House



LEGISLATIVE ACTION

Senate . Comm: RCS . 01/22/2024 .

The Committee on Judiciary (Yarborough) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert: Section 1. Subsection (9) of section 400.023, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 400.023 Civil enforcement.-

(9) An action under this part for a violation of rights or negligence recognized herein is not a claim for medical malpractice, and s. 768.21(8) does not apply to a claim alleging

Page 1 of 16

1 2 3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

932842

11	death of the resident.
12	Section 2. Section 400.0235, Florida Statutes, is amended
13	to read:
14	400.0235 Certain provisions not applicable to actions under
15	this part.—An action under this part for a violation of rights
16	or negligence recognized under this part is not a claim for
17	medical malpractice, and the provisions of s. 768.21(8) do not
18	apply to a claim alleging death of the resident.
19	Section 3. Section 429.295, Florida Statutes, is amended to
20	read:
21	429.295 Certain provisions not applicable to actions under
22	this part.—An action under this part for a violation of rights
23	or negligence recognized herein is not a claim for medical
24	malpractice, and the provisions of s. 768.21(8) do not apply to
25	a claim alleging death of the resident.
26	Section 4. Section 766.118, Florida Statutes, is amended to
27	read:
28	766.118 Determination of noneconomic damages
29	(1) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section, the term:
30	(a) <i>"Catastrophic injury" means a permanent impairment</i>
31	constituted by:
32	1. Spinal cord injury involving severe paralysis of an arm,
33	a leg, or the trunk;
34	2. Amputation of an arm, a hand, a foot, or a leg involving
35	the effective loss of use of that appendage;
36	3. Severe brain or closed-head injury as evidenced by:
37	a. Severe sensory or motor disturbances;
38	b. Severe communication disturbances;
39	c. Severe complex integrated disturbances of cerebral

Page 2 of 16

932842

40	function;
41	d. Severe episodic neurological disorders; or
42	e. Other severe brain and closed-head injury conditions at
43	least as severe in nature as any condition provided in sub-
44	subparagraphs ad.;
45	4. Second-degree or third-degree burns of 25 percent or
46	more of the total body surface or third-degree burns of 5
47	percent or more to the face and hands;
48	5. Blindness, defined as a complete and total loss of
49	vision; or
50	6. Loss of reproductive organs which results in an
51	inability to procreate.
52	(b) "Noneconomic damages" means noneconomic damages as
53	defined in s. 766.202(8).
54	<u>(b)</u> "Practitioner" means any person licensed under
55	chapter 458, chapter 459, chapter 460, chapter 461, chapter 462,
56	chapter 463, chapter 466, chapter 467, chapter 486, or s.
57	464.012 or registered under s. 464.0123. "Practitioner" also
58	means any association, corporation, firm, partnership, or other
59	business entity under which such practitioner practices or any
60	employee of such practitioner or entity acting in the scope of
61	his or her employment. For the purpose of determining the
62	limitations on noneconomic damages set forth in this section,
63	the term "practitioner" includes any person or entity for whom a
64	practitioner is vicariously liable and any person or entity
65	whose liability is based solely on such person or entity being
66	vicariously liable for the actions of a practitioner.
67	(2) LIMITATION ON NONECONOMIC DAMAGES FOR NEGLIGENCE OF <u>A</u>
68	PRACTITIONER PRACTITIONERS

Page 3 of 16



69 (a) With respect to a cause of action for personal injury 70 or wrongful death arising from medical negligence of a 71 practitioner practitioners, regardless of the number of such 72 practitioner defendants, noneconomic damages may shall not 73 exceed \$500,000 per claimant. No practitioner shall be liable for more than \$500,000 in noneconomic damages, regardless of the 74 75 number of practitioners who are liable for a claimant's damages 76 claimants.

77 (b) Notwithstanding paragraph (a), if the negligence 78 resulted in a permanent vegetative state or death, the total 79 noneconomic damages recoverable from all practitioners, 80 regardless of the number of claimants, under this paragraph 81 shall not exceed \$1 million. In cases that do not involve death 82 or permanent vegetative state, the patient injured by medical 83 negligence may recover noneconomic damages not to exceed \$1 million if: 84

1. The trial court determines that a manifest injustice would occur unless increased noneconomic damages are awarded, based on a finding that because of the special circumstances of the case, the noneconomic harm sustained by the injured patient was particularly severe; and

2. The trier of fact determines that the defendant's negligence caused a catastrophic injury to the patient.

(c) The total noneconomic damages recoverable by all claimants from all practitioner defendants under this subsection shall not exceed \$1 million in the aggregate.

