

The Florida Senate
BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Committee on Children, Families, and Elder Affairs

BILL: SB 404

INTRODUCER: Senator Perry

SUBJECT: Public Records/Autopsy Reports of Minors Whose Deaths were Related to Acts of Domestic Violence

DATE: March 3, 2023

REVISED: _____

	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
1.	<u>Tuszynski</u>	<u>Cox</u>	<u>CF</u>	<u>Favorable</u>
2.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>GO</u>	<u> </u>
3.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>RC</u>	<u> </u>

I. Summary:

SB 404, the “Rex and Brody Act”, creates a new exemption from public records disclosure under s. 119.07(1), F.S., and s. 24(a), Art. I of the Florida Constitution for autopsy reports of minors whose death were related to acts of domestic violence held by a medical examiner, except that a surviving parent who did not commit the act of domestic violence which led to the minor’s death may view and copy the autopsy report of the deceased minor.

Several provisions in s. 406.135, F.S., are also amended to incorporate that an autopsy report of a minor applies to them. Specifically, provisions relating to:

- Certain government entities’ authority to have access to such report;
- The custodian of the records are prohibited from disclosing such report;
- The court’s discretion to issue an order authorizing disclosure of such report;
- The requirements to give certain surviving parents specified notice and a copy of a petition to view or copy such report; and
- The criminal provisions for failing to comply with the exemption.

The definition of “medical examiner” is amended and the term “minor” is defined under the section.

The bill makes findings that the new exemption from public records disclosure is a public necessity as required by the Florida Constitution. Two-thirds vote of both the House and the Senate is required for final passage.

The bill makes all provisions of s. 406.135, F.S., subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act and provides that the section will be repealed on October 2, 2028 in accordance with s. 119.15, F.S., unless the statute is reviewed and reenacted by the Legislature before that date.

Section 406.135, F.S., also provides that the new exemption created under the bill must be given retroactive application. Technical amendments are made to the section.

There is no anticipated fiscal impact on state or local governments. See Section V. Fiscal Impact Statement.

The bill is effective upon becoming law.

II. Present Situation:

Access to Public Records - Generally

The Florida Constitution provides that the public has the right to inspect or copy records made or received in connection with official governmental business.¹ The right to inspect or copy applies to the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, including all three branches of state government, local governmental entities, and any person acting on behalf of the government.²

Additional requirements and exemptions related to public records are found in various statutes and rules, depending on the branch of government involved. For instance, section 11.0431, F.S., provides public access requirements for legislative records. Relevant exemptions are codified in s. 11.0431(2)-(3), F.S., and adopted in the rules of each house of the legislature.³ Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.420 governs public access to judicial branch records.⁴ Lastly, chapter 119, F.S., known as the Public Records Act, provides requirements for public records held by executive agencies.

Executive Agency Records – The Public Records Act

The Public Records Act provides that all state, county and municipal records are open for personal inspection and copying by any person, and that providing access to public records is a duty of each agency.⁵

Section 119.011(12), F.S., defines “public records” to include:

All documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or

¹ FLA. CONST. art. I, s. 24(a).

² *Id.*

³ See Rule 1.48, *Rules and Manual of the Florida Senate*, (2022-2024) and Rule 14.1, *Rules of the Florida House of Representatives*, Edition 1, (2022-2024)

⁴ *State v. Wooten*, 260 So. 3d 1060 (Fla. 4th DCA 2018).

⁵ Section 119.01(1), F.S. Section 119.011(2), F.S., defines “agency” as “any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency.”

received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connections with the transaction of official business by any agency.

