163918

LEGISLATIVE ACTION

Senate	.	House
	.	
	.	
Floor: 1/AD/2R	.	Floor: RC
03/05/2024 06:43 PM	.	03/07/2024 04:13 PM
	.	

Senator Harrell moved the following:

Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

Section 1. Subsections (1), (2), and (8) of section 330.27, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

330.27 Definitions, when used in ss. 330.29-330.39.—

(1) "Aircraft" means a powered or unpowered machine or device capable of atmospheric flight, including, but not limited to, an airplane, autogyro, glider, gyrodyne, helicopter, lift and cruise, multicopter, paramotor, powered lift, seaplane,

163918

tiltrotor, ultralight, and vectored thrust. The term does not include ~~except~~ a parachute or other such device used primarily as safety equipment.

(2) "Airport" means an area of land or water used for, or intended to be used for, ~~landing and takeoff of~~ aircraft operations, which may include any ~~including~~ appurtenant areas, buildings, facilities, or rights-of-way necessary to facilitate such use or intended use. The term includes, but is not limited to, an airpark, airport, gliderport, heliport, helistop, seaplane base, ultralight flightpark, vertiport, and vertistop.

~~(8) "Ultralight aircraft" means any aircraft meeting the criteria established by part 103 of the Federal Aviation Regulations.~~

Section 2. Present subsections (3) and (4) of section 330.30, Florida Statutes, are redesignated as subsections (4) and (5), respectively, a new subsection (3) is added to that section, and paragraph (a) of subsection (1), paragraph (a) of subsection (2), and present subsection (4) of that section are amended, to read:

330.30 Approval of airport sites; registration and licensure of airports.—

(1) SITE APPROVALS; REQUIREMENTS, EFFECTIVE PERIOD, REVOCATION.—

(a) Except as provided in subsection (4) ~~(3)~~, the owner or lessee of a proposed airport shall, before site acquisition or construction or establishment of the proposed airport, obtain approval of the airport site from the department. Applications for approval of a site shall be made in a form and manner prescribed by the department. The department shall grant the

163918

site approval if it is satisfied:

1. That the site has adequate area allocated for the airport as proposed.

2. That the proposed airport will conform to licensing or registration requirements and will comply with the applicable local government land development regulations or zoning requirements.

3. That all affected airports, local governments, and property owners have been notified and any comments submitted by them have been given adequate consideration.

4. That safe air-traffic patterns can be established for the proposed airport with all existing airports and approved airport sites in its vicinity.

(2) LICENSES AND REGISTRATIONS; REQUIREMENTS, RENEWAL, REVOCATION.—

(a) Except as provided in subsection (4) ~~(3)~~, the owner or lessee of an airport in this state shall have a public airport license, private airport registration, or temporary airport registration before the operation of aircraft to or from the airport. Application for a license or registration shall be made in a form and manner prescribed by the department.

1. For a public airport, upon granting site approval, the department shall issue a license after a final airport inspection finds the airport to be in compliance with all requirements for the license. The license may be subject to any reasonable conditions the department deems necessary to protect the public health, safety, or welfare.

2. For a private airport, upon granting site approval, the department shall provide controlled electronic access to the

state aviation facility data system to permit the applicant to complete the registration process. Registration shall be completed upon self-certification by the registrant of operational and configuration data deemed necessary by the department.

3. For a temporary airport, the department must publish notice of receipt of a completed registration application in the next available publication of the Florida Administrative Register and may not approve a registration application less than 14 days after the date of publication of the notice. The department must approve or deny a registration application within 30 days after receipt of a completed application and must issue the temporary airport registration concurrent with the airport site approval. A completed registration application that is not approved or denied within 30 days after the department receives the completed application is considered approved and shall be issued, subject to such reasonable conditions as are authorized by law. An applicant seeking to claim registration by default under this subparagraph must notify the agency clerk of the department, in writing, of the intent to rely upon the default registration provision of this subparagraph and may not take any action based upon the default registration until after receipt of such notice by the agency clerk.

(3) VERTIPORTS.—On or after July 1, 2024, the owner or lessee of a proposed vertiport must comply with subsection (1) in obtaining site approval and with subsection (2) in obtaining an airport license or registration. In conjunction with the granting of site approval, the department must conduct a final physical inspection of the vertiport to ensure compliance with


163918

all requirements for airport licensure or registration.

