

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF FINAL BILL ANALYSIS

BILL #: CS/HB 7025 PCB EQS 24-01 Education

SPONSOR(S): Education & Employment Committee and Education Quality Subcommittee, Trabulsy and others

TIED BILLS: None. **IDEN./SIM. BILLS:** CS/SB 7004

FINAL HOUSE FLOOR ACTION: 117 Y's

0 N's

GOVERNOR'S ACTION: Approved

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

CS/HB 7025 passed the House on February 29, 2024, as CS/SB 7004 as amended. The Senate concurred in the House amendment to the Senate bill and subsequently passed the bill as amended on March 6, 2024.

The bill provides district school boards flexibility to determine the adequate number of instructional materials in each classroom and additional time to review state-adopted instructional materials. The bill also provides superintendents with flexibility on instructional material reporting timeframes and authorizes principals to determine whether funds for lost or damaged instructional materials should be collected.

The bill eliminates unnecessary testing by reducing the administration of the coordinated screening and progress monitoring system in the summer Voluntary Prekindergarten (VPK) program from 3 times per year to 2 times per year and removing the requirement for administration of the common assessment for students in Department of Juvenile Justice prevention, residential, or day treatment programs. The bill allows school districts to satisfy the requirement to offer a summer VPK program by contracting with a private provider. The bill also requires that certain VPK students who demonstrate a substantial deficiency in reading or mathematics be referred to the local school district to receive additional instruction prior to entering kindergarten.

The bill strengthens the role of the parent in retention and remediation decisions for students in kindergarten through grade 2 and students who have been promoted to 4th grade with a good cause exemption.

The bill allows the State Board of Education (SBE) to provide a school implementing a turnaround plan additional time to implement a community school model if the school has received a community school planning grant. The bill removes a requirement for school districts to offer virtual instruction. The bill provides that a student who has reached the age of 16 and who has filed a formal declaration of intent to terminate school enrollment may take the GED assessment without an extraordinary exemption.

The bill removes obsolete SBE requirements including establishing certain tuition and out-of-state fees, identifying performance metrics for the Florida College System (FCS), and developing a plan that specifies goals and objectives for each FCS institution.

Finally, the bill repeals the Competency-Based Pilot Program and the single-gender programs requirements, and repeals reporting relating to fine arts, charter technical career centers, middle grades career courses, academically high-performing school districts, Committee of Practitioners under the No Child Left Behind Act, and duplicative community assessment feedback reports.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact.

The bill was approved by the Governor on May 9, 2024, ch. 2024-160, L.O.F., and will become effective on July 1, 2024.

I. SUBSTANTIVE INFORMATION

A. EFFECT OF CHANGES:

Background

In 2023, the Florida Legislature passed House Bill 1 (HB1) (Ch. 2023-16, Laws of Fla.), which included provisions regarding the deregulation of public schools. In an effort to provide more flexibility and efficiency and reduce unnecessary regulation, the bill required the State Board of Education (SBE) to develop and recommend to the Governor and Legislature for adoption during the 2024 legislative session repeals and revisions to the Florida Early Learning-20 Education Code, chapters 1000-1013, Florida Statutes.

Pursuant to HB1, the Department of Education (DOE) reviewed the entirety of the Code and considered input from Florida teachers, superintendents, administrators, school boards, public and private postsecondary institutions, home educators, and other stakeholders. Following that review, the SBE developed recommendations for the deregulation of Florida public schools and provided them to the Governor and Legislature on November 1, 2023.¹

Instructional Materials

Present Situation

Instructional Materials Purchase and Reporting

Each district school board has the constitutional duty and responsibility to select and provide adequate instructional materials for all students.² Adequate instructional materials is defined as a sufficient number of student or site licenses or set of materials that are available in bound, unbound, kit or package form and may consist of textbooks, electronic content, consumables, learning laboratories, manipulatives, electronic media and computer courseware or software that serve as the basis for instruction for each student in the core subject areas.³ The core subject areas are mathematics, language arts, social studies, science, reading, and literature. Each district school board is required to purchase current instructional materials to provide each student in kindergarten through grade 12 with a major tool of instruction in core courses.⁴ Purchases are required to be made within the first 3 years after the effective date of the adoption cycle for instructional materials adopted by the state.⁵

Each district school board or a consortium of school districts may implement an instructional materials program that includes the review, recommendation, adoption, and purchase of instructional materials.⁶ Procedures for the adoption of instructional materials by school districts or a consortium of school districts are specified in law.⁷

By May 15 of any year in which an instructional materials adoption is to be initiated, the DOE is required to advertise in the Florida Administrative Register four weeks preceding the date on which the bids must be received, that at a certain designated time, no later than June 15, sealed bids or proposals to be deposited with the DOE will be received from publishers or manufacturers for the

¹ Florida Department of Education (DOE), *Recommendations to Reduce Regulation in Public Schools (2023)*, available at https://flsenate.gov/Committees/Show/ED/MeetingPacket/5953/10483_MeetingPacket_5953_2.pdf.

² Section 1006.28(2), F.S.

³ Section 1006.28(1)(a)1., F.S.

⁴ Section 1006.40(2), F.S.

⁵ Section 1006.40(2)-(3)(a), F.S.

⁶ Section 1006.283(1), F.S.

⁷ Section 1006.28(2), F.S.

furnishing of instructional materials proposed to be adopted as listed in the advertisement beginning April 1 following the adoption.⁸

The district school superintendent is required to certify to the DOE annually by March 31 that all instructional materials for core courses used by the district are aligned with state standards.⁹ In addition, each district school superintendent is required to annually notify the DOE by April 1 of the state adopted materials that will be requisitioned for use in the district. The notification includes providing a plan for instructional materials use to verify that adequate instructional materials were requisitioned.¹⁰

Each school principal is charged with duties related to instructional materials including proper use of instructional materials, collections for lost or damaged materials, sale of materials, disposition of funds collected for materials, accounting for materials, and selection of library media center materials.¹¹ For lost, destroyed, or unnecessarily damaged materials, the school principal is required to collect from each student or the student's parent the purchase price of the material. Failure to pay may result in the suspension of the student from participation in extracurricular activities or satisfaction of the debt by the student through community service activities at the school site as determined by the school principal, pursuant to district school board policies.¹²

Objection to Materials

Each district school board is required to establish a process by which a parent or resident of the county may object to the use of a specific instructional material that was not subject to public adoption procedures or any other material used in a classroom, made available in a school library, or included on a reading list.¹³ If, through this process, the district school board finds that an instructional material does not meet state standards for adoption or that a material contains content harmful to a minor, is not suited to student needs and ability to comprehend the material, or is inappropriate for the grade level and age group it is used for, the district must discontinue the use of the material for that grade level or age group.¹⁴

Beginning June 30, 2023, the district school board must submit to the Commissioner of Education (commissioner) a report that identifies:

- each material for which the school district received an objection for the school year, including the grade level and course the material was used in and the grounds for the objection;
- each material that was removed or discontinued; and
- each material that was not removed or discontinued and the rationale for not removing or discontinuing the material.¹⁵

The DOE must publish and regularly update a list of materials that were removed or discontinued as a result of an objection and disseminate the list to school districts for consideration in their selection procedures.¹⁶

Effect of the Bill

Instructional Materials Purchase and Reporting

⁸ Section 1006.33(1)(a), F.S.

