 135 (C) Tier 3 must account for 20 percent of the total score 136 and consist of the following criteria: The extent of local 137 government sponsor financial and administrative commitment to 138 the project, including a long-term financial plan with a 139 designated funding source or sources for initial construction 140 and periodic maintenance. 141 1.(d) Previous state commitment and involvement in the 142 project, considering previously funded phases, the total amount of previous state funding, and previous partial appropriations 143 144 for the proposed project; 145 2. The recreational benefits of the project based on: a. The accessible beach area added by the project; and 146 147 b. The percentage of linear footage within the project boundaries that is zoned: 148 149 (I) As recreational or open space; 150 (II) For commercial use; or

Page 6 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

151 (III) To otherwise allow for public lodging 152 establishments;-153 (c) The anticipated physical performance of the proposed 154 project, including the frequency of periodic planned 155 nourishment. 156 3.(f) The extent to which the proposed project mitigates 157 the adverse impact of improved, modified, or altered inlets on 158 adjacent beaches; and. (g) Innovative, cost-effective, and environmentally 159 sensitive applications to reduce erosion. 160 161 (h) Projects that provide enhanced habitat within or 162 adjacent to designated refuges of nesting sea turtles. (i) The extent to which local or regional sponsors of 163 164 beach erosion control projects agree to coordinate the planning, design, and construction of their projects to take advantage of 165 166 identifiable cost savings. 167 4.(j) The degree to which the project addresses the 168 state's most significant beach erosion problems as a function of 169 the linear footage of the project shoreline and the cubic yards 170 of sand placed per mile per year. 171 (d) Tier 4 must account for 15 percent of the total score 172 and consist of the following criteria: 173 1. Increased prioritization of projects that have been on 174 the department's ranked project list for successive years and that have not previously secured state funding for project 175

Page 7 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2018

176	implementation;
177	2. Environmental habitat enhancement, recognizing state or
178	federal critical habitat areas for threatened or endangered
179	species which may be subject to extensive shoreline armoring or
180	recognizing areas where extensive shoreline armoring threatens
181	the availability or quality of habitat for such species. Turtle-
182	friendly designs, dune and vegetation projects for areas with
183	redesigned or reduced fill templates, proposed incorporation of
184	best management practices and adaptive management strategies to
185	protect resources, and innovative technologies designed to
186	benefit critical habitat preservation may also be considered;
187	and
188	3. The overall readiness of the project to proceed in a
189	timely manner, considering the project's readiness for the
190	construction phase of development, the status of required
191	permits, the status of any needed easement acquisition, the
192	availability of local funding sources, and the establishment of
193	an erosion control line. If the department identifies specific
194	reasonable and documented concerns that the project will not
195	proceed in a timely manner, the department may choose not to
196	include the project in the annual funding priorities submitted
197	to the Legislature.
198	
199	If In the event that more than one project qualifies equally
200	under the provisions of this subsection, the department shall
	Dage 9 of 97

Page 8 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

201 assign funding priority to those projects shown to be most that 202 are ready to proceed.

203 Section 2. Subsection (20) of section 161.101, Florida 204 Statutes, is amended to read:

205 161.101 State and local participation in authorized 206 projects and studies relating to beach management and erosion 207 control.-

(20) The department shall maintain active project <u>lists</u>, <u>updated at least quarterly</u>, listings on its website by fiscal year in order to provide transparency regarding those projects receiving funding and the funding amounts, and to facilitate legislative reporting and oversight. In consideration of this intent:

214 (a) The department shall notify the Executive Office of 215 the Governor and the Legislature regarding any significant changes in the funding levels of a given project as initially 216 217 requested in the department's budget submission and subsequently included in approved annual funding allocations. The term 218 219 "significant change" means a project-specific change or 220 cumulative changes that exceed the project's original allocation by \$500,000 or that exceed those changes exceeding 25 percent of 221 the a project's original allocation. 222

223 <u>1. Except as provided in subparagraph 2.,</u> if there is
 224 surplus funding, <u>the department must provide a notification and</u>
 225 <u>supporting justification shall be provided</u> to the Executive

Page 9 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Office of the Governor and the Legislature to indicate whether <u>surplus</u> additional dollars are intended to be used for inlet management <u>projects</u> pursuant to s. 161.143 <u>or for beach</u> <u>restoration and beach nourishment projects</u>, offered for reversion as part of the next appropriations process, or used for other specified priority projects on active project lists.

