

The Florida Senate
BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Committee on Agriculture

BILL: SPB 7064

INTRODUCER: For consideration by the Agriculture Committee

SUBJECT: Fracking

DATE: March 1, 2019

REVISED: _____

ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
1. <u>Becker</u>	<u>Becker</u>		Pre-meeting

I. Summary:

SPB 7064 amends s. 377.06, F.S., to define the term “fracking” as all stages of a well intervention performed by injecting large volumes of fluids at a high rate into a rock formation at pressures that exceed the fracture gradient of the rock formation in order to propagate hydraulic fractures. It specifies that this does not include other well intervention techniques, including conventional well stimulation or conventional workover procedures; techniques used for routine well work, well maintenance, or removal of formation damage due to drilling or production; or conventional acidizing techniques used to enhance, maintain, or restore the natural permeability of the formation.

The bill creates s. 377.2405, F.S., which states that fracking is prohibited in this state and that a permit for drilling or operating a well does not authorize fracking.

II. Present Situation:

Production of Conventional Versus Unconventional Oil and Gas Resources: The Use of Well Stimulation Techniques

Conventional oil and gas resources are found in permeable sandstone and carbonate reservoirs.¹ Wells have historically been drilled vertically, straight down into a rock formation to extract conventional resources. Whereas conventional resources are found in concentrated underground locations, unconventional resources are highly dispersed through impermeable or “tight” rock

¹ Michael Ratner & Mary Tiemann, Cong. Research Serv., R 43148, *An Overview of Unconventional Oil and Natural Gas: Resources and Federal Actions*, 2 (Apr. 22, 2015), available at <https://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/R43148.pdf> (last visited Jan. 28, 2019).

formations, such as shales and tight sands.² To extract unconventional resources, drilling has generally shifted from vertical to horizontal.³

Well stimulation techniques are used in the production of both conventional and unconventional resources. The techniques can be focused solely on the wellbore (drilled hole) for maintenance and remedial purposes or can be used to increase production from the reservoir.⁴ The relatively recent development of horizontal or directional drilling in conjunction with the expanded use of well stimulation techniques has increased the production at oil or gas wells and has led to the profitable extraction of unconventional resources.⁵ The three main well stimulation techniques are hydraulic fracturing, acid fracturing, and matrix acidizing.⁶ Hydraulic fracturing and acid fracturing are commonly referred to as “fracking.”

Hydraulic Fracturing

Hydraulic fracturing was developed in the 1940s to increase the production of conventional oil and gas resources.⁷ While the technique is not new, the composition of the fracturing fluids used in the process has evolved over time. Initially the fracturing fluids were oil-based and relied on a mixture of petroleum compounds, such as napalm and diesel fuels.⁸ Modern hydraulic fracturing involves a fracturing fluid that is composed of a base fluid, in most cases water; additives, each designed to serve a particular function; and a proppant (such as sand), which holds the fractures open during or following the treatment.⁹ The composition of the fracturing fluid varies depending on the permeability and brittleness of the reservoir rock.¹⁰ A hydraulic fracturing operation at a horizontal well involves a four-step process. The first step is the “stage,” during which a portion of the well is isolated to focus the fracture fluid pressure. The second is the “pad,” during which fracture fluid is injected, first without proppant, to initiate and propagate the fracture in the rock formation. The proppant is then added to keep the fractures open. The third stage is the “flush,” during which fluid is injected without proppant to push any remaining proppant into the fractures. The fourth stage is the “flowback,” during which the hydraulic fracturing fluids are removed and the fluid pressure dissipates.¹¹

² *Id.*

³ U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA), *Hydraulically fractured horizontal wells account for most new oil and natural gas wells* (Jan. 30, 2018), <https://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.php?id=34732> (last visited Jan. 28, 2019).

⁴ California Council on Science and Technology Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, *An Independent Assessment of Well Stimulation in California, vol. 1, Well stimulation technologies and their past, present, and potential future use in California*, 13–14 (January 2015) [hereinafter *CA Study*], available at <https://ccst.us/wp-content/uploads/160708-sb4-vol-I.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

⁵ *Id.* at 2.

⁶ *Id.* at 28.

⁷ Gallegos, T.J., and Varela, B.A., United States Geological Survey, *Trends in Hydraulic Fracturing Distributions and Treatment Fluids, Additives, Proppants, and Water Volumes Applied to Wells Drilled in the United States from 1947 through 2010—Data Analysis and Comparison to the Literature*, Scientific Investigations Report 2014–5131, 1 (2015), available at <http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2014/5131/pdf/sir2014-5131.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

⁸ *Id.* at 7.

⁹ *Id.* at 1, 10–11, 303.

¹⁰ *CA Study*, at 48, available at <https://ccst.us/wp-content/uploads/160708-sb4-vol-I.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

¹¹ *Id.* at 42, 300. Flowback is defined as “fracturing fluid, perhaps mixed with formation water and traces of hydrocarbon, that flows back to the surface after the completion of hydraulic fracturing.”

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) estimates that 25,000-30,000 new wells were drilled and hydraulically fractured annually in the United States between 2011 and 2014.¹² In 2016, hydraulically fractured horizontal wells accounted for 69% of all oil and natural gas wells drilled in the U.S.¹³ The combination of horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing has contributed to increases in crude oil and natural gas production in the U.S.¹⁴

Acid Fracturing

Well stimulation techniques that use acid-based formulas are sometimes preferred in carbonate reservoirs.¹⁵ Acid fracturing is a well stimulation technique that uses acidic fluids. It can be an effective method for stimulating limestone formations.¹⁶ Well operators pump the acidic fluids into a well at a pressure that exceeds the fracture gradient and, thus, fractures the rock. The acid etches the walls of the fractures and eliminates the need to use a proppant because the fractures remain open after pressure is released.¹⁷ The produced fluids have a much lower acid content than the injected fluids because most of the acid that is injected is neutralized through a reaction with the rock.¹⁸ As compared to hydraulic fracturing, acid fracturing is generally more successful in carbonate reservoirs because of the relatively high degree of natural fractures present.¹⁹