⁹ Section 1006.283(1), F.S.

¹⁰ Section 1006.28(3)(b), F.S.

¹¹ Section 1006.28(4), F.S.

¹² Section 1006.28(4)(b), F.S.

¹³ Section 1006.28(2)(a)2., F.S.

¹⁴ *Id.*

¹⁵ Section 1006.28(2)(e)3., F.S.

¹⁶ *Id.*

The bill provides flexibility for district school boards to determine the adequate number of instructional materials in each classroom, which authorizes sharing of materials, if appropriate.

The bill provides school districts with additional time to review state-adopted instructional materials by requiring the DOE to publish the list prior to the start of the local school district adoption process. Beginning with the 2025-2026 instructional materials adoption cycle, the bill requires the DOE to publish the list of adopted instructional materials no later than December 1, 2025 and for adoption cycles after 2025-2026, no later than July 31 in the year preceding the adoption. Additionally, the bill requires the DOE to publish an instructional materials adoption timeline that must include at least six months between the release of bid specifications and the deadline for the submission of bids.

The bill changes from a specific date to annually, as determined by the superintendent, for each superintendent to certify to the DOE that all instructional materials for core courses are aligned with state standards and to notify the DOE of the state-adopted instructional materials requisitioned for use in his or her school district. The bill also removes the requirement that the notification must include a district school board plan for instructional materials use.

Finally, the bill authorizes the school principal to determine when the collection of the purchase price for lost, destroyed, or damaged materials is appropriate.

Objection to Materials

The bill requires that the DOE-published and regularly updated list of materials that were removed or discontinued as a result of an objection be sorted by grade level.

PreK-12 Assessment, School Improvement, and Accountability

Present Situation

Pre-K-12 Assessments

The DOE is required to operate a statewide assessment program designed to accurately measure the core curricula content of the state educational standards.¹⁷ Participation in the assessment program is mandatory for all school districts and all students attending public schools.¹⁸

The statewide, standardized coordinated screening and progress monitoring (CSPM) system is used to measure student progress in public schools and in the Voluntary Prekindergarten (VPK) program to identify the educational strengths and needs of students.¹⁹ The system measures student progress in meeting the appropriate expectations in early literacy and mathematics skills and in English Language Arts (ELA) and mathematics standards. For students in VPK through grade 3, the system measures student performance in oral language development, phonological and phonemic awareness, knowledge of print and letters, decoding, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension, as applicable by grade level. The system must be administered at least three times in the school year and summer VPK programs.²⁰

Student Assessment for Department of Juvenile Justice Programs

The SBE must adopt rules prescribing expectations for education programs in Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) prevention, day treatment, residential, and detention programs.²¹ The rules include, but are not limited to, assessment procedures that require:

¹⁷ Section 1008.22(3), F.S.

¹⁸ *Id.*

¹⁹ Section 1008.25(8)(a)1., F.S.

²⁰ Section 1008.25(9)(b), F.S.; *see* rule 6M-8.620, F.A.C.

²¹ Section 1003.51(2), F.S.

- A common assessment for students in DJJ prevention, residential, or day treatment programs with a career assessment and academic assessment designed to benchmark student-level learning gains in ELA and mathematics between entry and exit from a DJJ education program.²²
- A determination of areas of academic need and strategies for intervention and instruction for students in a DJJ detention center.

The DOE, with school districts and juvenile justice education providers, selects an assessment instrument to measure learning gains in ELA and mathematics for a student in a juvenile justice education program.²³ Not only must students complete the common assessment, but all students in DJJ programs must participate in the statewide, standardized CSPM system as well as assessments for high school graduation.²⁴ The results of these assessments, together with a portfolio depicting the student's academic and career accomplishments, must be included in the discharge packet assembled for each student.²⁵

SBE rules must also include an accountability system with a series of graduated sanctions for district school boards whose educational programs in DJJ programs are considered to be unsatisfactory and if district school boards fail to meet standards prescribed by law, rule, or SBE policy. These sanctions include the option of requiring a district school board to contract with a provider or another district school board within 6 months if the educational program at the DJJ program continues to perform below minimum standards at the end of a 3-year monitoring period.²⁶

Community Partnership Schools

The community school is an approach used to improve the success of students, families and a community through collaborations between a school and community partners.²⁷ The community school model utilizes a long-term partnership program among a school district, a community organization, a college or university, and a health care provider to establish, develop, and sustain a system for addressing student, family, and community needs during and outside of the school day.²⁸ In 2019, Florida created a competitive grant program to expand community schools to improve student success by promoting the collaborations between a school and community partners.²⁹

Currently there are 36 schools that are utilizing the community partnership model in Florida. Of the approx. 33,600 students enrolled in a community school, 95 percent of those students are considered economically disadvantaged.³⁰ In the 2022-2023 school year, over 550,000 hours of tutoring and academic support and over 12,000 hours of mentoring were provided to students enrolled in a community partnership school.³¹ Additionally, over 9,600 behavioral health sessions and over 4,000 primary health visits were provided to students enrolled in a community partnership school.³²

Effect of the Bill

Pre-K-12 Assessments

²² Section 1003.51(2)(g)1. See also Florida Department of Education, *FAQs on the Common Assessment for DJJ Programs*, available at <https://www.fldoe.org/schools/k-12-public-schools/school-improvement/faq.stml> (last visited Mar. 19, 2024).

²³ Section 1003.52(3)(d), F.S.

²⁴ Rule 6A-6.05281, F.A.C.

²⁵ Section 1003.51(2)(g)2., F.S.

²⁶ Section 1003.51(2)(r), F.S. See also Rule 6A-1.099813, F.A.C.

²⁷ University of Central Florida Center for Community Schools, *About Community Schools*, <https://ccie.ucf.edu/communityschools/schools/>, (last visited Mar. 19, 2024).

