By Senator Berman

	26-00331-23 2023130
1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to domestic violence; providing a
3	short title; amending s. 61.13, F.S.; requiring the
4	court with jurisdiction over the proceeding to
5	consider certain factors in deciding whether shared
6	parental responsibility is detrimental to the child;
7	making technical and conforming changes; providing
8	additional conduct regarding domestic violence which
9	the court must consider when ordering a parenting
10	plan; amending s. 741.30, F.S.; providing an
11	additional factor that the court must consider in
12	determining whether a petitioner of a domestic
13	violence injunction is in imminent danger; providing
14	an effective date.
15	
16	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
17	
18	Section 1. This act may be cited as "Greyson's Law."
19	Section 2. Paragraph (c) of subsection (2) and paragraph
20	(m) of subsection (3) of section 61.13, Florida Statutes, are
21	amended to read:
22	61.13 Support of children; parenting and time-sharing;
23	powers of court
24	(2)
25	(c) The court shall determine all matters relating to
26	parenting and time-sharing of each minor child of the parties in
27	accordance with the best interests of the child and in
28	accordance with the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and
29	Enforcement Act, except that modification of a parenting plan
	Page 1 of 7

	26-00331-23 2023130
30	and time-sharing schedule requires a showing of a substantial,
31	material, and unanticipated change of circumstances.
32	1. It is the public policy of this state that each minor
33	child has frequent and continuing contact with both parents
34	after the parents separate or the marriage of the parties is
35	dissolved and to encourage parents to share the rights and
36	responsibilities, and joys, of childrearing. Except as otherwise
37	provided in this paragraph, there is no presumption for or
38	against the father or mother of the child or for or against any
39	specific time-sharing schedule when creating or modifying the
40	parenting plan of the child.
41	2. The court shall order that the parental responsibility
42	for a minor child be shared by both parents unless the court
43	finds that shared parental responsibility would be detrimental
44	to the child. In determining detriment to the child, the court
45	shall consider:
46	a. Evidence of domestic violence, as defined in s. 741.28;
47	b. Whether either parent has or has had reasonable cause to
48	believe that he or she or his or her minor child or children are
49	or have been in imminent danger of becoming victims of an act of
50	domestic violence as defined in s. 741.28 or sexual violence as
51	defined in s. 784.046(1)(c) by the other parent against the
52	parent or against the child or children whom the parents share
53	in common regardless of whether a cause of action has been
54	brought or is currently pending in the court;
55	c. Whether either parent has or has had reasonable cause to
56	believe that his or her minor child or children are or have been
57	in imminent danger of becoming victims of an act of abuse as
58	defined in s. 39.01(2), abandonment as defined in s. 39.01(1),
I	

## Page 2 of 7

	26-00331-23 2023130
59	or neglect as defined in s. 39.01(50) by the other parent
60	against the child or children whom the parents share in common
61	regardless of whether a cause of action has been brought or is
62	currently pending in the court; and
63	d. Any other relevant factors.
64	3. The following evidence creates a rebuttable presumption
65	that shared parental responsibility is detrimental <del>of detriment</del>
66	to the child:
67	a. A parent has been convicted of a misdemeanor of the
68	first degree or higher involving domestic violence, as defined
69	in s. 741.28 and chapter 775;
70	b. A parent meets the criteria of s. 39.806(1)(d); or
71	c. A parent has been convicted of or had adjudication
72	withheld for an offense enumerated in s. 943.0435(1)(h)1.a., and
73	at the time of the offense:
74	(I) The parent was 18 years of age or older.
75	(II) The victim was under 18 years of age or the parent
76	believed the victim to be under 18 years of age.
77	
78	If the presumption is not rebutted after the convicted parent is
79	advised by the court that the presumption exists, shared
80	parental responsibility, including time-sharing with the child,
81	and decisions made regarding the child, may not be granted to
82	the convicted parent. However, the convicted parent is not
83	relieved of any obligation to provide financial support. If the
84	court determines that shared parental responsibility would be
85	detrimental to the child, it may order sole parental
86	responsibility and make such arrangements for time-sharing as
87	specified in the parenting plan as will best protect the child

# Page 3 of 7

26-00331-23 2023130 88 or abused spouse from further harm. Whether or not there is a 89 conviction of any offense of domestic violence or child abuse or 90 the existence of an injunction for protection against domestic 91 violence, the court shall consider evidence of domestic violence 92 or child abuse as evidence of detriment to the child. 4.3. In ordering shared parental responsibility, the court 93 94 may consider the expressed desires of the parents and may grant 95 to one party the ultimate responsibility over specific aspects of the child's welfare or may divide those responsibilities 96 97 between the parties based on the best interests of the child. 98 Areas of responsibility may include education, health care, and 99 any other responsibilities that the court finds unique to a 100 particular family. 101 5.4. The court shall order sole parental responsibility for 102 a minor child to one parent, with or without time-sharing with the other parent if it is in the best interests of the minor 103 104 child. 105 6.5. There is a rebuttable presumption against granting 106 time-sharing with a minor child if a parent has been convicted 107 of or had adjudication withheld for an offense enumerated in s. 108 943.0435(1)(h)1.a., and at the time of the offense: 109 a. The parent was 18 years of age or older. 110 b. The victim was under 18 years of age or the parent 111 believed the victim to be under 18 years of age. 112 113 A parent may rebut the presumption upon a specific finding in writing by the court that the parent poses no significant risk 114 115 of harm to the child and that time-sharing is in the best 116 interests of the minor child. If the presumption is rebutted,

