

	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate	•	House
	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	

Senator Martin moved the following:

Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

1

Delete lines 331 - 398

and insert:

(4) (a) In an action for bad faith failure to settle a liability insurance claim, including any such action brought under the common law, if the insurer initiates settlement negotiations by tendering the lesser of the policy limits or the amount demanded by the claimant in exchange for a general release of the insured within 90 days after receiving actual notice of the loss, the failure to tender the policy limits



sooner does not constitute bad faith.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

- (b) If an insurer does not tender the lesser of the policy limits or the amount demanded by the claimant within the 90-day timeframe provided in paragraph (a), the existence of the 90-day timeframe and that no bad faith action could lie had the insurer tendered the lesser of policy limits or the amount demanded by the claimant pursuant to paragraph (a) is inadmissible in any action seeking to establish bad faith on the part of the insurer.
- (c) If the insurer fails to tender pursuant to paragraph (a) within the 90-day period, any applicable statute of limitations is extended for an additional 90 days.
- (5) In any bad faith action, whether such action is brought under this section or is based on the common-law remedy for bad faith:
- (a) Mere negligence alone is insufficient to constitute bad faith.
- (b) The focus of the bad faith claim is on the conduct of an insurer, but in determining whether the insurer actually could have settled the claim, the jury may consider the totality of the circumstances, including:
- 1. Whether any conditions placed on the settlement by the claimant were unreasonable or impossible to perform within the time permitted; and
- 2. Whether the insured failed to cooperate with the insurer's efforts to meet the conditions after being fully advised by the insurer about the purpose and importance of doing SO.
 - (6)(a) If two or more third-party claimants have competing

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48

49 50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69



claims arising out of a single occurrence, which in total may exceed the available policy limits of an insured who may be liable to the third-party claimants, and the insurer initiates settlement negotiations by globally tendering the applicable policy limits in exchange for a general release of the insured within 90 days after receiving actual notice of the loss, the failure to tender policy limits sooner does not constitute bad faith.

(b) If an insurer does not globally tender the policy limits within the 90-day timeframe provided in paragraph (a), the existence of the 90-day timeframe and that no bad faith action could lie had the insurer tendered the lesser of policy limits or the amount demanded by the claimant pursuant to paragraph (a) is inadmissible in any action seeking to establish bad faith on the part of the insurer.

(c) If two or more third-party claimants have competing claims arising out of a single occurrence, which in total may exceed the available policy limits of an insured who may be liable to the third-party claimants, and the claimants are unwilling to globally settle within the policy limits, thereafter, the insurer must attempt to minimize the magnitude of possible excess judgments against the insured. The insurer is entitled to great discretion to decide how much to offer each respective claimant in its attempt to protect the insured. The insurer may, in its effort to minimize the excess liability of the insured, use its discretion to offer the full available policy limits to one or more claimants to the exclusion of other claimants and may leave the insured exposed to some liability after all the policy limits are paid. An insurer does not act in



bad faith simply because it is unable to settle all claims in a competing claimant case.

(d) An insurer is not liable beyond the available policy limits for failure to pay all or any portion of the available policy limits to one or more of the third-party claimants if, pursuant to a binding arbitration that has been agreed to by the insurer and all the third-party claimants, the insurer makes the entire amount of the policy limits available for payment to the competing third-party claimants before a qualified arbitrator agreed to by the insurer and such third-party claimants at the expense of the insurer. The third-party claimants are entitled to a prorated share of the policy limits as determined by the arbitrator, who must consider the comparative fault, if any, of each third-party claimant and the total likely outcome at trial based upon the total of the economic and noneconomic damages submitted to the arbitrator for consideration. A third-party claimant whose claim is resolved by the arbitrator must execute and deliver a general release to the insured party whose claim is resolved by the proceeding.

(7) (4) In any insurance bad faith action, whether brought under this section or the common law, upon adverse adjudication at trial or upon appeal, the authorized insurer shall be liable for damages, together

======== T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ==========

And the title is amended as follows:

Delete line 17

97 and insert:

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80 81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89 90

91 92

93 94

95

96

98

exceed policy limits; revising applicability and



99	conditions for the award of damages, court costs, and
100	attorney fees in certain civil actions; creating s.
101	624.1552, F.S.;