232 <u>2. For surplus funds for projects that do not have a</u> 233 <u>significant change, the department may use such funds for the</u> 234 <u>same purposes identified in subparagraph 1. The department shall</u> 235 <u>post the uses of such funds on the project listing web page of</u> 236 <u>its website. No other notice or supporting justification is</u> 237 <u>required before the use of surplus funds for a project that does</u> 238 <u>not have a significant change.</u>

(b) <u>The department shall prepare</u> a summary of specific
project activities for the current fiscal year, their funding
status, and changes to annual project lists for the current and
<u>preceding fiscal year</u>. shall be prepared by The department shall
<u>include the summary</u> and included with the department's
submission of its annual legislative budget request.

(c) <u>Funding for specific projects on annual project lists</u>
approved by the Legislature must remain available for such
projects for 18 months. A local project sponsor may at any time
release, in whole or in part, appropriated project dollars by
formal notification to the department. The department, which
shall notify the Executive Office of the Governor and the

Page 10 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

251 Legislature of such release and. Notification must indicate in 252 the notification how the project dollars are recommended 253 intended to be used after such release. 254 Section 3. Subsections (2) through (5) of section 161.143, 255 Florida Statutes, are amended to read: 256 161.143 Inlet management; planning, prioritizing, funding, 257 approving, and implementing projects.-258 The department shall establish annual funding (2) 259 priorities for studies, activities, or other projects concerning inlet management. Such inlet management projects constitute the 260 261 intended scope of this section and s. 161.142 and consist of 262 include, but are not limited to, inlet sand bypassing, 263 improvement of infrastructure to facilitate sand bypassing, 264 modifications to channel dredging, jetty redesign, jetty repair, 265 disposal of spoil material, and the development, revision, 266 adoption, or implementation of an inlet management plan. 267 Projects considered for funding pursuant to this section shall be considered separate and apart from projects reviewed and 268 prioritized in s. 161.101(14). The funding priorities 269 270 established by the department under this section must be consistent with the requirements and legislative declaration in 271 272 ss. 161.101(14), 161.142, and 161.161(1)(b). In establishing funding priorities under this subsection and before transmitting 273 274 the annual inlet project list to the Legislature under 275 subsection (4) (5), the department shall seek formal input from

Page 11 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

276 local coastal governments, beach and general government 277 associations and other coastal interest groups, and university 278 experts concerning annual funding priorities for inlet 279 management projects. In order to maximize the benefits of 280 efforts to address the inlet-caused beach erosion problems of 281 this state, the ranking criteria used by the department to 282 establish funding priorities for studies, activities, or other 283 projects concerning inlet management must include equal consideration of: 284

(a) An estimate of the annual quantity of beach-quality
sand reaching the updrift boundary of the improved jetty or
inlet channel.

(b) The severity of the erosion to the adjacent beaches
 caused by the inlet and the extent to which the proposed project
 mitigates the erosive effects of the inlet.

(c) The overall significance and anticipated success of
 the proposed project in <u>mitigating the erosive effects of the</u>
 <u>inlet</u>, balancing the sediment budget of the inlet and adjacent
 beaches, and addressing the sand deficit along the inlet affected shorelines.

(d) The extent to which existing bypassing activities at an inlet would benefit from modest, cost-effective improvements when considering the volumetric increases from the proposed project, the availability of beach-quality sand currently not being bypassed to adjacent eroding beaches, and the ease with

Page 12 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

301 which such beach-quality sand may be obtained.

302 The cost-effectiveness of sand made available by a (e) 303 proposed inlet management project or activity relative to other 304 sand source opportunities that would be used to address inlet-305 caused beach erosion The interest and commitment of local 306 governments as demonstrated by their willingness to coordinate 307 the planning, design, construction, and maintenance of an inlet 308 management project and their financial plan for funding the local cost share for initial construction, ongoing sand 309 310 bypassing, channel dredging, and maintenance.

311 The existence of a proposed or recently updated The (f) 312 previous completion or approval of a state-sponsored inlet 313 management plan or a local-government-sponsored inlet study 314 addressing concerning the inlet addressed by the proposed 315 project, the ease of updating and revising any such plan or 316 study, and the adequacy and specificity of the plan's or study's 317 recommendations concerning the mitigation of an inlet's erosive 318 effects on adjacent beaches.

(g) The degree to which the proposed project will enhance the performance and longevity of proximate beach nourishment projects, thereby reducing the frequency of such periodic nourishment projects.