### Page 4 of 7

26-00331-23 2023130 117 the court must shall consider all time-sharing factors in 118 subsection (3) when developing a time-sharing schedule. 119 7.6. Access to records and information pertaining to a 120 minor child, including, but not limited to, medical, dental, and 121 school records, may not be denied to either parent. Full rights 122 under this subparagraph apply to either parent unless a court 123 order specifically revokes these rights, including any 124 restrictions on these rights as provided in a domestic violence injunction. A parent having rights under this subparagraph has 125 126 the same rights upon request as to form, substance, and manner 127 of access as are available to the other parent of a child, 128 including, without limitation, the right to in-person 129 communication with medical, dental, and education providers. 130 (3) For purposes of establishing or modifying parental 131 responsibility and creating, developing, approving, or modifying 132 a parenting plan, including a time-sharing schedule, which 133 governs each parent's relationship with his or her minor child 134 and the relationship between each parent with regard to his or 135 her minor child, the best interest of the child shall be the 136 primary consideration. A determination of parental 137 responsibility, a parenting plan, or a time-sharing schedule may 138 not be modified without a showing of a substantial, material, 139 and unanticipated change in circumstances and a determination 140 that the modification is in the best interests of the child. Determination of the best interests of the child shall be made 141 by evaluating all of the factors affecting the welfare and 142 interests of the particular minor child and the circumstances of 143 that family, including, but not limited to: 144 145 (m) Evidence of domestic violence, sexual violence, child

#### Page 5 of 7

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

SB 130

26-00331-23 2023130 146 abuse, child abandonment, or child neglect or evidence that a 147 parent has or has had reasonable cause to believe that he or she or his or her minor child or children are in imminent danger of 148 149 becoming victims of an act of domestic violence, regardless of 150 whether a prior or pending action relating to those issues has 151 been brought. If the court accepts evidence of prior or pending 152 actions regarding domestic violence, sexual violence, child 153 abuse, child abandonment, or child neglect, the court must 154 specifically acknowledge in writing that such evidence was 155 considered when evaluating the best interests of the child. 156 Section 3. Paragraph (b) of subsection (6) of section 157 741.30, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 158 741.30 Domestic violence; injunction; powers and duties of 159 court and clerk; petition; notice and hearing; temporary 160 injunction; issuance of injunction; statewide verification 161 system; enforcement; public records exemption.-162 (6) 163 (b) In determining whether a petitioner has reasonable 164 cause to believe he or she is in imminent danger of becoming a 165 victim of domestic violence, the court shall consider and 166 evaluate all relevant factors alleged in the petition, 167 including, but not limited to: 168 1. The history between the petitioner and the respondent, 169 including threats, harassment, stalking, and physical abuse. 2. Whether the respondent has attempted to harm the 170 171 petitioner or family members or individuals closely associated 172 with the petitioner. 173 3. Whether the respondent has threatened to conceal, 174 kidnap, or harm the petitioner's child or children.

#### Page 6 of 7

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

SB 130

	26-00331-23 2023130
175	4. Whether the respondent has intentionally injured or
176	killed a family pet.
177	5. Whether the respondent has used, or has threatened to
178	use, against the petitioner any weapons such as guns or knives.
179	6. Whether the respondent has physically restrained the
180	petitioner from leaving the home or calling law enforcement.
181	7. Whether the respondent has a criminal history involving
182	violence or the threat of violence.
183	8. The existence of a verifiable order of protection issued
184	previously or from another jurisdiction.
185	9. Whether the respondent has destroyed personal property,
186	including, but not limited to, telephones or other
187	communications equipment, clothing, or other items belonging to
188	the petitioner.
189	10. Whether the respondent has or had engaged in a pattern
190	of abusive, threatening, intimidating, or controlling behavior
191	composed of a series of acts over a period of time, however
192	short, which evidences a continuity of purpose and which
193	reasonably causes the petitioner to believe that the petitioner
194	or his or her minor child or children are in imminent danger of
195	becoming victims of any act of domestic violence.
196	11. Whether the respondent engaged in any other behavior or
197	conduct that leads the petitioner to have reasonable cause to
198	believe that he or she is in imminent danger of becoming a
199	victim of domestic violence.
200	
201	In making its determination under this paragraph, the court is
202	not limited to those factors enumerated in subparagraphs 110.
203	Section 4. This act shall take effect July 1, 2023.
	Page 7 of 7