²⁸ Section 1003.64(2)(c), F.S.

²⁹ Ch. 2011-23, L.O.F.

³⁰ *Id.*

³¹ University of Central Florida, Center for Community School, *2022-2023 Impact data*, <https://ccie.ucf.edu/communityschools/partnership-schools/cps-impact-data/>, (last visited Mar. 19, 2024).

³² *Id.*

The bill revises the requirement that summer VPK program providers administer the CSPM system from 3 times per year to 2 times per year, once at the beginning and once at the end of the summer program. Administering the CSPM system 3 times per year during the shortened summer program adds no instructional value and will not provide sufficient time between administrations to make data informed decisions.

Student Assessment for Department of Juvenile Justice Programs

The bill removes the requirement for duplicative assessments of students in DJJ programs. Students in DJJ programs are required to take the same statewide assessments as all public school students. Under Florida's new statewide CSPM system, the common assessment requirement is no longer needed and removing it is consistent with the goal of reducing testing. The bill also revises the requirements for which assessment results must be included in a student's discharge packet.

The bill removes the requirement that SBE rule include a series of graduated sanctions for district school boards whose educational programs in DJJ programs are considered to be unsatisfactory and for instances in which district school boards fail to meet certain standards. The bill also removes the requirement that SBE rule include a provision that district school boards, for programs that still fail to meet standards, take action on a provider contract within six months after a monitoring plan. This will provide greater flexibility to the SBE to determine improvement measures for district school board education programs.

Community Partnership Schools

The bill allows the SBE to provide a school implementing a turnaround plan additional time to implement a community school model if the school has received a community school planning grant.

Grade Retention and Supports

Present Situation

Florida has long been a national leader in investments and policy ideas targeted at improving early grades literacy. Specifically, over the past five years, the legislature has passed several policies that provide targeted supports for early grades literacy, such as:

- Implementing a CSPM system in grades VPK-3 to allow educators to make real-time adjustments to instruction throughout the school year.³³
- Enhancing the requirements for educators earning the reading endorsement.³⁴
- Providing books to eligible student in grades K-5 through the New Worlds Reading Initiative.³⁵
- Inclusion of a grade 3 English Language Arts (ELA) component in the school grades model.³⁶

Interventions and Required Supports for Struggling Readers

Students in kindergarten through grade 3 who exhibit a substantial reading deficiency, based on locally collected data, statewide assessments, or through teacher observations, must be given intensive reading instruction immediately following the identification of the deficiency.³⁷ A school may not wait for a student to receive a failing grade at the end of a grading period to identify the student as having a

³³ Ch. 2021-10, L.O.F.

³⁴ Ch. 2021-09, L.O.F.

³⁵ Ch. 2021-193, L.O.F.

³⁶ Ch. 2023-39, L.O.F.

³⁷ Section 1008.25(5)(a), F.S.

substantial reading deficiency and initiate intensive reading interventions.³⁸ A student's reading proficiency must be monitored and the intensive interventions must continue until the student demonstrates grade level proficiency in a manner determined by the school district, which may include achieving a Level 3 on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.³⁹

Students who do not achieve a Level 3 or above on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment must be evaluated to determine the nature of the student's difficulty, the areas of academic need, and strategies for providing academic supports to improve the student's performance.⁴⁰ District school boards are required to prioritize remedial and supplemental instruction resources first to students in kindergarten through grade 3 who have a substantial reading deficiency and then to students who fail to meet performance levels required for promotion under the school district's student progression plan.⁴¹

A student who is not meeting school district or state requirements for satisfactory performance in ELA must be covered by one of the following plans:⁴²

- A federally required student plan such as an individual education plan (IEP);
- A school wide system of progress monitoring for all students, except that a student who scores Level 4 or above on the ELA assessment may be exempted from participation by the principal; or
- An individualized progress monitoring plan.

School districts have a variety of intervention and progress monitoring options available to help students improve their academic performance. Retention is mandatory for grade 3 students who score at Level 1 on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.⁴³ Any student retained in grade 3 because of his or her statewide, standardized ELA assessment score must receive intensive interventions and be taught by a "highly-effective" teacher with a reading endorsement or certification in reading.⁴⁴

Interventions must include:⁴⁵

- Evidence-based, explicit, systematic, and multisensory reading instruction in phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension and other strategies prescribed by the school district.
- Participation in the school district's summer reading camp.
- A minimum of 90 minutes of daily, uninterrupted reading instruction. This instruction may include coordinated integration of content-rich texts in science and civic literacy; small group instruction; reduced teacher-student ratios; more frequent progress monitoring, tutoring or mentoring; transition classes containing and grades 3 and 4 students; and extended school day, week, or year.

Additionally, each school district must establish at each school, when applicable, an intensive reading acceleration course for any student retained in grade 3 who was previously retained in kindergarten, grade 1, or grade 2. The intensive reading acceleration course must provide the following:⁴⁶

- Uninterrupted reading instruction for the majority of student contact time each day and opportunities to master the grade 4 state academic standards in other core subject areas through content-rich texts.
- Small group instruction.

³⁸ Section 1008.25(5)(a), F.S. *See also* s. 1003.57, F.S. For purposes of this section, a licensed professional means an individual licensed pursuant to ch. 490, F.S.

³⁹ Section 1008.25(5)(a), F.S.

⁴⁰ Section 1008.25(4)(a), F.S.

⁴¹ Section 1008.25(3), F.S.

⁴² Section 1008.25(4)(b), F.S.

⁴³ Section 1008.25(5)(c), F.S. A student may be promoted if he or she meets a "good cause" exception as provided in s. 1008.25(6)(b), F.S.

⁴⁴ *See* ss. 1008.25(7)(b)3. and 1012.34(2)(e), F.S.

⁴⁵ Section 1008.25(7)(a), F.S.

⁴⁶ Section 1008.25(7)(b)4., F.S.

- Reduced teacher-student ratios.
- The use of explicit, systematic, and multisensory reading interventions, including intensive language, phonics, and vocabulary instruction, and use of a speech-language therapist if necessary, that have proven results in accelerating student reading achievement within the same school year.
- A read-at-home plan.

