

**The Florida Senate**  
**BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT**

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

---

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Committee on Judiciary

---

BILL: SB 1764

INTRODUCER: Senator Baxley

SUBJECT: Fees/Foreign Language Court Reporter/Fingerprint Processing

DATE: March 29, 2019

REVISED: \_\_\_\_\_

	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
1.	Cibula	Cibula	JU	<b>Favorable</b>
2.	_____	_____	ACJ	_____
3.	_____	_____	AP	_____

---

**I. Summary:**

SB 1764 requires applicants for certification as a foreign language court interpreter or certification as a mediator to pay the fees associated with fingerprint processing for the security background investigation required as part of the certification process in SB 656, a linked bill.

The Florida Constitution requires that legislation imposing or authorizing new state taxes or fees<sup>1</sup> and legislation that raises existing state taxes or fees<sup>2</sup> be passed by a two-thirds vote of the membership of each house of the Legislature, and the tax or fee provisions must be passed in a separate bill.<sup>3</sup> This bill requires applicants seeking certification as a foreign language court interpreter or certification as a mediator to pay an additional fee of an unspecified amount. As such, the Florida Constitution may require that the fees be passed in a separate bill approved by a two-thirds vote of the membership of each house of the Legislature.

The bill takes effect on the same date that SB 656, or other similar legislation, takes effect if the legislation is passed during the 2019 Legislative Session or an extension of the session.

---

<sup>1</sup> FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 19(a).

<sup>2</sup> FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 19(b).

<sup>3</sup> FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 19(e).

## II. Present Situation:

### Mediators and Foreign Language Court Interpreters

In 1988, the Florida Supreme Court was tasked with the responsibility of establishing minimum standards for qualifications, professional conduct, and training for court mediators<sup>4,5</sup> and arbitrators. Before a mediator could be appointed to serve in a circuit, he or she was required to be certified by the chief judge in accordance with the Supreme Court standards.<sup>6</sup>

Similarly, in 2006, the Florida Supreme Court was given the responsibility of establishing minimum standards and procedures to qualify, certify, discipline, and train foreign language interpreters who are appointed by a court.<sup>7,8</sup>

### The Authority of the Court Interpreter Certification and Regulation Program/Board and the Florida Dispute Resolution Center

The Supreme Court, with the assistance of the Office of the State Courts Administrator (OSCA), established two boards to oversee the responsibilities required of them by statute. The Florida Dispute Resolution Center (DRC) was established to assess the qualifications of mediators and the Court Interpreter Certification and Regulation Program/Board was established to determine the qualifications of foreign language interpreters. As part of their responsibilities, OSCA conducted background checks to determine the suitability of applicants. According to OSCA, as early as 2007, both groups conducted nationwide criminal history background checks, which required the submission of fingerprints through the Florida Department of Law Enforcement (FDLE) to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI).<sup>9</sup>

In 2017, FDLE conducted records compliance and technical audits to determine whether state entities possessed the appropriate authority to access national criminal justice information.<sup>10</sup> Pursuant to s. 943.053(2), F.S., FDLE is prohibited from disseminating criminal justice information that is not in compliance with federal and state laws, regulations, and rules. FDLE determined that OSCA did not have sufficient statutory authority to request national criminal

---

<sup>4</sup> A mediator is a neutral and impartial person who tries to help opposing parties reach a solution to their conflict. BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY (10th ed. 2014).

<sup>5</sup> Generally, in order to become a certified mediator someone must be at least 21 years old, of good moral character, and earn a designated number of points for training, education, and mentorship. Training and education requirements vary depending on whether someone seeks to become a county court, family, circuit court, dependency, or appellate mediator. Fla. R. Cert. & Ct.-Apptd. Mediators 10.100(a).

<sup>6</sup> Ch. 87-133, s. 6, Laws of Florida.

<sup>7</sup> Ch. 2006-253, s. 1, Laws of Florida.

<sup>8</sup> To become certified, a court interpreter must be of good moral character, pass a background check, complete courtroom observation requirements, and pass a written and oral exam demonstrating language proficiency. Florida Courts, *Court Interpreter Certification and Regulation Program, Application for Court Interpreter Registration Renewal* (Effective July, 18, 2018), <https://www.flcourts.org/content/download/402733/3454022/application-for-court-interpreter-registration-renewal.pdf>; Florida Courts, *Court Interpreter Certification and Regulation Program, Steps to Court Interpreter Certification* <https://www.flcourts.org/content/download/217092/1968498/FINAL-Certification-Process-Flow-Chart.pdf>.

<sup>9</sup> Office of the State Courts Administrator, *Judicial Branch 2019 Legislative Agenda*, 19-20, (Jan. 14, 2019) (on file with the Senate Committee on Judiciary).

<sup>10</sup> *Id.*

history checks for a regulatory purpose.<sup>11</sup> FDLE determined that OSCA had the authority to perform background checks as a criminal justice agency on its employees, but it did not have the authority to perform criminal history background checks on people who were not employees, such as mediators and court interpreters. Because OSCA lacked the authority to have FDLE access the national criminal history background information in the FBI databases, it was determined that OSCA was limited to accessing the results of Florida background information.