The Florida Supreme Court has interpreted this definition to encompass all materials made or received by an agency in connection with official business that are used to “perpetuate, communicate, or formalize knowledge of some type.”⁶

The Florida Statutes specify conditions under which public access to public records must be provided. The Public Records Act guarantees every person’s right to inspect and copy any public record at any reasonable time, under reasonable conditions, and under supervision by the custodian of the public record.⁷ A violation of the Public Records Act may result in civil or criminal liability.⁸

The Legislature may exempt public records from public access requirements by passing a general law by a two-thirds vote of both the House and the Senate.⁹ The exemption must state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption and must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the exemption.¹⁰

General exemptions from the public records requirements are contained in the Public Records Act.¹¹ Specific exemptions often are placed in the substantive statutes relating to a particular agency or program.¹²

When creating a public records exemption, the Legislature may provide that a record is “exempt” or “confidential and exempt.” There is a difference between records the Legislature has determined to be exempt from the Public Records Act and those which the Legislature has determined to be exempt from the Public Records Act *and confidential*.¹³ Records designated as “confidential and exempt” are not subject to inspection by the public and may only be released under the circumstances defined by statute.¹⁴ Records designated as “exempt” may be released at the discretion of the records custodian under certain circumstances.¹⁵

⁶ *Shevin v. Byron, Harless, Schaffer, Reid and Assoc., Inc.*, 379 So. 2d 633, 640 (Fla. 1980).

⁷ Section 119.07(1)(a), F.S.

⁸ Section 119.10, F.S. Public records laws are found throughout the Florida Statutes, as are the penalties for violating those laws.

⁹ FLA. CONST. art. I, s. 24(c).

¹⁰ *Id. See, e.g., Halifax Hosp. Medical Center v. News-Journal Corp.*, 724 So. 2d 567 (Fla. 1999) (holding that a public meetings exemption was unconstitutional because the statement of public necessity did not define important terms and did not justify the breadth of the exemption); *Baker County Press, Inc. v. Baker County Medical Services, Inc.*, 870 So. 2d 189 (Fla. 1st DCA 2004) (holding that a statutory provision written to bring another party within an existing public records exemption is unconstitutional without a public necessity statement).

¹¹ *See, e.g., s. 119.071(1)(a), F.S.* (exempting from public disclosure examination questions and answer sheets of examinations administered by a governmental agency for the purpose of licensure).

¹² *See, e.g., s. 213.053(2)(a), F.S.* (exempting from public disclosure information contained in tax returns received by the Department of Revenue).

¹³ *WFTV, Inc. v. The Sch. Bd. of Seminole County*, 874 So. 2d 48, 53 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004).

¹⁴ *Id.*

¹⁵ *Williams v. City of Minneola*, 575 So. 2d 683 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991).

Open Government Sunset Review Act

The provisions of s. 119.15, F.S., known as the Open Government Sunset Review Act (the Act), prescribe a legislative review process for newly created or substantially amended public records or open meetings exemptions,¹⁶ with specified exceptions.¹⁷ The Act requires the repeal of such exemption on October 2nd of the fifth year after creation or substantial amendment; in order to save an exemption from repeal, the Legislature must reenact the exemption or repeal the sunset date.¹⁸ In practice, many exemptions are continued by repealing the sunset date, rather than reenacting the exemption.

The Act provides that a public records or open meetings exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and is no broader than is necessary.¹⁹ An exemption serves an identifiable purpose if the Legislature finds that the purpose of the exemption outweighs open government policy and cannot be accomplished without the exemption *and* it meets one of the following purposes:

- It allows the state or its political subdivision to effectively and efficiently administer a program, and administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption;²⁰
- The release of sensitive personal information would be defamatory or jeopardize an individual's safety. If this public purpose is cited as the basis of an exemption, however, only personal identifying information is exempt;²¹ or
- It protects trade or business secrets.²²

The Act also requires specified questions to be considered during the review process.²³ In examining an exemption, the Act directs the Legislature to question the purpose and necessity of reenacting the exemption.

If, in reenacting an exemption or repealing the sunset date, the exemption is expanded, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are required.²⁴ If the exemption is reenacted or saved from repeal without substantive changes or if the exemption is narrowed, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are *not* required. If the Legislature

¹⁶ Section 119.15, F.S. Section 119.15(4)(b), F.S., provides that an exemption is considered to be substantially amended if it is expanded to include more records or information or to include meetings.

¹⁷ Section 119.15(2)(a) and (b), F.S., provides that exemptions required by federal law or applicable solely to the Legislature or the State Court System are not subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act.

¹⁸ Section 119.15(3), F.S.

¹⁹ Section 119.15(6)(b), F.S.

²⁰ Section 119.15(6)(b)1., F.S.