Third Grade Retention and Good Cause Exemptions

Since 2002, Florida has mandated that grade 3 students who score at an achievement level 1 on a statewide, standardized assessment be retained unless a student meets a good cause exemption.⁴⁷ Students can meet a good cause exemption if one of the following criteria is met:⁴⁸

- Limited English proficient students who have had less than 2 years of instruction in an English for Speakers of Other Languages program.
- Students with disabilities whose IEP indicates that participation in the statewide assessment program is not appropriate.
- Students who demonstrate an acceptable level of performance on an alternative standardized reading or ELA assessment approved by the State Board of Education.
- A student who demonstrates through a student portfolio that he or she is performing at least at Level 2 on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- Students with disabilities who take the statewide, standardized ELA assessment and who have an IEP or a Section 504 plan that reflects that the student has received intensive instruction in reading or ELA for more than 2 years but still demonstrates a deficiency and was previously retained in prekindergarten, kindergarten, grade 1, grade 2, or grade 3.
- Students who have received intensive reading intervention for 2 or more years but still demonstrate a deficiency in reading and who were previously retained in kindergarten, grade 1, grade 2, or grade 3 for a total of 2 years. A student may not be retained more than once in grade 3.

Effect of the Bill

The bill requires each district school board to include VPK program students, who have been referred to the school district as a result of exhibiting a substantial deficiency in early literacy skills as determined by the midyear or final CSPM assessment, in the district's comprehensive plan for student progression.

Additionally, the bill requires that the student progression plan specify retention requirements for students in kindergarten through grade 2 based on each student's performance in ELA and mathematics. For students who are retained in kindergarten through grade 2, the plan must incorporate parental notification requirements, include an opportunity for parental input on the retention decision, and include information on the importance of students mastering early literacy and communication skills in order to be reading at or above grade level by the end of grade 3.

The bill authorizes the use of remedial and supplemental instructional resources for VPK program students who have a substantial deficiency in early literacy or early mathematics skills.

The bill requires that an individualized progress monitoring plan for a student with a substantial reading or mathematics deficiency be developed within 45 days after the results of the CSPM system becoming available.

⁴⁷ Ch. 2002-387, L.O.F.

⁴⁸ Section 1008.25(7)(b)1.-6., F.S.

The bill requires public school VPK program students who exhibit a substantial deficiency in early literacy or early mathematics skills, as determined by the results of the midyear or final administration of the CSPM, be provided specific reading interventions immediately following the identification of the deficiency.

The bill requires the SBE to adopt rules to provide guidelines for determining whether a student in a public school VPK program has a substantial deficiency in reading or mathematics.

Current law requires that VPK students who exhibit a substantial deficiency in early literacy or early mathematics skills, based upon the results of the final CSPM, be referred to the local school district and provides that such student may be eligible to receive instruction in early literacy or early mathematics skills before participating in kindergarten. The bill expands this to include VPK program students who exhibit a substantial deficiency in the midyear CSPM.

The bill requires that parents of any VPK through grade 3 public school student, who exhibits a substantial deficiency in reading or mathematics be immediately notified in writing. The notification must include information on the student progression requirements. Furthermore, upon the request of the parent, the bill requires the teacher or school administrator meet to discuss the student's progress. The parent may request more frequent notification of the student's progress, more frequent interventions or supports, and earlier implementation of the additional interventions or supports described in the initial notification.

For students who have been promoted to grade 4 with a good cause exemption, the bill requires that upon the request of the parent, the teacher or school administrator must meet to discuss the student's progress. The parent may request more frequent notification of the student's progress, more frequent interventions or supports, and earlier implementation of the additional interventions or supports described in the initial notification.

High School Equivalency Diploma Program

Present Situation

The High School Equivalency Diploma offers students who are no longer enrolled in high school an opportunity to earn a high school diploma by successfully passing the standard GED tests. A candidate for a high school equivalency diploma must be at least 18 years of age on the date of the examination, except that in extraordinary circumstances, as provided for in rules of the district school board of the district in which the candidate resides or attends school, a candidate may take the examination after reaching the age of 16.⁴⁹ School districts may not require a student who has reached the age of 16 to take any course before taking the examination unless the student fails to achieve a passing score on the GED practice test.⁵⁰ In the 2021-2022 graduation cohort, 392 students earned a GED-based diploma.⁵¹

Effect of the Bill

The bill provides that a student who has filed a formal declaration of intent to terminate school enrollment pursuant to s. 1003.21(1)(c) may take the assessment for a high school equivalency diploma, without an extraordinary exemption, after reaching the age of 16.

Education Choice and Virtual Instruction Programs

⁴⁹ Section 1003.435(4), F.S.

⁵⁰ *Id.*

⁵¹ Florida Department of Education, *Florida's High School Cohort 2021-22 Graduation Rate*, <https://www.fldoe.org/core/fileparse.php/7584/urlt/GradRates2122.pdf>, (last visited Mar. 19, 2024).

Present Situation

Academically Challenging Curriculum to Enhance Learning (ACCEL) Options

Each Florida school is required to offer Academically Challenging Curriculum to Enhance Learning (ACCEL) options.⁵² At a minimum each school must offer ACCEL options that include but are not limited to: whole grade and midyear promotion; subject matter acceleration; virtual instruction in higher grade level subjects; and the Credit Acceleration Program. Parents may request student participation in an ACCEL option. However, when the option is requested by the parent, a performance contract must be executed between the student, parent, and principal. At a minimum, the performance contract must require compliance with:

- Minimum student attendance requirements.
- Minimum student conduct requirements.
- ACCEL option requirements established by the principal, which may include participation in extracurricular activities, educational outings, field trips, interscholastic competitions, and other activities related to the ACCEL option selected.⁵³

Virtual Instruction Programs

Virtual instruction programs are programs of instruction provided in an interactive learning environment created through technology in which students are separated from their teachers by time, space, or both.⁵⁴ Under Florida law⁵⁵, a school district must establish multiple opportunities for student participation in part-time and full-time kindergarten through grade 12 virtual instruction. Options include, but are not limited to:

- School district operated part-time or full-time virtual instruction programs for kindergarten through grade 12 students enrolled in the school district. A full-time program must operate under its own Master School Identification Number.
- Florida Virtual School instructional services.
- Blended learning instruction provided by charter schools.
- Virtual charter school instruction.
- Courses delivered in the traditional school setting by personnel providing direct instruction through virtual instruction or through blended learning courses consisting of both traditional classroom and online instructional techniques.
- Virtual courses offered in the course code directory to students within the school district or to students in other school districts throughout the state.⁵⁶

School districts are required to provide at least one option for part-time and full-time virtual instruction for students residing within the school district.⁵⁷ School districts must also provide parents with timely written notification of at least one open enrollment period for full-time students of 90 days or more which ends 30 days before the first day of the school year.⁵⁸ To be approved, a virtual instruction program provider must document that it is nonsectarian in its programs, admission policies, employment practices, and operations.⁵⁹

School district virtual instruction programs must meet the following requirements:⁶⁰

- Align virtual course curriculum and course content to the state academic standards.

