

	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate		House
Comm: RCS		
04/10/2019		
	•	
	•	
	•	

The Committee on Infrastructure and Security (Lee) recommended the following:

## Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

3

4

5

1 2

> Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

Section 1. Section 125.01055, Florida Statutes, is amended 6 to read:

125.01055 Affordable housing.-

8 9

10

7

(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a county may adopt and maintain in effect any law, ordinance, rule, or other measure that is adopted for the purpose of increasing the

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39



supply of affordable housing using land use mechanisms such as inclusionary housing ordinances. An inclusionary housing ordinance may require a developer to provide a specified number or percentage of affordable housing units to be included in a development or allow a developer to contribute to a housing fund or other alternatives in lieu of building the affordable housing units. However, in exchange, a county must provide incentives to fully offset all costs to the developer of its affordable housing contribution. Such incentives may include, but are not limited to:

- (a) Allowing the developer density or intensity bonus incentives or more floor space than allowed under the current or proposed future land use designation or zoning;
- (b) Reducing or waiving fees, such as impact fees or water and sewer charges; or
  - (c) Granting other incentives.
- Section 2. Section 125.022, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
  - 125.022 Development permits and orders.-
- (1) Within 30 days after receiving an application for a development permit or development order, a county must review the application for completeness and issue a letter indicating that all required information is submitted or specifying with particularity any areas that are deficient. If deficient, the applicant has 30 days to address the deficiencies by submitting the required additional information. Within 120 days after the county has deemed the application complete the county shall approve, approve with conditions, or deny the application for a development permit or development order. The time periods

41 42

43

44 45

46 47

48

49 50

51

52

53

54

55 56

57

58

59

60

61

62

6.3 64

65

66

67

68



contained in this section may be waived in writing by the applicant. An approval, approval with conditions, or denial of the application for a development permit or development order must include written findings supporting the county's decision.

(2) (1) When reviewing an application for a development permit or development order that is certified by a professional listed in s. 403.0877, a county may not request additional information from the applicant more than three times, unless the applicant waives the limitation in writing. Before a third request for additional information, the applicant must be offered a meeting to attempt to resolve outstanding issues. Except as provided in subsection  $(5)\frac{(4)}{(4)}$ , if the applicant believes the request for additional information is not authorized by ordinance, rule, statute, or other legal authority, the county, at the applicant's request, shall proceed to process the application for approval or denial.

(3) When a county denies an application for a development permit or development order, the county shall give written notice to the applicant. The notice must include a citation to the applicable portions of an ordinance, rule, statute, or other legal authority for the denial of the permit or order.

(4) (4) (3) As used in this section, the terms term "development" permit" and "development order" have has the same meaning as in s. 163.3164, but do does not include building permits.

(5) (4) For any development permit application filed with the county after July 1, 2012, a county may not require as a condition of processing or issuing a development permit or development order that an applicant obtain a permit or approval

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81 82

83

84

85

86 87

88 89

90 91

92

93

94

95

96

97



from any state or federal agency unless the agency has issued a final agency action that denies the federal or state permit before the county action on the local development permit.

(6) <del>(5)</del> Issuance of a development permit or development order by a county does not in any way create any rights on the part of the applicant to obtain a permit from a state or federal agency and does not create any liability on the part of the county for issuance of the permit if the applicant fails to obtain requisite approvals or fulfill the obligations imposed by a state or federal agency or undertakes actions that result in a violation of state or federal law. A county shall attach such a disclaimer to the issuance of a development permit and shall include a permit condition that all other applicable state or federal permits be obtained before commencement of the development.

(7) (7) (6) This section does not prohibit a county from providing information to an applicant regarding what other state or federal permits may apply.

Section 3. Paragraph (i) of subsection (5) and paragraph (h) of subsection (6) of section 163.3180, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

163.3180 Concurrency.-

(5)

(i) If a local government elects to repeal transportation concurrency, it is encouraged to adopt an alternative mobility funding system that uses one or more of the tools and techniques identified in paragraph (f). Any alternative mobility funding system adopted may not be used to deny, time, or phase an application for site plan approval, plat approval, final



subdivision approval, building permits, or the functional equivalent of such approvals provided that the developer agrees to pay for the development's identified transportation impacts via the funding mechanism implemented by the local government. The revenue from the funding mechanism used in the alternative system must be used to implement the needs of the local government's plan which serves as the basis for the fee imposed. A mobility fee-based funding system must comply with s. 163.31801 governing the dual rational nexus test applicable to impact fees. An alternative system that is not mobility feebased shall not be applied in a manner that imposes upon new development any responsibility for funding an existing transportation deficiency as defined in paragraph (h).

