1 A bill to be entitled 2 An act relating to governmental actions affecting 3 private property rights; amending s. 70.001, F.S.; revising notice of claim requirements for property 4 5 owners; creating a presumption that certain settlement 6 offers protect the public interest; specifying that 7 property owners retain the option to have a court 8 determine awards of compensation; authorizing property 9 owners to bring claims against governmental entities 10 in certain circumstances; providing that property 11 owners are not required to submit formal development 12 applications or proceed through formal application processes to bring claims in specified circumstances; 13 14 amending s. 70.45, F.S.; defining the term "imposed" or "imposition"; authorizing property owners to bring 15 actions to declare prohibited exactions invalid; 16 17 providing applicability; amending s. 70.51, F.S.; providing and revising definitions; providing for 18 19 resolution of disputes concerning comprehensive plan amendments under the Florida Land Use and 20 21 Environmental Dispute Resolution Act; revising 22 requirements for initiating a proceeding under the 23 act; providing for an award of attorney fees and costs 24 to property owners who successfully bring actions to compel a governmental entity to participate in certain 25

Page 1 of 33

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

proceedings; revising provisions related to the tolling of certain administrative proceedings; revising the time periods for a governmental entity to respond to a request for relief; requiring mediations to be conducted according to specified provisions; requiring the governmental entity's conduct in dispute resolution to be considered in determining whether regulatory efforts were unreasonable or unfairly burdened use of the property; revising the deadline for a magistrate to prepare and file a written recommendation; revising provisions related to settlement agreements; specifying that a governmental entity has authority to rehear and reconsider certain actions pursuant to a special magistrate's recommendation; providing requirements for such rehearing and reconsideration; revising provisions related to other remedies that may be pursued by a property owner; providing requirements for guidelines adopted by governmental entities for dispute resolution proceedings; specifying that certain settlement discussions are confidential; requiring that actions on proposed settlements be taken at open meetings; deleting obsolete language; amending s. 163.3181, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; providing an effective date.

Page 2 of 33

5152

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

5354

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

Section 1. Subsections (4), (5), and (6) and paragraph (a) of subsection (11) of section 70.001, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

70.001 Private property rights protection.-

- Not fewer less than 90 150 days before prior to filing an action under this section against a governmental entity, a property owner who seeks compensation under this section must present the claim in writing to the head of the governmental entity, except that if the property is classified as agricultural pursuant to s. 193.461, the notice period is 90 days. The property owner must submit, along with the claim, a bona fide, valid appraisal that supports the claim and demonstrates the loss in fair market value to the real property. If the action of government is the culmination of a process that involves more than one governmental entity, or if a complete resolution of all relevant issues, in the view of the property owner or in the view of a governmental entity to whom a claim is presented, requires the active participation of more than one governmental entity, the property owner shall present the claim as provided in this section to each of the governmental entities.
 - (b) The governmental entity shall provide written notice

Page 3 of 33

of the claim to all parties to any administrative action that gave rise to the claim, and to owners of real property contiguous to the owner's property at the addresses listed on the most recent county tax rolls. Within 15 days after the claim is presented, the governmental entity shall report the claim in writing to the Department of Legal Affairs, and shall provide the department with the name, address, and telephone number of the employee of the governmental entity from whom additional information may be obtained about the claim during the pendency of the claim and any subsequent judicial action.

- (c) During the 90-day-notice period or the 150-day-notice period, unless extended by agreement of the parties, the governmental entity shall make a written settlement offer to effectuate:
- 1. An adjustment of land development or permit standards or other provisions controlling the development or use of land.
- 2. Increases or modifications in the density, intensity, or use of areas of development.
 - 3. The transfer of developmental rights.
 - 4. Land swaps or exchanges.

- 5. Mitigation, including payments in lieu of onsite mitigation.
- 6. Location on the least sensitive portion of the property.
 - 7. Conditioning the amount of development or use

Page 4 of 33

101 permitted.

- 8. A requirement that issues be addressed on a more comprehensive basis than a single proposed use or development.
- 9. Issuance of the development order, a variance, \underline{a} special exception, or any other extraordinary relief.
- 10. Purchase of the real property, or an interest therein, by an appropriate governmental entity or payment of compensation.
 - 11. No changes to the action of the governmental entity.