⁵² Section 1002.3105, F.S.

⁵³ Section 1002.3105(4)(c), F.S.

⁵⁴ Section 1002.45(1)(a)3., F.S.

⁵⁵ Ch. 2011-137, L.O.F.

⁵⁶ Section 1002.321(3), F.S.

⁵⁷ Section 1002.45(1)(b)1., F.S.

⁵⁸ *Id.*

⁵⁹ Section 1002.45(2)(a)1., F.S.

⁶⁰ Section 1002.45(3), F.S.

- Offer instruction that is designed to enable a student to gain proficiency in each virtual instruction course of study.
- Provide each student enrolled in the virtual instruction program with all the necessary instructional materials.
- Provide each full-time student enrolled in the virtual instruction program who qualifies for free or reduced-price school lunches under the National School Lunch Act, or who is on the direct certification list, and who does not have a computer or Internet access in his or her home with:
 - All equipment necessary for participants in the virtual instruction program, including, but not limited to, a computer, computer monitor, and printer, if a printer is necessary to participate in the virtual instruction program; and
 - Access to or reimbursement for all Internet services necessary for online delivery of instruction.

In 2023, the Legislature removed the requirement that a student take at least one online course in order to graduate with a standard high school diploma.⁶¹

Effect of the Bill

Academically Challenging Curriculum to Enhance Learning (ACCEL) Options

The bill removes the requirement for a performance contract to be executed between the student, parent, and principal when the request for an ACCEL option is made by the parent. Instead a performance contract may be used at the principal's discretion. This aligns with the flexibility offered to a principal for a school-initiated ACCEL option.

Virtual Instruction Programs

The bill removes the requirement for a school district to establish multiple opportunities for student participation in part-time and full-time kindergarten through grade 12 virtual instruction. The bill also removes the requirement for the school district to offer a virtual option since the Legislature removed the virtual course requirement for graduation. These changes provide school districts with more flexibility and ability to compete.

The bill removes the requirement for a virtual provider to document that it is nonsectarian in its programs, admission policies, employment practices, and operations.

Finally, the bill authorizes a school district virtual program to provide the equipment and access necessary for participation to any full-time student enrolled in the program, not limited to those meeting specified criteria.

School District and Department of Education Required Reports

Present Situation

Fine Arts Report

The commissioner is required to prepare an annual report that includes a description, based on annual reporting by schools, of student access to and participation in fine arts courses, which are visual arts, music, dance, and theatre courses; the number and certification status of educators providing instruction in the courses; educational facilities designed and classroom space equipped for fine arts instruction; and the manner in which schools are providing the core curricular content for fine arts

⁶¹ Ch. 2023-16, L.O.F.

established in the state academic standards. The report is to be posted on the DOE's website and updated annually through the Know Your Schools portal.⁶²

Charter Technical Career Centers Report

A charter technical career center is a public school or a public technical center operated under a charter granted by a district school board or Florida College System (FCS) institution board of trustees, or a consortium of districts and FCS institutions.⁶³

The commissioner must provide for an annual comparative evaluation of charter technical career centers and public technical centers. By December 30 of each year, the commissioner must submit to the Governor, the President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the Senate and House committees that have responsibility for secondary and postsecondary career and technical education a report of the comparative evaluation completed for the previous school year.⁶⁴ There is only one charter technical center operating in Florida, Lake Technical College.⁶⁵

Middle Grades Career and Professional Academy Courses and Career-Themed Courses Report

Each district school board, in collaboration with local workforce development boards, economic development agencies, and state-approved postsecondary institutions, are required to include plans to implement a career and professional academy or a career-themed course in at least one middle school in the district as part of the strategic 3-year plan.⁶⁶ The DOE is required to collect and report student achievement data for students enrolled in an academy or a career-themed course.⁶⁷

Academically High-Performing School District Report

A school district is an academically high-performing school district if it meets the following criteria:⁶⁸

- Earns a grade of "A" for 2 consecutive years; and has no district-operated school that earns a grade of "F";
- Complies with all class size requirements in s. 1, Art. IX of the State Constitution; and
- Has no material weaknesses or instances of material noncompliance noted in the annual financial audit conducted.

After a school district is determined to be an academically high-performing school district, it is required to submit to the SBE and the Legislature an annual report on December 1 which delineates the performance of the school district relative to the academic performance of students at each grade level in reading, writing, mathematics, science, and any other subject that is included as a part of the statewide assessment program.⁶⁹

Committee of Practitioners Pursuant to the Federal No Child Left Behind Act

The DOE is required to establish a committee of practitioners pursuant to federal requirements of the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001. The committee members shall be appointed by the commissioner and shall annually report to the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of

⁶² Section 1003.4995, F.S.

⁶³ Section 1002.34(3)(a), F.S.

⁶⁴ Section 1002.34(19), F.S.

⁶⁵ Florida Department of Education, *A Comparison of State of Florida Charter Technical Career Centers to District Non-Charter Career Centers, Program Year 2021-2022*, available at <https://www.fldoe.org/core/fileparse.php/9904/urlt/charterreview22.pdf>.

⁶⁶ Section 1003.4935(1), F.S.

⁶⁷ Section 1003.4935(3), F.S.

⁶⁸ Section 1003.621(1), F.S.

⁶⁹ Section 1003.621(4), F.S.

Representatives by January 1. The committee is required to meet regularly and is authorized to review potential rules and policies that will be considered by the SBE.⁷⁰

Implementation of State System of School Improvement and Education Accountability

The commissioner is responsible for implementing and maintaining a system of intensive school improvement and stringent education accountability.⁷¹ The DOE must provide an annual feedback report that includes the following:⁷²

- For each school district:
 - The percentage of students, by school and grade level, demonstrating learning growth in ELA and mathematics.
 - The percentage of students, by school and grade level, in both the highest and lowest quartiles demonstrating learning growth in ELA and mathematics.
 - The information contained in the school district's required annual report to the parent of each student on the progress of the student toward achieving state and district expectations for proficiency in ELA, science, social studies, and mathematics.⁷³
- Intervention and support strategies used by school districts whose students in both the highest and lowest quartiles exceed the statewide average learning growth for students in those quartiles.
- Intervention and support strategies used by school districts whose schools provide educational services to youth in DJJ programs that demonstrate learning growth in ELA and mathematics that exceeds the statewide average learning growth for students in those subjects.
- Intervention and support strategies used by school districts that were effective in improving the reading performance of students, as indicated by student performance data, who are identified as having a substantial reading deficiency.