(3) LIMITATION ON NONECONOMIC DAMAGES FOR NEGLIGENCE OF <u>A</u> NONPRACTITIONER DEFENDANTS.-

96 97

85

86 87

88

89

90

91

92 93

94

95

(a) With respect to a cause of action for personal injury

932842

98 or wrongful death arising from medical negligence of <u>a</u> 99 <u>nonpractitioner nonpractitioners</u>, regardless of the number of 90 such nonpractitioner defendants, noneconomic damages <u>may shall</u> 91 not exceed \$750,000 per claimant, regardless of the number of 92 <u>nonpractitioners who are liable for a claimant's damages</u>.

(b) Notwithstanding paragraph (a), if the negligence resulted in a permanent vegetative state or death, the total noneconomic damages recoverable by such claimant from all nonpractitioner defendants under this paragraph shall not exceed \$1.5 million. The patient injured by medical negligence of a nonpractitioner defendant may recover noneconomic damages not to exceed \$1.5 million if:

1. The trial court determines that a manifest injustice would occur unless increased noneconomic damages are awarded, based on a finding that because of the special circumstances of the case, the noneconomic harm sustained by the injured patient was particularly severe; and

2. The trier of fact determines that the defendant's negligence caused a catastrophic injury to the patient.

(c) <u>A</u> nonpractitioner <u>is</u> defendants are subject to the cap on noneconomic damages provided in this subsection regardless of the theory of liability, including vicarious liability.

(d) The total noneconomic damages recoverable by all claimants from all nonpractitioner defendants under this subsection shall not exceed \$1.5 million in the aggregate.

(4) LIMITATION ON NONECONOMIC DAMAGES FOR NEGLIGENCE OF <u>A</u>
 PRACTITIONER PRACTITIONERS PROVIDING EMERGENCY SERVICES AND
 CARE.-Notwithstanding subsections (2) and (3), with respect to a
 cause of action for personal injury or wrongful death arising

Page 5 of 16

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148 149

150

151

932842

127 from medical negligence of a practitioner who provided 128 practitioners providing emergency services and care, as defined 129 in s. 395.002(9), or provided providing services as provided in 130 s. 401.265, or provided providing services pursuant to 131 obligations imposed by 42 U.S.C. s. 1395dd to a person persons 132 with whom the practitioner did does not have a then-existing 133 health care patient-practitioner relationship for that medical 134 condition:

(a) Regardless of the number of such practitioner defendants, noneconomic damages <u>may</u> shall not exceed \$150,000 per claimant, regardless of the number of practitioners who are liable for a claimant's damages.

(b) Notwithstanding paragraph (a), the total noneconomic damages recoverable by all claimants from all such practitioners shall not exceed \$300,000. The limitation provided by this subsection applies only to noneconomic damages awarded as a result of any act or omission of providing medical care or treatment, including diagnosis that occurs prior to the time the patient is stabilized and is capable of receiving medical treatment as a nonemergency patient, unless surgery is required as a result of the emergency within a reasonable time after the patient is stabilized, in which case the limitation provided by this subsection applies to any act or omission of providing medical care or treatment which occurs prior to the stabilization of the patient following the surgery.

(5) LIMITATION ON NONECONOMIC DAMAGES FOR NEGLIGENCE OF <u>A</u>
NONPRACTITIONER DEFENDANTS PROVIDING EMERGENCY SERVICES AND
CARE.-Notwithstanding subsections (2) and (3), with respect to a
cause of action for personal injury or wrongful death arising

932842

156 from medical negligence of <u>a nonpractitioner</u> defendants other 157 than <u>a practitioner who provided</u> practitioners providing 158 emergency services and care pursuant to obligations imposed by 159 s. 395.1041 or s. 401.45, or obligations imposed by 42 U.S.C. s. 160 1395dd to <u>a person</u> persons with whom the practitioner <u>did</u> does 161 not have a then-existing health care patient-practitioner 162 relationship for that medical condition:

(a) Regardless of the number of such nonpractitioner defendants, Noneconomic damages <u>may</u> shall not exceed \$750,000 per claimant, regardless of the number of nonpractitioners who are liable for a claimant's damages.