(5)~~(4)~~ EXCEPTIONS.—Private airports with 10 or more based aircraft may request to be inspected and licensed by the department. Private airports licensed according to this subsection shall be considered private airports as defined in s. 330.27 ~~s. 330.27(5)~~ in all other respects.

Section 3. Section 332.15, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

332.15 Advanced air mobility.—The Department of Transportation shall, within the resources provided pursuant to chapter 216:

(1) Address the need for vertiports, advanced air mobility, and other advances in aviation technology in the statewide aviation system plan as required under s. 332.006(1) and, as appropriate, in the department's work program.

(2) Designate a subject matter expert on advanced air mobility within the department to serve as a resource for local jurisdictions navigating advances in aviation technology.

(3) Lead a statewide education campaign for local officials to provide education on the benefits of advanced air mobility and advances in aviation technology and to support the efforts to make this state a leader in aviation technology.

(4) Provide local jurisdictions with a guidebook and technical resources to support uniform planning and zoning language across this state related to advanced air mobility and other advances in aviation technology.

(5) Ensure that a political subdivision of the state does not exercise its zoning and land use authority to grant or permit an exclusive right to one or more vertiport owners or

operators and authorize a political subdivision to use its authority to promote reasonable access to advanced air mobility operators at public use vertiports within the jurisdiction of the subdivision.

(6) Conduct a review of airport hazard zone regulations and, as needed, make recommendations to the Legislature proposing any changes to regulations as a result of the review.

Section 4. Subsection (2) of section 333.03, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

333.03 Requirement to adopt airport zoning regulations.—

(2) In the manner provided in subsection (1), political subdivisions shall adopt, administer, and enforce airport land use compatibility zoning regulations. At a minimum, airport land use compatibility zoning regulations must address ~~shall, at a minimum, consider~~ the following:

(a) The prohibition of new landfills and the restriction of existing landfills within the following areas:

1. Within 10,000 feet from the nearest point of any runway used or planned to be used by turbine aircraft.

2. Within 5,000 feet from the nearest point of any runway used by only nonturbine aircraft.

3. Outside the perimeters defined in subparagraphs 1. and 2., but still within the lateral limits of the civil airport imaginary surfaces defined in 14 C.F.R. s. 77.19. Case-by-case review of such landfills is advised.

(b) When ~~Where~~ any landfill is located and constructed in a manner that attracts or sustains hazardous bird movements from feeding, water, or roosting areas into, or across, the runways or approach and departure patterns of aircraft. The landfill

163918

operator must incorporate bird management techniques or other practices to minimize bird hazards to airborne aircraft.

(c) When ~~Where~~ an airport authority or other governing body operating a public-use airport has conducted a noise study in accordance with 14 C.F.R. part 150, or when ~~where~~ a public-use airport owner has established noise contours pursuant to another public study accepted by the Federal Aviation Administration, the prohibition of incompatible uses, as established in the noise study in 14 C.F.R. part 150, Appendix A or as a part of an alternative Federal Aviation Administration-accepted public study, within the noise contours established by any of these studies, except if such uses are specifically contemplated by such study with appropriate mitigation or similar techniques described in the study.

(d) When ~~Where~~ an airport authority or other governing body operating a public-use airport has not conducted a noise study, the prohibition ~~mitigation~~ of ~~potential incompatible uses associated with~~ residential construction and ~~any~~ educational facilities ~~facility~~, with the exception of aviation school facilities or residential property near a public-use airport that has as its sole runway a turf runway measuring less than 2,800 feet in length, within an area contiguous to the airport measuring one-half the length of the longest runway on either side of and at the end of each runway centerline.

(e) The restriction of new incompatible uses, activities, or substantial modifications to existing incompatible uses within runway protection zones.