²¹ Section 119.15(6)(b)2., F.S.

²² Section 119.15(6)(b)3., F.S.

²³ Section 119.15(6)(a), F.S. The specific questions are:

- What specific records or meetings are affected by the exemption?
- Whom does the exemption uniquely affect, as opposed to the general public?
- What is the identifiable public purpose or goal of the exemption?
- Can the information contained in the records or discussed in the meeting be readily obtained by alternative means? If so, how?
- Is the record or meeting protected by another exemption?
- Are there multiple exemptions for the same type of record or meeting that it would be appropriate to merge?

²⁴ FLA. CONST. art. I, s. 24(c).

allows an exemption to expire, the previously exempt records will remain exempt unless otherwise provided by law.²⁵

Public Records Exemptions

Section 119.071, F.S., sets out general exemptions from inspection or copying of public records. These exemptions relate to agency administration,²⁶ agency investigations,²⁷ and security and firesafety,²⁸ Agency Personnel Information,²⁹ and other personal information.³⁰ There are several other provisions public records exemptions found throughout the Florida Statutes.³¹

Exemptions related to Sensitive Photos, or Videos of Deaths

Autopsy Photographs, Videos, or Audio Depictions

Section 406.135, F.S., is a provision that makes confidential and exempt a photograph, video, or audio recording of an autopsy held by a medical examiner. There is an exception which allows for a surviving spouse to view and copy a photograph or video recording or listen to or copy an audio recording of the deceased spouse's autopsy.³² If there is no surviving spouse, current law provides that the surviving parent must have access to such records.³³ If there is no surviving spouse and no surviving parent, then an adult child is required to have access to such records.³⁴ Unless the identity of the deceased must otherwise remain confidential and exempt, a local, state, or federal agency may also view or copy such records if:

- It is in furtherance of its official duties; and
- The request to view or copy the photograph or video, or listen to or copy an audio recording is in writing.³⁵

The custodian of the record, or his or her designee, may not allow any other person to view or copy such records except an agent designated in writing by the deceased's surviving relative who has authority to request such records.³⁶

Upon a showing of good cause, the court may issue an order authorizing any person to view or copy a photograph or video recording, or listen to or copy any audio recording of an autopsy.³⁷ The court may impose any restrictions or stipulations that it deems appropriate.³⁸ There are three factors which the court must consider when determining whether good cause exists, including:

- Whether such disclosure is necessary for the public evaluation of governmental performance;

²⁵ Section 119.15(7), F.S.

²⁶ Section 119.071(1), F.S.

²⁷ Section 119.071(2), F.S.

²⁸ Section 119.071(3), F.S.

²⁹ Section 119.071(4), F.S.

³⁰ Section 119.071(5), F.S.

³¹ See e.g., ss 39.0132(4)(a)1. and 63.022(4)(i), F.S.

³² Section 406.135(2), F.S.

³³ *Id.*

³⁴ *Id.*

³⁵ Section 406.135(3)(b), F.S.

³⁶ Section 406.135(3)(c), F.S.

³⁷ Section 406.135(4)(a), F.S.

³⁸ *Id.*

- The seriousness of the intrusion into the family’s right to privacy and whether such disclosure is the least intrusive means available; and
- The availability of similar information in other public records.³⁹

Any handling of photographs, videos, or audio recordings of an autopsy must be under the direct supervision of the custodian of record or his or her designee.⁴⁰

The surviving spouse, surviving parent, or adult children of the deceased, as appropriate, must be given:

- Reasonable notice of a petition filed with the court to view or copy a photograph or video recording, or listen to or copy an audio recording of an autopsy;
- A copy of such petition; and
- Reasonable notice of the opportunity to be present and heard at any hearing.⁴¹

A custodian of a photograph, video, or audio recording of an autopsy who willfully and knowingly violates these provisions commits a felony of the third degree.⁴² Any person who willfully and knowingly violates a court order issued after showing good cause to view or copy a photograph or video, or listen to or copy an audio recording of an autopsy commits a felony of the third degree.⁴³

A criminal or administrative proceeding is exempt from s. 406.135, F.S., and is subject to all the provisions of ch. 119, F.S., unless otherwise exempted.⁴⁴ A court in a criminal or administrative proceeding, however, may, upon a showing of good cause, restrict or otherwise control the disclosure of an autopsy, crime scene, or similar photograph, video, or audio recording.⁴⁵

The exemption under s. 406.135, F.S. is given retroactive application.⁴⁶ There is no provision under current law which makes confidential and exempt autopsy *reports* of any person that are held by a medical examiner, including autopsy reports of a minor whose death was related to an act of domestic violence.⁴⁷

³⁹ Section 406.135(4)(b), F.S.