323 (h) The project-ranking criteria in s. 161.101(14) to the
324 extent such criteria are applicable to inlet management studies,
325 projects, and activities <u>and are distinct from</u>, <u>and not</u>

Page 13 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2018

326	duplicative of, the criteria listed in paragraphs (a)-(g).
327	(3) The department may pay from legislative appropriations
328	up to 75 percent of the construction costs of an initial major
329	inlet management project component for the purpose of mitigating
330	the erosive effects of the inlet to the shoreline and balancing
331	the sediment budget. The remaining balance of such construction
332	costs must be paid from other funding sources, such as local
333	sponsors. All project costs not associated with an initial major
334	inlet management project component must be shared equally by
335	state and local sponsors in accordance with, pursuant to s.
336	161.101 and notwithstanding s. 161.101(15), pay from legislative
337	appropriations provided for these purposes 75 percent of the
338	total costs, or, if applicable, the nonfederal costs, of a
339	study, activity, or other project concerning the management of
340	an inlet. The balance must be paid by the local governments or
341	special districts having jurisdiction over the property where
342	the inlet is located.
343	(4) Using the legislative appropriation to the statewide
344	beach-management-support category of the department's fixed
345	capital outlay funding request, the department may employ
346	university-based or other contractual sources and pay 100
347	percent of the costs of studies that are consistent with the
348	legislative declaration in s. 161.142 and that:
349	(a) Determine, calculate, refine, and achieve general
350	consensus regarding net annual sediment transport volumes to be
	Dage 14 of 97

Page 14 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

351	used for the purpose of planning and prioritizing inlet
352	management projects; and
353	(b) Appropriate, assign, and apportion responsibilities
354	between inlet beneficiaries for the erosion caused by a
355	particular inlet on adjacent beaches.
356	(4) (5) The department shall annually provide an inlet
357	management project list, in priority order, to the Legislature
358	as part of the department's budget request. The list must
359	include studies, projects, or other activities that address the
360	management of at least 10 separate inlets and that are ranked
361	according to the criteria established under subsection (2).
362	(a) The department shall <u>designate for</u> make available at
363	least 10 percent of the total amount that the Legislature
364	appropriates in each fiscal year for statewide beach management
365	for the three highest-ranked projects on the current year's
366	inlet management project list, in priority order, an amount that
367	is at least equal to the greater of:
368	1. Ten percent of the total amount that the Legislature
369	appropriates in the fiscal year for statewide beach management;
370	or
371	2. The percentage of inlet management funding requests
372	from local sponsors as a proportion of the total amount of
373	statewide beach management dollars requested in a given year.
374	(b) The department shall <u>include inlet monitoring</u>
375	activities ranked on the inlet management project list as one
	Dago 15 of 97

Page 15 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

376 aggregated subcategory on the overall inlet management project 377 list make available at least 50 percent of the funds 378 appropriated for the feasibility and design category in the 379 department's fixed capital outlay funding request for projects 380 on the current year's inlet management project list which 381 involve the study for, or design or development of, an inlet 382 management project. 383 (c) The department shall make available all statewide 384 beach management funds that remain unencumbered or are allocated 385 to non-project-specific activities for projects on legislatively 386 approved inlet management project lists. Funding for local-387 government-specific projects on annual project lists approved by 388 the Legislature must remain available for such purposes for a 389 period of 18 months pursuant to s. 216.301(2)(a). Based on an 390 assessment and the department's determination that a project 391 will not be ready to proceed during this 18-month period, such 392 funds shall be used for inlet management projects on 393 legislatively approved lists. 394 (5) (d) The Legislature shall designate one of the three

394 <u>(3)</u> (a) The legislature shall designate one of the three 395 highest projects on the inlet management project list in any 396 year as the Inlet of the Year. The department shall <u>update and</u> 397 <u>maintain an annual annually</u> report <u>on its website</u> to the 398 <u>Legislature concerning the extent to which each inlet project 399 <u>designated by the Legislature as Inlet of the Year</u> has succeeded 400 in balancing the sediment budget of the inlet and adjacent</u>

Page 16 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

417

401 beaches <u>and in</u>, mitigating the inlet's erosive effects on 402 adjacent beaches. The report must provide an estimate of the 403 <u>quantity of sediment bypassed, transferred</u>, and transferring or 404 otherwise <u>placed</u> placing beach-quality sand on adjacent eroding 405 beaches, or in such beaches' nearshore area, for the purpose of 406 <u>offsetting the erosive effects of inlets on the beaches of this</u> 407 state.