If the property owner accepts a settlement offer, either before or after filing an action, the governmental entity may implement the settlement offer by appropriate development agreement; by issuing a variance, a special exception, or any other extraordinary relief; or by any other appropriate method, subject to paragraph (d).

(d)1. When a governmental entity enters into a settlement agreement under this section which would have the effect of a modification, variance, or a special exception to the application of a rule, regulation, or ordinance as it would otherwise apply to the subject real property, the relief granted shall protect the public interest served by the regulations at issue and be the appropriate relief necessary to prevent the governmental regulatory effort from inordinately burdening the real property. Settlement offers made pursuant to paragraph (c)

shall be presumed to protect the public interest.

2. When a governmental entity enters into a settlement agreement under this section which would have the effect of contravening the application of a statute as it would otherwise apply to the subject real property, the governmental entity and the property owner shall jointly file an action in the circuit court where the real property is located for approval of the settlement agreement by the court to ensure that the relief granted protects the public interest served by the statute at issue and is the appropriate relief necessary to prevent the governmental regulatory effort from inordinately burdening the real property.

This paragraph applies to any settlement reached between a property owner and a governmental entity regardless of when the settlement agreement was entered so long as the agreement fully resolves all claims asserted under this section.

(5) (a) During the 90-day-notice period or the 150-day-notice period, unless a settlement offer is accepted by the property owner, each of the governmental entities provided notice pursuant to subsection (4) paragraph (4) (a) shall issue a written statement of allowable uses identifying the allowable uses to which the subject property may be put. The failure of the governmental entity to issue a statement of allowable uses during the applicable 90-day-notice period or 150-day-notice

Page 6 of 33

period shall be deemed a denial for purposes of allowing a property owner to file an action in the circuit court under this section. If a written statement of allowable uses is issued, it constitutes the last prerequisite to judicial review for the purposes of the judicial proceeding created by this section, notwithstanding the availability of other administrative remedies.

- (b) If the property owner rejects the settlement offer and the statement of allowable uses of the governmental entity or entities, the property owner may file a claim for compensation in the circuit court, a copy of which shall be served contemporaneously on the head of each of the governmental entities that made a settlement offer and a statement of allowable uses that was rejected by the property owner. Actions under this section shall be brought only in the county where the real property is located.
- (6) (a) The circuit court shall determine whether an existing use of the real property or a vested right to a specific use of the real property existed and, if so, whether, considering the settlement offer and statement of allowable uses, the governmental entity or entities have inordinately burdened the real property. If the actions of more than one governmental entity, considering any settlement offers and statement of allowable uses, are responsible for the action that imposed the inordinate burden on the real property of the

property owner, the court shall determine the percentage of responsibility each such governmental entity bears with respect to the inordinate burden. A governmental entity may take an interlocutory appeal of the court's determination that the action of the governmental entity has resulted in an inordinate burden. An interlocutory appeal does not automatically stay the proceedings; however, the court may stay the proceedings during the pendency of the interlocutory appeal. If the governmental entity does not prevail in the interlocutory appeal, the court shall award to the prevailing property owner the costs and a reasonable attorney fee incurred by the property owner in the interlocutory appeal.

(b) Following its determination of the percentage of responsibility of each governmental entity, and following the resolution of any interlocutory appeal, the court shall impanel a jury to determine the total amount of compensation to the property owner for the loss in value due to the inordinate burden to the real property. The property owner retains the option to forego a jury and elect to have the court determine the award of compensation. The award of compensation shall be determined by calculating the difference in the fair market value of the real property, as it existed at the time of the governmental action at issue, as though the owner had the ability to attain the reasonable investment-backed expectation or was not left with uses that are unreasonable, whichever the

case may be, and the fair market value of the real property, as it existed at the time of the governmental action at issue, as inordinately burdened, considering the settlement offer together with the statement of allowable uses, of the governmental entity or entities. In determining the award of compensation, consideration may not be given to business damages relative to any development, activity, or use that the action of the governmental entity or entities, considering the settlement offer together with the statement of allowable uses has restricted, limited, or prohibited. The award of compensation shall include a reasonable award of prejudgment interest from the date the claim was presented to the governmental entity or entities as provided in subsection (4).