The commissioner must review each district school board's feedback report and submit findings to the SBE. If adequate progress is not being made toward implementing and maintaining a system of school improvement and education accountability, the SBE will direct the commissioner to prepare and implement a corrective action plan. The commissioner and SBE must monitor the development and implementation of the corrective action plan.⁷⁴

The commissioner will assign a community assessment team to each school district or governing board with a school that earned a grade of "D" or "F" to review the school performance data and determine causes for the low performance, including the role of school, area, and district administrative personnel. The team will make recommendations to the school board or the governing board and to the SBE based on the interventions and support strategies identified in the feedback report to address the causes of the school's low performance and to incorporate the strategies into the school improvement plan. The assessment team must include, but not be limited to, a department representative, parents, business representatives, educators, representatives of local governments, and community activists, and must represent the demographics of the community from which they are appointed.⁷⁵

Effect of the Bill

The bill repeals the following required reports:

- Fine Arts Report.
- Charter Technical Career Centers Report.

⁷⁰ Section 1008.332, F.S.

⁷¹ Section 1008.345, F.S.

⁷² Section 1008.345(5), F.S.

⁷³ Section 1008.25(10), F.S.

⁷⁴ Section 1008.345(4), F.S.

⁷⁵ Section 1008.345(6)(d), F.S.

- Middle Grades Career and Professional Academy Courses and Career-Themed Courses Report.
- Academically High-Performing School District Report.

All of the data used for these reports will still be available to the general public through the Know Your Schools Portal and the PK-12 Public School Data Publications and Reports on the DOE website, or can be provided upon request by the DOE.

The bill removes the requirement for an annual report from the committee of practitioners pursuant to the federal requirements of the No Child Left Behind Act as this report is neither required in federal law nor necessary. The bill also updates references to the Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015.

The bill removes the requirement that the DOE develop an annual feedback report on progress toward implementing and maintaining a system of school improvement and education accountability as this data is available through the Know Your Schools Portal and the information collected by the DOE is sufficient to inform the SBE and Legislature on the state of school improvement and necessary policy revisions.

Finally, the bill removes the use of feedback reports when community assessment teams are making recommendations to the school board or the governing board and to the SBE to address the causes of a school's low performance.

School District Programs

Present Situation

Competency Based Pilot Program

Beginning with the 2016-2017 school year, the Competency-Based Education Pilot Program was created within the DOE to be administered for a period of 7 years, through the 2022-23 school year. The purpose of the pilot program was to provide an educational environment that allows students to advance to higher levels of learning upon the mastery of concepts and skills through statutory exemptions relating to student progression and the awarding of credits.⁷⁶ The program was open to the following school districts:⁷⁷

- The P.K. Yonge Developmental Research School
- Lake County School District
- Palm Beach County School District
- Pinellas County School District
- Seminole County School District

The last year of the program was the 2022-23 school year.

Single-Gender Programs

Florida allows a district school board to establish and maintain a non-vocational class, extracurricular activity, or school for elementary, middle, or high school students in which enrollment is limited to a single gender if the school district also makes available a substantially equal:⁷⁸

- Single-gender class, extracurricular activity, or school to students of the other gender; and
- Coeducational class, extracurricular activity, or school to all students.

Art in the Capitol Competition

⁷⁶ Section 1003.4996, F.S.

⁷⁷ Section 1003.4996(1), F.S.

⁷⁸ Sections 1000.05 and 1002.311, F.S.

The Art in the Capitol Competition is a statewide visual arts competition for students in grades 6 through 8, administered by the Department of Management Services and the DOE. Each school district must annually hold an Art in the Capitol Competition for all public, private, and home education students in grades 6 through 8.⁷⁹

Effect of the Bill

The bill repeals the competency-based pilot program as 2022-23 was the last year of the pilot and it was not extended in statute. It also repeals the state authorization for single-gender programs as it is unnecessary and duplicative of federal law. School districts may still offer single-gender classes, activities, or schools as long as they comport with applicable federal law.⁸⁰ Finally, the bill makes the Art in the Capitol Competition optional for school districts.

School Readiness Oversight

Present Situation

Established in 1999,⁸¹ the School Readiness Program provides subsidies for child care services and early childhood education for children of low-income families; children in protective services who are at risk of abuse, neglect, abandonment, or homelessness; foster children; and children with disabilities.⁸² The School Readiness Program offers financial assistance for child care to these families while supporting children in the development of skills for success in school. Additionally, the program provides developmental screening and referrals to health and education specialists where needed. These services are provided in conjunction with other programs for young children such as Head Start, Early Head Start, Migrant Head Start, Child Care Resource and Referral (CCR&R), and the VPK Program.⁸³

The School Readiness Program is a state-federal partnership between the DOE and the Office of Child Care of the United States Department of Health and Human Services.⁸⁴ It is administered by early learning coalitions (ELCs) at the county or regional level.⁸⁵ The DOE's Division of Early Learning (DEL) is the lead administrator of the program at the state level, including statewide coordination of the ELCs.⁸⁶

Department of Education Oversight

The DOE is the lead agency in Florida for administering the federal Child Care and Development Block Grant (CCDBG), from which funds are used to implement the School Readiness Program. Florida law requires the DOE to focus on improving the educational quality of all program providers participating in the School Readiness Program while preserving parental choice by permitting parents to choose from a variety of child care categories.⁸⁷

⁷⁹ Section 1003.49965, F.S.

⁸⁰ 34 C.F.R. s.106.34 provides federal provisions for education programs for students on the basis of their sex.

⁸¹ Section 1, ch. 99-357, L.O.F.

⁸² Sections 1002.81 and 1002.87, F.S.

⁸³ Florida Department of Education, Division of Early Learning, *What is School Readiness (SR)?*, <https://www.fldoe.org/schools/early-learning/parents/school-readiness.stml> (last visited Mar. 8, 2024).

⁸⁴ Section 1002.82(1), F.S., U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Child Care (OCC), *OCC Fact Sheet*, <http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/occ/fact-sheet-occ> (last visited Mar. 19, 2024).

⁸⁵ Section 1002.83(1), F.S.

⁸⁶ Section 1002.82(1), F.S., see also Florida Department of Education, Division of Early Learning, *What is School Readiness (SR)?*, <https://www.fldoe.org/schools/early-learning/parents/school-readiness.stml> (last visited Mar. 19, 2024).

⁸⁷ Section 1002.82(1) and (2)(a)-(b), F.S. Care and curriculum by a faith-based provider must not be limited or excluded in any of these categories.

