2022706er

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

An act relating to school concurrency; amending s. 163.3180, F.S.; revising provisions specifying when school concurrency is deemed satisfied; requiring a district school board to notify a local government that capacity is available for development within a certain timeframe; specifying that proportionate-share mitigation must be set aside and not spent if an improvement has not been identified; providing an effective date.

11 12

10

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

Section 1. Paragraph (h) of subsection (6) of section 163.3180, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

163.3180 Concurrency.

(6)

(h)1. In order to limit the liability of local governments, a local government may allow a landowner to proceed with development of a specific parcel of land notwithstanding a failure of the development to satisfy school concurrency, if all the following factors are shown to exist:

- a. The proposed development would be consistent with the future land use designation for the specific property and with pertinent portions of the adopted local plan, as determined by the local government.
- b. The local government's capital improvements element and the school board's educational facilities plan provide for school facilities adequate to serve the proposed development,

30

3132

33

3435

36

37

3839

40

41

4243

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

2022706er

and the local government or school board has not implemented that element or the project includes a plan that demonstrates that the capital facilities needed as a result of the project can be reasonably provided.

- c. The local government and school board have provided a means by which the landowner will be assessed a proportionate share of the cost of providing the school facilities necessary to serve the proposed development.
- 2. If a local government applies school concurrency, it may not deny an application for site plan, final subdivision approval, or the functional equivalent for a development or phase of a development authorizing residential development for failure to achieve and maintain the level-of-service standard for public school capacity in a local school concurrency management system where adequate school facilities will be in place or under actual construction within 3 years after the issuance of final subdivision or site plan approval, or the functional equivalent. School concurrency is deemed satisfied when if the developer tenders a written, executes a legally binding commitment to provide mitigation proportionate to the demand for public school facilities to be created by actual development of the property, including, but not limited to, the options described in sub-subparagraph a. The district school board shall notify the local government that capacity is available for the development within 30 days after receipt of the developer's legally binding commitment. Options for proportionate-share mitigation of impacts on public school facilities must be established in the comprehensive plan and the interlocal agreement pursuant to s. 163.31777.

59

60

61

62

63

6465

66

67

68

6970

7172

73

74

75

76 77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

8687

2022706er

- a. Appropriate mitigation options include the contribution of land; the construction, expansion, or payment for land acquisition or construction of a public school facility; the construction of a charter school that complies with the requirements of s. 1002.33(18); or the creation of mitigation banking based on the construction of a public school facility in exchange for the right to sell capacity credits. Such options must include execution by the applicant and the local government of a development agreement that constitutes a legally binding commitment to pay proportionate-share mitigation for the additional residential units approved by the local government in a development order and actually developed on the property, taking into account residential density allowed on the property prior to the plan amendment that increased the overall residential density. The district school board must be a party to such an agreement. As a condition of its entry into such a development agreement, the local government may require the landowner to agree to continuing renewal of the agreement upon its expiration.
- b. If the interlocal agreement and the local government comprehensive plan authorize a contribution of land; the construction, expansion, or payment for land acquisition; the construction or expansion of a public school facility, or a portion thereof; or the construction of a charter school that complies with the requirements of s. 1002.33(18), as proportionate-share mitigation, the local government shall credit such a contribution, construction, expansion, or payment toward any other impact fee or exaction imposed by local ordinance for public educational facilities, on a dollar-for-

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

9596

97

98

99

100101

2022706er

dollar basis at fair market value. The credit must be based on the total impact fee assessed and not on the impact fee for any particular type of school.

- c. Any proportionate-share mitigation must be directed by the school board toward a school capacity improvement identified in the 5-year school board educational facilities plan or must be set aside and not spent until such an improvement has been identified that satisfies the demands created by the development in accordance with a binding developer's agreement.
- 3. This paragraph does not limit the authority of a local government to deny a development permit or its functional equivalent pursuant to its home rule regulatory powers, except as provided in this part.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2022.