⁴⁰ Section 406.135(5)(c), F.S.

⁴¹ Section 406.135(5), F.S.

⁴² Section 406.135(6)(a), F.S. A third degree felony is punishable by up to five years imprisonment and a \$5,000 fine.

Sections 775.082(3)(e) and 775.083(1)(c), F.S.

⁴³ Section 406.135(6)(b), F.S.

⁴⁴ Section 406.135(7), F.S.

⁴⁵ *Id.*

⁴⁶ Section 406.135(8), F.S.

⁴⁷ Section 741.28(2), F.S. defines “domestic violence” as any assault, aggravated assault, battery, aggravated battery, sexual assault, sexual battery, stalking, aggravated stalking, kidnapping, false imprisonment, or any criminal offense resulting in physical injury or death of one family or household member by another family member or household member. A family or household member includes spouses, former spouses, persons related by blood or marriage, persons who are presently residing together as if a family or who have resided together in the past as if a family, and persons who are parents of a child in common regardless of whether they have been married. With the exception of persons who have a child in common, the family or household members must be currently residing or have in the past resided together in the same single dwelling unit. Section 784.011(1), F.S., defines “assault” as intentional, unlawful threat by word or act to do violence to the person of another, coupled with an apparent ability to do so, and doing some act which creates a well-founded fear in such other person that such violence is imminent. Section 784.021(1), F.S., defines “aggravated assault” as an assault: (a) with a deadly weapon without the intent to kill; or (b) with an intent to commit a felony. Section 784.03(1)(a), F.S., states that the offense of battery

Mass Killings

Section 119.071(2)(p), F.S., makes a photograph or video or audio recording that depicts or records the killing of a law enforcement officer who was acting in accordance with his or her official duties⁴⁸ or the killing of a victim of mass violence confidential and exempt public records requirements.⁴⁹ Similar to the above described public records exemption related to autopsies, a surviving spouse of the decedent may view and copy any such photograph or video recording or listen to or copy any such audio recording. If there is no surviving spouse, the surviving parents must have access to such records and if there is no surviving spouse or parent then the adult children must have access to such records.⁵⁰

Additionally, access may be provided to the photograph or video or audio recordings by a court in the same circumstances as described above for autopsies.⁵¹

As with the exemption related to autopsies, this exemption applies retroactively and to all photographs or video or audio recordings that depict or record the killing of a law enforcement

