${\bf By}$ Senator Brodeur

	10-00151A-24 20241780
1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to defamation, false light, and
3	unauthorized publication of name or likenesses;
4	amending s. 90.5015, F.S.; providing that provisions
5	concerning journalist's privilege do not apply to
6	defamation claims when the defendant is a professional
7	journalist or media entity; amending s. 770.05, F.S.;
8	defining the term "defamation or privacy tort";
9	revising provisions concerning venue for certain
10	actions; amending s. 770.08, F.S.; revising provisions
11	limiting the choice of venue in specified actions;
12	creating s. 770.09, F.S.; exempting certain claims
13	from specified provisions concerning offers of
14	judgment and demands for judgment in civil actions;
15	providing for award of attorney fees and costs to
16	prevailing plaintiffs in such actions; creating s.
17	770.105, F.S.; specifying that certain persons may not
18	be considered public figures for purposes of certain
19	actions; creating s. 770.11, F.S.; specifying that a
20	fact finder may infer actual malice in certain
21	circumstances; providing that certain allegations are
22	defamatory per se; providing statutory damages to
23	prevailing plaintiffs who are the subject of such
24	allegations; creating s. 770.12, F.S.; creating a
25	presumption that a statement by an anonymous source is
26	presumptively false for purposes of a defamation
27	action; providing requirements if a defendant in a
28	defamation action refuses to identify an anonymous
29	source; creating s. 770.13, F.S.; providing that a

SB 1780

Page 1 of 10

1	10-00151A-24 20241780
30	public figure does not need to show actual malice to
31	prevail in a defamation action in certain
32	circumstances; creating s. 770.15, F.S.; providing
33	that a person who gives publicity to a matter
34	concerning a natural person that places that person
35	before the public in a false light may be liable for
36	damages in certain circumstances; amending s. 720.304,
37	F.S.; revising a provision on award of attorney fees
38	in certain actions by property owners; amending s.
39	768.295, F.S.; revising a provision on award of
40	attorney fees in strategic lawsuits against public
41	participation; providing for severability; providing
42	an effective date.
43	
44	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
45	
46	Section 1. Subsection (9) is added to section 90.5015,
47	Florida Statutes, to read:
48	90.5015 Journalist's privilege.—
49	(9) This section does not apply to defamation claims
50	brought under chapter 770 when the defendant is a professional
51	journalist or media entity.
52	Section 2. Section 770.05, Florida Statutes, is amended to
53	read:
54	770.05 Limitation of choice of venue
55	(1) As used in this chapter, the term "defamation or
56	privacy tort" refers to libel, slander, false light, invasion of
57	privacy, or any other tort founded upon any single publication,
58	exhibition, or utterance, such as any one edition of a
I	

Page 2 of 10

	10-00151A-24 20241780
59	newspaper, book, or magazine, any one presentation to an
60	audience, any one broadcast over radio or television, any one
61	exhibition of a motion picture, or any one publication,
62	exhibition, or utterance on the Internet. Editing any form of
63	media so that it attributes something false or leads a
64	reasonable viewer to believe something false about a plaintiff
65	may give rise to a defamation claim or privacy tort.
66	(2) A No person <u>may not</u> shall have more than one choice of
67	venue for damages for <u>any defamation or privacy tort</u> libel or
68	slander, invasion of privacy, or any other tort founded upon any
69	single publication, exhibition, or utterance, such as any one
70	edition of a newspaper, book, or magazine, any one presentation
71	to an audience, any one broadcast over radio or television, or
72	any one exhibition of a motion picture. Recovery in any action
73	shall include all damages for any such tort suffered by the
74	plaintiff in all jurisdictions.
75	(3) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, or
76	any other statute providing for venue, when:
77	(a) Damages for defamation are based on material published
78	through the radio or television, venue is proper in any county
79	where the material was accessed.
80	(b) Damages for defamation are based on material published
81	through the Internet, venue is proper in any county in the
82	state.
83	Section 3. Section 770.08, Florida Statutes, is amended to
84	read:
85	770.08 Limitation on <u>venue</u> recovery of damages.—Except as
86	provided in s. 770.05(3)(a), a No person <u>may not</u> shall have more
87	than one choice of venue for any defamation or privacy tort
I	