The purpose of an acid fracturing treatment is to create new or open existing fractures, and dissolve formation material, to create an irregular fracture surface that opens up new flow paths or enhances existing flow paths into the wellbore.²⁰ As compared to hydraulic fracturing, acid fracturing results in fractures that are relatively short in length.²¹ One of the main factors that adversely affects acid fracture growth is fluid loss, or acid “leakoff.” Acid leakoff can result in the enlargement of channels and natural fractures and can greatly increase the area from which fluid loss occurs, making fluid-loss control difficult and preventing acid from reaching untreated parts of the fracture.²²

¹² U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), *Hydraulic Fracturing for Oil and Gas: Impacts from the Hydraulic Fracturing Water Cycle on Drinking Water Resources in the United States*, 3-1 (Dec. 2016) [hereinafter *EPA Study*], available at <https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/hfstudy/recordisplay.cfm?deid=332990> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

¹³ U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA), *Hydraulically fractured horizontal wells account for most new oil and natural gas wells* (Jan. 30, 2019), <https://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.php?id=34732> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

¹⁴ *Id.*

¹⁵ *CA Study*, at 56, available at <https://ccst.us/wp-content/uploads/160708-sb4-vol-I.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

¹⁶ *Id.* at 25; see generally Bing Hou, Ruxin Zhang, Mian Chen, Jiawie Kao, and Xin Liu, *Investigation on Acid Fracturing Treatment in Limestone Formation Based on True Tri-Axial Experiment*, 235 *Fuel* 473-484 (2019), available at <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0016236118314273?via%3Dihub#bi005> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

¹⁷ *CA Study*, at 28.

¹⁸ *Id.* at 14.

¹⁹ *Id.* at 56.

²⁰ American Petroleum Institute, *Acidizing: Treatment in Oil and Gas Operations*, 1–3 (2014), available at <http://www.api.org/~media/files/oil-and-natural-gas/hydraulic-fracturing/acidizing-oil-natural-gas-briefing-paper-v2.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

²¹ *CA Study*, at 56, available at <https://ccst.us/wp-content/uploads/160708-sb4-vol-I.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

²² Middle East & Asia Reservoir Review, vol. 4, *Stimulate the Flow*, 46 (Jan. 2003), available at https://www.slb.com/resources/publications/industry_articles/mearr/num4_stimulate_flow.aspx (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

Matrix Acidizing

Well operators have been using matrix acidizing for over 100 years.²³ Drilling and production in oil and gas operations cause damage to the rock formation.²⁴ Formation damage can include the plugging of perforations or the plugging of the rock matrix by debris from the well and well operations, which restrict the flow of hydrocarbons into the wellbore.²⁵ Matrix acidizing is performed by pumping acidic fluids into a well at a pressure that does not exceed the fracture gradient.²⁶ Acidizing is often used for well maintenance and to remediate damage caused by well operation and drilling.²⁷ Operators use acid, which is very effective at dissolving carbonate minerals, to bypass formation damage around the well.²⁸ Most of this acid is neutralized due to reactions with the rock.²⁹ Additionally, various acids are used to clean residential water wells to loosen or dissolve debris so that it can be pumped out of the well.³⁰

If larger volumes of acid are injected into carbonate formations, matrix acidizing can be used to increase the permeability of the formation beyond the zone impacted by drilling or production activities.³¹ Matrix acidizing can result in stimulation of carbonate reservoir permeability beyond the region near the well.³² This technique is not commonly used for stimulation in unconventional reservoirs because it does not increase recovery enough in low permeability reservoirs to make production viable.³³ The penetration into the formation caused by matrix acidizing is typically less extensive than after use of a fracturing technique.³⁴ However, in carbonate reservoirs, matrix acidizing can create deeply penetrating channels, known as wormholes, and lead to deeper acid penetration into more permeable fractures of a naturally fractured reservoir.³⁵ Hydrochloric acid is commonly used for matrix acidizing in carbonate reservoirs.³⁶ To minimize the probability of acid entering into highly permeable sections of the formation, which could create channels into water-producing zones, careful treatment, design, and execution is required when performing a matrix acidizing treatment.³⁷

Production of Oil and Gas Resources in Florida

Northwest and South Florida are the major oil and gas producing areas in the state. Florida's first producing oil well was discovered in 1943 at a wellsite located near present-day Big Cypress Preserve.³⁸ Oil and gas resources were first discovered in Northwest Florida in 1970, in the town

²³ *CA Study*, at 69, available at <https://ccst.us/wp-content/uploads/160708-sb4-vol-I.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

²⁴ Middle East & Asia Reservoir Review, vol. 4, *Stimulate the Flow*, 42 (Jan. 2003).

²⁵ *Id.*

²⁶ *CA Study*, at 69, available at <https://ccst.us/wp-content/uploads/160708-sb4-vol-I.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

²⁷ *Id.* at 14.

²⁸ *Id.* at 69.

²⁹ *Id.* at 14.

³⁰ The Groundwater Association, *How Well Systems Are Cleaned*, <http://wellowner.org/water-well-maintenance/residential-well-cleaning/> (last visited Jan. 29, 2019).

³¹ *CA Study*, at 14, available at <https://ccst.us/wp-content/uploads/160708-sb4-vol-I.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

³² *Id.* at 28.

³³ *Id.* at 14, 69.

³⁴ *Id.* at 30.

³⁵ *Id.*

³⁶ Middle East & Asia Reservoir Review, vol. 4, *Stimulate the Flow*, 42 (Jan. 2003).

³⁷ *Id.* at 44.

³⁸ American Oil & Gas Historical Society, *First Florida Oil Well*, <http://aoghs.org/states/first-florida-oil-well/> (last visited Jan. 29, 2019).

of Jay.³⁹ Annual production of petroleum from these two regions peaked at more than 47 million barrels in 1978, but has subsequently decreased substantially, with annual statewide production dropping to less than 2 million barrels by 2017.⁴⁰ Florida's natural gas production also peaked in the 1970s, and by 2009 statewide natural gas production had fallen to less than 1% of its 1978 record high.⁴¹ There are currently two active oil and gas fields in Northwest Florida, and seven active oil and gas fields in South Florida.⁴² While geologists believe that there may be oil and natural gas deposits off Florida's western coast, the state enacted a drilling ban for state waters in 1990 and, in 2006, Congress banned the leasing of federal offshore blocks within 125 miles of Florida's western coast until at least 2022.⁴³ Additionally, federal law gives priority use of much of the area to the military for training.⁴⁴ In 2018, the Florida constitution was amended to prohibit drilling for exploration or extraction of oil or natural gas on lands "beneath all state waters which have not been alienated and that lie between the mean high water line and the outermost boundaries of the state's territorial seas."⁴⁵