The DOE must adopt, in rule, a statewide provider contract to be used by each School Readiness Program provider, review and approve each ELC's School Readiness Plan every 2 years, monitor and evaluate the performance of each ELC in administering the School Readiness Program and the VPK Program, and identify valid, reliable, observation-based child assessments.⁸⁸

Early Learning Coalition Oversight

Each ELC administers the School Readiness Program,⁸⁹ the VPK Program,⁹⁰ and the CCR&R Program in its county or multicounty region.⁹¹ There are currently 30 ELCs.⁹² Each ELC is governed by a board of directors comprised of various stakeholders and community representatives. Three board members, including the chair, are appointed by the Governor.⁹³

In order to participate in the School Readiness Program, each ELC must biennially submit a School Readiness Plan to the DOE for approval.⁹⁴ The plan must include, but is not limited to:

- The ELC's operations, including its membership and articles of incorporation and bylaws, if applicable.
- The minimum number of children to be served by care level.
- The procedures for implementing program requirements such as single point of entry, uniform waiting list, eligibility and enrollment processes and local eligibility priorities, parent access and choice, sliding fee scale, payment rate, use of preassessments and postassessments, as applicable, and the use of contracted slots based on the ELC's assessment of local priorities.
- A detailed description of the ELC's quality activities and services, including resource and referral and school-age child care, infant and toddler early learning, inclusive early learning programs, and quality improvement strategies that strengthen teaching practices and increase child outcomes.
- A detailed budget outlining the estimated expenditures for state, federal, and local matching funds.
- A detailed accounting of all revenues and expenditures during the previous state fiscal year.
- Updated policies and procedures governing procurement, maintenance of tangible personal property, maintenance of records, information technology security, and disbursement controls.
- A description of the procedures for monitoring school readiness program providers, including in response to a parental complaint, to determine that standards are met using a standard monitoring tool adopted by the department.
- Documentation that the coalition has solicited and considered comments regarding the proposed school readiness plan from the local community.
- An assessment of local priorities within the county or multicounty region based on the needs of families and provider capacity using available community data.⁹⁵

Effect of the Bill

The bill revises the requirement that each ELC submit a School Readiness Plan to the DOE from every 2 years to every 3 years. The bill also revises the requirement that the DOE review each ELC's School

⁸⁸ Section 1002.82(2)(e), (k), (m), and (s), F.S.

⁸⁹ Part VI, ch. 1002, F.S.

⁹⁰ Part V, ch. 1002, F.S.

⁹¹ Section 1002.84, F.S.

⁹² Florida Department of Education, Division of Early Learning, *Early Learning Coalitions Directory*, available at <https://www.fldoe.org/core/fileparse.php/20648/urlt/ELCDirectory.pdf>. Florida law permits the establishment of 30 or fewer ELCs. Section 1002.83(1), F.S.

⁹³ Section 1002.83(3), F.S. In the absence of a governor-appointed chair, the Commissioner of Education may appoint an interim chair from the current ELC board membership.

⁹⁴ Section 1002.85(2), F.S.

⁹⁵ *Id.*

Readiness Plan from every 2 years to every 3 years. These changes align the review process with the DOE's submission of the federal Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) Plan. In addition, the bill requires the ELCs to provide a detailed accounting of all revenues and expenditures during the 2 previous state fiscal years, rather than just the previous fiscal year. This aligns with the shift of the plan submission and review from every 2 years to every 3 years.

Voluntary Prekindergarten Program

Present Situation

Since the inception of the Voluntary Prekindergarten (VPK) program, public schools have been instrumental in delivering the program. Public schools deliver both the 540 hour school year VPK program⁹⁶ and the 300 hour summer VPK program.⁹⁷ In general, public schools comprise just over 20 percent of the overall VPK programs during the entire program year.⁹⁸

Public schools are required to contract through the early learning coalitions and are subject to the same requirements as non-public programs in terms of implementing instructional standards, personnel requirements, and program accountability. While both public schools and private providers offer the school year prekindergarten program, public school districts are required to offer the summer VPK program, consisting of 300 hours of instruction, to any parent who enrolls his or her child in the program.⁹⁹ Participation in the summer VPK program has steadily declined from 2016-2017 to 2022-2023. For example, in the 2016-2017 summer VPK program there were 5,272 children enrolled, and in the 2022-2023 summer program there were 2,620 children enrolled.¹⁰⁰ According to 2022-2023 summer VPK program data, there were 1,330 children enrolled in summer VPK public school programs across 42 school districts.¹⁰¹ These enrollments are in contrast to the 153,638 school year VPK enrollment for 2022-2023.¹⁰²

Public school district funding for the summer VPK program requires that the number of children funded is divisible by 12.¹⁰³ For example, if a district serves only 2 children for the summer VPK program, the district is funded for 12 children. Based on data for the 2022-2023 program, 36 districts received additional funding for 196 full-time equivalent enrollments over the actual program enrollment, for a total of \$469,028.¹⁰⁴

In general, VPK programs in public schools are subject to the same oversight as private VPK programs, including requirements for use of the state contract, instructor to child ratios, instructor training, attendance and reporting, accountability, and methods regarding reimbursement for the VPK program. Early learning coalitions are required to monitor the compliance of public school VPK programs in their county or multi-county service region for both school year¹⁰⁵ and summer¹⁰⁶ VPK programs.

⁹⁶ Section 1002.63(1), F.S.

⁹⁷ Section 1002.61(1), F.S.

⁹⁸ Florida Department of Education, *Division of Early Learning Annual Report 2021-2022*, available at: <https://www.fldoe.org/core/fileparse.php/20628/urlt/2122-DEL-AnnualReport.pdf>.

⁹⁹ Section 1002.53(6)(b), F.S.

¹⁰⁰ Office of Economic & Demographic Research, *Early Learning Programs Estimating Conference Prekindergarten Education Program, Conference Package* (Aug. 10, 2023), available at <http://edr.state.fl.us/Content/conferences/vpk/VPKResults.pdf>.

¹⁰¹ Email, Florida Department of Education, Legislative Affairs (Oct. 27, 2023), on file with the Education & Employment Committee.

¹⁰² *Id.*

¹⁰³ Section 1002.71(3)(d), F.S.

¹⁰⁴ Email, Florida Department of Education, Legislative Affairs (Oct. 27, 2023), on file with the Education & Employment Committee. The amount is based on the 2022-23 summer VPK base student allocation of \$2,393.

¹⁰⁵ Section 1002.63(9)(a), F.S.