Section 5. For the purpose of incorporating the amendment made by this act to section 330.27, Florida Statutes, in a


163918

reference thereto, subsection (13) of section 365.172, Florida Statutes, is reenacted to read:

365.172 Emergency communications.—

(13) FACILITATING EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS SERVICE IMPLEMENTATION.—To balance the public need for reliable emergency communications services through reliable wireless systems and the public interest served by governmental zoning and land development regulations and notwithstanding any other law or local ordinance to the contrary, the following standards shall apply to a local government’s actions, as a regulatory body, in the regulation of the placement, construction, or modification of a wireless communications facility. This subsection may not, however, be construed to waive or alter the provisions of s. 286.011 or s. 286.0115. For the purposes of this subsection only, “local government” shall mean any municipality or county and any agency of a municipality or county only. The term “local government” does not, however, include any airport, as defined by s. 330.27(2), even if it is owned or controlled by or through a municipality, county, or agency of a municipality or county. Further, notwithstanding anything in this section to the contrary, this subsection does not apply to or control a local government’s actions as a property or structure owner in the use of any property or structure owned by such entity for the placement, construction, or modification of wireless communications facilities. In the use of property or structures owned by the local government, however, a local government may not use its regulatory authority so as to avoid compliance with, or in a manner that does not advance, the provisions of this subsection.

163918

(a) Colocation among wireless providers is encouraged by the state.

1.a. Colocations on towers, including nonconforming towers, that meet the requirements in sub-sub-subparagraphs (I), (II), and (III), are subject to only building permit review, which may include a review for compliance with this subparagraph. Such colocations are not subject to any design or placement requirements of the local government's land development regulations in effect at the time of the colocation that are more restrictive than those in effect at the time of the initial antennae placement approval, to any other portion of the land development regulations, or to public hearing review. This sub-subparagraph may not preclude a public hearing for any appeal of the decision on the colocation application.

(I) The colocation does not increase the height of the tower to which the antennae are to be attached, measured to the highest point of any part of the tower or any existing antenna attached to the tower;

(II) The colocation does not increase the ground space area, commonly known as the compound, approved in the site plan for equipment enclosures and ancillary facilities; and

(III) The colocation consists of antennae, equipment enclosures, and ancillary facilities that are of a design and configuration consistent with all applicable regulations, restrictions, or conditions, if any, applied to the initial antennae placed on the tower and to its accompanying equipment enclosures and ancillary facilities and, if applicable, applied to the tower supporting the antennae. Such regulations may include the design and aesthetic requirements, but not

163918

procedural requirements, other than those authorized by this section, of the local government's land development regulations in effect at the time the initial antennae placement was approved.

b. Except for a historic building, structure, site, object, or district, or a tower included in sub-subparagraph a., colocations on all other existing structures that meet the requirements in sub-sub-subparagraphs (I)-(IV) shall be subject to no more than building permit review, and an administrative review for compliance with this subparagraph. Such colocations are not subject to any portion of the local government's land development regulations not addressed herein, or to public hearing review. This sub-subparagraph may not preclude a public hearing for any appeal of the decision on the colocation application.

(I) The colocation does not increase the height of the existing structure to which the antennae are to be attached, measured to the highest point of any part of the structure or any existing antenna attached to the structure;

(II) The colocation does not increase the ground space area, otherwise known as the compound, if any, approved in the site plan for equipment enclosures and ancillary facilities;

(III) The colocation consists of antennae, equipment enclosures, and ancillary facilities that are of a design and configuration consistent with any applicable structural or aesthetic design requirements and any requirements for location on the structure, but not prohibitions or restrictions on the placement of additional colocations on the existing structure or procedural requirements, other than those authorized by this

163918

section, of the local government's land development regulations in effect at the time of the colocation application; and

(IV) The colocation consists of antennae, equipment enclosures, and ancillary facilities that are of a design and configuration consistent with all applicable restrictions or conditions, if any, that do not conflict with sub-sub-subparagraph (III) and were applied to the initial antennae placed on the structure and to its accompanying equipment enclosures and ancillary facilities and, if applicable, applied to the structure supporting the antennae.

c. Regulations, restrictions, conditions, or permits of the local government, acting in its regulatory capacity, that limit the number of colocations or require review processes inconsistent with this subsection do not apply to colocations addressed in this subparagraph.

d. If only a portion of the colocation does not meet the requirements of this subparagraph, such as an increase in the height of the proposed antennae over the existing structure height or a proposal to expand the ground space approved in the site plan for the equipment enclosure, where all other portions of the colocation meet the requirements of this subparagraph, that portion of the colocation only may be reviewed under the local government's regulations applicable to an initial placement of that portion of the facility, including, but not limited to, its land development regulations, and within the review timeframes of subparagraph (d)2., and the rest of the colocation shall be reviewed in accordance with this subparagraph. A colocation proposal under this subparagraph that increases the ground space area, otherwise known as the

compound, approved in the original site plan for equipment enclosures and ancillary facilities by no more than a cumulative amount of 400 square feet or 50 percent of the original compound size, whichever is greater, shall, however, require no more than administrative review for compliance with the local government's regulations, including, but not limited to, land development regulations review, and building permit review, with no public hearing review. This sub-subparagraph does not preclude a public hearing for any appeal of the decision on the colocation application.