(c)1. In any action filed pursuant to this section, the property owner is entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorney fees incurred by the property owner, from the governmental entity or entities, according to their proportionate share as determined by the court, from the date of the presentation of the claim to the head of the governmental entity pursuant to paragraph (4)(a) the filing of the circuit court action, if the property owner prevails in the action and the court determines that the settlement offer, including the statement of allowable uses, of the governmental entity or entities did not constitute a bona fide offer to the property owner which reasonably would have resolved the claim, based upon

the knowledge available to the governmental entity or entities and the property owner during the 90-day-notice period or the 150-day-notice period.

- 2. In any action filed pursuant to this section, the governmental entity or entities are entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorney fees incurred by the governmental entity or entities from the date of the filing of the circuit court action, if the governmental entity or entities prevail in the action and the court determines that the property owner did not accept a bona fide settlement offer, including the statement of allowable uses, which reasonably would have resolved the claim fairly to the property owner if the settlement offer had been accepted by the property owner, based upon the knowledge available to the governmental entity or entities and the property owner during the 90-day-notice period or the 150-day-notice period.
- 3. The determination of total reasonable costs and attorney fees pursuant to this paragraph shall be made by the court and not by the jury. Any proposed settlement offer or any proposed decision, except for the final written settlement offer or the final written statement of allowable uses, and any negotiations or rejections in regard to the formulation either of the settlement offer or the statement of allowable uses, are inadmissible in the subsequent proceeding established by this section except for the purposes of the determination pursuant to

251 this paragraph.

- (d) Within 15 days after the execution of any settlement pursuant to this section, or the issuance of any judgment pursuant to this section, the governmental entity shall provide a copy of the settlement or judgment to the Department of Legal Affairs.
- (11) A cause of action may not be commenced under this section if the claim is presented more than 1 year after a law or regulation is first applied by the governmental entity to the property at issue.
- (a) For purposes of determining when this 1-year claim period accrues:
- 1.a. A law or regulation is first applied upon enactment and notice as provided for in this <u>sub-subparagraph</u> subparagraph if the impact of the law or regulation on the real property is clear and unequivocal in its terms and notice is provided by mail to the affected property owner or registered agent at the address referenced in the jurisdiction's most current ad valorem tax records. The fact that the law or regulation could be modified, varied, or altered under any other process or procedure does not preclude the impact of the law or regulation on a property from being clear or unequivocal pursuant to this <u>sub-subparagraph</u> subparagraph. Any notice under this <u>sub-subparagraph</u> subparagraph shall be provided after the enactment of the law or regulation and shall inform the property owner or

Page 11 of 33

registered agent that the law or regulation may impact the property owner's existing property rights and that the property owner may have only 1 year <u>after from</u> receipt of the notice to pursue any rights established under this section.

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

- b. If the notice required in sub-subparagraph a. is not provided to the property owner, the property owner may at any time after enactment notify the head of the governmental entity in writing via certified mail and, if available, e-mail that the property owner deems the impact of the law or regulation on the property owner's real property to be clear and unequivocal in its terms and, as such, restrictive of uses allowed on the property before the enactment. Within 45 days after receipt of a notice under this sub-subparagraph, the governmental entity in receipt of the notice must respond in writing via certified mail and, if available, e-mail to describe the limitations imposed on the property by the law or regulation. The property owner is not required to formally pursue an application for a development order, development permit, or building permit, as such will be deemed a waste of resources and shall not be a prerequisite to bringing a claim pursuant to paragraph (4)(a). However, any such claim must be filed within 1 year after the date of the property owner's receipt of the notice from the governmental entity of the limitations on use imposed on the real property.
- 2. Otherwise, the law or regulation is first applied to the property when there is a formal denial of a written request

Page 12 of 33

301 for development or variance.