occurs when a person: 1. Actually and intentionally touches or strikes another person against the will of the other; or 2. Intentionally causes bodily harm to another person. Section 784.045(1)(a), F.S., states a person commits aggravated battery who, in committing battery: 1. Intentionally or knowingly causes great bodily harm, permanent disability, or permanent disfigurement; or 2. Uses a deadly weapon. Section 794.011(1)(h), F.S., defines “sexual battery” as oral, anal, or vaginal penetration by, or union with, the sexual organ of another or the anal or vaginal penetration of another by any other object; however, sexual battery does not include an act done for a bona fide medical purpose. Section 748.048(2), F.S., states a person who willfully, maliciously, and repeatedly follows, harasses, or cyberstalks another person commits the offense of stalking. Section 784.048(3), F.S., states that a person who willfully, maliciously, and repeatedly follows, harasses, or cyberstalks another person and makes a credible threat to that person commits the offense of aggravated stalking. Section 784.048(1)(a), F.S., states that “harass” means to engage in a course of conduct directed at a specific person which causes substantial emotional distress to that person and serves no legitimate purpose. Section 784.048(1)(c), F.S., defines “credible threat” as a verbal or nonverbal threat, or a combination of the two, including threats delivered by electronic communication or implied by a pattern of conduct, which places the person who is the target of the threat in reasonable fear for his or her safety or the safety of his or her family members or individuals closely associated with the person, and which is made with the apparent ability to carry out the threat to cause such harm. Section 784.048(1)(d), F.S., states “cyberstalk” means: 1. To engage in a course of conduct to communicate, or to cause to be communicated, directly or indirectly, words, images, or language by or through the use of electronic mail or electronic communication, directed at or pertaining to a specific person; or 2. To access, or attempt to access, the online accounts or Internet-connected home electronic systems of another person without that person’s permission. Section 784.048(1)(b), F.S., defines “course of conduct” as a pattern of conduct composed of a series of acts over a period of time, however short, which evidences a continuity of purpose. Section 787.01(1)(a), F.S., defines “kidnapping” as forcibly, secretly, or by threat confining, abducting, or imprisoning another person against her or his will and without lawful authority, with intent to: 1. Hold for ransom or reward or as a shield or hostage; 2. Commit or facilitate commission of any felony; 3. Inflict bodily harm upon or to terrorize the victim or another person; or 4. Interfere with the performance of any governmental or political function. Section 787.02(1)(a), F.S., defines “false imprisonment” as forcibly, by threat, or secretly confining, abducting, imprisoning, or restraining another person without lawful authority and against his or her will.

⁴⁸ Section 119.071(2)(p)1.a., F.S., defines “killing of a law enforcement officer who was acting in accordance with his or her official duties” to mean all acts or events that cause or otherwise relate to the death of a law enforcement officer who was acting in accordance with his or her official duties, including any related acts or events immediately preceding or subsequent to the acts or events that were the proximate cause of death.

⁴⁹ Section 119.071(2)(p)1.b., F.S., defines “killing of a victim of mass violence” as events that depict either a victim being killed or the body of a victim killed in an incident in which three or more persons, not including the perpetrator, are killed by the perpetrator of an intentional act of violence.

⁵⁰ Section 119.071(2)(p)2., F.S.

⁵¹ See s. 119.071(2)(p)4.-6., F.S.

officer who was acting in accordance with his or her official duties or the killing of a victim of mass violence, regardless of whether the killing of the person occurred before, on, or after May 23, 2019.⁵²

Reinhart Case

On May 4, 2021, law enforcement began searching for Paul Reinhart and his two sons, Rex and Brody, as part of a wellness check that was requested by a family member after Mr. Reinhart posted a series of “cryptic” Facebook updates and sent family members “concerning” text messages. Authorities later found them dead inside Mr. Reinhart’s second home in Dixie County that was in flames when they arrived on scene.⁵³ News stations obtained a copy of the children’s autopsy reports. Several outlets published some of its findings in August 2021 after the surviving mother states she had expressed to such outlets that she did not want to know the nature of her children’s deaths and requested the news stations not to publish the information contained in them.⁵⁴

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill, which may be cited as the “Rex and Brody Act”, establishes that an autopsy report of a minor whose death was related to an act of domestic violence held by a medical examiner is confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), F.S., and s. 24(a), Art. I of the Florida Constitution. The bill provides for an exception that allows a surviving parent who did not commit the act of domestic violence which led to the minor’s death to view and copy the report.

Several provisions in s. 406.135, F.S., are amended to provide that an autopsy report of a minor apply to them, including specifically:

- Government entities may view or copy an autopsy report of a minor if provisions under current law are met (e.g. a written request in furtherance of its official duties);
- The custodian of the record, or his or her designee, may not permit any other person, except an authorized designed agent, to view or copy an autopsy report of a minor;
- The court may, upon a showing of good cause, issue an order authorizing any person to view or copy an autopsy report of a minor;
- Any viewing, copying, or other handling of an autopsy report of a minor must be under the direct supervision of the custodian of record or his or her designee;
- Any surviving parent who did not commit the act of domestic violence which led to the minor’s death must be given reasonable notice of petition to view or copy the autopsy report,

⁵² Section 119.071(2)(p)7., F.S. However, the provision further states that it is not intended to, nor may be construed to, overturn or abrogate or alter any existing orders duly entered into by any Florida court, as of the effective date of the act, which restrict or limit access to any photographs or video or audio recordings that depict or record the killing of a law enforcement officer who was acting in accordance with his or her official duties or the killing of a victim of mass violence.