(b) Notwithstanding paragraph (a), the total noneconomic damages recoverable by all claimants from all such nonpractitioner defendants shall not exceed \$1.5 million.

(c) <u>A</u> nonpractitioner defendants may receive a full setoff for payments made by <u>a</u> practitioner defendants.

173 The limitation provided by this subsection applies only to 174 noneconomic damages awarded as a result of any act or omission 175 of providing medical care or treatment, including a diagnosis 176 that occurs before prior to the time the patient is stabilized 177 and is capable of receiving medical treatment as a nonemergency 178 patient, unless surgery is required as a result of the emergency within a reasonable time after the patient is stabilized, in 179 180 which case the limitation provided by this subsection applies to 181 any act or omission of providing medical care or treatment which 182 occurs before prior to the stabilization of the patient 183 following the surgery.

184

163

164 165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

(6) LIMITATION ON NONECONOMIC DAMAGES FOR NEGLIGENCE OF A

932842

185 PRACTITIONER PROVIDING SERVICES AND CARE TO A MEDICAID 186 RECIPIENT.-Notwithstanding subsections (2), (3), and (5), with 187 respect to a cause of action for personal injury or wrongful 188 death arising from medical negligence of a practitioner 189 committed in the course of providing medical services and 190 medical care to a Medicaid recipient, regardless of the number 191 of such practitioner defendants providing the services and care, 192 noneconomic damages may not exceed \$300,000 per claimant, regardless of the number of practitioners who are liable for a 193 194 claimant's damages, unless the claimant pleads and proves, by 195 clear and convincing evidence, that the practitioner acted in a 196 wrongful manner. A practitioner providing medical services and 197 medical care to a Medicaid recipient is not liable for more than 198 \$200,000 in noneconomic damages, regardless of the number of 199 claimants, unless the claimant pleads and proves, by clear and 200 convincing evidence, that the practitioner acted in a wrongful 201 manner. The fact that a claimant proves that a practitioner 202 acted in a wrongful manner does not preclude the application of 203 the limitation on noneconomic damages prescribed elsewhere in 204 this section. For purposes of this subsection:

(a) The terms "medical services," "medical care," and "Medicaid recipient" have the same meaning as provided in s. 409.901.

(b) The term "practitioner," in addition to the meaning prescribed in subsection (1), includes any hospital or ambulatory surgical center as defined and licensed under chapter 395.

(c) The term "wrongful manner" means in bad faith or with malicious purpose or in a manner exhibiting wanton and willful

932842

214 disregard of human rights, safety, or property, and shall be 215 construed in conformity with the standard set forth in s. 216 768.28(9)(a).

217 (7) SETOFF.-In any case in which the jury verdict for 218 noneconomic damages exceeds the limits established by this 219 section, the trial court shall reduce the award for noneconomic 220 damages within the same category of defendants in accordance 221 with this section after making any reduction for comparative 2.2.2 fault as required by s. 768.81 but before application of a 223 setoff in accordance with ss. 46.015 and 768.041. In the event 224 of a prior settlement or settlements involving one or more 225 defendants subject to the limitations of the same subsection 226 applicable to a defendant remaining at trial, the court shall 227 make such reductions within the same category of defendants as 228 are necessary to ensure that the total amount of noneconomic 229 damages recovered by the claimant do does not exceed the 230 aggregate limit established by the applicable subsection. This 231 subsection is not intended to change current law relating to the 232 setoff of economic damages.

(8) ACTIONS GOVERNED BY SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY LAW.-This section does shall not apply to actions governed by s. 768.28.

235 Section 5. Subsection (8) of section 768.21, Florida Statutes, is amended, and subsections (3) and (4) of that section are republished, to read:

768.21 Damages.-All potential beneficiaries of a recovery for wrongful death, including the decedent's estate, shall be identified in the complaint, and their relationships to the decedent shall be alleged. Damages may be awarded as follows: (3) Minor children of the decedent, and all children of the

233

234

236

2.37

238

239

240

241 242

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267



decedent if there is no surviving spouse, may also recover for lost parental companionship, instruction, and guidance and for mental pain and suffering from the date of injury. For the purposes of this subsection, if both spouses die within 30 days of one another as a result of the same wrongful act or series of acts arising out of the same incident, each spouse is considered to have been predeceased by the other.