¹⁰⁶ Section 1002.61(10)(a), F.S.

Effect of the Bill

Current law requires each school district to administer a summer VPK Program. The bill authorizes a public school district to contract with private providers to satisfy this requirement.

Florida College System Governance

Present Situation

State Board of Education Duties

The State Board of Education (SBE) is the constitutional entity charged with supervising the system of free public schools in Florida.¹⁰⁷ In this role, it coordinates and implements public education in Florida, except for the State University System. The SBE establishes objectives and long-range plans, appoints the Commissioner of Education, annually develops a coordinated K-20 budget, and oversees the Florida Department of Education (DOE).¹⁰⁸ The SBE has rulemaking authority to carry out these functions¹⁰⁹ and implements accountability measures based primarily on student achievement for Florida's K-20 public education system, which includes K-12 free public schools and the Florida College System (FCS).¹¹⁰

Specifically, the SBE has education and oversight authority over school districts and the FCS concerning performance and compliance with laws. This includes the authority of the commissioner to investigate allegations of noncompliance; order compliance within a specified timeframe; and withhold the transfer of state funds, discretionary grant funds, discretionary lottery funds, and other funds deemed eligible by the Legislature until compliance is achieved.¹¹¹

The SBE is responsible for reviewing and administering the state program of support for the FCS institutions and, subject to existing law, must establish the tuition and out-of-state fees for developmental education and for credit instruction that may be counted toward an associate in arts degree, an associate in applied science degree, or an associate in science degree.¹¹² The SBE is also charged with identifying performance metrics for the FCS and developing a plan that specifies goals and objectives for each FCS institution.¹¹³

Florida College System Institution Student Fees

Florida Statute provides requirements for establishing tuition and fees at FCS institutions.¹¹⁴ This applies to fees charged for college credit instruction leading to an associate in arts degree, an associate in applied science degree, or an associate in science degree, or a baccalaureate degree authorized pursuant to s. 1007.33, for noncollege credit developmental education defined in s. 1004.02, and for educator preparation institute programs defined in s. 1004.85.¹¹⁵

Tuition and out-of-state fees for upper-division courses must reflect the fact that the FCS institution has a less expensive cost structure than that of a state university. Therefore, the board of trustees shall establish tuition and out-of-state fees for upper-division courses in approved baccalaureate degree programs consistent with law and proviso language in the General Appropriations Act. However, the

¹⁰⁷ See Fla. Const. Art. IX, s. 2; s. 1001.02(1), F.S.

¹⁰⁸ See s. 1001.02, F.S.

¹⁰⁹ Section 1001.02(1), F.S.

¹¹⁰ See ss. 1008.31 and 1008.32, F.S.

¹¹¹ See s. 1008.32(2)-(4), F.S.

¹¹² Section 1001.02(5), F.S.

¹¹³ Section 1001.03(17), F.S.

¹¹⁴ Section 1009.23, F.S.

¹¹⁵ Section 1009.23(1), F.S.

board of trustees may vary tuition and out-of-state fees only as provided in statute.¹¹⁶ Each FCS institution board of trustees shall establish tuition and out-of-state fees, which may vary no more than 10 percent below and 15 percent above the combined total of the standard tuition and fees established in statute.¹¹⁷

Any proposal or action of a FCS institution to raise, impose, or authorize any fee, as authorized by law, except for tuition, must be approved by a supermajority vote of the members of the board of trustees of the institution, if approval by the board of trustees is required by general law, and at least a supermajority vote of the members of the SBE, if approval by the SBE is required by general law, in order to take effect.¹¹⁸

Florida College System Institution Accountability Process

The SBE and the FCS institution boards of trustees must develop and implement an accountability plan to improve and evaluate the instructional and administrative efficiency and effectiveness of the FCS. The SBE must also submit an annual report, at the same time as the agency strategic plan required by law,¹¹⁹ providing the results of initiatives taken during the prior year and the initiatives and related objective performance measures proposed for the next year. Within the annual evaluation, the SBE must address the performance of the FCS executive director, and the FCS institution boards of trustees must address the performance of the presidents, in the achievement of the performance goals established by the accountability process.¹²⁰

Baccalaureate Degree Access

In 2001, the Legislature authorized a process by which community colleges could seek approval by the SBE to grant baccalaureate degrees in limited areas. In that same legislation, St. Petersburg Junior College was re-established as St. Petersburg College and its Board of Trustees was authorized to establish one or more bachelor of applied science degree programs based on an analysis of workforce needs in Pinellas, Pasco, and Hernando Counties and other counties approved by the DOE.¹²¹

Effect of the Bill

The bill removes the requirement for the SBE to establish the tuition and out-of-state fees for developmental education and for credit instruction that may be counted toward an associate in arts degree, an associate in applied science degree, or an associate in science degree. This language is outdated and unnecessary, as the SBE does not set tuition and fees, rather these are established pursuant to s. 1009.23, F.S. The bill removes the requirement for the SBE to identify performance metrics for the FCS and develop a plan that specifies goals and objectives for each FCS institution. This provision conflicts with the statutory requirement that the SBE include the FCS in its strategic plan that specifies goals and objectives for the state's public schools and Florida College System institutions.¹²²

The bill also removes obsolete language referring to the Executive Director of the Florida College System and regarding a special baccalaureate approval process for St. Petersburg College that was put in place when bachelor's degrees were first authorized in the FCS. Florida colleges have been

¹¹⁶ Section 1009.23(2)(b), F.S.

¹¹⁷ Section 1009.23(4), F.S.

¹¹⁸ Section 1009.23(21), F.S.

¹¹⁹ Section 1001.02(3), F.S.

¹²⁰ Section 1008.45, F.S.

¹²¹ Section 1007.33, F.S.; see also Florida Department of Education, *History of the Need for Baccalaureates Policy Paper* (2005), available at <https://www.fldoe.org/core/fileparse.php/5592/urlt/0082822-histbacc.pdf>.

¹²² Section 1001.02(3), F.S.

granted authorization from the Legislature to offer bachelor's degrees to meet local and regional workforce need and demand.¹²³

Automotive Service Technology Education Programs

Present Situation

All automotive service technology programs are required to be industry certified in accordance with rules adopted by the SBE. New programs and programs in the process of becoming certified have 3 years to do so. Students enrolled in a program that is not certified are not eligible to be reported for state funding.¹²⁴

Effect of the Bill

The bill repeals this section of law as it is not necessary for program quality as this is not required for any other unregulated training program.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

¹²³ Section 1007.33, F.S.

¹²⁴ Section 1004.925, F.S.