In 2018, there were 57 active producer wells in Florida.⁴⁶ The Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) 2018 Annual Production Report totaled natural gas production at 810,445 million cubic feet and oil production at 604,370 barrels in the state.⁴⁷ Proven oil and gas reserves in Northwest and South Florida are composed of carbonate formations (limestone and dolomite reservoirs), which have naturally higher permeability than the tighter shale or similar formations.⁴⁸ Rather than hydraulic fracturing, well operators in the state have generally preferred washing or flushing the formations, or other alternative methods, to open carbonate pathways and enhance recovery of oil and gas resources.⁴⁹

Regulation of Well Stimulation Techniques

Federal Regulation

There is limited direct federal regulation over oil and gas activities. In 2005, Congress passed the Energy Policy Act amending, in part, the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) and the Clean Water Act (CWA).⁵⁰ The SDWA was amended to revise the definition of the term "underground injection" to specifically exclude the underground injection of fluids or propping agents (other than diesel fuels) pursuant to hydraulic fracturing operations.⁵¹ The CWA contains exemptions

³⁹ Lloyd, Jacqueline M., *Information Circular 107, Part I: 1988 and 1989 Florida Petroleum Production and Exploration*, 1 (1991), available at <http://ufdc.ufl.edu/UF00001168/00001/pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

⁴⁰ EIA, Florida, *Profile Analysis: Petroleum*, <http://www.eia.gov/state/analysis.php?sid=FL> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

⁴¹ *Id.*

⁴² DEP, *State Production Data* (2018), available at <https://floridadep.gov/water/oil-gas/documents/state-production-data> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

⁴³ EIA, Florida, *Profile Analysis: Petroleum*, <http://www.eia.gov/state/analysis.php?sid=FL> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019); see Pub. L. No. 109-432, s. 104(a)(2), 120 Stat. 3003 (2006); see s. 377.242(1), F.S.

⁴⁴ EIA, Florida, *Profile Analysis: Petroleum*, <http://www.eia.gov/state/analysis.php?sid=FL> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

⁴⁵ FLA CONST. art. II, s. 7.

⁴⁶ DEP, *State Production Data* (2018), available at <https://floridadep.gov/water/oil-gas/documents/state-production-data> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

⁴⁷ *Id.*

⁴⁸ DEP, *Hydraulic Fracturing Background and Recommendations*, 1–3 (Sept. 29, 2011) available at http://news.caloosahatchee.org/docs/Dep_Fracturing_Response_130118.pdf (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

⁴⁹ *Id.* at 3.

⁵⁰ Energy Policy Act of 2005, H.R. 6, 109th Cong. (2005-2006).

⁵¹ See 42U.S.C. s. 300h(d) (2012).

from stormwater permitting requirements for oil and gas exploration production, processing, or treatment operations or transmission facilities.⁵² Although the 2005 Energy Policy Act broadened the exemptions to include “construction activities” in the definition of oil and gas exploration and production, any flows from oil and gas operations which are contaminated or come into contact with overburden, raw material, intermediate products, finished product, byproduct, or waste products remain regulated under the CWA.⁵³

In March of 2015, in an attempt to regulate hydraulic fracturing on federal and tribal lands, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) published final rules governing hydraulic fracturing.⁵⁴ The rules were to take effect on June 24, 2015. However, the United States District Court for the District of Wyoming granted a preliminary injunction and the rule was stayed.⁵⁵ In June of 2016, the court held that the BLM lacked authority to regulate hydraulic fracturing and set aside the final rules.⁵⁶ The court’s ruling was appealed to the United States Court of Appeals Tenth Circuit, which dismissed the appeal and remanded with directions to vacate the district court’s opinion and dismiss the action without prejudice in light of the Bureau of Land Management’s decision to rescind the final rules.⁵⁷

While direct regulation over well stimulation techniques at the federal level is limited, there are several federal statutes that regulate the indirect impacts of oil and gas extraction. The EPA’s Oil and Gas Extraction Effluent Guidelines and Standards regulate wastewater discharges from field exploration, drilling, production, well treatment, and well completion activities.⁵⁸ The regulations apply to conventional and unconventional extraction, with the exception of extractions of coalbed methane.⁵⁹ These standards are incorporated into the CWA’s NPDES regulatory framework.⁶⁰

⁵² 33 U.S.C. s 1342 (1)(2) (2012).

⁵³ 33 U.S.C. s. 1362(24) (2012); *NRDC v. U.S. EPA*, 526 F.3d 591, 599, 608 (9th Cir. 2008)(vacating an EPA rule implementing the 2005 amendment); William J. Brady, *Hydraulic Fracturing Regulation in the United States: The Laissez-faire Approach of the Federal Government and Varying State Regulations*, 7–8 (2012), available at <http://www.law.du.edu/documents/faculty-highlights/Intersol-2012-HydroFracking.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019). Oil and gas construction facilities remain subject to the CWA’s permitting requirements for stormwater, and for discharging a pollutant into navigable waters, when applicable.

⁵⁴ *Oil and Gas; Hydraulic Fracturing on Federal and Indian Lands*, 80 Fed. Reg. 16,128-16,222 (Mar. 26, 2015). Under the final BLM regulations, the term “hydraulic fracturing” is defined as “those operations conducted in an individual wellbore designed to increase the flow of hydrocarbons from the rock formation to the wellbore through modifying the permeability of reservoir rock by applying fluids under pressure to fracture it. Hydraulic fracturing does not include enhanced secondary recovery such as water flooding, tertiary recovery, recovery through steam injection, or other types of well stimulation operations such as acidizing.”