(4) Each parent of a deceased minor child may also recover for mental pain and suffering from the date of injury. Each parent of an adult child may also recover for mental pain and suffering if there are no other survivors.

(8) The damages specified in subsection (3) shall not be recoverable by adult children and the damages specified in subsection (4) shall not be recoverable by parents of an adult child with respect to claims for medical negligence as defined by s. 766.106(1).

Section 6. (1) Every medical malpractice insurer and every medical malpractice insurer rate filing made with the Office of Insurance Regulation on or after January 1, 2025, must reflect the projected changes in claim frequency, claim severity, and loss adjustment expenses, including for attorney fees, and any other change actuarially indicated, due to the combined effect of the applicable provisions of this act in order to ensure that rates for such insurance accurately reflect the risk of providing such insurance.

268 (2) The Office of Insurance Regulation shall consider in
 269 its review of rate filings made on or after January 1, 2025, the
 270 projected changes in costs associated with the amendments to ss.
 271 766.118 and 768.21(8), Florida Statutes, by this act. The office

Page 10 of 16

932842

. – .	1
272	may develop methodology and data that incorporate generally
273	accepted actuarial techniques and standards to be used in its
274	review of rate filings governed by this section. The methodology
275	must account for the expected losses, by class, of insureds
276	covered by a medical malpractice insurance, provided the
277	methodology is consistent with generally accepted actuarial
278	techniques and standards. Such methodology and data are not
279	intended to create a mandatory rate increase or decrease for all
280	medical malpractice insurers, but rather to ensure that the
281	rates for such coverage meet the requirements of s. 627.062,
282	Florida Statutes, and thus, are not inadequate, excessive, or
283	unfairly discriminatory and allow such insurers a reasonable
284	rate of return.
285	Section 7. (1) The Office of Program Policy Analysis and
286	Government Accountability shall study the efficacy of the
287	statutory caps imposed by this act on noneconomic damages in
288	actions for personal injury or wrongful death arising from
289	medical negligence. The office may retain experts as are
290	reasonably necessary to complete the study. The study must
291	include, but need not be limited to, an evaluation of the
292	current, historical, and forecast data of the following:
293	(a) The availability, affordability, and volatility of
294	professional liability insurance coverage for medical
295	negligence.
296	(b) The per capita supply of licensed physicians in this
297	state, including those in high-risk specialties that may
298	include, but are not limited to, internal medicine, general
299	surgery, and obstetrics and gynecology.
300	(c) The extent to which physicians in this state are forced

Page 11 of 16

932842

301	to practice medicine without professional liability insurance,
302	leave the state, refrain from practice in high-risk specialties,
303	or retire early from the practice of medicine.
304	(d) Evidence of the relationship between the statutory caps
305	and changes in the matters addressed in paragraphs (a), (b), and
306	<u>(c).</u>
307	(2) By December 31, 2029, the office shall submit a report
308	to the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of
309	the House of Representatives which includes findings from its
310	study and recommendations as to whether the statutory caps on
311	noneconomic damages should be retained, modified, or eliminated.
312	Section 8. For the purpose of incorporating the amendment
313	made by this act to section 766.118, Florida Statutes, in a
314	reference thereto, paragraph (a) of subsection (3) of section
315	766.209, Florida Statutes, is reenacted to read:
316	766.209 Effects of failure to offer or accept voluntary
317	binding arbitration
318	(3) If the defendant refuses a claimant's offer of
319	voluntary binding arbitration:
320	(a) The claim shall proceed to trial, and the claimant,
321	upon proving medical negligence, shall be entitled to recover
322	damages subject to the limitations in s. 766.118, prejudgment
323	interest, and reasonable attorney's fees up to 25 percent of the
324	award reduced to present value.
325	Section 9. This act applies to causes of action that accrue
326	on or after July 1, 2024.
327	Section 10. This act shall take effect July 1, 2024.
328	
329	========== TITLE AMENDMENT ===========