(6)

98

99

100

101

102 103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113 114

115

116

117

118

119 120

121 122

123

124

125

- (h)1. In order to limit the liability of local governments, a local government may allow a landowner to proceed with development of a specific parcel of land notwithstanding a failure of the development to satisfy school concurrency, if all the following factors are shown to exist:
- a. The proposed development would be consistent with the future land use designation for the specific property and with pertinent portions of the adopted local plan, as determined by the local government.
- b. The local government's capital improvements element and the school board's educational facilities plan provide for school facilities adequate to serve the proposed development, and the local government or school board has not implemented that element or the project includes a plan that demonstrates that the capital facilities needed as a result of the project



can be reasonably provided.

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148 149

150

151

152

153

154

- c. The local government and school board have provided a means by which the landowner will be assessed a proportionate share of the cost of providing the school facilities necessary to serve the proposed development.
- 2. If a local government applies school concurrency, it may not deny an application for site plan, final subdivision approval, or the functional equivalent for a development or phase of a development authorizing residential development for failure to achieve and maintain the level-of-service standard for public school capacity in a local school concurrency management system where adequate school facilities will be in place or under actual construction within 3 years after the issuance of final subdivision or site plan approval, or the functional equivalent. School concurrency is satisfied if the developer executes a legally binding commitment to provide mitigation proportionate to the demand for public school facilities to be created by actual development of the property, including, but not limited to, the options described in subsubparagraph a. Options for proportionate-share mitigation of impacts on public school facilities must be established in the comprehensive plan and the interlocal agreement pursuant to s. 163.31777.
- a. Appropriate mitigation options include the contribution of land; the construction, expansion, or payment for land acquisition or construction of a public school facility; the construction of a charter school that complies with the requirements of s. 1002.33(18); or the creation of mitigation banking based on the construction of a public school facility in

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164 165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179 180

181

182

183

184



exchange for the right to sell capacity credits. Such options must include execution by the applicant and the local government of a development agreement that constitutes a legally binding commitment to pay proportionate-share mitigation for the additional residential units approved by the local government in a development order and actually developed on the property, taking into account residential density allowed on the property prior to the plan amendment that increased the overall residential density. The district school board must be a party to such an agreement. As a condition of its entry into such a development agreement, the local government may require the landowner to agree to continuing renewal of the agreement upon its expiration.

- b. If the interlocal agreement and the local government comprehensive plan authorize a contribution of land; the construction, expansion, or payment for land acquisition; the construction or expansion of a public school facility, or a portion thereof; or the construction of a charter school that complies with the requirements of s. 1002.33(18), as proportionate-share mitigation, the local government shall credit such a contribution, construction, expansion, or payment toward any other impact fee or exaction imposed by local ordinance for public educational facilities the same need, on a dollar-for-dollar basis at fair market value. The credit must be based on the total impact fee assessed and not upon the impact fee for any particular type of school.
- c. Any proportionate-share mitigation must be directed by the school board toward a school capacity improvement identified in the 5-year school board educational facilities plan that

186

187

188

189

190

191 192

193

194

195

196

197 198

199

200 201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208 209

210

211

212

213



satisfies the demands created by the development in accordance with a binding developer's agreement.

3. This paragraph does not limit the authority of a local government to deny a development permit or its functional equivalent pursuant to its home rule regulatory powers, except as provided in this part.

Section 4. Section 163.31801, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

163.31801 Impact fees; short title; intent; minimum requirements; audits; challenges definitions; ordinances levying impact fees. -

- (1) This section may be cited as the "Florida Impact Fee Act."
- (2) The Legislature finds that impact fees are an important source of revenue for a local government to use in funding the infrastructure necessitated by new growth. The Legislature further finds that impact fees are an outgrowth of the home rule power of a local government to provide certain services within its jurisdiction. Due to the growth of impact fee collections and local governments' reliance on impact fees, it is the intent of the Legislature to ensure that, when a county or municipality adopts an impact fee by ordinance or a special district adopts an impact fee by resolution, the governing authority complies with this section.
- (3) At a minimum, an impact fee adopted by ordinance of a county or municipality or by resolution of a special district must satisfy all of the following conditions, at minimum:
- (a) Require that The calculation of the impact fee must be based on the most recent and localized data.