Section 2. Paragraphs (c), (d), and (e) of subsection (1) of section 70.45, Florida Statutes, are redesignated as paragraphs (d), (e), and (f), respectively, a new paragraph (c) is added to that subsection, and subsections (2), (4), and (5) of that section are amended, to read:

- 70.45 Governmental exactions.-
- (1) As used in this section, the term:
- (c) "Imposed" or "imposition" as it relates to a prohibited exaction or condition of approval refers to the time at which the property owner must comply with the prohibited exaction or condition of approval.
- equity, a property owner may bring an action in a court of competent jurisdiction under this section to declare a prohibited exaction invalid and recover damages caused by a prohibited exaction. Such action may not be brought by a property owner at the property owner's discretion when until a prohibited exaction is actually imposed or when it is required in writing as a final condition of approval for the requested use of real property. The right to bring an action under this section may not be waived. This section does not apply to impact fees adopted under s. 163.31801 or non-ad valorem assessments as defined in s. 197.3632.
 - (4) For each claim filed under this section, the

Page 13 of 33

governmental entity has the burden of proving that the challenged exaction has an essential nexus to a legitimate public purpose and is roughly proportionate to the impacts of the proposed use that the governmental entity is seeking to avoid, minimize, or mitigate. The property owner has the burden of proving damages that result from a prohibited exaction.

- (5) The court may award attorney fees and costs to the prevailing party; however, if the court determines that the challenged exaction which is the subject of the claim lacks an essential nexus to a legitimate public purpose, the court shall award attorney fees and costs to the property owner.
- Section 3. The amendments made by this act to ss. 70.001 and 70.45, Florida Statutes, apply to claims made in response to actions taken by governmental entities on or after July 1, 2021.
- Section 4. Subsections (2), (3), and (4), paragraph (b) of subsection (5), paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of subsection (6), subsections (8), (10), (11), (12), and (13), paragraph (a) of subsection (15), paragraph (a) of subsection (16), and subsections (17), (18), (19), (20), (21), (24), (25), (26), (28), and (30) of section 70.51, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
 - 70.51 Land use and environmental dispute resolution.-
 - (2) As used in this section, the term:
- (a) "Comprehensive plan amendment" means a governmental action subject to s. 163.3181(4).

Page 14 of 33

(b) (a) "Development order" means any order, or notice of proposed state or regional governmental agency action, which is or will have the effect of granting, denying, or granting with conditions an application for a development permit, and includes the rezoning of a specific parcel. Actions by the state or a local government on comprehensive plan amendments are not development orders.

- (c) (b) "Development permit" means any building permit, zoning permit, subdivision approval, certification, special exception, variance, or any other similar action of local government, as well as any permit authorized to be issued under state law by state, regional, or local government which has the effect of authorizing the development of real property including, but not limited to, programs implementing chapters 125, 161, 163, 166, 187, 258, 372, 373, 378, 380, and 403.
- (d) "Enforcement action" means any civil or administrative action by a governmental entity intended to enforce any law, ordinance, regulation, rule, or policy related to the development or use of real property. The term includes, but is not limited to, any action taken under chapter 162, such as a notice of violation, order, or placement of a lien, or the service of a notice of violation or an order to correct a condition, or an equivalent action, by a state agency.
- (e) (f) "Governmental entity" includes an agency of the state, a regional or a local government created by the State

Page 15 of 33

Constitution or by general or special act, any county or municipality, or any other entity that independently exercises governmental authority. The term does not include the United States or any of its agencies.

 $\underline{\text{(f)}}$ "Land" or "real property" means land and includes any appurtenances and improvements to the land, including any other relevant real property in which the owner had a relevant interest.

<u>(g) (d)</u> "Owner" means a person with a legal or equitable interest in real property who filed an application for a development permit for the property at the state, regional, or local level and who received a development order, who filed a comprehensive plan amendment, or who holds legal title to or who has a legal or equitable interest in real property that is subject to, or is otherwise a person subject to, an enforcement action of a governmental entity.

(h)(c) "Special magistrate" means a person selected by the parties to perform the duties prescribed in this section. The special magistrate must be a resident of the state and possess experience and expertise in mediation and at least one of the following disciplines and a working familiarity with the others: land use and environmental permitting, land planning, land economics, local and state government organization and powers, and the law governing the same. A special magistrate is not required to be a certified mediator.