⁵⁵ *State of Wyo. vs. U.S. Dept. of the Int.*, No. 2: 15-CB-043-SWS (D. Wyo. Sept. 30, 2015) (granting a preliminary injunction), available at <http://www.wyd.uscourts.gov/pdfforms/orders/15-cv-043%20130%20order.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

⁵⁶ *State of Wyo. vs. U.S. Dept. of the Int.*, No. 2: 15-CV-043-SWS (D. Wyo. June 21, 2016), available at <http://www.wyd.uscourts.gov/pdfforms/orders/15-cv-043-S%20Order.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

⁵⁷ *State of Wyo. vs. U.S. Dept. of the Int.*, No. 16-8068 (10th Cir. Sept. 21, 2017), available at <https://www.ca10.uscourts.gov/opinions/16/16-8068.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

⁵⁸ EPA, *Oil and Gas Extraction Effluent Guidelines, Rule Summary*, available at <http://www.epa.gov/eg/oil-and-gas-extraction-effluent-guidelines> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

⁵⁹ *Id.*

⁶⁰ *Id.*

Because oil and gas activities may result in the release of hazardous substances into the environment at or under the surface in a manner that may endanger public health or the environment, these activities are regulated under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA).⁶¹ While recovered petroleum or natural gas is exempt from the act, other hazardous substances that result from oil or gas production, such as fracturing fluids, are subject to CERCLA.⁶² If a release of such fluids occurs, the facility owner and operator could face liability under CERCLA.⁶³

To ensure that employees who may be exposed to hazardous chemicals in the workplace are aware of the chemicals' potential dangers, manufacturers and importers must obtain or develop Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) for hydraulic fracturing chemicals that are hazardous according to the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) standards.⁶⁴ MSDS must be maintained for hazardous chemicals at each job site and must, at a minimum, include the chemical names of substances that are considered hazardous under the OSHA regulations.⁶⁵

Regulation in Other States

States have primary jurisdiction and authority over the regulation of oil and gas activities. Almost all states with economically viable production wells have extensive regulatory programs in place for permitting and monitoring oil and gas activities. Recent advances in technology and the widespread use of well stimulation techniques, particularly hydraulic fracturing, have motivated some states to update and revise their oil and gas regulations to specifically address such techniques or to ban certain techniques altogether.⁶⁶

Vermont, New York, and Maryland prohibit hydraulic fracturing. In 2012, Vermont banned the practice of hydraulic fracturing.⁶⁷ In 2015, New York's Department of Environmental Conservation found that there were "no feasible or prudent alternatives [other than a ban which] would adequately avoid or minimize adverse environmental impacts and that address the scientific uncertainties and risks to public health from [high-volume hydraulic fracturing]."⁶⁸ The Findings Statement effectively banned high-volume hydraulic fracturing in the state of New

⁶¹ 42 U.S.C. ss. 9601-9675 (2012); Adam Vann, Brandon J. Murrill, & Mary Tiemann, Cong. Research Serv., R 43152, *Hydraulic Fracturing: Selected Legal Issues*, 12 (Sept. 26, 2014), available at <https://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/R43152.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

⁶² Adam Vann, Brandon J. Murrill, & Mary Tiemann, Cong. Research Serv., R 43152, *Hydraulic Fracturing: Selected Legal Issues*, 12–13 (Sept. 26, 2014).

⁶³ *Id.* at 13.

⁶⁴ *Id.* at 22.

⁶⁵ *Id.*

⁶⁶ See Hannah Wiseman, *Untested Waters: The Rise of Hydraulic Fracturing in Oil and Gas Production and the Need to Revisit Regulation*, 20 FORDHAM ENVTL. L. REV. 115 (2009); see also *State of Wyo. vs. U.S. Dept. of the Int.*, No. 2: 15-CB-043-SWS at 40 (D. Wyo. Sept. 30, 2015) (showing a list of states with regulations that address hydraulic fracturing).

⁶⁷ 29 V.S.A. § 571; 29 V.S.A. § 503(30). The statute defines the term "hydraulic fracturing" as "the process of pumping a fluid into or under the surface of the ground in order to create fractures in rock for the purpose of the production or recovery of oil or gas."

⁶⁸ New York Department of Environmental Conservation, *Final Supplemental Generic Environmental Impact Statement on the Oil, Gas, and Solution Mining Regulatory Program: Regulatory Program for Horizontal Drilling and High-Volume Hydraulic Fracturing to Develop the Marcellus Shale and Other Low-Permeability Gas Reservoirs, Findings Statement*, 42 (June 2015), available at http://www.dec.ny.gov/docs/materials_minerals_pdf/findingstatehvhf62015.pdf (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

York.⁶⁹ In 2017, Maryland prohibited hydraulic fracturing for the exploration or production of oil or natural gas.⁷⁰

Regulation in Florida

In Florida, DEP has regulatory authority over oil and gas resources. The Division of Water Resource Management (division) within DEP oversees the permitting process for drilling production and exploration. DEP has adopted rules to implement and enforce the regulation of oil and gas resources.⁷¹ The division has jurisdiction and authority over all persons and property necessary to administer and enforce all laws relating to the conservation of oil and gas.⁷² Local government approval is required for drilling in tidal waters, near improved beaches, and within municipal boundaries.⁷³

When issuing permits for oil and gas exploration or extraction, the division is required to consider the nature, character, and location of the lands involved; the nature, type, and extent of ownership of the applicant; and the proven or indicated likelihood of the presence of oil, gas, or related minerals on a commercially viable basis.⁷⁴ DEP is required to issue orders and adopt rules that ensure all precautions are taken to prevent the spillage of oil or any other pollutant in all phases of drilling for and extracting oil, gas, or other petroleum products.⁷⁵ The purposes of such rules and orders include preventing the pollution of fresh, salt, or brackish waters or lands of the state, and preventing the escape of oil or other petroleum products from one stratum to another.⁷⁶

Before any person begins work other than environmental assessments or surveying at the site of a proposed drilling operation, a permit to drill is required and a preliminary site inspection must be conducted by DEP.⁷⁷ In oil and gas wells, the “casing” is a hollow steel pipe used to line the inside of the wellbore, and the casing is usually surrounded by a cement sheath.⁷⁸ An application to DEP for a permit to drill must include a proposed casing and cementing program and a location plat survey.⁷⁹ The regulations require the operator to case and cement wells in order to maintain well control and prevent degradation of other natural resources, including water.⁸⁰ Each

⁶⁹ See *id.* at 41. The Findings Statement defined the term “high-volume hydraulic fracturing” as “the stimulation of a well using 300,000 or more gallons of water as the base fluid for hydraulic fracturing for all stages in a well completion, regardless of whether the well is vertical or directional, including horizontal.”