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241



- (b) The local government must provide for accounting and reporting of impact fee collections and expenditures. If a local governmental entity imposes an impact fee to address its infrastructure needs, the entity must shall account for the revenues and expenditures of such impact fee in a separate accounting fund.
- (c) Limit Administrative charges for the collection of impact fees must be limited to actual costs.
- (d) The local government must provide Require that notice not be provided no less than 90 days before the effective date of an ordinance or resolution imposing a new or increased impact fee. A county or municipality is not required to wait 90 days to decrease, suspend, or eliminate an impact fee.
- (e) Collection of the impact fee may not be required to occur earlier than the date of issuance of the building permit for the property that is subject to the fee.
- (f) The impact fee must be proportional and reasonably connected to, or have a rational nexus with, the need for additional capital facilities and the increased impact generated by the new residential or commercial construction.
- (g) The impact fee must be proportional and reasonably connected to, or have a rational nexus with, the expenditures of the funds collected and the benefits accruing to the new residential or nonresidential construction.
- (h) The local government must specifically earmark funds collected under the impact fee for use in acquiring, constructing, or improving capital facilities to benefit new users.
  - (i) Revenues generated by the impact fee may not be used,

245 246

247

248

249 250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261 262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271



in whole or in part, to pay existing debt or for previously approved projects unless the expenditure is reasonably connected to, or has a rational nexus with, the increased impact generated by the new residential or nonresidential construction.

- (4) The local government must credit against the collection of the impact fee any contribution, whether identified in a proportionate share agreement or other form of exaction, related to public education facilities, including land dedication, site planning and design, or construction. Any contribution must be applied to reduce impact fees on a dollarfor-dollar basis at fair market value.
- (5) If a local government increases its impact fee rates, then the holder of any impact fee credits, whether such credits are granted under s. 163.3180, s. 380.06, or otherwise, which were in existence prior to the increase, is entitled to a proportionate increase in the credit balance.
- (6) (4) Audits of financial statements of local governmental entities and district school boards which are performed by a certified public accountant pursuant to s. 218.39 and submitted to the Auditor General must include an affidavit signed by the chief financial officer of the local governmental entity or district school board stating that the local governmental entity or district school board has complied with this section.
- (7) (5) In any action challenging an impact fee or the government's failure to provide required dollar-for-dollar credits for the payment of impact fees as provided in s. 163.3180(6)(h)2.b, the government has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the imposition or amount of the fee or credit meets the requirements of state legal

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282 283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293 294

295

296

297

298

299

300



precedent or and this section. The court may not use a deferential standard for the benefit of the government.

(8) A county, municipality, or special district may provide an exception or waiver for an impact fee for the development or construction of housing that is affordable, as defined in s. 420.9071. If a county, municipality, or special district provides such an exception or waiver, it is not required to use any revenues to offset the impact.

Section 5. Section 166.033, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

166.033 Development permits and orders.-

(1) Within 30 days after receiving an application for approval of a development permit or development order, a municipality must review the application for completeness and issue a letter indicating that all required information is submitted or specifying with particularity any areas that are deficient. If deficient, the applicant has 30 days to address the deficiencies by submitting the required additional information. Within 120 days after the municipality has deemed the application complete the municipality must approve, approve with conditions, or deny the application for a development permit or development order. The time periods contained in this subsection may be waived in writing by the applicant. An approval, approval with conditions, or denial of the application for a development permit or development order must include written findings supporting the county's decision.

(2) (1) When reviewing an application for a development permit or development order that is certified by a professional listed in s. 403.0877, a municipality may not request additional

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310 311

312

313

314

315

316

317 318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329



information from the applicant more than three times, unless the applicant waives the limitation in writing. Before a third request for additional information, the applicant must be offered a meeting to attempt to resolve outstanding issues. Except as provided in subsection  $(5)\frac{(4)}{(4)}$ , if the applicant believes the request for additional information is not authorized by ordinance, rule, statute, or other legal authority, the municipality, at the applicant's request, shall proceed to process the application for approval or denial.

(3) When a municipality denies an application for a development permit or development order, the municipality shall give written notice to the applicant. The notice must include a citation to the applicable portions of an ordinance, rule, statute, or other legal authority for the denial of the permit or order.

(4) (4) (3) As used in this section, the terms  $\frac{\text{term}}{\text{term}}$  "development" permit" and "development order" have has the same meaning as in s. 163.3164, but do does not include building permits.

(5) (4) For any development permit application filed with the municipality after July 1, 2012, a municipality may not require as a condition of processing or issuing a development permit or development order that an applicant obtain a permit or approval from any state or federal agency unless the agency has issued a final agency action that denies the federal or state permit before the municipal action on the local development permit.