Page 3 of 10

	10-00151A-24 20241780
88	damages for libel founded upon a single publication or
89	exhibition or utterance, as described in s. 770.05 <u>.</u> , and Upon
90	his or her election in any one of his or her choices of venue,
91	then the person shall be bound to recover there all damages
92	allowed him or her.
93	Section 4. Section 770.09, Florida Statutes, is created to
94	read:
95	770.09 Application of costs and attorney fees in defamation
96	cases.—The fee-shifting provisions of s. 768.79 do not apply to
97	defamation or privacy tort claims. Notwithstanding any other
98	provision of law, a prevailing plaintiff on a defamation or
99	privacy tort claim is entitled to an award of reasonable costs
100	and attorney fees.
101	Section 5. Section 770.105, Florida Statutes, is created to
102	read:
103	770.105 Limitations on judicial determination of a public
104	figureA person may not be considered a public figure for
105	purposes of establishing a defamation or privacy tort claim if
106	his or her fame or notoriety arises solely from one or more of
107	the following:
108	(1) Defending him or herself publicly against accusations.
109	(2) Granting an interview on a specific topic.
110	(3) Public employment other than elected office or
111	appointment by an elected official.
112	(4) A video, image, or statement uploaded on the Internet
113	that has reached a broad audience.
114	Section 6. Section 770.11, Florida Statutes, is created to
115	read:
116	770.11 Clarifying defamation standards
1	

Page 4 of 10

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

SB 1780

	10-00151A-24 20241780
117	(1) A fact finder shall infer actual malice for purposes of
118	a defamation action when:
119	(a) The defamatory allegation is fabricated by the
120	defendant, is the product of his or her imagination, or is based
121	wholly on an unverified anonymous report;
122	(b) An allegation is so inherently implausible that only a
123	reckless person would have put it into circulation;
124	(c) There are obvious reasons to doubt the veracity of the
125	defamatory allegation or the accuracy of an informant's reports.
126	There are obvious reasons to doubt the veracity of a report
127	when:
128	1. There is sufficient contrary evidence that was known to
129	or should have been known to the defendant after a reasonable
130	investigation; or
131	2. The report is inherently improbable or implausible on
132	its face; or
133	(d) The defendant willfully failed to validate,
134	corroborate, or otherwise verify the defamatory allegation.
135	(2) An allegation that the plaintiff has discriminated
136	against another person or group because of their race, sex,
137	sexual orientation, or gender identity constitutes defamation
138	per se.
139	(a) A defendant cannot prove the truth of an allegation of
140	discrimination with respect to sexual orientation or gender
141	identity by citing a plaintiff's constitutionally protected
142	religious expression or beliefs.
143	(b) A defendant cannot prove the truth of an allegation of
144	discrimination with respect to sexual orientation or gender
145	identity by citing a plaintiff's scientific beliefs.

Page 5 of 10

1	10-00151A-24 20241780
146	(c) A prevailing plaintiff for allegations under this
147	subsection is, in addition to all other damages, entitled to
148	statutory damages of at least \$35,000.
149	Section 7. Section 770.12, Florida Statutes, is created to
150	read:
151	770.12 Presumption regarding anonymous sources
152	(1) A statement by an anonymous source is presumptively
153	false for purposes of a defamation action.
154	(2) In a case where a defendant in a defamation action
155	refuses to identify the source of a defamatory statement, the
156	plaintiff need only prove that the defendant acted negligently
157	in making the defamatory statement.
158	Section 8. Section 770.13, Florida Statutes, is created to
159	read:
160	770.13 Actual malice for public figures in defamation
161	casesA public figure does not need to show actual malice to
162	prevail in a defamation cause of action when the allegation does
163	not relate to the reason for his or her public status.
164	Section 9. Section 770.15, Florida Statutes, is created to
165	read:
166	770.15 Invasion of privacy; place person before public in
167	false light
168	(1) Any person who gives publicity to a matter concerning a
169	natural person that places that person before the public in a
170	false light is subject to liability if:
171	(a) The false light in which the person was placed would be
172	highly offensive to a reasonable person; and
173	(b) The defendant had knowledge of or acted in reckless
174	disregard as to the false implications of the publicized matter.
I	