Page 16 of 33

(i) (e) "Proposed Use of the property" means the proposal filed by the owner to develop his or her real property or the actual use of the property giving rise to an enforcement action.

- (3) Any owner who believes that a development order, either separately or in conjunction with other development orders, a comprehensive plan amendment, or an enforcement action of a governmental entity, is unreasonable or unfairly burdens the use of the owner's real property, may apply within 30 days after receipt of the order, comprehensive plan amendment, or notice of the governmental action for relief under this section.
- (4) To initiate a proceeding under this section, an owner must file a request for relief with the elected or appointed head of the governmental entity that issued the development order or orders, denied the comprehensive plan amendment, or that initiated the enforcement action. Filing may be by electronic mail to the official e-mail address of the head of the governmental entity, by hand delivery to such person, or by United States mail to such person at his or her official address. Formal service of process is not required for such filing. The process shall be considered initiated as of the date the petition is filed with the head of the governmental entity pursuant to this subsection. The head of the governmental entity may not charge the owner for the request for relief and must forward the request for relief to the special magistrate who is mutually agreed upon by the owner and the governmental entity

426 within 10 days after receipt of the request.

- (5) The governmental entity with whom a request has been filed shall also serve a copy of the request for relief by United States mail or by hand delivery to:
- (b) Any substantially affected party who submitted oral or written testimony, sworn or unsworn, of a substantive nature which stated with particularity objections to or support for any development order, comprehensive plan amendment, at issue or enforcement action at issue. Notice under this paragraph is required only if that party indicated a desire to receive notice of any subsequent special magistrate proceedings occurring on the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action. Each governmental entity must maintain in its files relating to each particular development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action orders a mailing list of persons who have presented oral or written testimony and who have requested notice.
 - (6) The request for relief must contain:
- (a) A brief statement of the owner's proposed use of the property.
- (b) A summary of the development order <u>or comprehensive</u> <u>plan amendment</u> or <u>a</u> description of the enforcement action. A copy of the development order <u>or comprehensive plan amendment</u> or the documentation of an enforcement action at issue must be attached to the request.

Page 18 of 33

(c) A brief statement of the impact of the development order, denial of the comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action on the ability of the owner to achieve the proposed use of the property.

- determine whether a request for relief is complete and was timely filed and may conduct a hearing on whether the request for relief should be dismissed for failing to include the information required in subsection (6). If the special magistrate dismisses the case, the special magistrate shall allow the owner to amend the request and refile. Failure to file an adequate amended request within the time specified shall result in a dismissal with prejudice as to this proceeding. A property owner who is successful in a suit to require a governmental entity to participate in a proceeding under this section shall be awarded attorney fees and costs.
- (10) (a) Before initiating a special magistrate proceeding to review a local development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or local enforcement action, the owner must exhaust all nonjudicial local government administrative appeals if the appeals take no longer than 4 months. Once nonjudicial local administrative appeals are exhausted and the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action is final, or within 4 months after issuance of the development order, denial of the comprehensive plan amendment, or notice of the

enforcement action if the owner has pursued local administrative appeals even if the appeals have not been concluded, the owner may initiate a proceeding under this section. Initiation of a proceeding tolls rendition or effectiveness of the development order, denial of the comprehensive plan amendment, the time for seeking judicial review of a local government development order or enforcement action until the special magistrate's recommendation is acted upon by the local government. Election by the owner to file for judicial review of a local government development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action before prior to initiating a proceeding under this section waives any right to a special magistrate proceeding.