2. If a colocation does not meet the requirements of subparagraph 1., the local government may review the application under the local government's regulations, including, but not limited to, land development regulations, applicable to the placement of initial antennae and their accompanying equipment enclosure and ancillary facilities.

3. If a colocation meets the requirements of subparagraph 1., the colocation may not be considered a modification to an existing structure or an impermissible modification of a nonconforming structure.

4. The owner of the existing tower on which the proposed antennae are to be colocated shall remain responsible for compliance with any applicable condition or requirement of a permit or agreement, or any applicable condition or requirement of the land development regulations to which the existing tower had to comply at the time the tower was permitted, including any aesthetic requirements, provided the condition or requirement is not inconsistent with this paragraph.

5. An existing tower, including a nonconforming tower, may

be structurally modified in order to permit colocation or may be replaced through no more than administrative review and building permit review, and is not subject to public hearing review, if the overall height of the tower is not increased and, if a replacement, the replacement tower is a monopole tower or, if the existing tower is a camouflaged tower, the replacement tower is a like-camouflaged tower. This subparagraph may not preclude a public hearing for any appeal of the decision on the application.

(b)1. A local government's land development and construction regulations for wireless communications facilities and the local government's review of an application for the placement, construction, or modification of a wireless communications facility shall only address land development or zoning issues. In such local government regulations or review, the local government may not require information on or evaluate a wireless provider's business decisions about its service, customer demand for its service, or quality of its service to or from a particular area or site, unless the wireless provider voluntarily offers this information to the local government. In such local government regulations or review, a local government may not require information on or evaluate the wireless provider's designed service unless the information or materials are directly related to an identified land development or zoning issue or unless the wireless provider voluntarily offers the information. Information or materials directly related to an identified land development or zoning issue may include, but are not limited to, evidence that no existing structure can reasonably be used for the antennae placement instead of the


163918

construction of a new tower, that residential areas cannot be served from outside the residential area, as addressed in subparagraph 3., or that the proposed height of a new tower or initial antennae placement or a proposed height increase of a modified tower, replacement tower, or colocation is necessary to provide the provider’s designed service. Nothing in this paragraph shall limit the local government from reviewing any applicable land development or zoning issue addressed in its adopted regulations that does not conflict with this section, including, but not limited to, aesthetics, landscaping, land use-based location priorities, structural design, and setbacks.

2. Any setback or distance separation required of a tower may not exceed the minimum distance necessary, as determined by the local government, to satisfy the structural safety or aesthetic concerns that are to be protected by the setback or distance separation.

3. A local government may exclude the placement of wireless communications facilities in a residential area or residential zoning district but only in a manner that does not constitute an actual or effective prohibition of the provider’s service in that residential area or zoning district. If a wireless provider demonstrates to the satisfaction of the local government that the provider cannot reasonably provide its service to the residential area or zone from outside the residential area or zone, the municipality or county and provider shall cooperate to determine an appropriate location for a wireless communications facility of an appropriate design within the residential area or zone. The local government may require that the wireless provider reimburse the reasonable costs incurred by the local


163918

government for this cooperative determination. An application for such cooperative determination may not be considered an application under paragraph (d).

4. A local government may impose a reasonable fee on applications to place, construct, or modify a wireless communications facility only if a similar fee is imposed on applicants seeking other similar types of zoning, land use, or building permit review. A local government may impose fees for the review of applications for wireless communications facilities by consultants or experts who conduct code compliance review for the local government but any fee is limited to specifically identified reasonable expenses incurred in the review. A local government may impose reasonable surety requirements to ensure the removal of wireless communications facilities that are no longer being used.

5. A local government may impose design requirements, such as requirements for designing towers to support colocation or aesthetic requirements, except as otherwise limited in this section, but may not impose or require information on compliance with building code type standards for the construction or modification of wireless communications facilities beyond those adopted by the local government under chapter 553 and that apply to all similar types of construction.