(6) <del>(5)</del> Issuance of a development permit or development order by a municipality does not in any way create any right on the part of an applicant to obtain a permit from a state or

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345 346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358



federal agency and does not create any liability on the part of the municipality for issuance of the permit if the applicant fails to obtain requisite approvals or fulfill the obligations imposed by a state or federal agency or undertakes actions that result in a violation of state or federal law. A municipality shall attach such a disclaimer to the issuance of development permits and shall include a permit condition that all other applicable state or federal permits be obtained before commencement of the development.

(7) (6) This section does not prohibit a municipality from providing information to an applicant regarding what other state or federal permits may apply.

Section 6. Section 166.04151, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

166.04151 Affordable housing.-

(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a municipality may adopt and maintain in effect any law, ordinance, rule, or other measure that is adopted for the purpose of increasing the supply of affordable housing using land use mechanisms such as inclusionary housing ordinances. An inclusionary housing ordinance may require a developer to provide a specified number or percentage of affordable housing units to be included in a development or allow a developer to contribute to a housing fund or other alternatives in lieu of building the affordable housing units. However, in exchange, a municipality must provide incentives to fully offset all costs to the developer of its affordable housing contribution. Such incentives may include, but are not limited to:

(a) Allowing the developer density or intensity bonus



359	incentives or more floor space than allowed under the current or	
360	proposed future land use designation or zoning;	
361	(b) Reducing or waiving fees, such as impact fees or water	
362	and sewer charges; or	
363	(c) Granting other incentives.	
364	Section 7. Subsection (24) of section 494.001, Florida	
365	Statues, is amended to read:	
366	494.001 Definitions.—As used in this chapter, the term:	
367	(24) "Mortgage loan" means any:	
368	(a) Residential loan that primarily for personal, family,	
369	or household use which is secured by a mortgage, deed of trust,	
370	or other equivalent consensual security interest on a dwelling,	
371	as defined in s. $103(w)$ s. $103(v)$ of the federal Truth in	
372	Lending Act, or for the purchase of residential real estate upon	
373	which a dwelling is to be constructed;	
374	(b) Loan on commercial real property if the borrower is an	
375	individual or the lender is a noninstitutional investor; or	
376	(c) Loan on improved real property consisting of five or	
377	more dwelling units if the borrower is an individual or the	
378	lender is a noninstitutional investor.	
379	Section 8. This act shall take effect upon becoming law.	
380		
381	========= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ==========	
382	And the title is amended as follows:	
383	Delete everything before the enacting clause	
384	and insert:	
385	A bill to be entitled	
386	An act relating to community development and housing;	
387	amending s. 125.01055, F.S.; authorizing an	

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414 415

416



inclusionary housing ordinance to require a developer to provide certain affordable housing units to be included in a development or allow a developer to contribute to a housing fund or other alternatives; requiring a county to provide certain incentives to fully offset all costs to the developer of its affordable housing contribution; amending s. 125.022, F.S.; requiring that a county review the application for completeness and issue a certain letter within a specified period after receiving an application for approval of a development permit or development order; providing procedures for addressing deficiencies in, and for approving or denying, the application; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; defining the term "development order"; amending s. 163.3180, F.S.; requiring a local government to credit certain contributions, constructions, expansions, or payments toward any other impact fee or exaction imposed by local ordinance for public educational facilities; providing requirements for the basis of the credit; amending s. 163.31801, F.S.; adding minimum conditions that certain impact fees must satisfy; requiring that, under certain circumstances, a holder of certain impact fee or mobility fee credits receive the full value of the credits as of the date they were first established based on the impact fee or mobility fee rate that was in effect on such date; providing that the government, in certain actions, has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the

418

419

420

421

422 423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445



evidence that the imposition or amount of impact fees or required dollar-for-dollar credits for the payment of impact fees meets certain requirements; prohibiting the court from using a deferential standard for the benefit of the government; providing applicability; authorizing a county, municipality, or special district to provide an exception or waiver for an impact fee for the development or construction of housing that is affordable; providing that if a county, municipality, or special district provides such an exception or waiver, it is not required to use any revenues to offset the impact; amending s. 166.033, F.S.; requiring that a municipality review the application for completeness and issue a certain letter within a specified period after receiving an application for approval of a development permit or development order; providing procedures for addressing deficiencies in, and for approving or denying, the application; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; defining the term "development order"; amending s. 166.04151, F.S.; authorizing an inclusionary housing ordinance to require a developer to provide certain affordable housing units to be included in a development or allow a developer to contribute to a housing fund or other alternatives; requiring a county to provide certain incentives to fully offset all costs to the developer of its affordable housing contribution; amending s. 494.001, F.S.; revising the definition of the term "mortgage



loan"; providing an effective date. 446