⁷⁰ Maryland Code § 14-107.1 (2017). Under Maryland law, the term “hydraulic fracturing” is defined as “a stimulation treatment performed on oil and natural gas wells in low-permeability oil or natural gas reservoirs through which specially engineered fluids are pumped at high pressure and rate into the reservoir interval to be treated, causing fractures to open.”

⁷¹ Fla. Admin. Code, Chapters 62C-25–62C-30.

⁷² Section 377.21(1), F.S.

⁷³ Section 377.24, F.S.

⁷⁴ Section 377.241, F.S.

⁷⁵ Section 377.22(2), F.S.

⁷⁶ *Id.*

⁷⁷ Fla. Admin. Code R. 62C-26.003.

⁷⁸ FracFocus, *Well Construction & Groundwater Protection*, <https://fracfocus.org/hydraulic-fracturing-how-it-works/casing> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

⁷⁹ *Id.*

⁸⁰ Fla. Admin. Code R. 62C-27.005. The regulations specify standards for casing depth and pressure testing.

drilling permit is valid for one year from the date of approval.⁸¹ Before a permit is granted, the owner or operator is required to post a bond or other form of security for each well.⁸²

Before a well is used for its intended purpose, a permit to operate the well must be obtained.⁸³ Operating permits are valid for the life of the well, although each operating well and permit must be recertified every five years from the permit date.⁸⁴ Each application and subsequent recertification must include: the appropriate fee; bond or security coverage; a spill prevention and cleanup plan; flowline specifications and an installation plan; containment facility certification; and additional reporting and data submissions, such as driller's logs and monthly well reports.⁸⁵

A separate permit is not required for the performance of well stimulation techniques. Such techniques are regulated as workovers.⁸⁶ DEP regulations define the term "workover" as: "an operation involving a deepening, plug back, repair, cement squeeze, perforation, hydraulic fracturing, acidizing, or other chemical treatment which is performed in a production, disposal, or injection well in order to restore, sustain, or increase production, disposal, or injection rates."⁸⁷ An operator is required to notify DEP before commencing a workover procedure and must submit a revised well record to DEP within 30 days after the workover.⁸⁸

In December of 2013, DEP received a workover notice proposing use of an enhanced extraction procedure and requested that the company that submitted the notice not complete the procedure until DEP could conduct a review.⁸⁹ When the company commenced with the procedure, DEP issued a cease and desist order.⁹⁰ DEP fined the company \$25,000 for violating the cease and desist order.⁹¹ It was concluded that the workover performed on the well involved hydraulic fracturing.⁹²

A person that violates any statute, rule, regulation, order, or permit of the division relating to the regulation of oil or gas resources or who refuses inspection by the division is liable for damages caused to the air, waters, or property of the state; for the reasonable costs of tracing the source of

⁸¹ Fla. Admin. Code R. 62C-26.003.

⁸² Fla. Admin. Code R. 62C-26.002.

⁸³ Fla. Admin. Code R. 62C-26.008.

⁸⁴ *Id.*

⁸⁵ *Id.*

⁸⁶ *See, e.g., s. 377.22, F.S.* The division is required to adopt rules to "regulate the 'shooting,' perforating and chemical treatment of wells," and to "regulate secondary recovery methods, including the introduction of gas, air, water, or other substance into producing formations"; *see e.g., s. 377.26, F.S.* In regulating the vertical orientation of the well, the division is required to "take into account technological advances in drilling and production technology, including, but not limited to, horizontal well completions in the producing formation using directional drilling methods."

⁸⁷ Fla. Admin. Code R. 62C-25.002(61).

⁸⁸ Fla. Admin. Code R. 62C-29.006.

⁸⁹ *State of Florida Department of Environmental Protection vs. Dan A. Hughes Company, L.P.*, OGC File No. 14-0012, 2 (April 8, 2014), available at https://www.doah.state.fl.us/FLAID/DEP/2014/DEP_14-0012_05162014_014716.pdf (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

⁹⁰ *Id.*

⁹¹ *Id.*

⁹² ALL Consulting, LLC., *Expert Evaluation of the D.A. Hughes Collier-Hogan 20-3H, Well Drilling and Workover*, Prepared for Florida Department of Environmental Protection, 4 (2014), available at <https://assets.documentcloud.org/documents/1507525/allconsulting.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

the discharge and for controlling and abating the source and the pollutants; and for the costs of restoring the air, waters, and property.⁹³ Such persons are also subject to judicial imposition of a civil penalty of up to \$10,000 for each offense.⁹⁴ Each day during any portion of which a violation occurs constitutes a separate offense.⁹⁵

Local Regulation

While cities and counties do not operate oil and gas permitting programs in Florida, some, through their land use regulations or zoning ordinances, require special exceptions for oil and gas activities or limit oil and gas activities to certain zoning classifications.⁹⁶ When authorizing oil and gas activities, local governments consider factors such as consistency with their comprehensive plan, injuries to communities or the public welfare, and compliance with zoning ordinances.⁹⁷ DEP may not issue a permit for drilling within the corporate limits of a municipality unless the municipality first adopts a resolution approving the permit.⁹⁸ Six municipalities (Estero, Bonita Springs, Coconut Creek, Cape Coral, Dade, and Zephyrhills) and thirteen counties (Alachua, Bay, Brevard, Broward, Citrus, Indian River, Martin, Miami-Dade, Osceola, Pinellas, St. Lucie, Volusia, Wakulla, and Walton) have banned one or more forms of well stimulation techniques by ordinance.⁹⁹ Additionally, many other counties and cities have passed resolutions supporting various types of bans and moratoriums relating to well stimulation techniques.¹⁰⁰

Environmental Concerns

There are a variety of environmental concerns relating to well stimulation techniques. Potential impacts and concerns include: groundwater or surface water contamination; stress on water supplies; inadequate wastewater management and disposal; and air quality degradation.¹⁰¹ Because well stimulation techniques are applied to so many types of underground formations using a variety of methods and fluids, environmental impacts vary depending on factors such as the toxicity of the fluid used; the closeness of the fracture zone to underground drinking water;

⁹³ Section 377.37(1)(a), F.S.

⁹⁴ *Id.*

⁹⁵ *Id.*

⁹⁶ *See, e.g.*, Lee County's Land Development Code §§ 34-1651 and 34-145(c).