- (b) If an owner requests special magistrate relief from a development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action issued by a state or regional agency, the time for challenging agency action under ss. 120.569 and 120.57 is tolled until the agency acts upon the recommendation of the special magistrate or the proceeding is terminated by the owner. If an owner chooses to bring a proceeding under ss. 120.569 and 120.57 before initiating a special magistrate proceeding, then the owner waives any right to a special magistrate proceeding unless all parties consent to proceeding to mediation.
- (11) The initial party to the proceeding is the governmental entity that issues the development order $\underline{\text{or}}$ comprehensive plan amendment to the owner or that is taking the

Page 20 of 33

enforcement action. In those instances when the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action is the culmination of a process involving more than one governmental entity or when a complete resolution of all relevant issues would require the active participation of more than one governmental entity, the special magistrate may, upon application of a party, join those governmental entities as parties to the proceeding if it will assist in effecting the purposes of this section, and those governmental entities so joined shall actively participate in the procedure.

provided under subsection (5) receipt of the request for relief, any owner of land contiguous to the owner's property and any substantially affected person who submitted oral or written testimony, sworn or unsworn, of a substantive nature which stated with particularity objections to or support for the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action at issue may make a written request to participate in the hearing by transmitting such request to the official who signed the notice proceeding. Those persons may be permitted to participate in the hearing but shall not be granted party or intervenor status. The participation of such persons is limited to addressing issues raised regarding alternatives, variances, and other types of adjustment to the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action which may

Page 21 of 33

impact their substantial interests, including denial of the development order or comprehensive plan amendment or application of an enforcement action.

- (13) Each party must make efforts to assure that those persons qualified by training or experience necessary to address issues raised by the request or by the special magistrate and further qualified to address alternatives, variances, and other types of modifications to the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action are present at the hearing.
- (15) (a) The special magistrate shall hold a hearing within 60 45 days after his or her receipt of the request for relief unless a different date is agreed to by all the parties. The hearing must be held in the county in which the property is located.
- magistrate is selected, or 21 days after the date on which the special magistrate is selected, or 21 days after the date on which the petition is served Fifteen days following the filing of a request for relief, whichever is earlier, the governmental entity that issued the development order or comprehensive plan amendment or that is taking the enforcement action shall file a response to the request for relief with the special magistrate together with a copy to the owner. The response must set forth in reasonable detail the position of the governmental entity regarding the matters alleged by the owner. The response must

include a brief statement explaining the public purpose of the regulations on which the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action is based.

- open to the public and does not require the use of an attorney. The hearing must operate at the direction and under the supervision of the special magistrate. The object of the hearing is to focus attention on the impact of the governmental action giving rise to the request for relief and to explore alternatives to the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action and other regulatory efforts by the governmental entities in order to recommend relief, when appropriate, to the owner.
- (a) The first responsibility of the special magistrate is to facilitate a resolution of the conflict between the owner and governmental entities to the end that some modification of the owner's proposed use of the property or adjustment in the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action or regulatory efforts by one or more of the governmental parties may be reached. Accordingly, the special magistrate shall act as a facilitator or mediator between the parties in an effort to effect a mutually acceptable solution. The parties shall be represented at the mediation by persons with authority to bind their respective parties to a solution, or by persons with authority to recommend a solution directly to the persons

with authority to bind their respective parties to a solution. The mediation shall be conducted according to ss. 44.401-44.406.

- (b) If an acceptable solution is not reached by the parties after the special magistrate's attempt at mediation, the special magistrate shall consider the facts and circumstances set forth in the request for relief and any responses and any other information produced at the hearing in order to determine whether the action by the governmental entity or entities is unreasonable or unfairly burdens the real property.
- (c) In conducting the hearing, the special magistrate may hear from all parties and witnesses that are necessary to an understanding of the matter. The special magistrate shall weigh all information offered at the hearing.
- whether the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action, or the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action in conjunction with regulatory efforts of other governmental parties, is unreasonable or unfairly burdens use of the property may include, but are not limited to:
- (a) The history of the real property, including when it was purchased, how much was purchased, where it is located, the nature of the title, the composition of the property, and how it was initially used.
 - (b) The history or development and use of the real

Page 24 of 33

property, including what was developed on the property and by whom, if it was subdivided and how and to whom it was sold, whether plats were filed or recorded, and whether infrastructure and other public services or improvements may have been dedicated to the public.