⁵³ Syed, Camille, *Autopsy Report Shows Rex and Brody Reinhart Were Shot by Father*, 20WCJB, Aug. 31, 2021, available at <https://www.wcjb.com/2021/08/31/autopsy-report-shows-rex-brody-reinhart-were-shot-by-father/>; Swirko, Cindy, *Paul Reinhart shot his two sons and then himself, autopsies conclude*, Gainesville Sun, August 31, 2021, available at <https://www.gainesville.com/story/news/2021/08/31/paul-reinhart-shot-sons-and-then-himself-autopsies-conclude/5668557001/>; Weber, Thomas, *Dad Suspected of Killing 2 Sons, Including UF Bat Boy, Burning Home, and Killing Himself*, WJCT News, May 4, 2021, available at <https://news.wjct.org/state-news/2021-05-04/dad-suspected-of-killing-2-sons-including-uf-bat-boy-burning-home-and-killing-himself> (all sites last visited February 27, 2023).

⁵⁴ *Id.*

a copy of the petition, and reasonable notice of the opportunity to be heard at any hearing; and

- Any custodian of an autopsy report of a minor who willfully and knowingly violates the provisions in s. 406.135, F.S., commits a third degree felony.

The bill amends the term “medical examiner” to include possession of a *report* of an autopsy in the course of assisting a medical examiner in the performance of his or her official duties, in addition to a photograph, or audio or video recording of an autopsy. The term “minor” is also defined as a person younger than 18 years of age who has not had the disability of nonage removed pursuant to s. 743.01, F.S., or s. 743.015, F.S.

The new exemption provided for under s. 406.135, F.S., must be given retroactive application. Technical changes are made to the section.

The bill provides that s. 406.135, F.S., is subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act and will be repealed on October 2, 2028, unless the Legislature reviews and reenacts the exemption before that date.

The bill also provides a statement of public necessity as required by the Florida Constitution, which notes:

[t]he Legislature finds that it is a public necessity that autopsy reports for minor children whose deaths were related to acts of domestic violence be made confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), Florida Statutes, and s. 24(a), Article I of the State Constitution. The Legislature finds that autopsy reports describe the deceased in graphic and often disturbing fashion and that autopsy reports for minor children who were victims of domestic violence may describe the deceased nude, bruised, bloodied, broken, with bullet or other wounds, cut open, dismembered, or decapitated. As such, these reports often contain highly sensitive descriptions of the deceased which, if heard, viewed, copied, or publicized, could result in trauma, sorrow, humiliation, or emotional injury to the immediate family of the deceased and the deceased’s minor friends, as well as injury to the memory of the deceased. The Legislature recognizes that the existence of the Internet and the proliferation of websites throughout the world encourages and promotes the wide dissemination of reports and publications 24 hours a day and that widespread unauthorized dissemination of autopsy reports for minor children whose deaths were related to acts of domestic violence would subject the immediate family and the minor friends of the deceased to continuous injury. The Legislature further finds that the exemption provided in this act should be given retroactive application because it is remedial in nature.

The bill is effective upon becoming law.

IV. Constitutional Issues:**A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:**

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:**Vote Requirement**

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting for final passage of a bill creating or expanding an exemption to the public records requirements. This bill enacts a new exemption for autopsy reports of a minor whose death was related to an act of domestic violence held by a medical examiner, thus, the bill will require a two-thirds vote to be enacted.

Public Necessity Statement

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a bill creating or expanding an exemption to the public records requirements to state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption. Section 2 of the bill contains a statement of public necessity for the exemption.

Scope of Exemption

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires an exemption to the public records requirements to be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law. The purpose of the law is to protect a surviving parent of a deceased minor whose death was related to an act of domestic violence. This bill exempts only those reports of minors who death was caused by domestic violence and makes an exception for a surviving parent of the deceased minor if he or she is not the parent who committed the act of domestic violence with lead to the minor's death. The exemption does not appear to be broader than necessary to accomplish the purpose of the law.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None identified.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

None.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 406.135

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.