

	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate		House
Comm: RCS		
03/20/2023	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	

The Committee on Criminal Justice (Yarborough) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment to Amendment (186046) (with title amendment)

Delete lines 53 - 120

and insert:

1 2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

(1) (a) A prosecuting agency is not required to maintain a Brady identification system. A prosecuting agency may determine that its obligations under the Brady decision are better discharged through such procedures as that agency chooses to use.

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39



- (b) A law enforcement officer or correctional officer's employing agency shall forward all sustained and finalized internal affairs complaints, relevant to s. 90.608, s. 90.609, or s. 90.610, to the prosecuting agency in the circuit in which the law enforcement agency is located to assist the prosecuting agency in compliance with its obligations under the Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963) decision. The employing agency must notify the law enforcement officer or correctional officer of any sustained and finalized internal affairs investigations they send to the prosecuting agency as required by this section. If the law enforcement officer or correctional officer is no longer employed, the agency must mail notification to the officer's last known address on file at the agency.
- (2) A prosecuting agency that maintains a Brady identification system shall adopt written policies that, at a minimum, require all of the following:
- (a) The right of a law enforcement officer or a correctional officer to receive written notice through United States mail or e-mail to the current or last known employing agency of the officer, before or contemporaneously with a prosecuting agency including the name and information of the officer in a Brady identification system, unless a pending case requires immediate disclosure or providing notice would jeopardize a pending investigation.
- (b) The right of a law enforcement officer or correctional officer to receive written notice before a prosecuting agency includes the name and information of the officer in a Brady identification system.
 - (c) The right of a law enforcement officer or correctional

40

41

42 43

44

45

46 47

48 49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

6.3

64

65

66

67

68



officer to request reconsideration of the prosecuting agency's decision to include the name and information of the officer in a Brady identification system and his or her right to submit documents and evidence in support of the request for reconsideration.

- (3) If, after the policy provided in subsection (2) is followed, it is determined that the law enforcement officer or correctional officer in question should not be included in a Brady identification system, the prosecuting agency must send notice to the law enforcement officer or correctional officer and his or her employing agency that he or she has been removed from the Brady identification system.
- (4) If, after the policy provided in subsection (2) is followed, it is determined that the law enforcement officer or correctional officer in question should not be included in a Brady identification system, but his or her name was disclosed in a pending case, the prosecuting agency must take the necessary steps to notify the parties involved in the pending case of the law enforcement officer's or correctional officer's removal from the Brady identification system.
- (5) If a prosecuting agency fails to comply with this section, a law enforcement officer or a correctional officer may petition the court for a writ of mandamus to compel the prosecuting agency to act in accordance with this section. The scope of such a hearing may not include a judicial review of the evidence or merits of an officer's inclusion in a Brady identification system, but instead must be limited to whether the prosecuting agency acted in accordance with the procedural requirements of this section. This section does not preclude the

69 70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82 83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92 93

94 95

96

97



law enforcement officer or correctional officer from pursuing whatever administrative or judicial remedies are otherwise available to him or her in relation to any other action or remedy outside of this section.

- (6) This section does not:
- (a) Require a prosecuting agency to give notice to or provide an opportunity for review and input from the law enforcement officer or correctional officer if the information in a Brady identification system is the following:
 - 1. A criminal conviction relevant to s. 90.610; or
- 2. A sustained and finalized internal affairs complaint relevant to s. 90.608, s. 90.609, or s. 90.610.
- (b) Limit the duty of a prosecuting agency to produce Brady evidence in all cases as required by the United States Constitution, the State Constitution, and the Florida Rules of Criminal Procedure and relevant case law;
- (c) Limit or restrict a prosecuting agency's ability to remove the name and information of a law enforcement officer or correctional officer from a Brady identification system if, at any time, the prosecuting agency determines that the name and information of the officer are no longer proper for identification; or
- (d) Create a private cause of action against a prosecuting agency or any employee of a prosecuting agency, other than the writ described in subsection (5).

======= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ========= And the title is amended as follows:

Delete line 143



98	and insert:			
99	Brady decision; imposing requirements on the current			
100	or former employing agency of the law enforcement			
101	officer or correctional officer; requiring a			
102	prosecuting agency that			