Page 12 of 16

932842

330	And the title is amended as follows:
331	Delete everything before the enacting clause
332	and insert:
333	A bill to be entitled
334	An act relating to medical negligence; amending ss.
335	400.023, 400.0235, and 429.295, F.S.; conforming
336	provisions to changes made by the act; amending s.
337	766.118, F.S.; deleting the definition of the term
338	"catastrophic injury"; revising the limits on
339	noneconomic damages for personal injury or wrongful
340	death arising from medical negligence; making
341	technical changes; amending s. 768.21, F.S.; deleting
342	a provision that prohibits adult children and parents
343	of adult children from recovering certain damages in
344	medical negligence suits; requiring that medical
345	malpractice insurer rate filings reflect certain
346	changes in costs and expenses; requiring the Office of
347	Insurance Regulation to consider such changes in its
348	review of rate filings; authorizing the Office of
349	Insurance Regulation to develop certain methodology
350	and data in reviewing rate filings by medical
351	malpractice insurers; requiring the Office of Program
352	Policy Analysis and Government Accountability to study
353	the efficacy of caps on noneconomic damages and to
354	report its findings and recommendations to the
355	Governor and the Legislature by a specified date;
356	reenacting s. 766.209(3)(a), F.S., relating to effects
357	of failure to offer or accept voluntary binding
358	arbitration, to incorporate the amendment made to s.

359



766.118, F.S., in a reference thereto; providing

360 applicability; providing an effective date. 361 362 WHEREAS, the Legislature finds that expanding the right to 363 recover noneconomic damages for wrongful death caused by medical 364 negligence furthers an important state interest of promoting 365 accountability and adherence to the applicable standards of 366 care, and 367 WHEREAS, the Legislature further recognizes that the 368 expansion of the right to recover damages must be balanced against the important state interests of minimizing increases in 369 370 the cost of malpractice insurance and promoting the availability 371 of quality health care services, and 372 WHEREAS, the Legislature finds that limitations on 373 noneconomic damages in medical negligence cases further the 374 critical state interest in promoting the affordability and 375 availability of health care services, and 376 WHEREAS, the Legislature finds that the cases of Estate of 377 McCall v. United States, 134 So. 3d 894 (Fla. 2014) and North 378 Broward Hospital District v. Kalitan, 219 So. 3d 49 (Fla. 2017), 379 which invalidated limits on noneconomic damages, were decided 380 contrary to legislative intent and prior case law interpreting 381 the equal protection clauses of the United States Constitution 382 and the State Constitution, and 383 WHEREAS, the cases of Estate of McCall v. United States and

North Broward Hospital District v. Kalitan are inconsistent with the decisions of other courts addressing limits on damages, and

386 WHEREAS, the Legislature finds that the state has the 387 highest medical malpractice insurance premiums in the nation and

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407



388 is in a sustained and continuing crisis of affordability with 389 respect to the price of medical malpractice insurance, and

390 WHEREAS, the Legislature finds that having the highest 391 medical malpractice insurance premiums in the nation is causing 392 physicians to practice medicine without malpractice insurance, 393 begin medical careers in other states, pursue opportunities to 394 practice in other states, abstain from performing high-risk 395 procedures in this state, or retire early from the practice of 396 medicine, and

WHEREAS, the Legislature finds that the crisis of having the highest medical malpractice insurance premiums in the nation threatens the quality and availability of health care services for everyone in this state, and

WHEREAS, the Legislature finds that the rapidly growing population and the changing demographics of this state make it imperative for the state to have a legal environment that helps to attract and retain physicians, and

WHEREAS, the Legislature finds that there is an overpowering public necessity to ensure that physicians practice medicine in this state, and

WHEREAS, the Legislature finds that there is also an overpowering public necessity to enact policies that prevent medical malpractice insurance premiums from being unaffordable and continuing at crisis levels, and

WHEREAS, the Legislature finds that limitations on noneconomic damages in medical negligence cases further the public necessities of making quality health care available to the residents of this state, ensuring that physicians practice medicine in this state, and ensuring that those physicians have



417 the opportunity to purchase affordable medical malpractice 418 insurance, NOW, THEREFORE,