⁹⁷ *Id.*

⁹⁸ Section 377.24(5), F.S.

⁹⁹ Village of Estero, Ordinance No. 2015-19; Bonita Spring's Land Development Code, Chapter 4, Article VI, Division 15, Section 4-1380; Coconut Creek's Land Development Code, Article IV, Section 13-1000; City of Cape Coral, Ordinance §3.23; City of Dade, Ordinance No. 2016-08; City of Zephyrhills, Ordinance No. 1310-16; Alachua County's Code of Ordinances, §77.13.5; Bay County's Land Development Regulation, §311; Brevard County's Code of Ordinances, §46-375; Citrus County's Code of Ordinances, §66-133; Indian River County's Code of Ordinances, §317.03; Osceola County's Land Development Code, §4.12.3; Broward County's Code of Ordinances, §27-193; Martin County's Code of Ordinances, §67.441; Miami-Dade County's Code of Ordinances, §33-437; Pinellas County's Code of Ordinances, §58-489; St. Lucie County's Code of Ordinances, Policy 6.1.5.7; Volusia County's Code of Ordinances, §50-42; Wakulla County's Code of Ordinances, §6-34; Walton County's Code of Ordinances, §9-156.

¹⁰⁰ *See* Food & Water Watch, *Local Regulations Against Fracking*, <http://www.foodandwaterwatch.org/insight/local-resolutions-against-fracking#florida> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019). The page shows a list of local governments that passed resolutions against fracking.

¹⁰¹ EPA, *Unconventional Oil and Natural Gas Development, Providing Regulatory Clarity and Protections Against Known Risks*, <https://www.epa.gov/uog> (last visited Feb 28, 2018).

the existence of a barrier between the fracture formation and other formations; and how wastewater is disposed of.¹⁰²

Water Quality

The EPA estimated that of the approximately 275,000 wells that have been hydraulically fractured in 25 states between 2000 and 2013, an estimated 21,900, or 8%, were within one mile of at least one public water system groundwater well or surface water intake.¹⁰³ As a result of fracturing, sources of drinking water may be contaminated through the release of gas-phase hydrocarbons, in what is known as stray gas migration, if the well casing or cementing is too weak or if it fails.¹⁰⁴ The EPA concluded that the “injection of hydraulic fracturing fluids into wells with inadequate mechanical integrity [may allow for] gases or liquids to move to groundwater sources.”¹⁰⁵ While concerns related to inadequate well casing or cementing are not unique to hydraulic fracturing, horizontally drilled, hydraulically fractured wells pose more production challenges because the well casing is subject to greater pressures.¹⁰⁶

Mitigating measures, such as extending the casing farther below groundwater resources and pressure testing the well casing before the injection of fluids, may work to prevent well casing failures.¹⁰⁷ Blowout preventers also help control and prevent pressure build-ups.¹⁰⁸ Hydraulically fractured wells in shale formations are usually drilled deeper than vertical wells, which can lead to a greater vertical separation between the formation and the drinking water resource.¹⁰⁹ Thousands of feet of rock layers typically overlay the produced portion of shale and serve as a barrier to contamination.¹¹⁰ The majority of Florida’s public water supply is obtained from groundwater sources, such as the Floridan aquifer system.¹¹¹ Areas in which oil and gas have been extracted have an upper confining unit that is generally greater than 100 feet, which may serve as a barrier to contamination.¹¹²

Fractures created during hydraulic fracturing can intersect nearby wells or their fracture networks, resulting in the flow of fluids into those wells and to underground drinking water

¹⁰² Hannah Wiseman, *Untested Waters: The Rise of Hydraulic Fracturing in Oil and Gas Production and the Need to Revisit Regulation*, 20 FORDHAM ENVTL. L. REV. 115, 6 (2009).

¹⁰³ EPA Study, at 2-14, available at <https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/hfstudy/recordisplay.cfm?deid=332990> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

¹⁰⁴ Avner Vengosh, Robert B. Jackson, Nathaniel Warner, Thomas Darrah, & Andrew Kondash, *A Critical Review of the Risks to Water Resources from Unconventional Shale Gas Development and Hydraulic Fracturing in the United States*, American Chemical Society, 48 Env. Sci. & Technol. 8334-8348, 8336 (Mar. 2014), available at <http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/es405118y> (last visited February 28, 2018).

¹⁰⁵ EPA Study, at 10-3.

¹⁰⁶ Michael Ratner & Mary Tiemann, Cong. Research Serv., R 43148, *An Overview of Unconventional Oil and Natural Gas: Resources and Federal Actions*, 8 (Apr. 22, 2015).

¹⁰⁷ EPA Study, at 6-9, available at <https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/hfstudy/recordisplay.cfm?deid=332990> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

¹⁰⁸ Michael Ratner & Mary Tiemann, Cong. Research Serv., R 43148, *An Overview of Unconventional Oil and Natural Gas: Resources and Federal Actions*, 8 (Apr. 22, 2015), available at <https://fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/R43148.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

¹⁰⁹ *Id.* at 7.

¹¹⁰ *Id.* at 8.

¹¹¹ DEP, *Aquifers*, <https://fldep.dep.state.fl.us/swapp/Aquifer.asp> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

¹¹² U.S. Geological Survey, *Conceptual Model of the Floridan*, <http://fl.water.usgs.gov/floridan/conceptual-model.html> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

resources. These “frac-hits” are more likely to occur if wells are close to each other or are on the same well pad.¹¹³ According to an EPA report, the likelihood of a frac-hit is less than 10% in hydraulically fractured wells more than 4,000 feet apart, while the likelihood is nearly 50% in wells that are less than 1,000 feet apart.¹¹⁴ In Florida, horizontal wells and associated drilling units that are deeper than 7,000 feet have more stringent spacing requirements.¹¹⁵

Surface water contamination may occur because of the inadequate storage and disposal of produced water. Produced water is the water that comes back to the surface as part of the oil and gas production process, and has generally been found to contain salts, metals, organic compounds, radioactive materials, and hydraulic fracturing chemicals.¹¹⁶ For a hydraulically fractured well, the produced water includes the fracturing fluids, or flowback. While the chemicals used will vary by region or between wells, some chemicals used in hydraulic fracturing are toxic.¹¹⁷ It is estimated that approximately 10-40% of the volume of injected fracturing fluids return to the surface after hydraulic fracturing.¹¹⁸ In most produced waters, the concentrations of toxic elements, such as radioactive radium, are positively correlated with salinity, which suggests that many of the potential water quality issues associated with produced waters may be attributable to the geochemistry of the brines within the shale formations.¹¹⁹