Page 6 of 10

	10-00151A-24 20241780
175	(2) This section incorporates the standards set forth under
176	this chapter for defamation causes of action to whatever extent
177	necessary.
178	(3) Editing any form of media so that it attributes
179	something false or leads a reasonable viewer to believe
180	something false about a plaintiff may give rise to a defamation
181	claim for false light.
182	Section 10. Paragraph (c) of subsection (4) of section
183	720.304, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
184	720.304 Right of owners to peaceably assemble; display of
185	flags; SLAPP suits prohibited
186	(4) It is the intent of the Legislature to protect the
187	right of parcel owners to exercise their rights to instruct
188	their representatives and petition for redress of grievances
189	before the various governmental entities of this state as
190	protected by the First Amendment to the United States
191	Constitution and s. 5, Art. I of the State Constitution. The
192	Legislature recognizes that "Strategic Lawsuits Against Public
193	Participation" or "SLAPP" suits, as they are typically called,
194	have occurred when members are sued by individuals, business
195	entities, or governmental entities arising out of a parcel
196	owner's appearance and presentation before a governmental entity
197	on matters related to the homeowners' association. However, it
198	is the public policy of this state that government entities,
199	business organizations, and individuals not engage in SLAPP
200	suits because such actions are inconsistent with the right of
201	parcel owners to participate in the state's institutions of
202	government. Therefore, the Legislature finds and declares that
203	prohibiting such lawsuits by governmental entities, business

Page 7 of 10

10-00151A-24 20241780 204 entities, and individuals against parcel owners who address 205 matters concerning their homeowners' association will preserve 206 this fundamental state policy, preserve the constitutional 207 rights of parcel owners, and assure the continuation of 208 representative government in this state. It is the intent of the 209 Legislature that such lawsuits be expeditiously disposed of by 210 the courts. 211 (c) A parcel owner sued by a governmental entity, business organization, or individual in violation of this section has a 212 213 right to an expeditious resolution of a claim that the suit is 214 in violation of this section. A parcel owner may petition the 215 court for an order dismissing the action or granting final 216 judgment in favor of that parcel owner. The petitioner may file 217 a motion for summary judgment, together with supplemental 218 affidavits, seeking a determination that the governmental 219 entity's, business organization's, or individual's lawsuit has 220 been brought in violation of this section. The governmental 221 entity, business organization, or individual shall thereafter 222 file its response and any supplemental affidavits. As soon as 223 practicable, the court shall set a hearing on the petitioner's 224 motion, which shall be held at the earliest possible time after 225 the filing of the governmental entity's, business organization's 226 or individual's response. The court may award the parcel owner 227 sued by the governmental entity, business organization, or 228 individual actual damages arising from the governmental 229 entity's, individual's, or business organization's violation of 230 this section. A court may treble the damages awarded to a 231 prevailing parcel owner and shall state the basis for the treble 232 damages award in its judgment. The court shall award the

Page 8 of 10

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

SB 1780

10-00151A-24 20241780 233 nonmoving prevailing party reasonable attorney attorney's fees 234 and costs incurred in connection with a claim that an action was 235 filed in violation of this section if the nonmoving party 236 prevails on a motion filed under this section. 237 Section 11. Subsection (4) of section 768.295, Florida 238 Statutes, is amended to read: 239 768.295 Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation 240 (SLAPP) prohibited.-(4) A person or entity sued by a governmental entity or 241 242 another person in violation of this section has a right to an 243 expeditious resolution of a claim that the suit is in violation 244 of this section. A person or entity may move the court for an 245 order dismissing the action or granting final judgment in favor 246 of that person or entity. The person or entity may file a motion 247 for summary judgment, together with supplemental affidavits, 248 seeking a determination that the claimant's or governmental 249 entity's lawsuit has been brought in violation of this section. 250 The claimant or governmental entity shall thereafter file a 251 response and any supplemental affidavits. As soon as 252 practicable, the court shall set a hearing on the motion, which 253 shall be held at the earliest possible time after the filing of 254 the claimant's or governmental entity's response. The court may 255 award, subject to the limitations in s. 768.28, the party sued 256 by a governmental entity actual damages arising from a 257 governmental entity's violation of this section. The court shall 258 award the nonmoving prevailing party reasonable attorney fees 259 and costs incurred in connection with a claim that an action was filed in violation of this section if the nonmoving party 260 prevails on a motion filed under this section. 261

Page 9 of 10

	10-00151A-24 20241780
262	Section 12. If any provision of this act or the application
263	thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the
264	invalidity does not affect other provisions or applications of
265	this act which can be given effect without the invalid provision
266	or application, and to this end the provisions of this act are
267	severable.
268	Section 13. This act shall take effect July 1, 2024.

Page 10 of 10

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

SB 1780