- (c) The history of environmental protection and land use controls and other regulations, including how and when the land was classified, how use was proscribed, and what changes in classifications occurred.
- (d) The present nature and extent of the real property, including its natural and altered characteristics.
- (e) The reasonable expectations of the owner at the time of acquisition, or immediately <u>before</u> prior to the implementation of the regulation at issue, whichever is later, under the regulations then in effect and under common law.
- development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action, including the nature and magnitude of the problem addressed by the underlying regulations on which the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action is based; whether the development order, comprehensive plan amendment order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action is necessary to the achievement of the public purpose; and whether there are alternative development orders, comprehensive plan amendments, or enforcement action conditions that would achieve the public

purpose and allow for reduced restrictions on the use of the property.

- (g) Uses authorized for and restrictions placed on similar property.
- (h) Whether the governmental entity attempted to resolve the dispute in good faith, including, but not limited to, adhering to the deadlines provided in this section.
- $\underline{\text{(i)}}$ Any other information determined relevant by the special magistrate.
- (19) Within 14 days after the conclusion of the hearing, or when the parties propose a settlement agreement for entry by the special magistrate pursuant to subsection (22), the special magistrate shall prepare and file with all parties a written recommendation.
- (a) If the special magistrate finds <u>and concludes</u> that the development order at issue, or the development order, <u>comprehensive plan amendment</u>, or enforcement action in combination with the actions or regulations of other governmental entities, is not unreasonable or does not unfairly burden the use of the owner's property, the special magistrate must recommend that the development order, <u>comprehensive plan amendment</u>, or enforcement action remain undisturbed and the proceeding shall end, subject to the owner's retention of all other available remedies.
 - (b) If the special magistrate finds and concludes that the

Page 26 of 33

development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action, or the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action in combination with the actions or regulations of other governmental entities, is unreasonable or unfairly burdens use of the owner's property, the special magistrate, with the owner's consent to proceed, may recommend one or more alternatives that protect the public interest served by the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action and regulations at issue but allow for reduced restraints on the use of the owner's real property, including, but not limited to:

- 1. An adjustment of land development or permit standards or other provisions controlling the development or use of land.
- 2. Increases or modifications in the density, intensity, or use of areas of development.
 - 3. The transfer of development rights.
 - 4. Land swaps or exchanges.

- 5. Mitigation, including payments in lieu of onsite mitigation.
- 6. Location on the least sensitive portion of the property.
- 7. Conditioning the amount of development or use permitted.
- 8. A requirement that issues be addressed on a more comprehensive basis than a single proposed use or development.

Page 27 of 33

9. Rehearing or reconsideration and issuance of the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action with or without modifications or additional stipulations, or a variance, special exception, or other extraordinary relief, including withdrawal of the enforcement action.

- 10. Purchase of the real property, or an interest therein, by an appropriate governmental entity.
- at any time before the special magistrate enters a recommendation, which agreement may remain subject to approval by the governmental entity, the parties may request that the special magistrate transmit the settlement agreement to the governmental entity as the special magistrate's findings and recommendation for consideration and approval by the governmental entity, and the special magistrate need not include the findings or conclusions set forth in paragraph (a) or paragraph (b) This subsection does not prohibit the owner and governmental entity from entering into an agreement as to the permissible use of the property prior to the special magistrate entering a recommendation. An agreement for a permissible use must be incorporated in the special magistrate's recommendation.
- (d) This section provides legislative authority for the governmental entity or tribunal to rehear and reconsider its prior action on a development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action pursuant to, and in

Page 28 of 33

consideration of, a special magistrate's recommendation, regardless of whether existing statutes, rules, ordinances, or regulations provide for such a procedure. Any such rehearing or reconsideration shall be at a public hearing noticed and otherwise conducted in the same manner as the original hearing. The tribunal shall treat the special magistrate's findings, or a settlement agreement, as evidence for modification of its prior development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action, and shall provide an opportunity for any person who participated in the original hearing or the special magistrate's proceeding to provide additional evidence and testimony. The tribunal's action on the special magistrate's recommendation shall then become the final order on the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action.