As the use of hydraulic fracturing has increased, so has the volume of wastewater generated. Spills of produced water do occur and can result in large volumes or high concentrations of chemicals reaching groundwater sources.¹²⁰ The EPA has reported that spills generally occur at 1-10% of hydraulically fractured or active wells.¹²¹ In Florida, any spill of waste material relating to oil or gas wells must be immediately reported to the division and the appropriate federal agencies, and the owner or operator is responsible for the costs of cleanup or other damage incurred.¹²²

Water Supply

The amount of water used during the performance of a hydraulic fracturing treatment depends on factors such as the well depth, formation geology, and the composition of the fluids injected. In most cases, the large majority of the fracturing fluid is water, and each hydraulically fractured

¹¹³ EPA Study, at 6-71, available at <https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/hfstudy/recordisplay.cfm?deid=332990> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

¹¹⁴ *Id.* at 10-18.

¹¹⁵ Fla. Admin. Code R. 62C-26.004(5).

¹¹⁶ EPA Study, at ES-33, available at <https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/hfstudy/recordisplay.cfm?deid=332990> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

¹¹⁷ *Id.* at 9-1, 9-16; see FracFocus, *What Chemicals Are Used*, <https://fracfocus.org/chemical-use/what-chemicals-are-used> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

¹¹⁸ Avner Vengosh, Robert B. Jackson, Nathaniel Warner, Thomas Darrah, & Andrew Kondash, *A Critical Review of the Risks to Water Resources from Unconventional Shale Gas Development and Hydraulic Fracturing in the United States*, American Chemical Society, 48 Env. Sci. & Techol. 8334-8348, 8340 (2014).

¹¹⁹ *Id.*

¹²⁰ EPA Study, at ES-35, 10-3, available at <https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/hfstudy/recordisplay.cfm?deid=332990> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

¹²¹ *Id.* at 10-9.

¹²² Section 377.371, F.S.

well can require thousands to millions of gallons of water.¹²³ While the total water use for hydraulic fracturing is relatively low compared to other industrial uses of water, wells that are good candidates for such techniques are usually located near the same water source and, as a result, the collective impact of water withdrawals can be significant.¹²⁴ Some states have implemented pilot projects evaluating the feasibility of reusing produced waters or other brackish or wastewaters.¹²⁵ The reuse of wastewater, however, is often limited by the amount of wastewater that is available.¹²⁶ The volume of produced water from a single well can be relatively small compared to the volume of water needed to fracture a well.¹²⁷

Wastewater Management and Disposal

The majority of produced water is disposed of using injection wells.¹²⁸ Injection wells are permitted under the Underground Injection Control (UIC) program.¹²⁹ The goal of the UIC program is the effective isolation of injected fluids from underground sources of drinking water.¹³⁰ Class II injection wells are designed for injecting fluids associated with the production of oil and natural gas, or fluids used to enhance hydrocarbon recovery. While the injection of fracturing fluids, unless the fluid contains diesel, is exempt from the UIC program, the wastewater from oil and gas operations is not exempt.¹³¹ There are currently 22 permitted Class II UIC wells used for disposal in Florida.¹³²

Another issue that is developing with the increase in the number of injection wells is the concern that the deep-well disposal of oil and gas production wastewater is responsible for seismic activity in certain areas.¹³³ The Oklahoma Geological Survey determined that the primary suspected source of triggered seismicity is from the injection of produced water associated with oil and gas production in disposal wells.¹³⁴ The likelihood of potentially inducing seismic events differs between regions, based on factors such as geology and the wastewaters produced.¹³⁵

¹²³ EPA Study, at 4-3, 4-11, available at <https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/hfstudy/recordisplay.cfm?deid=332990> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

¹²⁴ Avner Vengosh, Robert B. Jackson, Nathaniel Warner, Thomas Darrah, & Andrew Kondash, *A Critical Review of the Risks to Water Resources from Unconventional Shale Gas Development and Hydraulic Fracturing in the United States*, American Chemical Society, 48 Env. Sci. & Technol. 8334-8348, 8343 (2014); Hannah Wiseman, *Risk and Response in Fracturing Policy*, 84 UNV. OF COL. L. REV. 729-817, 776 (2009).

¹²⁵ Hannah Wiseman, *Risk and Response in Fracturing Policy*, 84 UNV. OF COL. L. REV. 729-817, 770 (2009).

¹²⁶ EPA Study, at 10-6, available at <https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/hfstudy/recordisplay.cfm?deid=332990> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

¹²⁷ *Id.*

¹²⁸ *Id.* at 8-3.

¹²⁹ EPA, *Underground Injection Control, General Information About Injection Wells*, <https://www.epa.gov/uic/general-information-about-injection-wells> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

¹³⁰ *Id.*

¹³¹ Watershed Council, *Regulations and Exemptions*, <https://www.watershedcouncil.org/hydraulic-fracturing---regulations-and-exemptions.html> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

¹³² Email from Kevin Cleary, Director of Legislative Affairs, DEP, RE: Class II Injection Wells in FL (Feb. 11, 2019).

¹³³ See Peter Folger & Mary Tiemann, Cong. Research Serv., R 43836, *Human-Induced Earthquakes from Deep-Well Injection: A Brief Overview* (Sept. 30, 2016), available at <https://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/R43836.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

¹³⁴ Oklahoma Geological Survey, *Statement on Oklahoma Seismicity*, 1 (Apr. 21, 2015), http://wichita.ogs.ou.edu/documents/OGS_Statement-Earthquakes-4-21-15.pdf (last visited Feb. 28, 2018).