(c) Local governments may not require wireless providers to provide evidence of a wireless communications facility's compliance with federal regulations, except evidence of compliance with applicable Federal Aviation Administration requirements under 14 C.F.R. part 77, as amended, and evidence of proper Federal Communications Commission licensure, or other

163918

evidence of Federal Communications Commission authorized spectrum use, but may request the Federal Communications Commission to provide information as to a wireless provider's compliance with federal regulations, as authorized by federal law.

(d)1. A local government shall grant or deny each properly completed application for a colocation under subparagraph (a)1. based on the application's compliance with the local government's applicable regulations, as provided for in subparagraph (a)1. and consistent with this subsection, and within the normal timeframe for a similar building permit review but in no case later than 45 business days after the date the application is determined to be properly completed in accordance with this paragraph.

2. A local government shall grant or deny each properly completed application for any other wireless communications facility based on the application's compliance with the local government's applicable regulations, including but not limited to land development regulations, consistent with this subsection and within the normal timeframe for a similar type review but in no case later than 90 business days after the date the application is determined to be properly completed in accordance with this paragraph.

3.a. An application is deemed submitted or resubmitted on the date the application is received by the local government. If the local government does not notify the applicant in writing that the application is not completed in compliance with the local government's regulations within 20 business days after the date the application is initially submitted or additional

information resubmitted, the application is deemed, for administrative purposes only, to be properly completed and properly submitted. However, the determination may not be deemed as an approval of the application. If the application is not completed in compliance with the local government's regulations, the local government shall so notify the applicant in writing and the notification must indicate with specificity any deficiencies in the required documents or deficiencies in the content of the required documents which, if cured, make the application properly completed. Upon resubmission of information to cure the stated deficiencies, the local government shall notify the applicant, in writing, within the normal timeframes of review, but in no case longer than 20 business days after the additional information is submitted, of any remaining deficiencies that must be cured. Deficiencies in document type or content not specified by the local government do not make the application incomplete. Notwithstanding this sub-subparagraph, if a specified deficiency is not properly cured when the applicant resubmits its application to comply with the notice of deficiencies, the local government may continue to request the information until such time as the specified deficiency is cured. The local government may establish reasonable timeframes within which the required information to cure the application deficiency is to be provided or the application will be considered withdrawn or closed.

b. If the local government fails to grant or deny a properly completed application for a wireless communications facility within the timeframes set forth in this paragraph, the application shall be deemed automatically approved and the

applicant may proceed with placement of the facilities without interference or penalty. The timeframes specified in subparagraph 2. may be extended only to the extent that the application has not been granted or denied because the local government's procedures generally applicable to all other similar types of applications require action by the governing body and such action has not taken place within the timeframes specified in subparagraph 2. Under such circumstances, the local government must act to either grant or deny the application at its next regularly scheduled meeting or, otherwise, the application is deemed to be automatically approved.

c. To be effective, a waiver of the timeframes set forth in this paragraph must be voluntarily agreed to by the applicant and the local government. A local government may request, but not require, a waiver of the timeframes by the applicant, except that, with respect to a specific application, a one-time waiver may be required in the case of a declared local, state, or federal emergency that directly affects the administration of all permitting activities of the local government.

(e) The replacement of or modification to a wireless communications facility, except a tower, that results in a wireless communications facility not readily discernibly different in size, type, and appearance when viewed from ground level from surrounding properties, and the replacement or modification of equipment that is not visible from surrounding properties, all as reasonably determined by the local government, are subject to no more than applicable building permit review.

(f) Any other law to the contrary notwithstanding, the

163918

Department of Management Services shall negotiate, in the name of the state, leases for wireless communications facilities that provide access to state government-owned property not acquired for transportation purposes, and the Department of Transportation shall negotiate, in the name of the state, leases for wireless communications facilities that provide access to property acquired for state rights-of-way. On property acquired for transportation purposes, leases shall be granted in accordance with s. 337.251. On other state government-owned property, leases shall be granted on a space available, first-come, first-served basis. Payments required by state government under a lease must be reasonable and must reflect the market rate for the use of the state government-owned property. The Department of Management Services and the Department of Transportation are authorized to adopt rules for the terms and conditions and granting of any such leases.

(g) If any person adversely affected by any action, or failure to act, or regulation, or requirement of a local government in the review or regulation of the wireless communication facilities files an appeal or brings an appropriate action in a court or venue of competent jurisdiction, following the exhaustion of all administrative remedies, the matter shall be considered on an expedited basis.