- (20) The special magistrate's <u>findings and</u> recommendation <u>are</u> is a public record under chapter 119. However, actions or statements of all participants to the special magistrate <u>mediation</u> proceeding are evidence of an offer to compromise and inadmissible in any proceeding, judicial or administrative.
- (21) Within 45 days after receipt of the special magistrate's <u>findings and</u> recommendation, the governmental entity responsible for the development order, comprehensive plan <u>amendment</u>, or enforcement action and other governmental entities participating in the proceeding must consult among themselves and each governmental entity must:

Page 29 of 33

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

- Accept or modify the recommendation of the special magistrate, including any proposed settlement agreement, as submitted and proceed to implement it by development agreement, when appropriate, by rehearing or reconsidering the development order or enforcement action, or by other method, in the ordinary course and consistent with the rules and procedures of that governmental entity. However, the decision of the governmental entity to accept the recommendation of the special magistrate with respect to rehearing or reconsidering the prior development order or enforcement action or granting a modification, variance, or special exception to the application of statutes, rules, regulations, or ordinances as they would otherwise apply to the subject property does not require an owner to duplicate previous processes in which the owner has participated in order to effectuate the granting of the modification, variance, or special exception; or
- (b) Modify the recommendation as submitted by the special magistrate and proceed to implement it by development agreement, when appropriate, or by other method, in the ordinary course and consistent with the rules and procedures of that governmental entity; or
- (b) (e) Reject the recommendation as submitted by the special magistrate. Failure to act within 45 days is a rejection unless the period is extended by agreement of the owner and issuer of the development order, comprehensive plan amendment,

Page 30 of 33

751 or enforcement action.

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

- (24) The procedure created by this section is not itself, nor does it create, a judicial cause of action. Once the governmental entity acts on the special magistrate's recommendation, the owner may <u>pursue whatever administrative or judicial remedies are applicable elect to file suit in a court of competent jurisdiction</u>. Invoking the procedures of this section is not a condition precedent to filing a civil action.
- Regardless of the action the governmental entity takes on the special magistrate's findings and recommendation, a recommendation that the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action, or the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action in combination with other governmental regulatory actions, is unreasonable or unfairly burdens use of the owner's real property may serve as an indication of sufficient hardship to support waivers of or modification, variances, or special exceptions to the application of statutes, rules, regulations, or ordinances to the subject property, whether as a part of the implementation of the recommendation, in a subsequent application, or in an administrative or judicial challenge to the action of the governmental entity. However, the special magistrate's findings and recommendation are not preclusive to any issue or defense in any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding.

(26) A special magistrate's <u>findings and</u> recommendation under this section constitutes data in support of, and a support document for, a comprehensive plan or comprehensive plan amendment, but is not, in and of itself, dispositive of a determination of compliance with chapter 163.

- (28) Each governmental entity may establish procedural guidelines to govern the conduct of proceedings authorized by this section, which must include, but are not limited to, payment of special magistrate fees and expenses, including the costs of providing notice and effecting service of the request for relief under this section, which shall be borne equally by the governmental entities and the owner. Such guidelines may not modify the requirements and relief provided by this section in any way.
- regardless of whether the parties are engaged in pending litigation recently before a court or administrative agency, a governmental entity may conduct meetings following the procedures in s. 286.011(8) at any time after the governmental entity responds in writing to a request for relief to discuss settlement strategies, but shall not take action on a proposed settlement agreement except at a noticed public meeting This section applies only to development orders issued, modified, or amended, or to enforcement actions issued, on or after October 1, 1995.

HB 421 2021

801	Section 5. Subsection (4) of section 163.3181, Florida
802	Statutes, is amended to read:
803	163.3181 Public participation in the comprehensive
804	planning process; intent; alternative dispute resolution
805	(4) If a local government denies an owner's request for an
806	amendment to the comprehensive plan which is applicable to the
807	property of the owner, the owner may initiate a dispute
808	resolution proceeding under s. 70.51 the local government must
809	afford an opportunity to the owner for informal mediation or
810	other alternative dispute resolution. The costs of the mediation
811	or other alternative dispute resolution shall be borne equally
812	by the local government and the owner. If the owner requests
813	mediation, the time for bringing a judicial action is tolled
814	until the completion of the mediation or 120 days, whichever is
815	earlier.
816	Section 6. This act shall take effect July 1, 2021.

Section 6. This act shall take effect July 1, 2021.

Page 33 of 33