408 (e) Notwithstanding paragraphs (a) and (b), and for the
409 2016-2017 fiscal year only, the amount allocated for inlet
410 management funding is provided in the 2016-2017 General
411 Appropriations Act. This paragraph expires July 1, 2017.

412 Section 4. Effective July 1, 2019, subsection (1) and 413 present subsection (2) of section 161.161, Florida Statutes, are 414 amended, a new subsection (2) is added to that section, and 415 present subsections (2) through (7) are redesignated as 416 subsections (3) through (8), respectively, to read:

161.161 Procedure for approval of projects.-

(1) The department shall develop and maintain a
comprehensive long-term <u>beach</u> management plan for the
restoration and maintenance of the state's critically eroded
beaches fronting the Atlantic Ocean, Gulf of Mexico, and Straits
of Florida. <u>In developing and maintaining this</u> the beach
management plan, the department shall:

424 (a) Address long-term solutions to the problem of425 critically eroded beaches in this state.

Page 17 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

426 Evaluate each improved, modified, or altered inlet and (b) 427 determine whether the inlet is a significant cause of beach 428 erosion. With respect to each inlet determined to be a 429 significant cause of beach erosion, the plan shall include: 430 $\frac{1}{1}$ the extent to which such inlet causes beach erosion and 431 recommendations to mitigate the erosive impact of the inlet, 432 including, but not limited to, recommendations regarding inlet 433 sediment bypassing; improvement of infrastructure to facilitate 434 sand bypassing; modifications to channel dredging, jetty design, 435 and disposal of spoil material; establishment of feeder beaches; 436 and beach restoration and beach nourishment; and 437 2. Cost estimates necessary to take inlet corrective 438 measures and recommendations regarding cost sharing among the beneficiaries of such inlet. 439 440 Evaluate Design criteria for beach restoration and (C) beach nourishment projects, including, but not limited to, + 441 442 1. dune elevation and width and revegetation and 443 stabilization requirements, + and 444 2. beach profiles profile. 445 Consider Evaluate the establishment of regional (d) 446 sediment management alternatives for one or more individual 447 beach and inlet sand bypassing projects feeder beaches as an 448 alternative to direct beach restoration when appropriate and cost-effective, and recommend the location of such regional 449 sediment management alternatives feeder beaches and the source 450

Page 18 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

451 of beach-compatible sand. 452 Identify causes of shoreline erosion and change, (e) 453 determine calculate erosion rates, and maintain an updated list 454 of critically eroded sandy beaches based on data, analyses, and 455 investigations of shoreline conditions and project long-term 456 erosion for all major beach and dune systems by surveys and 457 profiles. 458 (f) Identify shoreline development and degree of density 459 and Assess impacts of development and coastal protection 460 shoreline protective structures on shoreline change and erosion. 461 Identify short-term and long-term economic costs and (q) 462 benefits of beaches to the state of Florida and individual beach 463 communities, including recreational value to user groups, tax 464 base, revenues generated, and beach acquisition and maintenance 465 costs. 466 Study dune and vegetation conditions, identify (h) 467 existing beach projects without dune features or with dunes 468 without adequate elevations, and encourage dune restoration and 469 revegetation to be incorporated as part of storm damage recovery 470 projects or future dune maintenance events. 471 (i) Identify beach areas used by marine turtles and 472 develop strategies for protection of the turtles and their nests 473 and nesting locations.

474 (j) Identify alternative management responses to preserve
475 undeveloped beach and dune systems <u>and</u>, to restore damaged beach

Page 19 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

476 and dune systems. In identifying such management responses, the 477 department shall consider, at a minimum, and to prevent 478 inappropriate development and redevelopment on migrating 479 beaches, and consider beach restoration and nourishment, 480 armoring, relocation and abandonment, dune and vegetation 481 restoration, and acquisition. 482 (k) Document procedures and policies for preparing post-483 storm damage assessments and corresponding recovery plans, 484 including repair cost estimates Establish criteria, including 485 costs and specific implementation actions, for alternative 486 management techniques. 487 (1) Identify and assess Select and recommend appropriate 488 management measures for all of the state's critically eroded 489 sandy beaches in a beach management program. 490 (m) Establish a list of beach restoration and beach 491 nourishment projects, arranged in order of priority, and the 492 funding levels needed for such projects. 493 The comprehensive long-term management plan developed (2) 494 and maintained by the department pursuant to subsection (1) must 495 include, at a minimum, a strategic beach management plan, a 496 critically eroded beaches report, and a statewide long-range 497 budget plan. The long-range budget plan must include a 3-year 498 work plan for beach restoration, beach nourishment, and inlet 499 management projects that lists planned projects for each of the 3 fiscal years addressed in the work plan. 500