Section 6. For the purpose of incorporating the amendment made by this act to section 330.27, Florida Statutes, in a reference thereto, subsection (2) of section 379.2293, Florida Statutes, is reenacted to read:

379.2293 Airport activities within the scope of a federally approved wildlife hazard management plan or a federal or state

permit or other authorization for depredation or harassment.—

(2) An airport authority or other entity owning or operating an airport, as defined in s. 330.27(2), is not subject to any administrative or civil penalty, restriction, or other sanction with respect to any authorized action taken in a non-negligent manner for the purpose of protecting human life or aircraft safety from wildlife hazards.

Section 7. For the purpose of incorporating the amendment made by this act to section 330.27, Florida Statutes, in a reference thereto, subsection (22) of section 493.6101, Florida Statutes, is reenacted to read:

493.6101 Definitions.—

(22) "Repossession" means the recovery of a motor vehicle as defined under s. 320.01(1), a mobile home as defined in s. 320.01(2), a motorboat as defined under s. 327.02, an aircraft as defined in s. 330.27(1), a personal watercraft as defined in s. 327.02, an all-terrain vehicle as defined in s. 316.2074, farm equipment as defined under s. 686.402, or industrial equipment, by an individual who is authorized by the legal owner, lienholder, or lessor to recover, or to collect money payment in lieu of recovery of, that which has been sold or leased under a security agreement that contains a repossession clause. As used in this subsection, the term "industrial equipment" includes, but is not limited to, tractors, road rollers, cranes, forklifts, backhoes, and bulldozers. The term "industrial equipment" also includes other vehicles that are propelled by power other than muscular power and that are used in the manufacture of goods or used in the provision of services. A repossession is complete when a licensed recovery

163918

agent is in control, custody, and possession of such repossessed property. Property that is being repossessed shall be considered to be in the control, custody, and possession of a recovery agent if the property being repossessed is secured in preparation for transport from the site of the recovery by means of being attached to or placed on the towing or other transport vehicle or if the property being repossessed is being operated or about to be operated by an employee of the recovery agency.

Section 8. For the purpose of incorporating the amendment made by this act to section 330.27, Florida Statutes, in a reference thereto, paragraph (c) of subsection (1) of section 493.6403, Florida Statutes, is reenacted to read:

493.6403 License requirements.—

(1) In addition to the license requirements set forth in this chapter, each individual or agency shall comply with the following additional requirements:

(c) An applicant for a Class "E" license shall have at least 1 year of lawfully gained, verifiable, full-time experience in one, or a combination of more than one, of the following:

1. Repossession of motor vehicles as defined in s. 320.01(1), mobile homes as defined in s. 320.01(2), motorboats as defined in s. 327.02, aircraft as defined in s. 330.27(1), personal watercraft as defined in s. 327.02, all-terrain vehicles as defined in s. 316.2074, farm equipment as defined under s. 686.402, or industrial equipment as defined in s. 493.6101(22).

2. Work as a Class "EE" licensed intern.

Section 9. This act shall take effect July 1, 2024.

163918

592
593 ================= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ================
594 And the title is amended as follows:
595 Delete everything before the enacting clause
596 and insert:
597 A bill to be entitled
598 An act relating to aviation; amending s. 330.27, F.S.;
599 revising definitions; amending s. 330.30, F.S.;
600 beginning on a specified date, requiring the owner or
601 lessee of a proposed vertiport to comply with a
602 specified provision in obtaining certain approval and
603 license or registration; requiring the Department of
604 Transportation to conduct a final physical inspection
605 of the vertiport to ensure compliance with specified
606 requirements; conforming a cross-reference; creating
607 s. 332.15, F.S.; providing duties of the department,
608 within specified resources, with respect to
609 vertiports, advanced air mobility, and other advances
610 in aviation technology; amending s. 333.03, F.S.;
611 revising requirements for the adoption of airport land
612 use compatibility zoning regulations; reenacting ss.
613 365.172(13), 379.2293(2), 493.6101(22), and
614 493.6403(1)(c), F.S., relating to emergency
615 communications, airport activities within the scope of
616 a federally approved wildlife hazard management plan
617 or a federal or state permit or other authorization
618 for depredation or harassment, definitions, and
619 license requirements, respectively, to incorporate the
620 amendment made to s. 330.27, F.S., in references

thereto; providing an effective date.