Because FDLE contends that there is no current statutory authority to provide for national criminal background screenings on foreign language court interpreters and mediators, OSCA is of the opinion that this situation would be remedied by crafting a statute that provides the express authority and complies with the requirements of federal law.<sup>12</sup>

### **FBI Requirements for Conducting a Criminal Record Check for a Noncriminal Justice Licensing or Employment Purpose**

The FBI derives its authority to conduct a *criminal* record check for a *noncriminal* justice licensing or employment purpose from Public Law 92-544. Under that law, the FBI is authorized to exchange identification records with state and local government officials for licensing and employment purposes when authorized by a state statute. The statute must be approved by the U.S. Attorney General.<sup>13</sup> The standards that the FBI relies upon in approving state authorizations have been developed through a number of memoranda issued by the Office of Legal Counsel in the Department of Justice.<sup>14</sup>

An authorization consistent with the standards must:

- Be the result of a legislative enactment or its functional equivalent;
- Require fingerprinting of applicants for a license or employment;
- Expressly or by implication authorize the use of FBI records for screening applicants;
- Not be against public policy; and
- Identify the specific category of applicants or licenses to prevent the authorization from being overly broad in scope.<sup>15</sup>

Additionally, the state must designate a government agency that is authorized and will be responsible for receiving the results of the record check and screen those results to determine whether the applicant is suitable for employing or licensing.<sup>16</sup>

If OSCA receives the requisite statutory authority to conduct criminal history checks for a regulatory purpose, it will be in compliance with federal law.

---

<sup>11</sup> *Id.* OSCA's position, as stated in the *Judicial Branch 2019 Legislative Agenda*, is that the Department of Justice changed its policy on what constituted the proper authority to conduct national background checks, and this change has necessitated this bill.

<sup>12</sup> *Id.*

<sup>13</sup> The Department of Justice has determined that Attorney General's authority to approve the state "statute is delegated to the FBI by Title 28, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 0.85(j)." U.S. Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Criminal Justice Information Services Division, Identification Services, *Appendix B: Criminal Justice Information (CJIS) Information Letter 95-3, 5* (July 17, 1995), <https://www.ojjdp.gov/pubs/guidelines/appen-b2.html>.

<sup>14</sup> *Id.*

<sup>15</sup> *Id.*

<sup>16</sup> *Id.*

## **Level 1 and Level 2 Screening Standards**

Chapter 435, F.S., establishes two levels of background screenings that employees must undergo as a condition of employment. Level 1 is the more basic screening and involves an in-state name-based background check, employment history check, statewide criminal correspondence check through FDLE, a sex offender registry check, local criminal records check, and a domestic violence check.<sup>17</sup> Level 2 screenings are more thorough because they apply to positions of responsibility or trust, often with more vulnerable people, such as children, the elderly, or the disabled. Level 2 screenings require a security background investigation that includes fingerprint-based searches for statewide criminal history records through FDLE and a national criminal history records check through the Federal Bureau of Investigation. It may also include local criminal records checks. A level 2 screening disqualifies a person from employment if the person has a conviction or unresolved arrest for any one of more than 50 criminal offenses.<sup>18</sup>

### **III. Effect of Proposed Changes:**

This bill requires applicants for certification as a foreign language court interpreter or certification as a mediator to pay the fees associated with fingerprint processing for the security background investigations required as part of the certification process in SB 656, a linked bill.

The bill takes effect on the same date that SB 656, or other similar legislation, takes effect if the legislation is passed during the 2019 Legislative Session or an extension of the session.

### **IV. Constitutional Issues:**

#### **A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:**

None.

#### **B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:**

None.

#### **C. Trust Funds Restrictions:**

None.

#### **D. State Tax or Fee Increases:**

Article VII, s. 19 of the Florida Constitution requires that a new state tax or fee, as well as an increased state tax or fee, be approved by two-thirds of the membership of each house of the Legislature and be contained in a separate bill that contains no other subject. Article VII, s. 19(d)(1), of the Florida Constitution defines “fee” to mean “any charge or payment required by law, including any fee for service, fee or cost for licenses, and charge for service.”

---

<sup>17</sup> Section 435.03, F.S.

<sup>18</sup> Section 435.04, F.S.

This bill requires applicants seeking certification as a foreign language court interpreter or certification as a mediator to pay an additional fee of an unspecified amount. As such, the Florida Constitution may require that the fees be passed in a separate bill approved by a two-thirds vote of the membership of each house of the Legislature.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None identified.

**V. Fiscal Impact Statement:**

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

Those seeking certification as a foreign language court interpreter or as a mediator will bear the costs associated with security background investigations.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The Office of the State Courts Administrator does not anticipate a meaningful increase in judicial workload because court staff are already performing some background screening of applicants for certification as court interpreters and mediators.<sup>19</sup>

**VI. Technical Deficiencies:**

None.

**VII. Related Issues:**

None.

**VIII. Statutes Affected:**

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 25.386 and 44.106.

**IX. Additional Information:**

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

---

<sup>19</sup> Office of the State Courts Administrator, *Judicial Impact Statement for SB 656* (Feb. 28, 2019).

B. Amendments:

None.

---

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

---