¹³⁵ Tanya Gallegos, Brian Varela, Seth Haines, & Mark Engle, *Hydraulic Fracturing Water Use Variability in the United States and Potential Environmental Implications*, Water Resour. Res., 5839-5845, 5844 (2015), available at <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1002/2015WR017278> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

Additionally, in some states, the produced water is being sent to treatment facilities that are not equipped to treat wastewater from hydraulically fractured wells.¹³⁶ In June of 2016, the EPA, under the authority of the CWA, published final rules for the oil and gas extraction category.¹³⁷ The rules establish pretreatment standards that prevent the discharge of pollutants in wastewater from onshore, unconventional oil and gas facilities to publicly owned treatment works.¹³⁸ A voluntary remand for the final rule is currently in effect.¹³⁹

Air Quality

The key aerial emissions associated with unconventional oil and gas production include methane (the main component of natural gas and a potent greenhouse gas), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxide, particulate matter, and various hazardous air pollutants.¹⁴⁰ In 2012, the EPA issued the first federal air standards for hydraulically fractured natural gas wells.¹⁴¹ The New Source Performance Standards required reductions in VOC emissions from hydraulically fractured natural gas wells.¹⁴²

In May of 2016, the EPA issued three rules which together sought to curb emissions of methane, VOCs, toxins, and air pollutants, such as benzene, from new, reconstructed, and modified oil and gas sources.¹⁴³ The final rule on new and modified sources required compressor stations to monitor leaks, also known as “fugitive emissions,” and required owners or operators to find and repair such leaks, which can be a significant source of both methane and VOC pollution.¹⁴⁴ The

¹³⁶ Hannah Wiseman, *Risk and Response in Fracturing Policy*, 84 UNV. OF COL. L. REV. 729-817, 768-769 (2009), available at http://lawreview.colorado.edu/wp-content/uploads/2013/11/11.-Wiseman_For-Printer_s.pdf (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

¹³⁷ Effluent Limitations Guidelines and Standards for the Oil and Gas Extraction Point Source Category, 81 Fed. Reg. 41845–41857 (June 3, 2016), available at <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2016-06-28/pdf/2016-14901.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

¹³⁸ EPA, *Unconventional Extraction in the Oil and Gas Industry*, <http://www2.epa.gov/eg/unconventional-extraction-oil-and-gas-industry> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

¹³⁹ Effluent Limitations Guidelines and Standards for the Oil and Gas Extraction Point Source Category-Implementation Date Extension, 81, Fed. Reg. 88126–88127 (Dec. 7, 2016), available at <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2016-12-07/pdf/2016-29338.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019). The rule extended the compliance date to August 29, 2019, for existing sources that were lawfully discharging.

¹⁴⁰ Michael Ratner & Mary Tiemann, Cong. Research Serv., R 43148, *An Overview of Unconventional Oil and Natural Gas: Resources and Federal Actions*, 9 (Apr. 22, 2015); see Richard Lattanzio, R 42986, *Methane and Other Air Pollution Issues in Natural Gas Systems* (Nov. 5, 2018), available at <https://fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/R42986.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

¹⁴¹ Michael Ratner & Mary Tiemann, Cong. Research Serv., R 43148, *An Overview of Unconventional Oil and Natural Gas: Resources and Federal Actions*, 14 (Apr. 22, 2015).

¹⁴² EPA, *Controlling Air Pollution from the Oil and Natural Gas Industry*, <https://www.epa.gov/controlling-air-pollution-oil-and-natural-gas-industry> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

¹⁴³ Oil and Natural Gas Sector: Emission Standards for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources, 81 Fed. Reg. 35824–35942 (June 3, 2016), available at <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2016-06-03/pdf/2016-11971.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019); Source Determination for Certain Emission Units in the Oil and Natural Gas Sector, 81 Fed. Reg. 35622–35634 (June 3, 2016), available at <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2016-06-03/pdf/2016-11968.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019); Federal Implementation Plan for True Minor Sources in Indian Country in the Oil and Natural Gas Production and Natural Gas Processing Segments of the Oil and Natural Gas Sector; Amendments to the Federal Minor New Source Review Program in Indian Country To Address Requirements for True Minor Sources in the Oil and Natural Gas Sector, 81 Fed. Reg. 35944–35981 (June 3, 2019), available at <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2016-06-03/pdf/2016-11969.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

¹⁴⁴ EPA, *EPA’s Actions to Reduce Methane Emissions from the Oil and Gas Industry: Final Rules and Draft Information Collection Request*, 2 (2016), available at <https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2016-09/documents/nsps-overview-fs.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

rule phased in requirements for a process known as “green completion” to capture aerial emissions from hydraulically fractured wells.¹⁴⁵ The EPA expects that implementation of the rule will reduce air pollutants and toxins, as well as provide health benefits related to reductions in fine particle pollution and ozone toxics, along with improvements in visibility.¹⁴⁶ In October of 2018, the EPA proposed clarifications and amendments regarding details of the rule’s implementation.¹⁴⁷

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 amends s. 377.06 to define the term “fracking” as all stages of a well intervention performed by injecting large volumes of fluids at a high rate into a rock formation at pressures that exceed the fracture gradient of the rock formation in order to propagate hydraulic fractures. It specifies that this does not include other well intervention techniques, including conventional well stimulation or conventional workover procedures; techniques used for routine well work, well maintenance, or removal of formation damage due to drilling or production; or conventional acidizing techniques used to enhance, maintain, or restore the natural permeability of the formation.

Section 2 creates s. 377.2405, F.S., which states that fracking is prohibited in this state and that a permit for drilling or operating a well in this state does not authorize fracking.

Section 3 provides that the bill takes effect July 1, 2019.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

¹⁴⁵ *Id.* at 3.

¹⁴⁶ *Id.* at 4.

¹⁴⁷ Oil and Natural Gas Sector: Emission Standards for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources Reconsideration, 83 Fed. Reg. 52056–52107 (Oct. 15, 2018), available at <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2018-10-15/pdf/2018-20961.pdf> (last visited Feb. 28, 2019); see EPA, *EPA Proposes Amendments to the 2016 New Source Performance Standards for the Oil and Natural Gas Industry: Fact Sheet* (2018), available at https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2018-09/documents/oil_and_gas_technical_proposal_fact_sheet.9.11.18_0.pdf (last visited Feb. 28, 2019).

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None identified.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

The bill may have an impact on the private sector, as it prohibits certain techniques used to increase production or recovery from an oil or gas well.

C. Government Sector Impact:

DEP will need to revise existing rules to implement the prohibition of fracking.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 377.19 of the Florida Statutes.

This bill creates section 377.2405 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.