Page 20 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

501 The strategic beach management plan must identify and (a) 502 recommend appropriate measures for all of the state's critically 503 eroded sandy beaches and may incorporate plans be prepared at the regional level, taking into account based upon areas of 504 505 greatest need and probable federal and local funding. Upon 506 approval in accordance with this section, such regional plans, 507 along with the 3-year work plan identified in subparagraph 508 (c)1., shall be components of the statewide beach management 509 plan and shall serve as the basis for state funding decisions 510 upon approval in accordance with chapter 86-138, Laws of 511 Florida. In accordance with a schedule established for the 512 submission of regional plans by the department, any completed 513 plan must be submitted to the secretary of the department for 514 approval no later than March 1 of each year. These regional 515 plans shall include, but shall not be limited to, 516 recommendations of appropriate funding mechanisms for 517 implementing projects in the beach management plan, giving 518 consideration to the use of single-county and multicounty taxing 519 districts or other revenue generation measures by state and 520 local governments and the private sector. Prior to finalizing 521 the strategic beach management presenting the plan to the 522 secretary of the department, the department shall hold a public meeting in the region areas for which the plan is prepared or 523 524 through a publicly noticed webinar. The plan submission schedule 525 shall be submitted to the secretary for approval. Any revisions

Page 21 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

526 to such schedule must be approved in like manner. 527 The critically eroded beaches report must be developed (b) 528 and maintained based primarily on the requirements specified in 529 paragraph (1) (e). 530 The statewide long-range budget plan must include at (C) 531 least 5 years of planned beach restoration, beach nourishment, 532 and inlet management project funding needs as identified, and 533 subsequently refined, by local government sponsors. This plan 534 shall consist of two components: 535 1. A 3-year work plan that identifies beach restoration, beach nourishment, and inlet management projects viable for 536 537 implementation during the next 3 fiscal years, as determined by 538 available cost-sharing, local sponsor support, regulatory 539 considerations, and the ability of the project to proceed as 540 scheduled. The 3-year work plan must, for each fiscal year, 541 identify proposed projects and their current development status, 542 listing them in priority order based on the applicable criteria 543 established in ss. 161.101(14) and 161.143(2). Specific funding 544 requests and criteria ranking, pursuant to ss. 161.101(14) and 545 161.143(2), may be modified as warranted in each successive fiscal year, and such modifications must be documented and 546 547 submitted to the Legislature with each 3-year work plan. Year 548 one projects shall consist of those projects identified for 549 funding consideration in the ensuing fiscal year. 550 2. A long-range plan that identifies projects for

Page 22 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

551 inclusion in the fourth and fifth ensuing fiscal years. These 552 projects may be presented by region and do not need to be 553 presented in priority order; however, the department should 554 identify issues that may prevent successful completion of such 555 projects and recommend solutions that would allow the projects 556 to progress into the 3-year work plan. 557 (3) (2) Annually, The secretary shall annually present the 558 3-year work plan to the Legislature. The work plan must be 559 accompanied by a 3-year financial forecast for the availability of funding for the projects, based on funds dedicated in s. 560 561 375.041 recommendations for funding beach erosion control 562 projects prioritized according to the criteria established in s. 563 $\frac{161.101(14)}{161.101(14)}$. Section 5. Subsection (3) of section 375.041, Florida 564 565 Statutes, is amended to read: 566 375.041 Land Acquisition Trust Fund.-567 (3) Funds distributed into the Land Acquisition Trust Fund 568 pursuant to s. 201.15 shall be applied: 569 First, to pay debt service or to fund debt service (a) 570 reserve funds, rebate obligations, or other amounts payable with 571 respect to Florida Forever bonds issued under s. 215.618; and 572 pay debt service, provide reserves, and pay rebate obligations and other amounts due with respect to Everglades restoration 573 bonds issued under s. 215.619; and 574 575 (b) Of the funds remaining after the payments required Page 23 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

576 under paragraph (a), but before funds may be appropriated, 577 pledged, or dedicated for other uses:

578 1. A minimum of the lesser of 25 percent or \$200 million 579 shall be appropriated annually for Everglades projects that 580 implement the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan as set 581 forth in s. 373.470, including the Central Everglades Planning 582 Project subject to Congressional authorization; the Long-Term Plan as defined in s. 373.4592(2); and the Northern Everglades 583 and Estuaries Protection Program as set forth in s. 373.4595. 584 From these funds, \$32 million shall be distributed each fiscal 585 586 year through the 2023-2024 fiscal year to the South Florida 587 Water Management District for the Long-Term Plan as defined in 588 s. 373.4592(2). After deducting the \$32 million distributed 589 under this subparagraph, from the funds remaining, a minimum of 590 the lesser of 76.5 percent or \$100 million shall be appropriated 591 each fiscal year through the 2025-2026 fiscal year for the 592 planning, design, engineering, and construction of the 593 Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan as set forth in s. 594 373.470, including the Central Everglades Planning Project, the 595 Everglades Agricultural Area Storage Reservoir Project, the Lake 596 Okeechobee Watershed Project, the C-43 West Basin Storage 597 Reservoir Project, the Indian River Lagoon-South Project, the Western Everglades Restoration Project, and the Picayune Strand 598 Restoration Project. The Department of Environmental Protection 599 600 and the South Florida Water Management District shall give

Page 24 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

601 preference to those Everglades restoration projects that reduce 602 harmful discharges of water from Lake Okeechobee to the St. 603 Lucie or Caloosahatchee estuaries in a timely manner. For the 604 purpose of performing the calculation provided in this 605 subparagraph, the amount of debt service paid pursuant to 606 paragraph (a) for bonds issued after July 1, 2016, for the 607 purposes set forth under paragraph (b) shall be added to the 608 amount remaining after the payments required under paragraph (a). The amount of the distribution calculated shall then be 609 610 reduced by an amount equal to the debt service paid pursuant to paragraph (a) on bonds issued after July 1, 2016, for the 611 612 purposes set forth under this subparagraph.

A minimum of the lesser of 7.6 percent or \$50 million 613 2. 614 shall be appropriated annually for spring restoration, 615 protection, and management projects. For the purpose of 616 performing the calculation provided in this subparagraph, the 617 amount of debt service paid pursuant to paragraph (a) for bonds 618 issued after July 1, 2016, for the purposes set forth under 619 paragraph (b) shall be added to the amount remaining after the 620 payments required under paragraph (a). The amount of the 621 distribution calculated shall then be reduced by an amount equal 622 to the debt service paid pursuant to paragraph (a) on bonds 623 issued after July 1, 2016, for the purposes set forth under this 624 subparagraph.

625

3. The sum of \$5 million shall be appropriated annually

Page 25 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

626 each fiscal year through the 2025-2026 fiscal year to the St. 627 Johns River Water Management District for projects dedicated to 628 the restoration of Lake Apopka. This distribution shall be 629 reduced by an amount equal to the debt service paid pursuant to 630 paragraph (a) on bonds issued after July 1, 2016, for the 631 purposes set forth in this subparagraph.

632 4. The sum of \$64 million is appropriated and shall be 633 transferred to the Everglades Trust Fund for the 2018-2019 634 fiscal year, and each fiscal year thereafter, for the EAA reservoir project pursuant to s. 373.4598. Any funds remaining 635 636 in any fiscal year shall be made available only for Phase II of 637 the C-51 reservoir project or projects identified in 638 subparagraph 1. and must be used in accordance with laws 639 relating to such projects. Any funds made available for such 640 purposes in a fiscal year are in addition to the amount 641 appropriated under subparagraph 1. This distribution shall be 642 reduced by an amount equal to the debt service paid pursuant to 643 paragraph (a) on bonds issued after July 1, 2017, for the 644 purposes set forth in this subparagraph.

5. <u>A minimum of the lesser of 7.6 percent or \$50 million</u> <u>shall be appropriated annually for projects that preserve and</u> <u>repair the state's beaches as provided in s. 161.091(3). The</u> <u>calculation provided in this subparagraph shall be performed</u> <u>using the same formula as described in subparagraph 2.</u> <u>Notwithstanding subparagraph 3., for the 2017-2018 fiscal year</u>,

Page 26 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

- 652 Appropriations Act. This subparagraph expires July 1, 2018.
- 653 Section 6. Except as otherwise provided in this act, this
- act shall take effect July 1, 2018.

Page 27 of 27

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.